

UNIVERSITY OF SZEGED  
FACULTY OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCE  
DOCTORAL SCHOOL OF LINGUISTICS

GULDANA TOGABAYEVA

**FINITE VERB FORMS IN A 17TH-CENTURY TURKIC HISTORICAL  
TEXT: QĀDIR ‘ALI BEG’S *ĴĀMI ‘AT-TAWĀRĪX* ‘COMPENDIUM OF  
CHRONICLES’**

Supervisors  
Dr. Balázs Danka  
Prof. Dr. Mária Ivanics

Szeged, 2025

I, Guldana Togabayeva, hereby state that all the work presented in this dissertation is the result of my own academic effort, completed under the supervision of Prof. Dr. Mária Ivanics and Dr. Balázs Danka. I further declare that present dissertation does not include any content that has been submitted for the award of a PhD degree at any other university.

17 January 2025



(signature)

## Contents

Acknowledgments.....	5
Technicalities.....	6
1 Introduction.....	11
1.1 Aim of the study.....	11
1.2 Research methods.....	12
1.3 Data.....	12
1.4. Research history.....	13
1.5. The study of the <i>Compendium</i> .....	16
1.6 Text.....	22
2 The linguistic analysis of finite verb forms.....	26
2.1 Finite verbal categories.....	26
2.1.1 Agreement markers.....	26
2.1.1.1 The pronominal type.....	26
2.1.1.2 The possessive type.....	29
2.1.2 Viewpoint aspect.....	32
2.1.2.1 Intraterminals.....	33
2.1.2.2 Postterminals.....	41
2.1.2.3 Terminals.....	56
2.1.3 Imperatives.....	56
2.1.4 Modality.....	63
2.1.4.1 Voluntatives.....	64
2.1.4.2 Optatives.....	73
2.1.4.3 Further modals.....	81
2.2 Copular devices.....	85
2.2.1 Person-number agreement suffixes.....	85
2.2.2 Copular verbs.....	87
2.2.2.1 Er- ‘to be’.....	87
2.2.2.2 Bol- ‘to be(come)’.....	91
2.2.2.3 Tur- ‘to stop, stand’.....	95
2.2.2.4 Other copular verbs.....	97
2.2.3 Copular particles.....	100
2.2.3.1 Remote copular particles.....	101
2.2.3.2 Evidential copular particles.....	101
2.2.3.3 Rhetorical copular particles.....	102

2.2.3.4 Hypothetical copular particles.....	102
2.2.3.5 Confirmative and presumptive copular particles.....	103
2.2.3.6 Negation particles.....	104
2.2.3.7 Combinations with copular particles.....	105
2.3 Postverbal constructions.....	110
2.3.1 Ambiguity.....	116
2.3.2 Phase specification.....	124
2.3.3 Spatial orientation.....	135
2.3.4 Version.....	136
2.3.5 Ability/Inability.....	137
2.4 Verb stems.....	138
2.4.1 Denominal verb derivation.....	141
2.4.1.1 {+lA-}.....	141
2.4.1.2 {+A-}.....	144
2.4.1.3 {+I-}.....	146
2.4.1.4 {+(A)δ-}.....	147
2.4.1.4.1 {+(A)y-}.....	148
2.4.1.5 {+(A)l-}.....	148
2.4.1.6 {+(A)r-}.....	149
2.4.1.7 {+dA-}.....	149
2.4.1.8 {+(I)K-}.....	150
2.4.1.9 {+KAr-}.....	151
2.4.1.10 {+(U)(r)KA-}.....	152
2.4.2 Analytic denominal verb derivation.....	154
2.4.2.1 Transitive light verbs.....	156
2.4.2.2 The other transitive auxiliaries with more definable verbal meanings.....	176
2.4.2.3 Intransitive light verbs.....	177
2.4.2.4 The other intransitives auxiliaries with more definable verbal meanings.....	181
2.4.3 Phraseological phrases and expressions.....	184
2.4.4 Deverbal verb derivation.....	189
2.4.4.1 Actional markers.....	189
2.4.4.2 Diathetic modifications.....	189
2.4.4.2.1 Passive-reflexive-medial stems.....	190
2.4.4.2.2 Cooperative-reciprocal stem.....	194
2.4.4.2.3 Causative stems.....	196

2.4.4.2.4 Irregular diathetic formations.....	207
3 Conclusion.....	209
REFERENCES.....	215
APPENDICES.....	225
APPENDIX 1. Index of inflectional suffixes.....	227
APPENDIX 2. Index of viewpoint operators.....	247
APPENDIX 3. Index of postverbial constructions.....	253
APPENDIX 4. Index of other devices of finite verb forms.....	257

## Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my supervisors, Prof. Dr. Mária Ivanics and Dr. Balázs Danka. The support and supervision they provided during my doctoral period were tremendous. Among other things, Prof. Dr. Mária Ivanics taught me to read and analyze Turkic texts written in the Arabic script, while Dr. Balázs Danka opened new perspectives on Turkic linguistics. I am grateful for the opportunities and knowledge they have bestowed upon me. And I greatly acknowledge them for our long discussions over the last few years.

I am grateful to the Tempus Public Foundation for awarding me the Stipendium Hungaricum scholarship and the Stipendium Hungaricum dissertation scholarship. I thank Prof. Dr. István Zimonyi for accepting me into the Department of Altaic Studies at the University of Szeged. A big thanks are due to Dr. Éva Kincses-Nagy for helpful discussions on various topics. Special thanks go to Prof. Dr. Sándor Papp and Dr. Béla Kempf for supporting me with any administrative issues and providing advice on numerous occasions. I wish to express my gratitude to Prof. Dr. András Róna-Tas, Prof. Dr. Éva Á. Csató, and Prof. Dr. Klára Agyagási for their insightful comments on the different parts of the dissertation. I also thank the staff of the Department of Altaic Studies and the staff of the Orientation Section in the Klebelsberg Library, all my teachers, and fellow students for helping me in any way.

I am deeply indebted to Dr. Raushangul Mukusheva for her unlimited effort, support, and care. She assumed the role of an elder sister and transformed Szeged into a place that I felt like home.

My sincere thanks also go to my friend, Ph.D. candidate Marcela Calchei, for her companionship and solidarity during difficult times, as well as for the unforgettable memories that will be forever with me.

A special deep appreciation and thanks go to my lovely mom, Akmaral Nurmagambetova, for her enormous support, love, endless care, and belief in any step and decision of mine. In a special way, I sincerely thank my lovely family: my aunt, Dr. Bagila Nurmagambetova, who always cheered me up and provided academic and daily support during my doctoral journey; my uncle, Kanat Nurmagambetov; my grandmother, Akkumys Nurmagambetova; my *zhezde* Bekturgan Karin; and my brothers and sisters, Aizhibek Karina, Abylay Karin, Arman Kuralbay, Ainur Kuralbay, and Arnur Kuralbay. And finally, I wish to express my deepest gratitude to my beloved grandfather, Kuralbay Nurmagambetov. Although he is no longer with us, his memory will forever be cherished in my heart.

## Technicalities

### Transcription and transliteration

<i>Sign</i>	<i>Transliteration</i>	<i>Transcription</i>
آ	’	ā, a, ä
ا	’	ā, a, ä, i, ī
ای	’y	e, i, ī, ī
او	’w	o, ö, u, ü
ب	b	b, p
پ	p	p
ت	t	t
ث	ṯ	ṯ
ج	ǰ	ǰ, č
ح	ḥ	ḥ
خ	χ	χ
چ	č	č
د	d	d
ذ	ḏ	ḏ
ر	r	r
ز	z	z
س	s	s
ش	š	š
ص	ṣ	ṣ
ض	ẓ	ẓ
ط	ṭ	ṭ
ظ	ẓ	ẓ
ع	‘	‘
غ	ɣ	ɣ
ف	f	f
ق	q	q
ک	k	g, k
ل	l	l
م	m	m
ن	n	n

نک	nk	ŋ
ه	h	h, a, ä
و	w	o, ö, ū, u, ü, w, v
ی ی	y	e, ē, ī, î, ï, y
ء	,	,

### Other signs

| sentence division; morpheme analysis

[] semantic additions

{ } morphophonemic formulas

⟨ ⟩ transliteration of Arabic script; representation of standard orthographic forms in Latin script; insertion of words or phrases absent in the St. Petersburg manuscript but available in the Kazan manuscript

< developed from

> developed into

← derived from

→ derived as

~ alternates with

\* reconstructed and hypothetical elements

† spelling mistake

° unwritten vowel

’ aleph in transliteration

C consonant

V vowel

In translations and explanations, X is used for the 3SG personal pronouns ‘he/she/it’, ‘him/her/it’, and for indicating any verb. In the cases where I refer to Erdal (1991; 2004), X is used for *i ~ ĩ ~ u ~ ü*, as marked by the author.

A hyphen to the right of the form stands for a verb stem, e.g. *bär-* ‘to give’. Moreover, hyphens are used for the segmentation of complex forms, displaying the boundaries between the constituent segments, e.g. *öl-tür-di* ‘X killed’. The denominal markers are marked with a + sign, e.g. {+lA-}.



A bracketed vowel sign signifies the occurrence of the vowel after consonant-final stems and its absence after vowel-final stems. A bracketed consonant signifies that it can be omitted under certain conditions.

Specific terms are given in *italics*.

### **Morphophonemic notations**

{A}	a, e
{I}	i, ĩ
{U}	u, ü
{D}	d, t
{G}	g, ɣ, k, ḳ
{K}	k, ḳ, ɣ

### **Abbreviations**

1SG	first person singular
2SG	second person singular
3SG	third person singular
1PL	first person plural
3PL	third person plural
A	Arabic
ACT	actionality
AGR.POSS	possessive agreement
AGR.PRON	pronominal agreement
AOR	aorist
CAUS	causative stem
Chin.	Chinese
<i>Compendium</i>	‘Compendium of Chronicles’
CONV.INTRA	intraterminal converb
CONV.POST	postterminal converb
COP	copula
COP PART	copular particle

DAT	dative case
EOT	East Old Turkic
EVID. COP PART	evidential copular particle
F	focal
HF	high-focal
IMP	imperative
INTRA	intraterminal
ITR	intransitive
K	Kazan manuscript
LF	low-focal
LOC	locative case
LonII	second London manuscript
Mo	Mongolic languages
MMo	Middle Mongolic
MT	Middle Turkic
[N]	Nominal
NEC	necessitative
NEG	negation
NF	non-focal
NN	denominal noun derivative
NV	denominal verb derivative
OPT	optative
OT	Old Turkic
P	Persian
PART.	participle
PAST	past tense
PL	plural
PLU	pluripredicate
PON	the ‘Pagan’ Oyuz-nāmā
POSS	possessive
POSS1SG	first person possessive suffix
POSS3SG	third person possessive suffix

POST	postterminal
PRO	prospective
QAB	Qādir ‘Ali Beg
RD	Rašīd ad-Dīn
SG	singular
StP	St. Petersburg manuscript
TERM	terminal
TR	transitive
TRS	<i>Tatarsko-russkij slovar’</i>
VN	deverbal noun derivative
VOL	voluntative
WMo	Written or Script Mongolic
WOT	West Old Turkic

## 1 Introduction

This dissertation undertakes a comprehensive analysis of the linguistic characteristics of finite verbs in an early 17th-century Turkic historical text, *Ĵāmi' at-Tawārīḫ* 'Compendium of Chronicles' (hereafter the *Compendium*), written by Qādir 'Ali Beg<sup>1</sup> (hereafter QAB) in 1602. The text is composed in the literary Turkic language of Central Asia, or the so-called Chaghatay language, during the rule of Uraz-Muhammed Khan,<sup>2</sup> most likely in the Qasym Khanate (1452–1681). In 1851, Berezin introduced it to the scholarly world in an article titled *Tatarskij letopisec. Sovremennik Borisa Fedoroviča Godunova* in the journal *Moskvitjanin*.

The main portion of the manuscript is an abridged Turkic translation of Rašīd ad-Dīn's (1247–1318) (hereafter RD) Persian *Ĵāmi' at-Tawārīḫ* 'Compendium of Chronicles', which details the genealogy of Oghuz Khan, Genghis Khan's ancestors, Genghis Khan himself, and his descendants. Since the manuscript's first pages, where the title would have appeared, are missing, and as it is primarily a translation of the renowned Persian 'Compendium of Chronicles', Berezin conventionally attributed the same title to the Turkic manuscript. This title has remained in use to this day.

Currently, two manuscripts and three fragments of the *Compendium* are known. Two additional unconfirmed manuscripts are registered under the authorship of QAB. The two confirmed manuscripts are incomplete; however, they complement each other. Both manuscripts are later copies, likely derived from the same original source.

### 1.1 Aim of the study

The *Compendium* has previously been studied from the perspective of descriptive grammar. My focus will be on alternative linguistics, specifically through the analysis of finite verb forms. Currently, there are three Cyrillic (Syzdykova 1989; Syzdykova & Ƙojgeldiev 1991; Xisamieva 2022) and one Latin (Alimov 2022) transcriptions, as well as partial and full translations of the *Compendium* into Kazakh (Syzdykova & Ƙojgeldiev 1991; Mingulov et al. 1997) and Russian (Vel'jaminov-Zernov 1864; Valixanov 1961; Usmanov 1972; Alimov 2022; Xisamieva 2022). Because some parts of the text are difficult to understand, the translations are far from accurate and differ more or less from each other. Furthermore, only a small number of linguistic studies have investigated the language. As a result, the main aims of this dissertation are as follows:

---

<sup>1</sup> I use *Qādir 'Ali Beg* instead of the widely distributed *Qādirghali Ĵālāyirī* or *Qādirghali Ĵālāyir*. As early as 1972, Usmanov (1972: 38) noted that *Ĵālāyirī* is an artificial *nisba*. In the available manuscripts, there is no mention of the *nisba* جلايرى *ĵalāyirī*. However, phrases such as (StP: f.155v/3) بيبك على قادر جلاير *ĵalāyir Qādir 'Ali Beg*, (StP: f.157r/5) جلاير تراق نماغالى *ĵalāyir taraḳ tamγali* do appear. It follows that the term جلاير *ĵalāyir* indicates not the author's literary pseudonym but rather his tribal origin.

<sup>2</sup> Uraz-Muhammed Khan (1600–1610) was the ruler of the Qasym Khanate and a nephew of Taukel Khan (1583–1598), the khan of the Qazaq khanate.

1. To offer a modern, up-to-date linguistic analysis based on the theoretical framework of Lars Johanson and others for the sake of better understanding the text itself. This will be achieved by focusing on finite verb forms.
2. To analyze an important part of the grammar of the text with special respect to the historical morphology of the verb forms, in order to place the text in the continuum of the linguistic history of Turkic literary languages.
3. To enhance general knowledge on Turkic comparative and historical grammar by a detailed analysis of the finite verb forms in an early 17th-century Turkic text.
4. To investigate the Kipchak and Oghuz peculiarities of the *Compendium* to characterize its special status within the continuum of the literary texts of the same period.

Overall, the primary aim of this dissertation is to conduct an exhaustive exploration of the finite verb forms in QAB's *Compendium*, starting with finite verbs with thematic bases, such as terminals, intraterminals, postterminals, imperatives, voluntatives, and optatives, and progressing to copular devices, postverbal constructions, and verb stems.

### *1.2 Research methods*

The methods used here are comparative, descriptive, and data-oriented. The finite verb forms in the *Compendium* are analyzed using a functional framework based on Lars Johanson's works (1971; 1976; 1995; 1999; 2000a; 2000b; 2009; 2014; 2020; 2021a; 2021b; 2022a; 2022b; 2022c). The framework defines the semantic notions of verbal categories from a functional and typological perspective, including an examination of the morphological, morphophonological, syntactic, and morphosyntactic features of the *Compendium*. These categories are compared with equivalent features found in the Kazakh, Tatar, Turkish, and Siberian languages. This approach has been used in the current research to investigate the devices QAB applies to express different finite verb forms in the *Compendium*. The dissertation's task is to apply these methodological approaches to the analysis of the language of the 'Compendium of Chronicles'.

### *1.3 Data*

The data used in this dissertation include mainly the historical text of the St. Petersburg manuscript. In cases where examples are not available in the St. Petersburg manuscript, examples from the Kazan manuscript will be provided. The reason I chose the St. Petersburg manuscript as the main source is that it was scribed earlier and is closer in time to the original, unfound manuscript. Section 1.5 will elaborate on the manuscripts. The translation of the Persian 'Compendium of Chronicles' from the appropriate parts will be provided in Russian

and English translations by Smirnova (1952) and Thackston (1998), respectively, in the hard-reading parts.

It is also worth noting that the suffixes are written separately in the *Compendium*, which is a peculiarity of the Uyghur script; however, this feature has not been considered in the examples I have provided.

#### 1.4 Research history

As previously mentioned, Berezin first presented the *Compendium* in the *Moskvitjanin* journal in 1851, and later, in 1854, he published the first printed text in Arabic script.

In 1852, Valixanov entered into correspondence with Berezin. As Valixanov was a Kazakh scholar, Genghisid, and the great-grandson of Kazakh Khan Abylai, who spoke several oriental languages, the Russian orientalist requested Valixanov to decipher some historical and ethnographic terms found in the *yarlyks* of the khans of the Golden Horde. In response to Berezin's letter, Valixanov cited information from the Turkic *Compendium*. Later (exact date unknown), Valixanov wrote an article on the *Compendium* titled *Izvečeniya iz* جامع التواريخ, where he gave a translation of the third, final part of the text. Valixanov's investigations remained unpublished until 1961–1972.

In 1864, Vel'jaminov-Zernov (1864: 97–498), while working on the history of the Qasym Khanate, devoted a chapter to Uraz-Muhammed Khan, in which he widely used the *Compendium* to answer two significant questions. The questions concern the year of Uraz-Muhammed's enthronement in Kasimov and the voluntary nature of his arrival in Moscow. The primary aim of Vel'jaminov-Zernov was to establish the identity of Uraz-Muhammed. For this purpose, he studied the genealogy of Genghisid and important historical events connected with his relatives in detail and provide extensive information about the first Kazakh khans, as well as about their relationships with neighboring states and the Tsardom of Russia. He analyzed the *Compendium* and provided translations of some parts.

In 1922, Raxim found the Kazan manuscript, and in 1927, he found a fragment from the Kyškary village. In the same year, he provided an elaborate account of the recent findings (Raxim 1927 [2008]: 196, 212).

In 1972, Usmanov, the Tatar scholar, described the St. Petersburg and Kazan manuscripts in detail from the historiographical and source-study perspective.

In 1980, Xisamieva defended her doctoral dissertation in Ufa under the supervision of Tenišev. The title of her dissertation was *Jazyk dastanov Kadyr-Gali beka*. In 2022, Xisamieva's dissertation was published in Kazan with the same title. She considered the

grammatical and lexical characteristics of the language of the *Compendium*. The edition contains a transcription into Cyrillic and a translation into Russian of the first and third parts.

The Kazakh scholar Syzdykova (1989) transcribed the entire text of both manuscripts (St. Petersburg and Kazan) in Cyrillic. This work included the historical and linguistic features of the text in Russian. Ғojgeldiev co-authored another edition in 1991, two years after Syzdykova's first publication. This edition, in addition to the transcribed text and a linguistic study of the manuscript in Kazakh, contains a translation of the first and third parts of the work into Kazakh. Syzdykova served as the responsible editor of the thesaurus dictionary for the *Compendium* in Kazakh, compiled by Mamyrbekova and Sejtbekova in 2012. In 2014, the Kazakh publication, co-authored with Ғojgeldiev, was reissued, supplemented with a facsimile of the Kazan manuscript. One year later, in 2015, Syzdykova's first publication in Russian was reissued. The new edition was supplemented with the Arabic-printed text from Berezin's 1854 publication. In 2017, Syzdykova's work was republished by the Committee for the Development of Languages and Social and Political Work of the Ministry of Culture and Sports of the Republic of Kazakhstan under the State Program for the Development and Functioning of Languages in the Republic of Kazakhstan for 2011–2020. This edition exhibits variations in comparison to the 2014 and 2015 editions due to the presence of a distinct Arabic facsimile source on pages 302 to 414 (Web 1). The author of the book does not provide any information about this new Arabic source, which does not resemble either of the two known manuscripts (St. Petersburg and Kazan). However, pages 397, 399–405 contain a part of the Kazan manuscript. The rest of the text is irrelevant to the subject of the present study. I believe the editors added the facsimile for reference. The manuscript itself has the inventory number B 286. According to Dmitrieva's catalogue (2002: 252), these are the *hikmets* of Ahmed Yasawi. The same can also be read at the beginning of the manuscript: *munājāt-i Ahmed Yasawī* 'Ahmed Yasawi's poem written in the form of a prayer to God'.

In 1997, Mingulov et al. completed a translation of the *Compendium* into Kazakh. It remained the only translation of the whole text until 2022.

In 2005, Alimov defended his dissertation titled *Kadir Ali Bek ve Camiü't-Tevarih'i. Üzerine Dil İncelemesi (İmla – Fonetik – Morfoloji – Karşılaştırmalı Metin – Dizin – Sözlük)* in Istanbul. He later published several articles on the *Compendium* (Alimov 2015; 2016; 2018). In 2022, his latest critical edition of the *Compendium*, including a transcription, a translation of the entire text into Russian, a glossary, and four facsimiles (the Kazan manuscript, the St. Petersburg manuscript, the London manuscript (I), and the London manuscript (II)) was published in Kazan. Alimov used the Kazan manuscript as his main source. For more information on his contribution, see Section 1.5.

The 2019 article by Nagamine, written in Russian, is one of the most recent studies on the *Compendium*. The Japanese scholar provides an overview of the studies of previous years, explains the principle of the Turkic-Mongolian tradition of inheritance, and highlights the phrase *ḡuda anda* in the text, interpreting it as ‘a friend based on marital bonds’. Furthermore, Nagamine announced that he is collaborating with Kawaguchi to prepare a critical edition and translation of the text into English and Japanese.

In 2020, Danka translated a passage from the St. Petersburg *Compendium* into English. He compared the original text to the translation of Syzdykova & Kojgeldiev (1991), provided a syntactic analysis, and proposed a new English translation of the passage.

Wheeler Thackston made a partial Latin transcription of the *Compendium*. He translated Rašīd ad-Dīn’s *Compendium* into English. Since some parts of the work were incomprehensible in Persian, Thackston resorted to the Turkic text and provided the transcribed text in footnotes. He worked with the Berezin’s publication of 1854, referring to pages [98] to [111] of the Arabic script. He emphasized that the text QAB worked with must have been of better quality than the one he worked with because QAB probably understood the Persian text much better (Thackston 1998: 293–301).

In March 2023, the Marjani Institute of History of Tatarstan Academy of Sciences hosted an international conference, with the main topic being the *Compendium*. In the same year, articles were published related to QAB and his *Compendium* in the journal *Golden Horde Review* (vol 11, no. 2).

Overall, Berezin (1851, 1854), Valixanov,<sup>3</sup> Vel’jaminov-Zernov (1864), and Raxim (1927 [2008]; 1930 [2008]) were the earliest researchers of the *Compendium*. To date, Usmanov’s work (1972) and several times republished work by Syzdykova (first publication in 1989) remain full-fledged sources and historiographical studies of the *Compendium*. Among the reviewed works, the most informative are the articles by Alimov (2015, 2016, 2018) and Nagamine (2019). In general, there is a limited amount of specialized literature on the *Compendium*. The circle of researchers is primarily limited to Kazakh and Tatar scholars, who, in their counties’ manuals on history and literature, refer to the *Compendium* as a written monument to their people. The ‘Compendium of Chronicles’ is, so far, the only known historical work reflecting the history of Turkic (Kazakh-Tatar) aristocracy in the 16th–17th centuries. In the historiographical context, the first and final parts of the *Compendium* seem to be the most valuable. To date, there is no consensus on the identity of QAB among scholars. His name no longer appears on other works’ pages, and the question of his origin remains open.

---

<sup>3</sup> There is no information on when exactly Valixanov (1835–1865) wrote his articles. They were published decades later, between 1961 and 1972.



### 1.5 The study of the *Compendium*

The study of the *Compendium* can be divided into three main periods:

- The discovery of the St. Petersburg manuscript;
- The discovery of the Kazan manuscript;
- The discovery of new manuscript fragments.

#### **The discovery of the St. Petersburg manuscript**

The St. Petersburg manuscript was discovered by Ibraxim Xal'fin, a Tatar language lecturer at Kazan University. For this reason, some sources refer to this manuscript as the Xal'fin manuscript. The circumstances of his discovery are not clear. Thanks to Xal'fin, the manuscript was brought to the library of Kazan University, where it was listed under No. 10422 (Berezin 1854: 1). After the closure of the Oriental Faculty of Kazan University in 1854, the manuscript was transferred to St. Petersburg (Raxim 1927 [2008]: 195). It is currently preserved in the Oriental division of St. Petersburg University Library, under no. M<sub>s</sub>O. 59.

St. Petersburg manuscript gained initial recognition in the scientific world through the work of Kazan orientalist Berezin, who published an article on his discovery in 1851.

Inventory No. 3713 is inscribed vertically in purple ink on the right side of the first folio, along with No. 10422. The date 1934 is noted in the lower right corner. The initial folios, which praise Allah and the Prophet Muhammed according to the Muslim tradition, are missing, as well as several folios at the end of the manuscript. Because the manuscript lacked the first pages, including the title page, Berezin – unaware of its original title – conventionally named it *Ĵāmi' at-Tawārīḫ* 'Compendium of Chronicles', as the main part of QAB's work contained a translation of Rašīd ad-Dīn's (1247–1318) *Ĵāmi' at-Tawārīḫ* 'Compendium of Chronicles'. The later discovery of the Kazan manuscript in 1922 helped fill in some of the gaps at the end of the St. Petersburg manuscript.

The St. Petersburg manuscript consists of 157 folios (314 pages) in a 19.5x14.5 cm format (Usmanov 1972: 36), with 11 lines on each page. The folios of the third part of the manuscript, which contains nine original chapters, are bound in the wrong order. The confusion starts with the front page of folio 148 (f.148r). This folio is the concluding one of the entire manuscript and contains the colophon. The back page of folio 148 (f.148v) contains a passage from a completely different work. This folio (f.148) is shorter at the bottom than all other folios. Berezin characterizes the manuscript's writing as an ugly *semi-shikasta* (Berezin 1854: 1). Titles, keywords, and important proper names are written in red, while all other proper names are simply underlined in red. The endnotes in the margins, found on folios 10r, 10v, 11v, 40v,

41r, correspond to Abū'l-Ṭāzī's 'Genealogy of the Turks'. On the extreme left side of the front page of folio 148 (f.148r), there is a vertically positioned, illegible and difficult-to-transcribe expression. Alimov (2016: 42) sees in this line the following *على شير ابى حافظ* ('Ali Šīr Ābi Xāfīz), assuming under it the name of the scribe. The colophon provides the manuscript's completion date, which corresponds to the year 1051 in the Hijri calendar. This is equivalent to 1641–1642 in the Gregorian calendar. However, this date refers to the completion of the St. Petersburg manuscript, not the original autograph. The St. Petersburg manuscript does not mention the autograph.

In 1854, Berezin published the printed Arabic text of the St. Petersburg *Compendium*. It was presented in the form of 171 pages, excluding the texts in the margins. Berezin, in both the article (1851: 544) and the preface to the text edition (1854: 7), used the translation made by Il'minskij. Furthermore, in the preface of the Arabic text edition, Berezin informed the readers that the Russian translation by Il'minskij had been in his hands for about three years. However, he could not begin printing it until the orientalist returned from his eastward journey. In 1972, Usmanov (1972: 33) wrote that the fate of Il'minskij's unpublished translation was unknown, and it remains unknown to this day. High-resolution color photographs of the St. Petersburg manuscript are available digitally in the Free Access Archive of St. Petersburg State University (Web2).

In general, scholars have studied the St. Petersburg manuscript much better and more thoroughly.

### **The discovery of the Kazan manuscript**

The Kazan manuscript of the *Compendium* was discovered by Tatar literary scholar and researcher Ali Raxim in 1922, among the books bequeathed by Kazan mullah Galeev-Barudi to the Central Eastern Library in Kazan. Raxim (1927 [2008]: 197) suggests that the Šakulovs, an aristocratic family of the Qasym Khanate, most likely owned this copy, which was brought from Kasimov city. The manuscript is currently preserved under the number T. 40 in the division of manuscripts and rare books at the Scientific Library of Kazan State University, with the first inventory number being T. 969 (Raxim 1927 [2008]: 197) and the old number T. 5028 (Usmanov 1972: 36). This manuscript, referred to as the Barudi or Galeev-Barudi manuscript, is named after the mullah. It is also called the Kazan manuscript due to its storage location.

High-resolution color photographs are available digitally on the Scientific Library of Kazan University's website (Web3). The electronically provided manuscript is represented by 79 numbered folios; however, it actually consists of 80 written folios. The folio numbered "1" in the "Arabic" numbering system, likely added later, begins with the second available folio,

which confuses the page count slightly. At the start of the provided electronic version, there is one unnumbered, torn end of a folio. Two defective folios appear on the following electronic page. On the right side of the folio is the torn back of the previous folio. The folio on the left side bears the barely legible number “1” and is the front side of the folio adjacent to the one numbered “2”. Thus, there is one additional unnumbered folio at the beginning of the manuscript. Although the manuscript consists of “79” numbered folios, the text of the *Compendium* is only present on the “69” numbered folios. The remaining ten folios, written in the same handwriting, contain another work, which begins with the title *dāftār Dāftār-i Čiŋgiz-nāmā* ‘The book *Defter-i Genghis-name*’<sup>4</sup>. Red ink is used for the titles in the manuscript and some important proper names. Each side of the folio contains 17 lines. Folios 9, 10, 11, 33, 34, 35, and 79 have varying degrees of damage; however, this damage does not interfere with reading. The folios have a slightly irregular order. The folio “10” is followed by folio “12”, and folio “68” is followed by folio “70”. The folio “78” is followed by the very last page of the manuscript (most likely the back side of the folio numbered “79”) and is then followed by an image of the manuscript’s black leather binding. However, this is not the end of the manuscript in the digital version provided on the university website. The binding is followed by a continuation of the missing folio “69”, then “11”, and finally the concluding folio “79”. Folio “79”, followed by the numbered folios “11” and “69”, is repeated at the very end of the electronically provided manuscript.

The manuscript is enclosed in a rough black leather binding sewn from several pieces, with primitive patterns scratched into the leather. According to Raxim (1927 [2008]: 199–200), the book was bound by a self-taught bookbinder without much skill and had been lying in a damp room. The initial and final folios of the book are moldy, making some words on the last page difficult to read. Raxim characterizes the writing as a spoiled *taalik* slightly influenced by *naskh*. The format of the manuscript is 20x15 cm.

The Kazan manuscript lacks the first part (one of the three compositional parts), which consists of the preface and dedication to Boris Godunov. However, this manuscript sheds light on the primary source’s completion date. Furthermore, the Kazan manuscript reveals the author’s identity. The presumed primary source dates back to 1011 in the Hijri calendar (1602 in the Gregorian calendar), specifically the Year of the Hare, at the end of the holy month of Muharram, on a Saturday. A postscript in blue ink appears at the bottom of the same folio in the Kazan manuscript (K: f.69r). The postscript reads *1602–1603 мул* in Cyrillic and differs in handwriting. It was most likely added later as a note for quick orientation to the time period.

---

<sup>4</sup> For a facsimile and transcription of the anonymous *Dāftār-i Čiŋgiz-nāmā*, see Ivanics & Usmanov (2002) and Ivanics (2024).

The colophon of the Kazan manuscript is located ten folios after the date of the original manuscript, at the very end, following the anonymous *Däftär-i Čingiz-nāmä*. The Kazan manuscript is dated to the Year of the Pig, the fifth of Ramazan, on a Monday/Tuesday, 144 (K: f.79v/8–11). Eastern manuscripts frequently omit number 1 in Hijri dates exceeding a thousand years (Raxim 1927 [2008]: 210). Thus, the year 144 corresponds to the year 1144, in the Hijri calendar, which is 1732 in the Gregorian calendar.

In general, there are practically no textual discrepancies in the St. Petersburg and Kazan manuscripts, except for some differences in spelling.

### **The discovery of a fragment from Kyškary village**

Thanks to articles by Ali Raxim, published in 1927 and 1930, a fragment of the *Compendium* became known. Raxim discovered it in Kyškary, a Tatar village in the Novo-Kišitskaja volost' of the Arsk canton, in the library of the village muezzin, Gumer Musin. This fragment was represented by a small chapter with a single folio, describing the subjects, with the enumeration of the clans who participated in the calling of the first Crimean Hajji Giray Khan (1441/1442–1466) to the khanship. Musin stated that this fragment was inscribed in a handwritten compendium containing four different works.

It is not excluded that this fragment is a copy of already available manuscripts. Alimov (2018: 254) suggests that it predates the Kazan manuscript. This fragment is identical to the story from the chapter on *hājji giray χān*, with minor differences. Zajcev (2023) investigated this chapter in detail and concluded that Hajji Giray Khan was closely connected to the Kazan *yurt* by kinship ties and was the legitimate heir to the Kazan throne. Therefore, this dastan reflected the desire of the representatives from the Volga's left-bank lands to call Hajji Giray Khan to the Kazan throne.

### **The discovery of new manuscript fragments**

*Two London manuscripts (London manuscript (I), London manuscript (II))*

Two other fragments of the *Compendium* are preserved at the British Library in London. These fragments became known to the scientific community thanks to Hofman, who annotated translations of RD's Persian 'Compendium of Chronicles'. Hofman (1969: 115) notes only one, the so-called first London manuscript, without mentioning the second. Both London manuscripts are compendiums of several works, including fragments from the *Compendium*. Charles Rieu (1888: 282–283), the compiler of the British Library's catalogue of Turkic manuscripts, attributes a fragment of the *Compendium* only to the first London manuscript, listed under inventory number 11, 726. In the same catalogue, Rieu (1888: 281–282) also

provides information about the second manuscript of interest (London manuscript (II)), which includes another fragment of QAB's translation of RD's treatise.

Although the London manuscripts, mentioned by Rieu (1888: 281–283) in the British Library catalogue and listed by Hofman (1969: 115) in his work were noted, they were not widely known until Alimov's discovery and inclusion in his dissertation, completed in Turkish in 2005. His dissertation was not published. However, information on the London manuscripts became available through his articles (Alimov 2015; 2016; 2018) and recently published book (2022).

The London manuscript (I) consists of 51 folios, in which four different historical essays are interwoven. The text is written in a not very elegant *taalik* (Alimov 2016: 44; 2022: 25), with 10 lines on each page. The first work is a fragment of the second part of the Turkic *Compendium*, translated from Persian. This fragment includes an introduction to the origin of the Turks and the history of Oghuz Khan. The manuscript does not provide any information about its author or the scribe. However, in the annotation to this work, Rieu (1888: 282) refers to it as a translation of an extract from RD's 'Compendium of Chronicles' made by QAB. The second work contains short extracts from Abū'l-Ṭāzī's 'Genealogy of the Turks', which almost completely coincide with the text found in the margins of the St. Petersburg *Compendium*. The third work is a fragment of *Ĵehān Numā* of Hajji Khalifah. And the fourth work is a poem about the ascension of Muhammed (Rieu 1888: 282–283). The transcription and facsimile of folios 1–29 from the London manuscript (I), where a fragment of the *Compendium* and a fragment of Abū'l-Ṭāzī's 'Genealogy of the Turks', corresponding to the margins of folios 10r, 10v, and 11v of the St. Petersburg manuscript, can be found in Alimov's article (2015).

The British Library also preserved the London manuscript (II), listed under the inventory number 11, 725. The manuscript contains 134 folios, with three historical essays, bound at 9 lines per page. The first 70 folios contain the legendary history of Genghis Khan, a part of the *Dāftār-i Čingiz-nāmā* (fragments of the same work are found on the last ten pages of the Kazan manuscript). Folios 70–75 contain extracts from Abū'l-Ṭāzī's 'Genealogy of the Turks'. And the remaining folios present a fragment from the *Compendium* (Rieu 1888: 281–282). The London manuscript (II) provides information on Genghis Khan's ancestors up to the eighth generation, the history of his birth and early life, the history of his life year by year from age 41 to his death, and details about his sons, daughters, and wives, as well as his exhortation to his sons. Chapter titles and proper names are written in red ink.

Rieu (1888) and Hofman (1969), pioneers in studying the London manuscript, as well as Nagamine (2019), always mention only the first London manuscript. This may be because Rieu, the compiler of the British Museum catalogue, managed to establish authorship for only one of

the manuscripts. Both London manuscripts constitute only the second/translated part of the *Compendium*. Alimov (2018: 255) suggests that the texts of the first and second London manuscripts may have been parts of the same manuscript, since they are very similar in handwriting, orthography, and language examples.

The presence of marginal endnotes from the St. Petersburg manuscript, inserted in both London manuscripts, suggests a high probability that the fragments of the *Compendium* in the London manuscripts were copied from the St. Petersburg manuscript. Neither of them contains the date or the scribe's name. It is also unclear where they came to be in the London library. However, it is known that during the 18th century, Protestantism actively penetrated Astrakhan, with the Edinburgh Missionary Society playing an important role. Representatives of the Edinburgh Missionary Society were also involved in Astrakhan's translation activities, ordering censuses of Persian manuscripts from Kazan mullahs. The texts for their works can be found in the National Library of France and the Bavarian State Library in Munich. In 1844, Askakov reflected on the possible political motives behind the British state in spreading its influence on Asia from two sides through missionaries (Zajcev 2007: 151–159). The missionaries demonstrated great interest in the manuscripts. John Dickson and Melville collected many Turkic manuscripts in 1819–1825 in Astrakhan. These manuscripts currently form the basis of the Turkic manuscript collection at the New College Library in Edinburgh (Zajcev 2007: 157; Zajcev 2009: 209). It is possible that two London manuscripts found their way into the British Library via Edinburgh missionary activity (Togabayeva 2022).

#### *Report by Ryčkov*

In the journal *Ežemesjačnye sočinenija* for 1759, Russian historian and regional ethnographer Ryčkov reported having a Russian translation of a Tatar essay dedicated to Boris Godunov (Usmanov 1972: 63). Although it is not certain whether this is a translation of the *Compendium*, I cannot fail to mention it.

#### *Unconfirmed manuscripts (Berlin manuscript; Paris manuscript)*

Two more manuscripts were registered with the authorship of QAB. Hofman (1969: 114–115) recorded the existence of the Berlin manuscript. However, he left the manuscript without output data. Subsequent researchers, due to the absence of the manuscript number, found it difficult to confirm its existence. It is quite likely that Hofman wrote about the manuscript, which is indeed currently stored in the Berlin State Library in the Oriental Department under the title *Historia Dschingischani* and number 137 (Web4). The text uses diacritic marks. There is an entry in Latin at the beginning of the manuscript on the back page of the very first folio. This folio is

unnumbered; the numbering begins with the next one. The *Historia Dschingischani* consists of only 23 pencil-numbered folios, written in black ink.

The National Library of France holds a manuscript under inventory number 758 (Hofman 1969: 115). Edgar Blochet, the compiler of the catalogue of Oriental manuscripts at the National Library of France, attributes this manuscript to QAB. The manuscript is titled ترجمه ترکی جامع رشیدی (Blochet 1933: 57–58) *tärjämä-i türkī jāma‘-i rašīdī* ‘Taken from the Turkic compendium of Rašīd [ad-Dīn]’. Hofman (1969: 114–115) also considers QAB to be the author of this manuscript. However, DeWeese (1994: 382 n.123) and Frank (1998: 13 n.5), who briefly discussed the Paris manuscript, considered it in need of further study. Nagamine (2019: 118) doubts QAB’s involvement in the writing of the Paris manuscript, while Alimov excludes QAB from the authorship. According to him (2016: 47; 2018: 256; 2022: 20), the Paris manuscript belongs to *Salar-baba Qul-Ali Haridari*. It is also a translation of RD’s ‘Compendium of Chronicles’, which is stored at the National Institute of Manuscripts of the Academy of Sciences of Turkmenistan under inventory number 5263.

### 1.6 Text

The *Compendium* can be divided into three parts: the introduction and dedication (a panegyric) to Boris Godunov, an abridged Turkic translation of the Persian *Ĵāmi‘ at-Tawārīḫ* ‘Compendium of Chronicles’, and a self-contained part.

#### *The introduction and dedication (a panegyric) to Boris Godunov*

The introduction and dedication (a panegyric) to Boris Godunov (1598–1605) are presented only in the St. Petersburg manuscript (StP: f.1r–6r). The Kazan manuscript does not include these folios, as the initial pages are torn.

#### *An abridged Turkic translation of the Ĵāmi‘ at-Tawārīḫ*

An abridged Turkic translation of the Persian chronicle of the same title, *Ĵāmi‘ at-Tawārīḫ* ‘Compendium of Chronicles’, written by RD (1247–1318), concentrates on the genealogy of Oghuz Khan, Genghis Khan’s ancestors, Genghis Khan himself, and his descendants. The volume of this translated part exceeds the total volume of the first and final parts (StP: f.6r–142r/4; K: f.v/1–59v/17). Bartol’d (1966: 193) believed that the translation of the relevant part of RD’s ‘Compendium of Chronicles’ was made especially for Boris Godunov.

It is the second part of the *Compendium* and serves as a retelling or summary of the Persian chronicle of the same title by RD. The St. Petersburg manuscript and the RD’s ‘Compendium of Chronicles’, translated into Russian (Arends 1946; Xetagurov 1952; Smirnova 1952; Verxovskij 1960) and English (Thackston 1998), were used in this observation.

The translated part of the *Compendium* from **Volume I, Part 1**, is limited to a preface and a section on Oghuz Khan, the twenty-four branches of his sons and their descendants, as well as some of his brothers and cousins who joined him. When enumerating the names of the tribes, QAB kept the enumeration but changed the order of the tribes. Furthermore, QAB omits the names of the descendants of Oghuz Khan's six sons, their *tamgas*, *onquns*, as defined by Igit Irqil Khwaja.

The narrative of Genghis Khan's ancestors, beginning with Dobun Bayan and Alan Qo'a, a brief chronology of Genghis Khan's life by the years, an illustration of the commanding divisions of a thousand and companies of a hundred in his army, and Genghis Khan's exhortation were rewritten from **Volume I, Part 2**. QAB begins story of Dobun Bayan and his wife, Alan Go'a, in the form of a retelling or summary. QAB often writes down only the beginning of the narratives, frequently omits translations of certain words, adds his own information, and provides conclusions, offering a brief review of the above-written. The translation into Turkic comes from different parts of RD's 'Compendium of Chronicles'. Since RD includes brief descriptions in addition to the full story, QAB typically translates the condensed version when available. As a result, he frequently inserts his own introductions before the next part to ensure a smooth transition.

One of the most significant differences between the Persian and Turkic texts is the eye color of the descendants of Yesügei Bahadur. RD describes them as blue-eyed in the translation of Smirnova (1952: 48) and grey-eyed in the translation of Thackston (1998: 133), while QAB describes them as black-eyed (StP: f.36r/5–6). The second part of the *Compendium* is almost completely translated, with some omissions, changes in names and numbers, and minor inconsistencies. For example, RD states that the Jalayirs fled with seventy *kurens*<sup>5</sup> (RD/Smirnova 1952: 18; RD/Thackston 1998: 119), while QAB states that the Jalayirs fled with one *kuren* (StP: f.29v/9). In the Persian 'Compendium of Chronicles', Dutum Menen is located in the area of [A]noš Ergi and Küh-i Siyāh<sup>6</sup> (RD/Smirnova 1952: 18; RD/Thackston 1998: 119), whereas QAB places him in the area of *qara tay* (StP: f.29r/3). Another example is the number of tents belonging to each of the nine sons of Tumina Khan. RD (RD/Smirnova 1952: 29) states they had up to thirty thousand tents, while QAB (StP: f.33r/5–6) mentions between twenty and thirty thousand tents. However, Thackston's (RD/Thackston 1998: 124) English translation provides the same number: "[...] twenty to thirty thousand [...]". Numbers often vary, and names are given in different ways. For example, when describing the children

---

<sup>5</sup> A *kuren* refers to a tribe, detachment, corps, or regiment consisting of a thousand nomadic tents (Budagov 1871: 124).

<sup>6</sup> *Küh-i Siyāh* is the Persian equivalent of the Turkic *qara tay* 'black mountain'.



of Yesügei Bahadur, QAB writes about two dogs that could go under Jochi Qasar (StP: f.38r/1–2), while RD mentions only one dog (RD/Smirnova 1952: 51; RD/Thackston 1998: 135). In the section on commanders of divisions of a thousand and companies of a hundred in Genghis Khan's army, when mentioning Sagan, the fifth son of Genghis Khan, QAB describes how Genghis Khan met and adopted him (StP: f.65r), while RD does not have this story in the section on the commanders. However, it is still quite possible that this story is mentioned in other parts of the RD's 'Compendium of Chronicles'.

The lineages of Ögedei qa'an, Jochi Khan, Chaghatai Khan, Tolui Khan, Güyük Khan, Möngke qa'an, Qubilai qa'an, and Temür qa'an are translated by QAB from **Volume II**, while the lineages of Hulagu Khan, Abaqa Khan, Tegüder Khan, Arghun Khan, Gaikhatu Khan, and Ghazan Khan are translated from **Volume III**.

The narrative about Ögedei qa'an is as concise as possible and is written more poorly than all the other narratives. The sequence of events differs greatly from RD's story, with some data confused (such as the enumeration of children), and there are omissions of certain descendants. QAB provided only the lineage, without additional information on a specific part. The story of Jochi is the most detailed. QAB actively adds additional information from himself. The order in which his sons are listed, as well as their names, differs in the story of Chaghatai. The narrative of Tolui Khan is accompanied by a great confusion in the names. It is possible that this chapter was rewritten by QAB from another source, since there are a large number of personal names that are absent in the RD's 'Compendium of Chronicles'. In the story about Möngke qa'an, there is a difference in translation. QAB (StP: f.124v/4–5) matches Möngke qa'an's daughter named Bayalun to the son of Cha'uqurchin, while RAD (RD/Verxovskij 1960: 127) records that Möngke qa'an gave her to Cha'uqurchin himself. Thackston (1998: 399), however, translates this part the same as QAB. The story of Hulagu Khan is the most substantial of all the stories in **Volume III**. It includes an almost complete translation of Hulagu Khan's genealogy. The translated part of the *Compendium* ends with the story of Ghazan, which is the same as in RD. However, the story of Ghazan's conversion to Islam is given in a free translation.

#### *A self-contained part*

The last part of the *Compendium* is self-contained. It consists of nine chapters that provide information on rulers ranging from Urus Khan to his descendant Uraz-Muhammed Khan. The folios of the third part are in the wrong order, starting with folio 148. These last nine chapters are based on the oral steppe historical tradition (Ivanics 2017: 43). Some of these data cover information about the Crimean Khanate, which is unknown in modern Crimea (Zaatov 2015: 238). Furthermore, the chapter on *hājji giray χān* seems to reflect the desire of representatives

from the Volga's left-bank lands to call *ḥājjī giray χān* to the Kazan throne (Zajcev 2023). The order and the titles of the chapters are as follows (StP: f.142r/5–148r; K: f.60r/1–69r/17):

1. *urus χān*. The full text is presented in the Kazan manuscript (K: f.60r/1–61r/5) and fragments in the St. Petersburg manuscript (StP: f.142r/5–142v/11);
2. *toḳtamış χān*. The full text is presented in the Kazan manuscript (K: f.61r/5–61v/12). In the St. Petersburg manuscript, the beginning, end, and title of the chapter are absent; the text is adjacent to the chapter of *Bulyayır χān* (StP: f.145r/1–146r/5);
3. *temir ḳutlu χān*. The text appears in full in both manuscripts (K: f.61v/13–62r/8; StP: f.146r/6–147r/1);
4. *ḥājjī giray χān*. The full text is contained in both manuscripts (K: f.62r/9–62v/6; StP: f.147r/2–147v/11) and in the fragment from Kyškary village;
5. *idige biy*. The full text is presented in the Kazan manuscript (K: f.62v/7–64r/10). In the St. Petersburg manuscript, the beginning, end, and title of the chapter are absent (StP: f.149r/1–143r/9);
6. *ḥājjī muḥammäd χān*. The text appears in full in both manuscripts (K: f.64r/11–64v/10; StP: f.143r/10–144r/9);
7. *bulyayır χān*. The full text is presented in the Kazan manuscript (K: f.64v/11–65r/6). In the St. Petersburg manuscript, the end is missing (f.144r/10–144v/11);
8. *yādigār χān*. The text is presented in full in the Kazan manuscript (K: f.65r/7–65v/5). In the St. Petersburg manuscript, there is only the last line (StP: f.152r/1);
9. *uraz muḥammäd χān*. The text is shown in full in both manuscripts (K: f.65v/6–69r/17; StP: f.152r/2–148r/11).

## **2 The linguistic analysis of finite verb forms**

### **2.1 Finite verbal categories**

In Turkic grammar, finite verbal predicates serve as heads of main clauses. They encompass characteristics such as person, number, viewpoint aspect, modality, and tense. On the other hand, non-finite verbal predicates in Turkic grammar function as the heads of non-finite clauses. They include action nominals, participant nominals, and converbs. While primarily found in non-finite clauses, they can occasionally appear within main clauses as well (Johanson 2021a: 618).

This section will deal with finite verbal categories. Finite verb predicates are analyzed in the theoretical framework based on the works of Johanson (1971, 1999, 2000a, 2000b, 2021a, 2021b, 2022a), Schönig (1997), Rentzsch (2005, 2015), Nevskaya (2005, 2010), Karakoç (2005), Ragagnin (2011), Abish (2016), Danko (2019a) in Turkic languages.

This research will discuss verb stems as a verbal predicate in main clauses after Johanson (2021a: 619) on markers in the main following thematic bases:

- intraterminal <INTRA>
- postterminal <POST>
- terminal <TERM>
- imperative <IMP>
- voluntative <VOL>
- optative <OPT>.

Markers of thematic bases in the *Compendium* follow the negation and precede the person in the chain of verbal morphology, as in other Turkic languages.

#### **2.1.1 Agreement markers**

Finite verbal agreement markers in the *Compendium* consist of two types:

- The pronominal type <AGR.PRON>
- The possessive type <AGR.POSS>.

##### **2.1.1.1 The pronominal type**

The pronominal agreement markers were originally pronominal in nature, but they no longer maintain that function. They are now considered enclitics and usually cannot be accented in

modern languages. Most thematic bases commonly use the pronominal agreement markers in conjunction (Johanson 2021a: 619). In the *Compendium*, the pronominal type markers are used after the aorist {-<sup>o</sup>r} and after the optative marker {-GAy}. See Table 1.1 and examples (1)–(11).

The pronominal type of agreement marker is consistently used throughout the entire paradigm with the aorist. Following the {-GAy} marker, the pronominal agreement markers are attested in the forms of the first person plural (7), the third person singular (5), and the third person plural (11).

Table 1.1. The pronominal type markers

	<b>Singular</b>	<b>Plural</b>
1 <sup>st</sup> person	{-m <sup>o</sup> n}	{-m <sup>o</sup> z}
2 <sup>nd</sup> person	{-s <sup>o</sup> n}	{-s <sup>o</sup> z}
3 <sup>rd</sup> person	{-}	{-lAr}

### First person singular marker

- (1) *ol aydi*  
*män köräl viläyätiya (sic!) barurm•n tedi* (f.143v/7–8)

‘He answered,  
 “I **am going** to the province of *Körel*.”’<sup>7</sup>

- (2) *ägär xudāyya tabunsañ*  
*ol xudāyya muhibb bolsañ*  
*säniñ sütiñni emärm•n* (f.17r/11–17v/1)

‘If you obey the Almighty Lord,  
 [and] treat the Almighty Lord with love (lit. become an affectionate friend to the Almighty Lord),  
 I **will suckle** your milk.’

### Second person singular marker

- (3) *ķaydin kelib*  
*ķayda barurs<sup>o</sup>n*  
*tedi* (f.143v/7)

“‘Where are you coming from  
 and where **are you going?**”  
 [Edige Beg] said.’

### Third person singular marker

<sup>7</sup> Kingdom of Poland. For more detailed information about *Körel*, see Kołodziejczyk (2011: 57).

(4) *taxtiñ säniñ tayya oğšar* (f.1v/10)

‘Your throne **is like** a mountain.’

(5) *χudāy ta ‘ālā yār yüzündä pādišāhlik saña bürgäy* (f.56v/1–2)

‘**May** the Almighty Lord – may He be exalted – **give** you sovereignty on Earth.’

### First person plural marker

(6) *aniñ uruyin soñ ayturm•z* (f.122r/7)

‘**We are going to talk** about his clan later.’

(7) *aniñ oylanlarin soñ ayyaym•z* (f.122v/2–3)

‘**We will say (Let us talk)** [about] his sons later.’

### Second person plural marker

In the *Compendium*, only two instances of the second person plural form were found; see examples (8)–(9). However, these two instances convey different connotations. Example (8) represents a non-polite plural, while example (9) denotes the polite singular. Eckmann (1966: 112) also indicated that in Chaghatay, both polite and non-polite uses of the second person plural form coexisted.

(8) *mäniñ oylanlarim[niñ] ať jabib oynar yärlärin näcün çukur çukur kazarš•z* (f.30r/4–5)

‘**Why are you [PL] digging** holes in places where my son’s horses gallop and caracole?’

(9) *bu ma ‘nādīn ḥazrät ögätäy χānya ‘arza kilyum turur tā buyururs•z* (f.83v/10–11)

‘That’s why I need to/have the intention to submit a request to His Majesty, Ögedei Khan,

[and ask him:] “**What will thou [SG] command?**”’

### Third person plural marker

(10) *ma ‘nā-yi tämügä atī turur otjigin ma ‘nada moyolnīñ räsmi ol turur kim kičigin otčigin derlär* (f.39v/8–10)

‘The meaning of Temüge is his name, [and] the meaning of *otčigin* is that according to Mongol customs, **they call** the youngest [of sons] *otčigin*.’

(11) *aniñ soñyudan oñ χān bilän oylī sāngun bir bolub läškär tartīb nā-gāh jingiz χanniñ üstinä baryaylar* (f.53v/6–7)

‘Then, Ong Khan, together with his son Sengun, went on a campaign **in order to attack** Genghis Khan unexpectedly.’

### 2.1.1.2 The possessive type

The possessive agreement markers are commonly believed to originate from possessive forms, which are employed not only with the terminal base but also, starting from the Karakhanid period, with the hypothetical base. Following from the Old Uyghur period, the old first person plural marker {-mIz} is typically replaced by {-K}. However, in Chaghatay, both markers {-mIz} and {-K} coexist (Johanson 2021a: 620). In the *Compendium*, both {-m°z} and {-K} markers are also present simultaneously; see Table 1.2 and examples (19)–(20) and (21)–(23), respectively. Notably, {-K} markers are utilized more frequently after the terminal base compared to the {-m°z} marker. The {-K} marker is observed seven times, while the {-m°z} marker appears four times after the past terminal {-DI}.

The complete paradigm of possessive type markers is observed after the terminal base marker {-DI}. Additionally, the same possessive markers that form a complete paradigm are used after the hypothetical base, with only a minor distinction. The {-K} marker serves as the possessive agreement marker for the first person plural within the hypothetical base. Most instances involving the hypothetical base appear in non-finite forms. This section does not provide examples of non-finite verbs since they fall outside the scope of the current research.

Table 1.2. The possessive type markers

	Singular	Plural
1 <sup>st</sup> person	{-m}	{-m°z} / {-K}
2 <sup>nd</sup> person	{-ŋ}	{-ŋ°z}
3 <sup>rd</sup> person	{-}	{-lAr}

#### First person singular marker

- (12) *sāniŋ sözüŋni kabūl kildim*  
wä *īmān keltürdüm* (f.19v/2)

‘I **accepted** your word,  
and I **followed your communion.**’

- (13) *bu uluylarni sizlārgä bārdim* (f.82r/8)  
‘I **gave** you these great [*amirs*].’

#### Second person singular marker

- (14) *sāniŋ atīŋ bu ma nādīn jīngizī erdi*  
*ya nī pādīšāhlar pādīšāhī bolduŋ* (f.56v/5–6)

‘Your name was given as Genghis for this reason,  
and it is precisely why **you became** the padishah of padishahs.’

- (15) *muqali göyäh sordï*  
*näčük kulluyum<sup>o</sup>znï jïngiz xānya tegürdüy*  
*māniñ sözümnï ‘arza kıldıñ*  
*nä aydï (f.99v/11–100r/2)*

‘Muqali Göyen<sup>8</sup> asked:

‘How **did you deliver** our obedience to Genghis Khan  
[when you] **reported** my words?  
What did he say?’”

### Third person singular without marker

- (16) *täñri āzaldın saña böylä bürdi* (f.4v/8)  
‘Tengri **gave** you this from eternity.’
- (17) *ol toqtayya näčä yıllar pādišāhliq kıldı idil boyunda* (f.142v/9–10)  
‘That Toqta **reigned** for such a long time along the Volga.’
- (18) *anıñ nāslidin hič kim kalmadı* (f.142v/11)  
‘**None** of his descendant **remained**.’

### First person plural marker

- (19) *biz aña inkār kıldım<sup>o</sup>z*  
*anıñ sözinä kirmädım<sup>o</sup>z* (f.20r/11–20v/1)  
‘We **retracted** from that;  
we **didn’t accept** (lit. didn’t go enter) his word.’
- (20) *ol aydï kim*  
*biz anlarnï näjük kördüm<sup>o</sup>z*  
*anlar häm bizni anıñdak kördülär* (f.94r/3–4)  
‘He said:  
“The same as **we saw** them  
they also saw us.”’
- (21) *joji xānniñ on tört oyliniñ atlarini bitidük* (f.105r/10–11)  
‘We **wrote** the names of Jochi Khan’s four sons.’
- (22) *janibek xānniñ oylanlarin bu zamān taķi yād kılduq* (f.152r/10–11)  
‘This time, we also **remembered** the sons of Janibek Khan.’

---

<sup>8</sup> Göyen is rendered as *gui ong* in the Mongolian transcription and is a well-known Chinese exalted title meaning *kuo-wang* or Prince of State (Rachewiltz 2004: 761).

- (23) *biz aňya aňlanduk* (f.94v/2)  
 ‘We **set out** for the hunting.’

### Second person plural marker

- (24) *ķaysi ata aňaňizya keňäš ķilib  
 munuň dek ķan ķuydiňiz* (f.30v/6–7)  
 ‘With which relatives did you consult  
 that **you shed** so much blood?’

### Third person plural marker

- (25) *ol uruřda idigä begni öltürdilər* (f.145v/5)  
 ‘[Qadir Berdi Khan with the Crimean army] **killed** Edige Beg in that battle.’
- (26) *uraz muħammäd ķān bin ondan sulťānni ķānliķya olturyzdılar* (f.154v/10–11)  
 ‘**They enthroned** Uraz-Muhammed Khan, the son of Ondan Sultan.’
- (27) *ķān ħazrātläriniň üstinä niřārlar ķıldılar* (StP: f.155v/8)  
*ķān ħazrātläriniň üstinä niřār ķıldılar* (K: f.68r/7)  
 ‘They **scattered small coins** on His Highness, the Khan.’

The *Compendium* illustrates an interesting issue concerning the agreement marker after the postterminal base {-mİř}. This marker is supposed to be of the pronominal type (Eckmann 1966: 167; Bodrogligeti 2001: 214–215). Only markers for the first person singular, third person singular, and third person plural have been attested in the *Compendium*, as illustrated in Table 1.3, where the first person singular is represented by the possessive type of agreement marker. The marker of the third person does not provide any distinction since both pronominal and possessive types share identical markers in the third person. Moreover, it is worth noting that there is only one instance of the marker {-°m} after the postterminal base {-mİř}. Johanson (2021a: 622) claims that {-(A)m}, used for the first person singular in Chaghatay, occurs instead of {-mAn} under the influence of the similar Persian first person singular marker {-am}. However, due to the scarcity of examples, it is not possible to determine the complete paradigm in the *Compendium*.

Table 1.3. The markers after postterminal marker {-mİř}

	Singular	Plural
1 <sup>st</sup> person	{-°m}	
2 <sup>nd</sup> person		
3 <sup>rd</sup> person	{-}	{-lAr}



### First person singular marker

- (28) *mān bu kāmāt sāḫāvāt pādīšāh hič körmāmiš•m* (f.5r/10–11)  
'I **haven't seen** [such] a stately generous sovereign.'

### Third person singular marker

- (29) *yār yüzindä aḡa mādād-i dāvlāt paydā bolmiš* (f.90v/10)  
'Prosperity **has appeared** on the earth surface to help him.'

### Third person plural marker

- (30) *anday aymışlar* (f.144r/2)  
'**They have been said** the following...'

Overall, it can be concluded that the *Compendium* extensively elaborates on base systems using both pronominal and possessive agreement types. Pronominal agreement markers are applied to intraterminal, prospective, and optative bases, and more probably to postterminal, while possessive agreement markers are used with terminal and hypothetical bases.

### 2.1.2 Viewpoint Aspect

This section will deal with viewpoint aspect categories (intraterminals, postterminals, and terminals) and their focalities.

#### Aspect categories

Turkic verbs exhibit viewpoint aspect categories that have become grammaticalized from actional phrases. This process conveys that these categories no longer describe the actional content of the verbs instead convey different perspectives on events (Johanson 2021a: 624). In the *Compendium*, the aspect categories are classified as a) intraterminality; b) postterminality; c) terminality. Additionally, focality plays a significant role within the aspectual domain. The degree of focality, exhibited by intraterminals and postterminals, depends on the extent of vision. Focality implies the state of being located around a focus and showing lower or higher degrees of inner notion of a verb, which demonstrates the narrowness of the speaker's viewpoint on the event. Focality may have focal (F) and non-focal (NF) values. Focals (F) can be high (HF) and low (LF) (Johanson 2000a: 38–39; 2021a: 625–626).

Specific postverbal constructions in Turkic languages have undergone further grammaticalization, resulting in the emergence of viewpoint aspect operators formed with auxiliary verbs, such as 'to be', 'to stand', 'to move', 'to sit', and 'to lie'. Initially, the new

aspectual item was difficult to distinguish from the original postverbal construction (Johanson 2000a: 95–97; 2021a: 626). In the *Compendium*, the copular particles *tur-ur/er-ür/dür* and *erdi* are used in the creation of viewpoint aspect operators by the auxiliaries, such as the postural verb *tur-* ‘to stand’ and copula verb *er-* ‘to be’.

### 2.1.2.1 Intraterminals

The intraterminal viewpoint operators in the *Compendium* are based on the Turkic aorist  $\{-({}^{\circ})r\}$  and its negation. The aorist, which is the oldest known intraterminal marker in Turkic, carries a misleading label as the term  $\langle\acute{\alpha}\acute{\omicron}\rho\iota\sigma\tau\omicron\varsigma\rangle$  meaning ‘indefinite’ is used to denote past tense in Indo-European languages. In Chaghatay, the aorist has a very wide range of interpretation, from its general and habitual usage to modal usage, expressing inclination and prospectivity. Moreover, it can still function with high focality (Johanson 2021a: 627–628). Therefore, I will label the intraterminals expressed by the aorist as  $\langle AOR \rangle$ , whereas the other intraterminals will be labeled as  $\langle INTRA \rangle$  after Johanson.

Intraterminal elements, such as presents and imperfects, are used to envision an event within its boundaries, that is, after its start but before its conclusion. Some of these elements are more focal and similar to English progressive, while others are less focal and similar to English simple present (Johanson 2000a: 76–77; 2021a: 625–626; Csató et al. 2019: 5).

The intraterminals in the *Compendium* can be viewed from different perspectives in relation to the event. Consequently, they can be divided into two groups:

- Intraterminals in the non-past ( $-PAST$ )( $+INTRA$ );
- Intraterminals in the past ( $+PAST$ )( $+INTRA$ ).

#### **Intraterminals in the non-past ( $-PAST$ )( $+INTRA$ )**

The intraterminals in the non-past describe the event’s internal perspective in the present. In the *Compendium*, the non-past is expressed by the aorist.

The aorist describes an action or a state that is not bound to a specific time or to a concrete location. This allows the speaker or writer to use the aorist in a wide variety of ways. The aorist is formed with  $\{-({}^{\circ})r\}$ , and negation is indicated with  $\{-mA-s\}$  (Eckmann 1966: 162; Bodrogligeti 2001: 203).

In Old Turkic, there was only one present tense form, which encompassed the present in both a general and a current sense (Gabain 1959: 36). In later stages, this form lost its function as the marker of current present, leading to the emergence of new forms to fulfil that role (Johanson 1976: 57–74; 2021a: 638–650). In later Turkic languages, the aorist  $\{-({}^{\circ})r\}$

underwent different functional developments. Menges (1959: 474) observed that the aorist {-<sup>o</sup>r} generally conveyed a very vague meaning that was not specifically linked to any particular tense. In languages with distinct morphological markers for the future tense, the aorist could occasionally be interpreted or translated as a future tense form. However, Menges argued that due to this reason, the aorist cannot be categorically labeled as future tense. Johanson (2021a: 628) further elaborated on this matter, highlighting that in the context of Chaghatay and Ottoman, the aorist exhibits a wide range of interpretations. These interpretations encompass general and habitual actions, as well as modal usages conveying inclinations and prospectivities, all of which are evident in the *Compendium*.

The intraterminals in the non-past, expressed by the aorist {-<sup>o</sup>r} type, represent a strongly non-focal category in the *Compendium*. Therefore, it possesses a wide variety of meanings. Moreover, it is sometimes not easy to distinguish its function between intraterminality and modality; see example (31).

(31) *aniñ hikāyätläri öz dāstānida här yärdä **kelür*** (f.146r/7–8)

(a) ‘His stories **come** (up) in every place in his own dastan.’

(b) ‘His stories **might come** (up) in every place in his own dastan.’

*kel[ür]*

come[AOR]

Non-focal intraterminals in the non-past can express more general or universally occurring items. They can be translated, among others, using the English ‘Present simple’; see examples (32)–(34), though not necessarily. For example, *kelür* in (31b) can also be presented with modal concepts (Johanson 2021a: 628). Example (31) is ambiguous, as the aorist marker {-<sup>o</sup>r} can represent both aspect and prospectivity. According to Abish (2016: 59), the aorist marker {-<sup>o</sup>r} indicates prospectivity with a meaning of epistemic possibility. Moreover, instances can convey both general information (32)–(33) as well as habitual information (34).

(32) *andin üç börtä **čīkar*** (f.143v/1–2)

‘Three beams<sup>9</sup> **set out** from it (river).’

*čīq[ar]*

set out[AOR]

(33) *ol batunī šayin χān deb **ayturlar*** (f.142r/10)

‘They **call** that Batu Sayin Khan.’

*ayt[ur][lar]*

call[AOR][3PL]

<sup>9</sup> The beam (geographical) refers to a dry valley with soddy slopes that form dry stream beds.

- (34) *beglär wä mirzalar dārgāhīnda kündä tabuyunğa **kelürlär*** (f.4v/2–3)  
 ‘Begg and mirzas **come** to your door for service every day.’  
*kel[ür][lär]*  
 come[AOR][3PL]

In the *Compendium*, the negative {-mA-s} marker was attested mainly in the third person singular.

- (35) *näcün bularni säwmäs*  
*soñyini säwār mäniñ oylum* (f.20r/5–6)  
 ‘Why **does/would** my son **not love** these [wives],  
 [but] love the last one?’  
*säw[mäs]*  
 love[NEG.AOR]

In addition to the negative {-mA-s} marker, two sentences were presented in the form of aorist negation {-mA-s}, along with the person-number agreement suffix provided by the copular *dUr* and *tur-ur*.

- (36) *rüm bilän xitayya **tegmäs dür** anih bahasi* (f.4r/5)  
 ‘[However,] its value **isn’t matched** to Rum and China.’  
*teg[mäs][dür]*  
 reach[NEG.AOR][COP]

- (37) *barja mäšäyix wä ä’immä <bilän> tã’at wä ‘ibādätka mäšyül boldi*  
*wä bär-kāfī-yi ‘uqalā örtüklü **ermäs turur*** (f.141v/9–142r/1)  
 ‘According to all the intelligents, it **is not** a secret that  
 he (Ghazan Khan), along with all sheikhs and imams, have occupied themselves with  
 piety and prayer.’  
*er[mäs tur][ur]*  
 be[NEG.AOR COP *tur-*][AOR]

Johanson (2021a: 628) claims that in older languages, such as Chaghatay, the aorist can sometimes retain cases of focality. In the *Compendium*, the aorist {-<sup>o</sup>r} also continues to cover a high-focal degree of the intraterminal category, although in smaller numbers.

- (38) *qaydin kelib*  
*qayda **barurs<sup>o</sup>n***  
*tedi*  
*ol aydi*  
*män köräl viläyätiya (sic!) **barurm<sup>o</sup>n** tedi* (f.143v/7–8)  
 ‘Where are you coming from

and where **are you going?**”

[Edige Beg] asked.

He answered,

“I **am going** to the province of *Körel*.”

*bar[ur][s<sup>°</sup>n]*

go[AOR][2SG]

*bar[ur][m<sup>°</sup>n]*

go[AOR][1SG]

Many Turkic languages have incorporated intraterminal structures mainly through the grammaticalization of various types of postverbal constructions, such as {-A} *er-ür*, {-A} *tur-ur*, {-A} *yürü-r*. Both Chaghatay and Middle Kipchak provide evidence of reduced forms in the shape of <A> *DIr* / <A> *DUR*, where the *dur* element can be omitted in the first and second person singular forms of intraterminals (Johanson 2021a: 638–644; Bodrogligeti 2001: 239). This reduction is also demonstrated in the ‘pagan’ Oğuz-namä text (Danka 2019a: 240), where a personal marker is used when the subject of the sentence is not in the third person. Although this type of intraterminal is not common in the *Compendium*, an instance with {-ma-y} *s<sup>°</sup>n* is attested in example (39). In the context of negation, the {-ma-y} form is the counterpart of both types <A> and <B>. This dual correspondence creates ambiguity when considered out of context. However, the broader context of the example (39) supports an intraterminal rather than a postterminal interpretation. Alimov (2022: 130) also translates this construction as intraterminal. The Russian and English translations of the Persian *Compendium* also reflect intraterminality. Smirnova (1952: 68) translates it as “Почему ты не возьмешь ее [себе]?”, while Thackston (1998: 146) renders it as “Why don’t you take her for yourself?”.

(39) *begläri qaračulari aydi*

*näčün **almays<sup>°</sup>n** teb aydi* (f.42r/8–9)

‘Begs and *qaračus* asked,

“Why **are you not marrying** [her] (lit. why **are you not taking** [her as a wife])?”

*al[ma][y][s<sup>°</sup>n]*

take[NEG][CONV.INTRA][2SG]

In conclusion, two forms of the intraterminals in the non-past were attested. The first form is expressed by the aorist marker {-(<sup>°</sup>)r}, which encompasses a wide range of meanings. The second form is expressed by the reduced form of {-A} *turur*, with only one instance observed. As there are only two markers of intraterminals in the non-past, the focal oppositions within intraterminals are limited to non-focal and focal distinctions.

### **Intraterminals in the past (+PAST)(+INTRA)**

Intraterminals in the past are commonly represented in the *Compendium*. As previously stated, intraterminal items may represent different events. In the *Compendium*, (+PAST)(+INTRA) corresponds to forms with (-PAST)(+INTRA) in combination with the remote copular particle *erdi*. As a result, the forms {-(<sup>o</sup>)r} *erdi* and {-A} *turur erdi* manifest.

The form {-(<sup>o</sup>)r} *erdi* indicates a non-focal degree in the *Compendium*, similar to the corresponding form {-(<sup>o</sup>)r} in (-PAST)(+INTRA). Therefore, it conveys general and habitual meaning; see examples (40)–(42) and (43)–(45), respectively.

- (40) *hulagu xān irān zamīngä kälgändä*  
*qutuy xātunnıñ ordasın başlab*  
*mu ʿın köjürür erdi* (f.131r/4–6)

‘When Hulagu Khan came to the land of Iran,  
 [Ajay] headed the residence of Qutuy Khatun  
 and assisted to **make them migrate.**’

*köjür[ür er][di]*  
 migrate[CAUS][AOR COP er-][PAST]

- (41) *şul här ondin ekini bularya bürür erdi* (f.76r/5)  
 ‘He **gave** two out of every ten people to them.’  
*bär[ür er][di]*  
 give[AOR COP er-][PAST]

- (42) *bä-märtäbä muqali göyän bilän olturur erdi* (f.73r/9–10)  
 ‘He **was** equal in rank to (lit. **sat together with**) Muqali Göyen.’  
*oltur[ur er][di]*  
 sit[AOR COP er-][PAST]

The instances of habitual actions below are translated using the English phrase *used to*. However, this phrase is typically employed in English for modal verb constructions that refer to past actions, which is not appropriate for the *Compendium*. In the *Compendium*, these instances are instead analyzed as (+PAST)(+INTRA); see examples (43)–(45).

- (43) *⟨bir⟩ kāsä-niñ bir yayıdın biri ʿäsälni içür erdi*  
*biri bir yayıdın içür erdi* (f.144v/3–4)

‘One [of them] **used to drink** the mead from one side of cup,  
 [the other] one **used to drink** from another (lit. one) side.’

*iç[ür er][di]* *iç[ür er][di]*  
 drink[AOR COP er-][PAST] drink[AOR COPer-][PAST]

- (44) *oñ qolda şarīʿat bilän ʿamül kıılır erdi*  
*sol qolı bilän oyrı qaraqçını yamanlarnı pādışāh barış fyodaravıñ xān hükmi yarlıyı*  
*bilän siyāsät kamçısını yamanlarya kötäk urar erdi* (f.156v/7–10)

‘He **used to enforce** the law according to Sharia with his right hand.

He **used to beat** bad [people] with a stick with his left hand, according to the command of the sovereign Boris Fyodorovich Khan, [directing] the whip of rule against thieves, robbers, and bad [people].’

*‘amäl qıl[ur er][di]*

manage affairs[AOR COP er-][PAST]

*ur[ar er][di]*

beat[AOR COP er-][PAST]

(45) *ol su yaqasına tawar karasın yïyar erdi* (f.29r/6)

‘[He] **used to collect** his livestock on the bank of that river (lit. water).’

*yïğ[ar er][di]*

collect[AOR COP er-][PAST]

The *Compendium* also includes instances of  $\{-(-^{\circ})r\}$  *erdi* constructions that convey a higher focal meaning, which can be translated using the English past continuous. However, these cases are relatively rare, with only four clear instances in three examples (46)–(48).

(46) *jäwāb buyurdi kim*

*burunlar taxt-i mämläkätgä olturmasdın burun  
bir naubat yalyuzın yol bilän **kelür erdim*** (f.100v/5–7)

‘[He] deigned to answer,

“Once, long before I sat on the throne,  
I **was coming** [down] the road alone.”’

*kel[ür er][di][m]*

come[AOR COP er-][PAST][1SG]

(47) *taķi aytib turur*

*bir naubat buryuĵi bilän **kelür erdim**  
on eki kim ersä tay üstündä yolnı alib turur erdilär  
wä buryuĵi mäniĵ soĵumda **kelür erdi*** (f.101r/2–5)

‘Also, he has said,

“Once [when] I **was coming** [to the mountain] with Burguĵi,  
twelve people had captured the road on the mountain,  
and Burguĵi **was walking** (lit. coming) behind me.”’

*kel[ür er][di][m]*

come[AOR COP er-][PAST][1SG]

*kel[ür er][di]*

come[AOR COP er-][PAST]

(48) *kördi kim*

*ĵalayırnıĵ oylan[ları] ĵawķasın (sic!) **ķazar erdi**  
yärni ĉuķur ĉuķur etib* (f.30r/2–3)

‘[Monolun] saw that

boys of the Jalayir tribe **were digging up** tulips,  
tearing up the ground [around].’

*qaz[ar er][di]*

dig[AOR COP er-][PAST]

There is one more ambiguous instance in the *Compendium* where one interpretation could convey the higher focal intraterminal-in-past meaning, see example (49a). Notably, in some cases, {-I)p} does not necessarily indicate a postterminal interpretation.

(49) *zaχmlik bolub yatur erdim* (f.101r/10–11)

(49a) ‘**I got wounded** and (then) **I was lying there.**’ PLU

*zaχmlik bol[ub]*

*yat[ur er][di][m]*

get wounded[CONV.POST]

lie[AOR COP er-][PAST][1SG]

(49b) ‘**I was wounded,** and **I was lying there.**’ PLU

*zaχmlik bol[ub]*

*yat[ur er][di][m]*

be wounded[CONV.POST]

lie[AOR COP er-][PAST][1SG]

(49c) ‘**I was lying there wounded.**’ ACT

*zaχmlik bol[ub yat[ur er][di][m]*

be wounded[duration] [AOR COP er-][PAST][1SG]

The form {-mA-s} *erdi* also attests the negative counterpart of the intraterminal viewpoint operator:

(50) *dāyim kăčä kündüz bir kāsā māy içsä*  
*anī yād kılmay içmäs erdi* (f.156r/10–11)

‘Always, day and night, whenever he drank a cup of wine,  
he **did not use to drink** without remembering him (i.e. Godunov).’

*ič[mäs er][di]*

drink[NEG.AOR COP er-][PAST]

**{-A} turur erdi**

Examples (51) and (52) demonstrate the form {-A} *tur-ur* with a remote copula.

(51) *χātunlar wä kălinlär wä kızlar kim anday kızıl ot tüslük jaynay turur erdilär* (f.98v/1–2)

‘Spouses, daughters-in-law, and daughters **were shining** like red fire.’

*jayna[y tur][ur er][di]*

shine[iterative][INTRA][PAST]

(52) *toktayya alib kelä turur erdi*



*yolda oq öldi* (f.142v/8–9)

‘While he **was just bringing** [him] to Tokhta,  
he suddenly died on the way.’

*alib kel*<sup>10</sup>[*ä turur*][*erdi*]  
bring[INTRA][COP PAST]

When remote copular particles are combined with focal intraterminals, they mostly produce imperfect forms (+*PAST*)(+*INTRA*) that express single or repeated events as ongoing at an anterior orientation point without the beginning or the end of the event. Several markers contributed to the renewal of focal intraterminal constructions within imperfect constructions (Csató et al. 2019: 5; Johanson 2021a: 719). The marker {-A} *turur erdi* is one of them. The appearance of *turur* in the verbal constructions in (51) and (52) is problematic, as it leads to ambiguous readings in the *Compendium* with respect to actionality and aspect. Both interpretations are possible. The *tur-* can function as a copula along with other copulas (*er-* ‘to be’ and *bol-* ‘to be, become’) in aspectual constructions. However, it can also serve as an auxiliary verb in actional constructions.

Thus, the question arises whether {-A} *turur* is truly a grammaticalized aspect here or whether it retains the actional value of postverbal constructions. I assume that example (51) can be interpreted as a simple focal intraterminal with an actional value, while example (52) represents a pure high focal construction. However, since there are only two examples of the construction {-A} *turur erdi*, this question is open.

Example (51) illustrates actionality and a simple (+*PAST*)(+*INTRA*) form, where {-A} *tur-* is used to denote iterative action; therefore, the verb form manifests a postverbal construction with (+*INTRA*<sup>F</sup>).

The most important event in the narrative discourse is used to describe “overlapping events, denoting an event that has already begun and is taking place when another event begins” (Johanson 2000a: 80). Such verbal constructions are interpreted as higher focal and translated with the English past continuous, as seen in example (52); however, they cannot be differentiated from example (51). This is the point of ambiguity between the postverbal construction and the aspectual marker.

Table 1.4 sums up the viewpoint operators used to express the intraterminal meaning. The number of examples in which the forms occur is listed after the forms in the table. Usually, in Turkic languages, when a new focal intraterminal appears, the previous one undergoes a defocalization process, as observed in the *Compendium*. Thus, the {-A} *tur-ur* marker, which

---

<sup>10</sup> The verb *alib kel-* ‘to bring’ (lit. ‘to take and come’) is a lexicalized verb.

takes the form of the omitted copula but includes a personal marker, appears in the focal category, while the aorist  $\{-(^{\circ})r\}$  remains non-focal. Since there are only two forms of intraterminals in both the non-past and past, I did not differentiate focal intraterminals into LF and HF in the Table 1.4.

Table 1.4. (+INTRA) operators in the *Compendium*

	<b>-PAST</b>	<b>+PAST</b>
<b>NF</b>	$\{-(^{\circ})r\}$ (31)–(34), (38) $\{-mA-s\}$ (35) $\{-mA-s\}$ <i>dUr</i> (36) $\{-mA-s\}$ <i>turur</i> (37)	$\{-(^{\circ})r\}$ <i>erdi</i> (40)–(49), (51) $\{-mA-s\}$ <i>erdi</i> (50)
<b>F</b>	$\{-ma-y\}$ <i>s<sup>o</sup>n</i> (39)	$\{-A\}$ <i>turur erdi</i> (52)

### 2.1.2.2 Postterminals

Postterminals are widely used in the *Compendium*. There are three main postterminal markers:  $\{-m\check{s}\}$ ,  $\{-GAn\}$ , and  $\{-(I)p\}$ . Furthermore, these markers are combined with copular particles. These markers indicate the completion of actions up to a certain time in the past. In non-past contexts, the markers indicate that the relevant time limit of the event is before the time of speech.

Johanson highlights that postterminals may create language-specific distinctions based on the degree of focality (2000a: 120–121; 2021a: 651–660; 2022a: 38); therefore, postterminals can be divided into focal and non-focal types. They do not directly picture the event but rather relate to the orientation (observation) point, which is situated after the relevant limit of the action but still holds validity. This characteristic is typical of high-focal postterminals and corresponds to resultative perfects. However, postterminals often tend to be defocalized. Lower focal non-past postterminalians are more like the English present perfect, pointing to the current relevance of a past event or representing an event-oriented ‘historical’ postterminal. These postterminals easily combine with expressions that indicate the period of localization. Defocalization of postterminal form typically introduces a new focal postterminality (Johanson 2000a: 110, 115; 2000b: 63; 2021a: 651).

Postterminals are closely interconnected with indirectivity, sometimes conveying information indirectly and signaling various evidential connotations through hearsay (report), inference (logical conclusion), and perception as in ‘obviously’, ‘as it turns out’, ‘evidently’, ‘reportedly’ (Johanson 1971: 280–292; 2000a: 121; 2000b: 63; 2021a: 651).

There is no dedicated high-focal marker in the *Compendium*. As a result, the investigated research focuses solely on examining focality and non-focality.

## Postterminals in the non-past (-PAST)(+POST)

The marker {-mİš} is the oldest known postterminal marker in Turkic studies. It most likely evolved from a postverbal construction with the auxiliary verb *bİš-* ‘to ripen’, ‘to become mature’ (Clauson 1972: 376; Boeschoten: 86). The marker {-mİš} occasionally occurs in Chaghatay, since the marker {-GAn}<sup>11</sup> started to replace it at that time. The marker {-mİš} has not survived in modern Kipchak languages, except in a few lexicalized forms (Johanson 2021a: 652–654). In the *Compendium*, both {-mİš} and {-GAn} are attested in the forms of {-mİš} and {-GAn} *turur / erür / dUr*.

The marker {-mİš} was observed in a non-focal type of focality, illustrating a wide range of meanings. Initially, it served as a high-focal postterminal. Later, it became defocalized and functioned as past tense forms while retaining their original postterminal capability. Further defocalization into non-focal postterminals (ultimate defocalization) is indicated by the disappearance of the postterminality item in the past, shifting from “perfect” to “perfectum historicum” and finally into “historical tense” or “past tense” (Johanson 2000a: 108–120). Therefore, the {-mİš} marker can appear at any point after the crucial time limit in relation to the English past tense and past perfect.

See instances of non-focal postterminals in examples (53)–(55). The marker {-mİš} in these instances narrates an unwitnessed/indirect/evidential event.

(53) *üyünün tüñlügi açılmİš* (f.27v/4)

‘The smoke-hole of a tent **was apparently opened**.’

*açıl[mİš]*

be opened[PART.POST]

(54) *soñ yänä yayi bolmİš* (f.59v/10)

‘After, [Tumat tribe] again **reportedly became** enemy.’

*bol[mİš]*

become[PART.POST]

(55) *näçük xudāy ta ‘ālā yol bārdi ersä*

*wä anİñ dāķ müyässār bolmİš unutyanda öñgä köñül kılyanlarnİ* (f.94v/3–5)

‘Just as the Almighty Lord – may He be exalted – made it possible (lit. gave a way), so it **was evidently** accomplished, having forgot those who care for others.’

*bol[mİš]*

be[PART.POST]

---

<sup>11</sup> The origin of the marker {-GAn} is unclear. Johanson (2021a: 654) suggests that it may go back to the verb *kan-* ‘to be satisfied (with water)’ (Clauson 1972: 632; Boeschoten 2023: 235).

Below, a broader range of meaning is illustrated while keeping the original postterminal item.

- (56) *män bu kāmät säḫāvät pādišāh hič körmämiš•m* (f.5r/10–11)  
‘I **haven’t seen** [such] a stately generous sovereign.’  
*kör[mä][miš][°m]*  
see[NEG][PART.POST][1SG]

- (57) *yär yüzindä aḡa mädäd дәvlät payda bolmiš* (f.90v/10)  
‘The prosperity **has appeared** on earth surface [to] help him.’  
*payda bol[miš]*  
appear[PART.POST]

Despite being defocalized, the {-miš} marker occasionally still conveys present-like meanings. As a result, its syntactic behavior tends to be similar to that of intraterminals (Johanson 2000a: 111), as shown in the following examples (58)–(61). It is worth noting that Xisamieva (2022: 67), in her analysis, indicates only four sentences with {-miš}, which correspond to examples (58)–(61) in the current research. She classifies them as *прошедшее неочевидное время*, which is similar to the indirect past tense.

Furthermore, based on examples (58)–(59), it is evident that the verbs *te-* ‘to say’ and *ay-* ‘id.’ are interchangeable in the *Compendium*.

- (58) *ekisiniḡ pādišāhliyi el[l]ik yıl kächti*  
*temišlär* (K: f.60r/16)  
‘‘The reign of the two of them lasted for fifty years,’’  
they **(have been said and) are still said.**’  
*te[miš][lär]*  
say[PART.POST][3PL]

- (59) *[o]tuz [o]yli kırk inisi bar*  
*temišlär* (K: f.60v/17)  
‘‘He has thirty sons and forty grandsons,’’<sup>12</sup>  
they **(have been said and) are still said.**’  
*te[miš][lär]*  
say[PART.POST][3PL]

- (60) *ašli özbeḡiya arasinda söz bu turur*  
*anday aymišlar*  
*idigä beg häm väfät boldi* (144r/1–2)  
‘This is what [this] word is about among the noble Uzbekiya.

---

<sup>12</sup> The word *ini* means ‘younger brother’, however, Clauson (1972: 170) also records a meaning ‘grandson’ in *Codex Cumanicus*, which would fit better for the context.

They (**have been said and**) **are still said** the following:

“Edige Beg also died.””

*ay[miš][lar]*

say[PART.POST][3PL]

(61) *aşli söz özbeğiya arasinda munday aymışlar* (K: f.65r/8–9)

‘True words among Uzbekiya (**have been said and**) **are still said** as follows.’

*ay[miš][lar]*

say[PART.POST][3PL]

The defocalization of {-mlš} led to the renewal of focal postterminality, which resulted in the emergence of the {-GAN} *tur-ur* and periphrastic {-(I)p} *tur-ur* forms. At first glance, it may seem that, since there are three forms of postterminals, the hierarchy of focality should be non-focal, low-focal, and high-focal. However, the *Compendium* demonstrates that the interpretations of the corresponding examples of {-GAN} *tur-ur* and {-(I)p} *tur-ur* do not differ in meaning. Therefore, I suggest that these are competing forms, with the {-(I)p} *tur-ur/dUr/s°n* form being more productive than the {-GAN} *tur-ur / er-ür / dUr* construction. Moreover, it is worth noting that these two forms already appear in the defocalized low-focal category in the *Compendium*.

Thus, the {-GAN} *tur-ur* marker type underwent defocalization, and as a result, instances appear relatively earlier in the past at their respective discourse levels, as seen in examples (62)–(63). It is also worth noting that the defocalized markers appeared only in a reduced form as {-GAN} *dUr*.

(62) *ħajji kārāy sulṭān kiçig ekändür* (f.147r/6)

‘Hajji Giray Sultan **has been** young.’

*e[kän][dür]*

be[PART.POST][COP]

(63) *uluy muħammād ħānniḡ oylī maħmutäk ħān qazan vilāyātiya kelgändür* (f.146v/7–8)

‘Mahmutek, the son of Ulugh Muhammed, **has come** to Kazan.’

*kel[gän][dür]*

come[PART.POST][COP]

The postterminal marker {-GAN} *tur-ur* also shows a resultative meaning in the *Compendium*. In this case, the postterminal marker appeared in combination with {-GAN} and the unaltered form of the copular particles *tur-ur* (64) and *er-ür* (65). These constructions indicate the relevant event at the orientation point. Both examples (64) and (65) can be literally translated as ‘he has/they have been born’, meaning ‘is/are born’.

Eckmann (1966: 180–181) and Baskakov (1971: 49) note that the copulas *turur* and *erür* can be used interchangeably as synonyms.

- (64) *bular oqumış χātundin tuy[y]an turur* (f.102r/11–102v/1)  
 ‘They **were born** from Oqumys Khatun.’

*tuy[yan tur][ur]*  
 born[PART.POST COP *tur-*][AOR]

- (65) *ĵayan begimdin tuy[y]an erür* (f.152v/4–5)  
 ‘[He] **was born** from Jagan *Begim*.’<sup>13</sup>

*tuy[yan er][ür]*  
 born[PART.POST COP *er-*][AOR]

The periphrastic form  $\{-\text{(I)p}\}$  *tur-ur* is attested more frequently in the *Compendium*. Three orthographical variations are attested:  $\{-\text{(I)p}\}$  *tur-ur*, a reduced form  $\{-\text{(I)p}\}$  *dUr*, and the form with only  $\{-\text{(I)p}\}$  accompanied by a personal marker and an omitted copula, which is typical in Chaghatay (Bodrogligeti 2001: 243). As mentioned earlier, this form appeared due to the defocalization of the  $\{-\text{mlš}\}$  form. The postterminal form shifts its focus to a more event-oriented perspective, manifesting in a manner similar to the perfect.

- (66) *χudāy ta ‘ālā buyurub turur*  
*sāniñ atin ĵiñgiz χān bolyay* (f.56v/6–7)

‘The Almighty Lord – may He be exalted – **has ordered**,  
 “Let your name be Genghis Khan.”’

*buyur[ub tur][ur]*  
 order[CONV.POST COP *tur-*][AOR]

- (67) *uzak čoranī ka ‘ba-i šārīfgä yibärīb dūr* (f.149v/4–5)  
 ‘He **has sent** Uzak Chora to the holy Kaaba.’

*yibär[ib][dūr]*  
 send[CONV.POST][COP]

- (68) *payğambar şalla ‘llāhu ‘alāyhi wä sällāmnīñ kabrlaridīn säyyīd naķibķa āwāz bärīb dūr* (f.149v/2–3)

‘Prophet – may Allah bless him and greet him – **has given** a voice from the grave to Seyyid Naķib.’

*bär[ib][dūr]*  
 give[CONV.POST][COP]

- (69) *munuñdek tafşīldä keltürüb dūr* (f.41v/7)

‘[Their names] **have come** (up) (lit. **have brought**) in a detailed illustration in the following order.’

<sup>13</sup> *Begim* is a title that combines the names of sovereigns’ daughters and wives (Syzykova 1989: 75).

*keltür[üb][dür]*  
bring[CONV.POST][COP]

- (70) *nä üjün başıña nişāna kılıbs•n* (f.65r/10)  
‘Why **have** you **made** a mark on your head?’  
*kil[ib][s°n]*  
make[CONV.POST][2SG]

Like the postterminal marker {-GAn} *tur-ur*, the {-(I)p} *tur-ur* marker retains the characteristics of a high-focal postterminal, where its literal meaning is ‘X stands having X-ed’, as seen in examples (71)–(73). It also serves a resultative function, as exemplified in example (74).

- (71) *anlar kim öz yurtlarında oturub turur*  
*biligni eşitmäy*  
*anlarnıñ hāli mişālī taş bolyay*  
*köb <suw> astında qalyay* (f.91v/8–9)  
‘[The condition of] those who **have sat** in their *yurts*  
and have not listened to the *biligs*  
will be like that of a stone  
left under high water.’  
*oltur[ub tur][ur]*  
sit[CONV.POST COP *tur-*][AOR]

- (72) *ol öjin dīn tutub turur* (f.20r/9)  
‘He **has taken** a different faith.’  
*tut[ub tur][ur]*  
take[CONV.POST COP *tur-*][AOR]

- (73) *sultān jālāl ad-dīn yaznında sind daryāsında qutuqu noyan birlä uruş kilib*  
*qutuquni basīb turur* (f.61v/8–10)  
‘Sultan Jelal al-Din has been engaged in a battle with Qutuqu *Noyan* near the Sind River  
in Ghaznin  
and **has defeated** Qutuqu.’  
*bas[ib tur][ur]*  
defeat[CONV.POST COP *tur-*][AOR]

- (74) *kutlu kıyanı urus xān šāhīd kilib dur* (f.149r/6)  
‘Urus Khan **martyred** Qutlu Qiya.’  
*šāhīd kil[ib][dur]*  
martyr [CONV.POST][COP]

The negation marker for the <B> type converb is {-mA-y}. However, this {-mA-y} marker can also serve as the negative counterpart for an <A> type converb. As a result, the intraterminal

{-A} *tur-ur* and the postterminal {-(I)p} *tur-ur* can appear in the same form when negated, leading to ambiguity when taken out of context; see examples (75a) and (76a). However, a closer examination of the context reveals that these two examples are postterminals.

Example (75) exhibits an interesting realization of the event, involving two different discourse types that narrate events in separate temporal strata.<sup>14</sup> The conjunctive *kim* ‘that’, acting as a bridge between these two strata, introduces the direct quotation, which describes the circumstances of the given event (Danka 2019a: 235).

(75) (a)

*anı dost tutmay turur* (f.18r/11–18v/1)  
 ‘[Oghuz] **is not accepting/has not accepted (lit. hold)** (her as) **his friend.**’  
*dost tut[ma][y tur][ur]*  
 ‘accept (as a) friend[NEG][INTRA][AOR]’  
 ‘accept (as a) friend[NEG][POST][AOR]’

(b)

*atası kördi kim*  
*anı dost tutmay turur* (f.18r/11–18v/1)  
 ‘His father saw that  
 [Oghuz] **has not accepted (lit. hold)** [her as] **his friend.**’  
*dost tut[ma][y tur][ur]*  
 ‘accept [as a] friend[NEG][POST][AOR]’

(76) (a)

*ol eki[si] bir biri birlä uruşmaydurlar* (f.53r/4)  
 ‘[These two] **are not fighting/have not fought** each other.’  
*uruş[ma][y dur][lar]*  
 fight[NEG][INTRA][3PL]  
 fight[NEG][POST][3PL]

(b)

*tamām läşkärleri bilän ekisi uruşkali aṭlandı*  
*ol eki[si] bir biri birlä uruşmaydurlar* (f.53r/3–4)  
 ‘[Genghis Khan and Ong Khan], with the whole army, set out on a campaign against them,  
 [but] they **have not fought** each other.’  
*uruş[ma][y dur][lar]*  
 fight[NEG][POST][3PL]

The next construction of postterminals in the non-past expresses categorical postterminality, specifically indicating only the absence of an event up to the moment of speaking. This construction is a complex form of an actional nominal with a possessive marker

<sup>14</sup> For more detailed information, see Johanson (1971: 76–87).



and the adjective *yok* ‘not-existent; absent’, i.e. {-GAn}-*POSS yok*. Generally, this construction underlines a negative statement (Johanson 2021a: 660).

(77) *män xudāyni ešitkänim yok  
bilgänim yok* (f.19r/8–9)

‘I have absolutely not heard the Almighty Lord;  
I have absolutely not known [Him].’

*ešit[kän][im][yok]*  
hear[PART.POST][POSS1SG][not-existent]

*bil[gän][im][yok]*  
know[PART.POST][POSS1SG][not-existent]

Various copula forms can also combine with the mentioned forms to modify the postterminal viewpoints. Therefore, the next section will address postterminals with the remote copula *erdi* in relation to temporal meaning.

### Postterminals in the past (+*PAST*)(+*POST*)

Postterminals in the past (also known as pluperfects or past perfects) are widely used in the *Compendium*. They reveal the events where the relevant limits have transgressed into a secondary orientation in the past, showing what is ‘visible’ at a specific postterminal point of orientation (Johanson 2000a: 107; 2000b: 63). By definition, postterminal units suggest that the actional phrase refers to an event where at least part of the action has already passed the point of view, looking back beyond the critical boundary. This characteristic often results in the reinterpretation of (+*PAST*)(+*POST*) as low-focal and non-focal postterminals (Rentzsch 2005: 38).

Postterminals in the past can be divided into five groups in the *Compendium*. They are manifested mainly by the markers of (-*PAST*)(+*POST*) combined with the remote copular particle *erdi*.

The first group of postterminal examples in the *Compendium* exhibits forms with the remote copular particle *erdi*, which is created by combining a copular verb with the terminal marker {-DI}. These forms have more event-oriented functions, indicating a past anterior sense, similar to their usage in EOT (Johanson 2021a: 722), and they extend furthest beyond the crucial limit of the event; see example (78). Nevertheless, they also denote pluperfects, as seen in examples (79)–(80).

(78) *mundin ilgäri ol vaqıtta kim oylanlarıya vaşıyyät kildi erdi  
anda aytib erdi...* (f.63v/2–3)

‘Before that, when [Genghis Khan] **eventually made a testamentary arrangement** for his sons, that time he has said...’

*vaşıyyät kıl[di er][di]*

make a testamentary arrangement[TERM COP *er-*][PAST]

(79) *andın soñ börä noyan boldi erdi* (f.66r/2)

‘Böre **had eventually become** a *noyan* after that.’

*bol[di er][di]*

become[TERM COP *er-*][PAST]

(80) *hänüz üyinä tüşürmädi erdi* (f.18v/8)

‘[At that time] he **had not yet married** (her) (lit. **had not yet hosted** her into his house [as a bride].’

*tüşür[mä][di er][di]*

marry[CAUS][NEG][TERM COP *er-*][PAST]

The next examples (81)–(82) illustrate the form {-GAn} *erdi*, which is closer to the orientation point compared to {-DI} *erdi*.

(81) *soñ läşkəri kim bu zamānda anıñ oylanlarıda turur*

*oşbu jinsdä şul läşkärin jingiz xān bārgän erdi* (f.80r/6–8)

‘The last army that is currently under his sons’ [control]

[consists of] those nationalities from which army Genghis Khan **had given** [before].’

*bār[gän er][di]*

give[PART.POST COP *er-*][PAST]

(82) *bu eki miñni aşl nusxada bitilmägän erdi* (f.78v/2)

‘These two thousand **hadn’t been written** in the original copy.’

*bitil[mä][gän er][di]*

be written[NEG][PART.POST COP *er-*][PAST]

It is interesting to note that the *Compendium* attests another negative statement similar to example (82). This is the form {-GAn} *yok erdi*, which closely resembles the categorical postterminality in the non-past {-GAn}-*POSS yok*; see example (83). This construction conveys the absence of an event up the moment of speaking but lacks the possessive suffix. Therefore, I consider example (83) to represent a higher focal postterminal, while example (82) corresponds to a lower focal one. Consequently, two different realizations of postterminals in the past can be observed. However, it is challenging to establish a clear distinction between them, as they are nearly identical. Johanson (2021a: 660) emphasized the categorical experiential postterminal with the word ‘absolutely’, and I have adopted this word following his usage.

- (83) *bu mïy aşl nusxada bitilgän yoq erdi* (f.79r/8–9)  
 ‘This thousand **had absolutely not been written** in the original copy.’  
*bitil[gän][yoq][erdi]*  
 be written[PART.POST][not-existent][COP PAST]

Nevertheless, the form {-GAn} *erdi* is also attested as the anteriorized equivalent, indicating a resultative meaning; examples (84)–(85) illustrates this.

- (84) *musa bilä yamyurjï bir anadïn tuy[y]an erdi* (f.143r/1–2)  
 ‘Musa and Yamgurjï **was born** from one mother.’  
*tuy[yan er][di]*  
 born[PART.POST COP *er-*][PAST]

- (85) *bu kız kumadïn bolyan erdi* (f.46r10–11)  
 ‘This girl **was** from a concubine.’  
*bol[yan er][di]*  
 be[PART.POST COP *er-*][PAST]

The next group of (+PAST)(+POST) forms is based on the <B> type converb and the remote copular particle *erdi*, i.e. {-Ip} *erdi*; see examples (86)–(89). It indicates low-focal postterminals (86)–(88); however, it can also indicate high-focal postterminals (89) in the *Compendium*.

- (86) *uraz muhammäd xān hazrätläriñ näjük pädişāh hazrätläri izzät ikrām bilän xānliqya olturyuzyanin*  
 <häm tā> *hazrät-i Nuḥ ‘alayhi ‘s-salām-din bärü oyuzya deg[g]äj oyuzdin hijrätgä deg[g]äj hijrätin jingizgä deg[g]äj jingizdin bu zamānya deg[g]äj nä jaqli pädişāhlar xānlar ötüb erdi* (f.157r/9–157v/3)

‘[This chronicle was written to describe] how His Majesty, the Padishah, with honors, placed His Highness Uraz-Muhammed Khan into khanate.  
 Also, all the padishahs and khans who lived **had passed**, starting from His Majesty, [the Prophet] Nuh – *May God welcome him!* – to Oghuz, from Oghuz to Hijra, from Hijra to Genghis, [and] from Genghis to the present day.’

*öt[üb er][di]*  
 pass[CONV.POST COP *er-*][PAST]

The term *hazrätläri*, found in example (86), is an honorific nominal designation formed by adding the plural suffix {+lAr}. The word *hazrät* means ‘majesty’ or ‘superiority’ and is used to refer to a padishah, khan, or sultan. It always takes the plural possessive form and translates

to ‘His Majesty, the Padishah; His Highness, the Khan/ Sultan’. The usage of the honorific plural in the nominal form of *ḥazrāt* is frequently found in the *Compendium*.

(87) *äwwäl joǰigä tört mñ lāškär bārib erdi* (f.142r/8)  
 ‘First, he **had given** four thousand warriors to Jochi.’  
*bār[ib er][di]*  
 give[CONV.POST COP *er-*][PAST]

(88) *özläri bir näcä nökärläri bilän yatib erdi* (f.145r/3–4)  
 ‘[Tokhtamysh] himself **had laid** with some *nökərs*.’  
*yat[ib er][di]*  
 lie[CONV.POST COP *er-*][PAST]

A few words about the translations are needed here. *Nöker* was a significant term in tribal society at the time, with two main meanings: ‘friend’ or ‘mate’ and ‘companion-at-arms, comrade’. These are not satisfying translations, however. The second definition can be compared with the term *družinnik*, meaning ‘bodyguard’ (*drug* ‘friend’), in Kieven Rus, the Merovingian *antrustion*, and the Danish or late old English *housecarl* (*huscarl*). The Mongol *nökör* was a young man or warrior who voluntarily pledged allegiance and support to a chief or potential chief of another clan or tribe, forming a group of personal retainers with other loyal followers. These *nököt* (= WMo *nököd*, pl. of *nökör*) played a crucial role in the transformation of Mongol society from tribal to feudal during the reign of Genghis Khan. They served as members of the leader’s elite bodyguard and, in times of peace, they assisted the leader in domestic and administrative matters where personal loyalty and trust were crucial (Rachewiltz 2004: 257).

The term *özläri* is an honorific 3SG pronoun. Honorific usage of plural forms is employed to show respect and is typically “limited to the pronominal and the verbal domain” (Erdal 2004: 159). Siewierska (2004: 226) provides examples from Turkish and Hungarian, where the Turkish *kendi* ‘(one)self’ and Hungarian *maga* ‘(one)self’ are considered honorific. The honorific meaning in the *Compendium* is expressed by the possessive form of the 3PL of the reflexive pronoun *öz* ‘(one)self’ in the possessive 3PL *özläri*. In the honorific plural *özleri*, the verb endings are always singular.

(89) *bu üç kızı aiša ḫātundiñ tuyub erdi* (f.140v/1–2)  
 ‘These his two daughters **were born** from Aisha Khatun.’  
*tuy[ub er][di]*  
 born[CONV.POST COP *er-*][PAST]

Only two attestations of the next postterminal construction in the past, {-mlš} *erdi*, are found, and it is exclusively used with the verb *tuy-*; see example (90). It appears to be semantically

identical to {-I)p} *erdi* (89) and {-GAn} *erdi* (84)–(85) in the past, conveying a resultative meaning.

- (90) *toqtay olʻjay χātundiŋ tuymiš erdi* (f.112v/10–11)  
 ‘Toqtay **was born** from Olʻjay Khatun.’  
*tuy[miš er][di]*  
 born[PART.POST COP *er-*][PAST]

**{-(I)p} *turur erdi* / {-(I)p} *DUr erdi***

As discussed earlier, *erdi* is a remote copula that functions as the counterpart of the non-past copula *tur-ur* for the converb marker {-I)p} and the participle marker {-GAn} in the renewed postterminal-in-past forms {-I)p} *erdi* and {-GAn} *erdi*.

However, the *Compendium* also attests four instances of {-I)p} *erdi* with an additional *turur*; see examples (91)–(92).

- (91) *bir naubat buryuŋ bilän kälür erdim*  
*on eki kim ersä tay üstündä yolni alib turur erdilär* (f.101r/2–4)  
 ‘Once [when] I was coming [to the mountain] with Burguŋi,  
 twelve people **had kept capturing/had captured** the road on the mountain.’  
*al[ib tur][ur er][di][lär]*                                      *al[ib turur][erdi][lär]*  
 take[**iterative**][INTRA][PAST][3PL]                                      take[**POST**][COP PAST][3PL]
- (92) *ŋingiz χān yigit zamānida ertä uykuđin turđi ersä*  
*käkülindä bir näŋä qillar aqarib turur erdi* (f.101v/7–9)  
 ‘When Genghis Khan woke up early in his youth,  
 a few strands on his forehead **unexpectedly turned white/ had turned white.**’  
*aqar[ib tur][ur er][di]*                                      *aqar[ib turur][erdi]*  
 turn white[**ACT**][INTRA][PAST]                                      turn white[**POST**][COP PAST]

The appearance of *turur* in the construction is problematic, as it introduces ambiguous readings in the *Compendium*. The issue in investigating postverbal constructions lies in the relationship between actionality and aspect, particularly in the case of the <B> type converb combined with the verb *tur-* ‘to stop, stand’. This *tur-* can function as a copula, along with other copulas such as *er-* ‘to be’ and *bol-* ‘to be, become’, in aspectual constructions, or as an auxiliary verb in actional constructions. For instance, example (91) can be read as expressing actionality through the converb marker and the auxiliary *tur-* ‘to stand’, which usually expresses durativity but can also convey other actions. Example (92), for instance, reflects a finitransformative meaning, i.e. the end of a process: *aqarib turur erdi* ‘turned white’. How did it turn? *Unexpectedly*. And, of

course, this construction can be interpreted as postterminal-in-past. The contexts of examples (91)–(92) are unclear and support both interpretations.

Consequently, the following question arises: What is the correct analysis of the constructions *[b tur][ur erdi]* or *[b turur] [erdi]*? Should the analysis be *ali[b tur][ur erdi]* and *aḳari[b tur][ur erdi]*, where *[b tur]* represents actionality and *[ur erdi]* represents viewpoint aspect? Or should it be *ali[b turur][erdi]* and *aḳari[b turur][erdi]*, where *[b turur]* represents aspect and *[erdi]* represents the remote copula particle? To explore this issue, consider the following examples (93)–(94).

- (93) *içkili oyli ḥasan idigä biyniḡ aḡta atin alīb turur erdi* (f.146r/2–3)  
 ‘Hasan, the son of Ichkili, **had grabbed** (lit. **had taken**) the gelding horse of Edige Beg.’  
*al[īb turur][erdi]*  
 take[POST][COP PAST]

- (94) *sul nöḳärläri bilän özi bir yilyada busub turur erdi* (f.94r/7–8)  
 ‘[He,] along with his *nökers*, **had laid an ambush** in a ravine.’  
*bus[ub turur][erdi]*  
 lay an ambush[POST][COP PAST]

The examples (93)–(94) clearly demonstrate a postterminal reading. The context does not imply actionality, as it does not suggest any duration. Based on these examples, the correct analysis of the  $\{-I\}p$  *turur erdi* constructions appears to be *[b turur][erdi]*, indicating postterminal-in-past. This interpretation is further supported by the negative form of this construction with the shortened copular *dUr* in the form *[b dUr][erdi]*; see examples (95)–(96).

- (95) *pādišāhlikya tegmäydür erdi* (f.47v/10)  
 ‘(At that moment/time) [he] **had not yet reached** the rulership.’  
*teg[mä][y dür][erdi]*  
 reach[NEG][POST][COP PAST]

- (96) *bu ḳız rasīda bolmay dur erdi* (f.46v/3)  
 ‘This girl **had not yet been** in the right age.’  
*bol[ma][y dur][erdi]*  
 be[NEG][POST] COP PAST

Moreover, there is an example where the  $(-PAST)(+POST)$  sentence omits *tur-ur* in the 3SG (see example (70) above), which would be impossible in the case of actionality.

In negation, the complex marker  $\{-I\}p$  *turur* takes the form of  $\{-ma-y\}$  *tur-ur* (Johanson 2021a: 657–658). However, it is important not to confuse this with the intraterminal, which shares the same form,  $\{-ma-y\}$  *tur-ur erdi*, in negation.

Table 1.5 sums up the viewpoint operators that express postterminal meaning. Almost all (-PAST)(+POST) markers demonstrate fully functioning oppositions in the past, with the exception of the marker {-mİš}. The {-mİš} serves as the most general unit in the non-past, as it has the broadest applicability in discourse. Furthermore, it conveys an indirect evidential connotation coupled with a historical interpretation, constituting a narrative of an unwitnessed past event. When combined with specific time expressions, the marker {-mİš} employs the verb form {-DI}, retaining its focal quality and maintaining a high-focal degree.

Two inventories of the markers {-GAn} and {-(I)p} can be considered symmetric in terms of their fulfillment in the past. Additionally, upon examination, the inventory of high-focal postterminals in the non-past appears slightly asymmetrical, as the possessive marker is omitted in the past strata.

Table 1.5. (+POST) operators in the *Compendium*

	<b>-PAST</b>	<b>+PAST</b>
<b>NF</b>	{-mİš} (53)–(61)	{-DI} <i>erdi</i> (78)–(80)
<b>F</b>	{-GAn} <i>turur</i> (64) {-GAn} <i>erür</i> (65) {-GAn} <i>dUr</i> (62)–(63) {-GAn}-POSS <i>yok</i> (77)  {-(I)p} <i>dUr</i> (67)–(69), (74), (76) {-(I)p} <i>turur</i> (66), (71)–(73), (75) {-(I)p} <i>s<sup>o</sup>n</i> (70)	{-GAn} <i>erdi</i> (81)–(82), (84)–(85)  {-GAn} <i>yok erdi</i> (83)  {-(I)p} <i>erdi</i> (86)–(89), {-(I)p} <i>turur erdi</i> (91)–(94) {-(I)p} <i>dUr erdi</i> (95)–(96)  {-mİš} <i>erdi</i> (90)

#### **Four forms of postterminal: {-GAn} erdi, {-(I)p} erdi, {-DI} erdi and {-mİš} erdi**

The forms {-GAn} *erdi* and {-(I)p} *erdi* appear to belong to the same semantic domain, suggesting that they may represent competing forms. In the vast majority of cases, these constructions are translated into English as the past perfect. However, there may be nuances in the meaning of these constructions in Chaghatay and, in particular, in the *Compendium*.

Several definitions of the {-GAn} *erdi* form exist in the context of Turkic languages. According to the most accepted interpretation, the {-GAn} *erdi* form is mainly used in conjunction with the ‘categorical past’ marker {-DI} to express precedence. This construction is basically called ‘plusquamperfekt’, implying that an action expressed by {-GAn} *erdi* occurred prior to another event in the past; see examples (81)–(82). According to Juldašev

(1965: 168), the {-GAN} *erdi* form expresses anteriority, denoting a fully completely action. In these instances, {-GAN} *erdi* cannot be interchanged with other postterminal marker, such as {-(I)p} *erdi*, as it does not denote an action situated in the past relative to the present moment. Therefore, the {-GAN} *erdi* form cannot indicate (+PAST)(+POST<sup>HF</sup>). However, in the *Compendium*, instances of the resultative function of {-GAN} *erdi* in the past are attested, which are illustrated as competing forms of {-(I)p} *erdi* and {-mİš} *erdi*. See the following examples:

(97) *musa bilä yamyurjï bir anadın tuy[y]an erdi* (f.143r/1–2)

‘Musa and Yamgurjï **was born** from one mother.’

*tuy[yan er][di]*

born[PART.POST COP *er-*][PAST]

(98) *bu üç kızı ‘aiša xātundiñ tuyub erdi* (f.140v/1–2)

‘These his two daughters **were born** from Aisha Khatun.’

*tuy[ub er][di]*

born[CONV.POST COP *er-*][PAST]

(99) *toqtay oljay xātundiñ tuymuš erdi* (f.112v/10–11)

‘Toqtay **was born** from Oljay Khatun.’

*tuy[muš er][di]*

born[PART.POST COP *er-*][PAST]

The construction {-(I)p} *erdi* itself denotes a typical single action (both one-time and repeated) (Juldašev 1965: 188). Juldašev also presents additional interpretations of the meaning of {-(I)p} *erdi* constructions: the form in {-(I)p} *erdi* represents an action completed by the time another action is performed, which does not necessarily imply that the second action immediately proceeds the first one. Also, the form in {-(I)p} *erdi* expresses the action that was happening before the eyes of the speaker (or writer) and, therefore, cannot point to a long past event. Furthermore, {-(I)p} *erdi* may indicate an action that occurred very recently (Juldašev 1965: 191–193), which contrasts with {-GAN} *erdi*, though not in the *Compendium*. {-GAN} *erdi* and {-(I)p} *erdi* cannot be contrasted in terms of focality. Moreover, both forms appear in the second/translated and third/original parts of the *Compendium*.

Among the viewpoint operators in the *Compendium*, we also find the forms based on {-DI} *erdi* (78)–(80) and {-mİš} *erdi* (90). These two forms are represented only in the second part of the *Compendium*, translated from Persian. The forms {-DI} *erdi* and {-mİš} *erdi* are derived from the terminal and postterminal forms, respectively, and are not preserved in many modern languages. The {-DI} *erdi* form exists only in some modern Turkic languages, such as Gagauz, Turkish (Oghuz), Kyrgyz, and in some dialects of the Tatar language (Kipchak). The {-mİš} *erdi* form exists only in modern Turkish and Azeri (Oghuz) (Juldašev 1965: 184, 198).



In EOT, the {-DI} *erdi* form is opposed to {-mİš} *erdi*, ‘pluperfect’ vs. ‘remote past’, but later languages employ the same meanings of remote past (Johanson 2021a: 722).

Interestingly, the three forms {-mİš} *erdi*, {-(I)p} *erdi*, and {-GAn} *erdi* in the *Compendium*, when applied to the verb *tuy-*, present semantically identical postterminal meaning in terms of resultativity.

### 2.1.2.3 Terminals

Terminal aspect is a non-intraterminal and non-postterminal finite category that indicates the event directly preceding the primary orientation point and is expressed by a {-DI} type marker. It is the final component of the aspect system and can be translated into English as the simple past or past perfect (Johanson 2021a: 661). Possessive markers follow it; see examples (100)–(102).

(100) *bu uluylarni sizlärge bürdim* (f.82r/8)  
‘I gave you these great [*amirs*].’

(101) *täñri äzaldin saña böylä bürdi* (f.4v/8)  
‘Tengri gave you this from eternity.’

(102) *jöji xänniñ on tört oyliniñ atlarini bitidük* (f.105r/10–11)  
‘We wrote the names of Jochi Khan’s four sons.’

### 2.1.3 Imperatives

This section will deal with imperatives, whose primary function is to issue strict orders, requests, advice, or suggestions to the addressee, which are relevant only in the second person.

In some traditional grammars of modern Turkic languages, the imperative mood has merged with what is commonly referred to as the “imperative”, including the paradigms of the first and third person volutative. On the other hand, the first and third person volutatives have merged into a so-called “optative”. Imperative, optative, and volutative are closely related in the sense of their utilization but encode different notions. This occurs because imperatives naturally express *deontic* concepts, as they involve only addresser and addressee (Rentzsch 2015: 55), whereas volutative and optative express *volitional* ideas. Turkic *volitionals* can inhibit various formal and functional differences. Therefore, the lack of complete *volitional* paradigms leads to confusion (Johanson 2014: 21–22; 2021a: 680). For a more detailed description of *deontic* and *volitional* notions, see Section 2.1.4.

Imperatives manifest various degrees of politeness due to the relationship between addresser and addressee (Johanson 2021a: 670); therefore, it is very important to indicate who is the addresser and who is the addressee. Three degrees of politeness can be attested:

- Higher → polite, so-called “honorific”
- Equal → polite or non-polite, so-called “normal”
- Lower → non-polite

### *Imperative based on the bare verb stem*

In Turkic languages, the singular form of the imperative is often homonymous with the bare verb stem and lacks any markers. However, it is interesting to note that in the *Compendium*, there is only a single example of this markerless form, whereas the marker {-GII} is widely used.

Thus, example (103) illustrates the use of the imperative without a marker in a non-main temporal clause, providing background information. Here, we can observe the distinct lower degree of politeness, implying an order from a higher position to a lower one. This sentence is from the first part of the *Compendium*, dedicated to Boris Godunov, in which Godunov (the addresser) addresses his order to the army (the addressee) in a singular non-polite form. It is common for singular imperatives to be considered non-polite because of straightforward association with authority (Johanson 2021a: 673). The singular non-polite is typical for commands in military contexts, as can be seen in example (103).

- (103) *aflan degändä*  
*yüz miñ ayar anuķ* (f.4v/7)  
‘When [you] say “**Set out**”,  
one hundred thousand saddles are ready.’

However, in this context, the situation is more complex. The part in which the sentence is attested concerns the panegyric for Boris Godunov. Therefore, example (103) suggests that *when Boris Godunov says “Set out” to his army, everyone is ready*. In this situation, Godunov considers it permissible/desirable that his soldiers perform the action. As a result, the imperative here is not specific and can be paraphrased as “When Godunov wants his troops to perform the action (*aflan-*), all of the troops perform that action”.<sup>15</sup> The narrator, Boris Godunov, is a “virtual narrator” introduced by QAB, i.e. the “virtual narrator” (Godunov) does not coincide

---

<sup>15</sup> See Rentzsch (2015: 178–180) on the unspecific imperative in Turkish. According to him, the imperative covers the modal domains.

with the actual narrator (QAB). No additional examples of imperatives based on the bare verb stem are attested.

### *{-GII}*

The marker *{-GII}*<sup>16</sup> is an alternative form of a singular imperative in old Turkic languages. This marker dates back to the imperative form *ķil-* with a pure verb stem (Brockelmann 1954: 225; Erdal 2004: 351). Typically, *{-GII}* represents the second person singular.

Since imperatives vary in degrees of politeness depending on the relative status of the addresser and the addressee, non-polite imperatives can convey a sense of familiarity with the addressee, as seen in examples (104)–(105).

- (104) *[j̄iŋgiz χān] aydī kim*  
*muḳaliya **ḳoygīl** teb* (f.100r/3)

‘[Genghis Khan] said,  
“**Put** [a finger] on Maḳali.”’

- (105) *hār söz kim bar iŋ dānā bilä keŋäšmāk kārāk*  
*hār [yārdä] ani[n] aytyanin **etkil***  
*wä illā muḳa i‘ timād bolmasa*  
*öz sözüñni taḳi dānālarniñ söziḡä **ḳiyās etkil*** (f.92r/9–11)

‘Every word must be discussed with three knowledgeable people.  
**Do** what(ever) they say.  
Otherwise, if there is no trust in this,  
**compare** your word[s] with the word[s] of knowledgeable people.’

Null subjects in imperative clauses are common in Turkic languages, as illustrated in examples (104)–(105). The use of explicit subjects is unusual but possible, as seen in the example (106). In this case, this second person singular pronoun *sän* is used for emphasis and can appear before or after the verb (Bodrogligeti 2001: 176).

- (106) *idigä aydī*  
*sän <bu uruḡda> mänim birlä **bolyil*** (f.143v/8)

‘Edige said,  
“**You be** with me <in this battle>.”’

---

<sup>16</sup> Xisamieva states that *{-GII}* is absent in both standard literary Kazakh and Tatar but points out the preservation of the marker *{-GIIn}* in Tatar dialects (2022: 70). However, the poetic styles and dialects of Kazakh, including the dialect of the Ili region, also preserve the form *{-GIIn}*. Furthermore, oral texts and folklore document the presence of the old form *{-GII}* in Tatar (Balakaev 1962: 334; Abish 2016: 20). This is not a coincidence, as the *{-GII}* marker appeared in Middle Kipchak and Chaghatay (Johanson 2021a: 677).

The next example in the *Compendium* represents the use of the non-polite imperative markers {-GII} in quotation, illustrating direct speech spoken by Oghuz to his wives, one after the other.

- (107) *tilädim kim*  
*kökniñ xudāyğa **īmān keltürgil***  
*aña dost **bolyıl** teb* (f.19r/3–4)
- ‘I wished and said [to them],  
**“Put your faith** in the Almighty Lord of Heaven,  
**be a friend to him.”**’

The following example is noteworthy, as the addressee is plural in the first part (*sizlär*), but it is singular in the second (*sän*).

- (108) *oylanlarım sizlär hānūz yašsüz*  
*wä taķi kim günāhlik (sic!) bolsalar*  
*sän anlarnı öz köñlüñ birlä **öltürmägil***  
*māñä keñäšmäginčä* (f.82r/8–10)

‘My sons, you are still inexperienced.  
 If someone commits a sin,  
you do not kill them of your own accord  
 without consulting with me.’

The next example (109) illustrates the degree of politeness based on the status of the addressee. In this context, Genghis Khan, according to the ritual, climbed to the top of the mountain, fell on his knees, and addressed his words to *bār xudā yā* ‘o God!’. Therefore, the addressee holds a higher, so-called “honorific” rank.

- (109) *ägär bilsäñ kim*  
*bu ändišämni mäniñ haķķim turur*  
*šul yuķartin kut nušrät maña mädäd **yibärgil***  
*wä färmān **bärgil** tā šul üstümüzdaģi mälāikälär wä ādamlar wä bärriylär wä dēwler*  
*maña mädäd yibärgil*  
*wä färmān **bärgil***  
*tā šul mu ‘āwänät maña körsätsünlär<sup>17</sup>* (f.97v/9–98r/2)

‘If you (o God!) know that  
 my understanding is fair,  
**send down** luck and victory from above to help me!  
 And **give** an order to angels, people, fairies, and demons who are above us  
 [and] send [them] to help me!  
 And **give** them an order

<sup>17</sup> † *körsünlär*.

that they show me assistance!’

It should be emphasized that the imperative marker {-GII} in the word *yibärgil* and the voluntative marker {-sUn-lAr} in the word *körsätsünlär* appear in the clauses of purpose (final clauses), which define the main clause in Turkic languages (Eckmann 1966: 209; Bodrogligeti 2001: 380). *Yibärgil* and *körsätsünlär* were underlined for better illustration. Von Gabain (1941 [1974]: 111) claims that the third person plural voluntative might serve as a respectful / humble imperative, where the de facto result is a respectful imperative (Rentzsch 2015: 62). However, in example (109), the voluntative marker {-sUn-lAr} seems more likely to serve as a jussive. Furthermore, Abish (2016) considers the imperative to be a volitional process. That’s why she explains the expression in the bare marker of imperative, such as *гүлдей жайна* <güldey žayna> ‘May you bloom like a flower!’ (Abish 2016: 24) in modern Kazakh, illustrating the usage of good wishes in imperative, which is really very close to the third person singular voluntative meaning. However, Johanson (2021a: 670) considers such conventional speech formulae as exceptions. Eckmann (1966: 202) points out that there are various types of subordinate clauses in Chaghatay that developed under the influence of Persian language. Examples (109) and (110) illustrate the clause of purpose. Moreover, the Persian word *tā* appears as an introducer of purpose clauses (Eckmann 1966: 209–210; Bodrogligeti 2011: 380; Johanson 2021a: 916).

(110) *šarḥ jümlä tilä<sä>*  
*keliür*  
*tā ma ‘lūm kilyül* (f.11v/3)

‘If [someone] desires an explanation,  
it will come (up)  
in order **to make** [it] known.’

Purposive clauses are usually based on the optative {-GAy}, voluntative {-sUn}, and aorist {-(-°)r} markers (Bodrogligeti 2001: 380–381; Johanson 2021a: 917). However, in the *Compendium*, the purposive clauses contain markers of imperative as well.

**{I}**

Johanson (2021a: 671) mentions that imperatives can sometimes be softened by modalities expressing intension, wish, or potentiality. Therefore, it is worth noting that Abish (2016: 80; 2022: 346) classifies the nonaccentuable enclitic particle {šI} in Kazakh as a modal particle.

The enclitic particle {šI} > {čU} ~ {čI} is added to the base to form polite expressions of the second person imperative, indicating encouragement, begging, or entreaty. It conveyed a

particular sense of urgency or emphasis in Karakhanid and East Middle Turkic (Johanson 2021a: 678). Some modern Turkic languages, such as Kazakh, Noghay, Tatar, Kirghiz, Uzbek also use the nonaccentuable particles {čI} and {šI} to soften the tone of requests or make them more polite. It is interesting to note that this particle is preserved in Kazakh as {šI}, in Tatar as {čI}, but in Chuvash as {čĭ//jĭ} when added to forms in {-sAm} (Balakaev 1959: 115; Johanson 2021a: 678). In the *Compendium*, only a single example (111) is observed.

- (111) *ayturlar*  
*maḡa bärĭ*  
*teb aytur erdi* (f.68v/10)
- ‘They say,  
 “he used to say,  
 ‘**Give** [her] to me, **I beg you!**’”’

### *{-(I)ŋ-Iz} ~ {-(U)ŋ-uz}*

The simplest second person plural marker is represented in EOT as {-(I)ŋ}. Most later languages carried both {-(I)ŋ} and {-(I)ŋ-Iz} (Rentzsch 2015: 56; Johanson 2021a: 672).

Data from the 17th century *Compendium*, in addition to the form {-(I)ŋ-Iz}, reveal the emergence of the {-(U)ŋ-uz} marker, demonstrating a rounded vowel after a rounded syllable, with one exception; see example (116). The {-(I)ŋ-Iz} ~ {-(U)ŋ-uz} form is commonly used as a polite / honorific singular in some Turkic languages, where the ambiguity between singular and plural arises (Rentzsch 2015: 181). In the *Compendium*, the {-(I)ŋ-Iz} ~ {-(U)ŋ-uz} marker is attested with both polite singular usage (112) and numerous non-polite plural meanings (113)–(118).

Let us begin with the polite singular, which is the only example in the *Compendium*.

- (112) *ol waḡitda börtä fūĭin färzändgä ārzū erdi*  
*ĭŋgiz ḡān buyurdi*  
*ani iktülāĭiz teb* (f.69r/2–3)
- ‘At that time Lady Börte desired a child.  
 Genghis Khan ordered,  
 “**Bring him up.**”’

First and foremost, a question may arise regarding whether the sentence addresses a singular or plural person. Is Genghis Khan addressing his order to his wife, Lady Börte, or to the servants (plural)? This sentence is the translation from the Persian *Compendium*. In the Russian translation of the Persian ‘Compendium of Chronicles’, the sentence is the following:

“Так как в то время Бортэ-фуджин не имела детей, Чингиз-хан приказал, чтобы **она** его воспитала” (RD/ Smirnova 1952: 269).

This sentence translates as ‘Since Lady Börte had no children at that time, Genghis Khan ordered **her** to raise him’. The English translation of the Persian *Compendium* is the following: “At that time Börtä desired a child, so Genghis Khan told **her** to raise him” (Thackston 1998: 274). Both translations suggest that the addressee is Börte, a singular person.

In the *Compendium*, the plural imperative marker {-(I)η-Iz} ~ {-Uη-uz} addresses more than one addressee or one addressee together with someone else.

- (113) *māniḡ vaṣiyyätimni aḡa tegürünüz*  
*mābādā kim män kečkändin soḡ māniḡ sözümnü taḡi mülkdä tāmājāmišt kıliḡiz*<sup>18</sup>  
(f.89r/11–89v/1)

‘**Convey** (PL) my last wish to him,  
‘**Do not dispute** (PL) my words in the state under any circumstances after my passing.’

- (114) *ṣarḡ kıldurdi barḡa läškärinä*  
*bir işkä yätmägünjä*  
*olḡaya ḡanimätka (sic!) māšyül bolmaḡiz teb* (f.52v/5–7)

‘[Genghis Khan] forced all the soldiers to set conditions and said,  
“Until [you (PL)] complete the task,  
**do not touch** (lit. **do not devote to something**) (PL) the spoil[s].”’

- (115) *anlar yurtlarında buyurdum*  
*tā anlardın yirak etiḡiz deb*  
*ot tikänni ketäriḡiz teb* (98v/10–11)

‘I ordered [those who] are in their yurts,  
‘**Distance** (PL) from them.  
**Remove** (PL) the thorns of grass.”’

- (116) *māniḡ atimni oyuz kıoyuḡiz* (f.17v/11–18r/1)  
‘**Name** (PL) me Oghuz.’

The instances in the following two examples (117)–(118) feature pronouns. However, their roles in the sentences differ. In example (117), the pronoun is part of the vocative within the expression *sizlär kim mändin soḡ*, which means ‘you who [come] after me’. In example (118), the pronoun *sizlär* ‘you’ (non-polite, PL) serves an emphasizing role.

<sup>18</sup> K: *tāmājāmišt etiḡiz* (f.36r/12).

(117) *sizlär kim mändin soñ män buyuryan yasaqni **buzmañiz***  
*özgä ‘amäl **çıkarmañiz** (f.89r/9–10)*  
‘You (PL) who after me, **do not break** (PL) the law I prescribed,  
**do not compose** (lit. bring out) (PL) another practice.’

(118) *ay oylanlarım **anlañiz** sizlär*  
*bu dünyädin säfär kılmağ waqtı mäñä täyib turur (f.88v/6–7)*  
‘Oh, my sons, you (PL) **understand** [that]  
my travel time in this world has come to an end (lit. has touched).’

#### 2.1.4 Modality

According to Johanson’s conception, “modalities express various attitudes towards propositions” (2021a: 679). Modality does not directly reference any attribute of the event, such as tense or aspect, but instead points to the status of the proposition. The term *proposition* refers to a conceptual relation inherent in a statement, the meaning of which is determined by the marker (Rentsch, 2015: 18). Modalities usually pertain to the future domain and indicate desire, necessity, obligation, anticipation, certainty, possibility, probability, permissibility, prediction, and other notions. In Turkic languages, modality is often expressed through modal operators, which correspond to English modal modifiers such as *can, could, may, might, must, to have to, to need to, to ought to, shall, should, will, would, to be going to* (Johanson 2021a: 679).

In the *Compendium*, modal notions are conveyed both grammatically and analytically. For instance, the analytically derived category of potential modality (ability/possibility) is expressed through postverbal constructions with the auxiliary verbs *bil-* and *al-*. These constructions represent circumstantial ability/inability and mental ability/inability in the *Compendium*; see (119)–(120).

(119) Physical ability  
*hiğ jänwär ağ kötä rä **almas erdi** (f.106v/6–7)*  
‘No animal, no horse **could** have lifted him.’

(120) Circumstantial inability  
*ol suwdan läškär-i çitay kää **biämädi** (f.29v/3–4)*  
‘It was **impossible** for the Chinese troops to cross the water.’

As seen in examples (119)–(120), ability/inability does not strictly align with the definition of modality. According to Abish (2016: 13), postverbal constructions based on the auxiliary verbs *bil-* and *al-* possess inherent properties rather than attitudes. Consequently, they are not



considered part of modality in this study. These constructions are discussed separately in Section 2.3.5.

The semantic classes of modality discussed in this section include *volitional* (desire, hope, need, permission, warning, recommendation, promise, advice), *epistemic* (possibility, necessity, certainty, confirmation, likelihood with regard to knowledge), and *deontic* (moral, legal, social or ethnic norms) evaluation. The main type of modality expressed in Turkic is *volitional*, conveyed through voluntative and optative markers. These markers express wish and desirability and naturally refer to future actions. However, voluntatives and optatives usually lack complete paradigms (Johanson 2021a: 680), which has led to the misclassification of imperatives and voluntatives as constituting a single paradigm in traditional grammars of Turkic languages. The first person voluntative conveys a readiness to perform an action, while the third person voluntative expresses the necessity or desirability of the action being performed by a third person (Johanson 2021a: 682). Optative modality, on the other hand, conveys the necessity or desirability of achieving the action itself (Johanson 2021a: 692).

*Deontic* modality pertains to the possibility and necessity of action in terms of duty or obligation accordingly to a system of rules (Johanson 2021a: 680). *Epistemic* modality involves the possibility and necessity of propositions in terms of truth. The distinction between deontic and epistemic modalities is not always precise (Johanson 2021a: 681).

#### 2.1.4.1 Voluntatives<sup>19</sup>

Voluntatives in Turkic languages denote the desirability of a given action. They are used when the speaker wants the action to occur but does not command a second person(s) directly, but instead expresses expectations, desire, obligations, etc, toward first and third persons. There are no markers for the second person voluntative (Johanson 2021a: 682).

Turkic voluntatives can be translated into English using modal modifiers, such as ‘I/we will act’, ‘Let me/us act’, ‘May I/we act’, ‘It is desirable that I/we/X act’, ‘May X act’, ‘X shall act’, ‘Let X act’, and so on.

Most modern Turkic languages, as well as Old Turkic, traditionally have one form for the first person singular voluntative and only one form for the first person plural voluntative (Nevskaya 2010: 120; Rentzsch 2015: 184). The *Compendium* contains two forms for first person singular and four for the first person plural voluntatives with an additional variant.

Table 1.6. Voluntative markers in the *Compendium*

---

<sup>19</sup> The examination of the first person voluntative is based on my research on this field, which was published in Togabayeva (2024a).

	<b>Singular</b>	<b>Plural</b>
<b>1<sup>st</sup> person</b>	{-AyI-m} {-(A)yI-n} > {-n}?	{-All} {-All-m} {-All-ŋ} {-All-K}, ({-AyI-K})
<b>3<sup>rd</sup> person</b>	{-sUn}	{-sUn-lar}

### First person singular voluntative markers

The first person singular voluntative expresses “the intention or readiness [...] to perform the action”. It also “covers situations in which the projected action would be performed reluctantly or as a concession” (Rentzsch 2015: 183). There are two markers of the first person singular voluntative in the *Compendium*. They are scarcely attested. There is only one sentence (121) with two instances of the marker {-AyI-m} and eight instances occurring in six sentences (122)–(127) of the marker {-(A)yI-n}. Consider the following examples.

{-AyI-m} <’ym>

- (121) *ägär sän uluy xudāyya ikrār kılsaŋ*  
*anıñ birligiñä imān keltürsäñ*  
*säni **alayim***  
***dost tutayim*** (f.19r/6–7)

‘If you acknowledge the Almighty Lord,  
[and] if you follow this communion,  
I **shall take** you  
and I **consider** you **as my friend.**’

{-(A)yI-n} <’yn, yn>

- (122) *tañrı işimni oñarsa*  
*män säni **xānlayim*** (f.143v/9)

‘If Tengri directs my affairs [on the right path],  
**I am ready to make you the khan.**’

- (123) *ol häm özi elji yibärdi*  
***el bolayim teb*** (f.57v/8–9)

‘He also sent an envoy and said,  
“**I am ready to obey [you].**”’

- (124) *män xudāyni eşitkänim yok*  
*bilgänim yok*  
*wä lēkin säniñ söziñdän **çikmayim***

wä färmāniṅgä muḡ' bolayin  
sän nä desän  
ani **ķilayin** (f.19r/8–10)

‘I have absolutely not heard the Almighty Lord,  
I have absolutely not known [Him],  
but **I promise not to go against** (lit. **go out**) your words,  
and [instead] **obey** your order.  
**May I do**  
whatever you say.’

(125) mäniṅ dānim yolindin ķaytib ermiş  
ani ~~ani~~ tirig **etmän**  
der (f.20v/9–10)

mäniṅ dānim yolindin ķaytib ermiş  
ani tirig **etmäyin**  
der (K: f.7r/4–5)

‘It occurred that he left the path of my religion.  
I shall **not let him live**’,  
he says.’

The first person singular voluntative marker {- (A)yI-n} in example (125) is expressed somewhat differently in two manuscripts. In the St. Petersburg manuscript, the marker {- (A)yI-n} is shortened and appears as <n> after the negation {-mA-}, e.g. ایتمان. In the Kazan manuscript, however, it is written as <yn>, e.g. ایتماین. The discrepancy in the St. Petersburg manuscript could be considered an erratum. However, Adamović (1985: 244–247) provides examples where abbreviated suffixes of first person singular voluntative appear in Turkish dialects. Therefore, it is also possible to consider the spelling of *etmän* as a variant of *etmäyin*.

(126) bir waķitda andin köñül ķalişib idigä küjükkä  
ķahr ķilib  
**öldüräyin** tädi (K: f.61r/7–8)

‘One day [Tokhtamysh] took offense at Edige *küçük*<sup>20</sup> and,  
being angry with him,  
he said, “I **shall kill** [you/him].”’

(127) ägär tiläsä  
turub

<sup>20</sup> The Turkic word *küçük* ‘small’ here means ‘dog’, ‘puppy’ and corresponds to Mongolian *noqay* ‘dog’ (Lessing 592). In the Turkic-Mongol world, *Noqay* (a Kipchak variant of the Mongolian *noqay*), *Barak* ‘long-haired dog’, and *Mamay* were popular personal names. *Noqay* was the name of *beglerbeg* Nogay, who established an independent khanate in the Balkans in the 13th century. When Edige reached the peak of his power, he was not satisfied with the title *beg/biy*. However, he could not accept the title of *Khan* because he was not a Genghisid. Therefore, in addition to his name, he began using the names of former powerful figures of the Golden Horde as titles, appending them to his personal name. He used the titles Edige *Nogay Beg*, Edige *Küçük Beg*, and Edige *Mamay Beg* (Trepavlov 1997).

*olturayın tesä*  
*rāst oltura almayay* (f.96r/2–3)

‘If/Whenever/Usually when [a drunk person] request saying  
“I **shall** stand  
and **sit down**”,  
he will not be able to sit down properly.’

The limited occurrence of {-AyI-m} in the manuscript makes it difficult to give a comprehensive analysis of first person singular voluntatives. The variation between {-AyI-m} in (121) and {-(A)yI-n} in (122)–(127) may indicate that different Turkic varieties are represented in the *Compendium*. Corresponding variation in Oghuz varieties have been documented; see, for instance, Adamović (1985: 243–248).

### **First person plural voluntative markers**

The morphology of plural voluntatives is more complex than that of singular forms. First person plural voluntatives serve “cohortative functions, expressing incitement, encouragement or invitation to act together with the addressee(s) and/or others” (Johanson 2021a: 682).

Turkic languages of the Northeastern branch (Siberian Turkic languages) and the Southwestern branch (Turkmen, Azeri, and dialects of Anatolian Turkish) possess more than one first person plural voluntative form, marking inclusive versus non-inclusive/exclusive meanings (Nevskaya 2010: 121–123, Johanson 2021: 687–688, Turan 2022: 51). Inclusive voluntative markers involve both the speaker and the listener, while non-inclusive/exclusive voluntatives involve the speaker’s group but exclude the listener. It is noteworthy, however, that the use of non-inclusive voluntatives can be relatively neutral, expressing a more general plurality that is not always exclusive.

Non-inclusive markers have relatively simple forms and often use dual signaling. Inclusive markers, on the other hand, are derived from these non-inclusive forms through augmentation, mostly in the form of plural markers (Johanson 2021: 687–688).

In the *Compendium*, the contexts in which voluntatives are used allow for inclusive readings of {-AII} in (128)–(129); {-AII-m} in (130)–(132); {-AII-η} in (133); and {-AII-K} in (134)–(135), and a neutral reading of {-AII-K} in (136).

Although, the different voluntative markers found in the *Compendium* can be read as inclusive depending on the context, there is no clear morphological distinction between inclusive and non-inclusive/exclusive forms.

{-AII} <’ly>

{-All} appears to be the oldest reconstructable first person plural volunative marker. There are only two examples of it in the entire manuscript.

(128) *burunı wakitda bir birinä yağšilik kilişyanni öfkä sözün aytib yibardı*  
*yaraşali deb* (f.54r/1–2)

‘[Genghis Khan] said that they (Genghis Khan on one hand, Ong Khan and Sengün Khan on the other) have made good things to each other in the past and burst out in words of anger,

“**Let us make peace!**”

(129) *nökärläri aydılar*  
*näčük biz üçäw*  
*anlarni kowali*  
*jabib barib soqalim tedi* (f.94r/2–3)

‘The *nökers* said,

“Since there are three of us,

**let us** [together with you] **persecute** them!

Let us ride and beat [them] up!”

The volunative marker {-All-m} in the word *soqalim* raises doubts about the correct spelling of the word *kowali* قوالی. However, in the Kazan manuscript it is written in the same way, i.e. as *kowali* قوالی (K: f.38r/10), thereby avoiding any potential misinterpretation. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that Alimov (2022: 79) transcribed it as *kuv-ala-y* (K: f.39a/10)

Sentences (128) and (129) demonstrate the use of the volunative when the interpretation involves the listeners in the action. In example (128), Ong Khan and Sengün Khan embarked on a campaign against Genghis Khan. Genghis Khan fled, but later, he returned to his ‘royal camp’ and sent an envoy to Ong Khan and Sengün Khan, ordering them to make peace. In this sentence, the speaker is Genghis Khan, addressing several addressees (Ong Khan and Sengün Khan). In sentence (129), the *nökers* offer to chase two horsemen with Derikey Uha. The addressee/listener, i.e. Derikey Uha, is also included in the action.

{-All-m} <’lm, ’lym>

All four occurrences of {-All-m} are found in only three sentences, (130)–(132). All of them can be interpreted in such a way that the listener is involved in the action. In examples (130) and (131), a dialogue unfolds between the amirs of Genghis Khan and Sorqaqtani Beki. They discuss new developments following Genghis Khan’s death that contradict his command. Examples (130) and (131) directly follow each other in the text. In sentence (131), Sorqaqtani Beki suggests acting according to new rules, emphasizing the invitation is to the addressees to

act together. These two examples can be interpreted in such a way that the first person plural volutative marker {-All-m} involves both the speaker and the listener.

(130) *biz näčük andin küčälim*

*anıñ färmänin näčük xiläf kılalim* (f.83v/9–10)

‘How **may we** [together with you] **cross** [Genghis Khan’s will],  
how **may we act against** his order?’

(131) *sizniñ rāst turur sözüñüz*

*wä lēkin šul anwā ‘dīn bizlär andin kim tururm°z tā bunuñ dek ötközälim* (f.83v/11–  
84r/2)

‘Your words are right,  
but we **shall be content** with the things of which we have.’

Example (132) is identical to (129), sharing a cohortative function that expresses a call to action in conjunction with the addressee. The interpretation of the context suggests the inclusion of the addressee in the action, with everyone in the speech situation acting together. Moreover, this example shows that the two volutative markers {-All} and {-All-m} convey the same meaning.

(132) *nökärläri aydılar*

*näčük biz üçäw*

*anlarni kowali*

*jabib barib soqalim tedi* (f.94r/2–3)

‘The *nökers* said,  
“Since there are three of us,  
let us [together with you] persecute them!  
**Let us** ride and **beat** [them] **up!**”

{-All-ŋ} <’lynk, ’lnk>

There is only one example with two instances of the marker {-All-ŋ}.

(133) *ķirīm läškäri ümära-yi <büzürg> uluylar <aydılar>*

*bu yıl yazısin yaylamış[ı] kılalıñ*

*atimiz semürtäliñ* (f.145v/5–7)

‘The great amirs of the Crimean army said,  
“**Let us** [we, together with you] **settle** in the pasture this year in the summer  
and **let us fatten** our horses!”

The narrative in this part of the manuscript focuses on a confrontation between Edige and Qadir Berdi Khan. In the text, the great amirs of the Crimean army persuaded Qadir Berdi Khan to

settle in the pasture that summer, as they wanted to cross the Volga River in the winter, when the river would be frozen and provide easier passage. Qadir Berdi Khan was present at that campaign; therefore, the amirs (speakers) expressed their request to Qadir Berdi Khan (listener), including him as a co-participant. Thus, the marker {-All-ŋ} in example (133) can be interpreted in this context as involving the addressees, just like {-All} in (128)–(129) and {-All-m} in (130)–(132).

{-All-K} <'lyk> and and ({-AyI-K} <'yk>)

The *Compendium* contains five examples of {-All-K} in three separate sentences, shown in (134)–(136).

- (134) *pādišāh altan χān uluyları bilän keñäš kildi*  
*munuñ bilän uruśalıķ mu*  
*yā yaraśalıķ mu teb* (f.58v/3–4)

‘The sovereign Altan Khan counselled with his great [amirs] and asked,  
 “**Shall we battle** [together with you]  
 or **may we make peace** with him?”’

- (135) *yaraśmaķlıķni oχśatib*  
*eļji yibārđi*  
*el bolalıķ teb* (f.58v/4–5)
- yaraśmaķlıķni oχśatib*  
*eļči yibārđi*  
*el bolayıķ teb* (K: f.23v/7–8)

‘Choosing reconciliation,  
 he (Altan Khan) sent an envoy [to Genghis Khan]  
 and said, “**Let us make peace** [with you].”’

- (136) *suāl kildi kim*  
*bizgä ijāzät bar mu yā yok mu*  
*yarlıyına ermiş*  
*yā kaytalıķ mu*  
*yā taķi qala ‘larni alalıķ mu teb* (f.99v/9–10)

‘[He] asked,  
 “Do you have permission for us or not?”  
 [He] asked for his order,  
 “**Shall we** [without you] **return**  
 or **shall we** [without you] **capture** more cities?”’

Examples (134)–(135) allow the same inclusive interpretation as the markers {-All} in (128)–(129), {-All-m} in (130)–(132) and {-All-ŋ} in (133).

In example (134), the sovereign Altan Khan consults with his amirs to decide whether to engage in battle or make peace.

The Kazan manuscript shows the volunative marker {-AyI-K} in *el bolayik* ‘Let us make peace [with you]’ (135) instead of {-AII-K}. The previous examination in this topic (Togabayeva 2024a) reached the wrong conclusion, as it was analyzed as excluding the addressee with the incorrect translation. However, the volunative verb *el bolalik/el bolayik* ‘Let us make peace’ is not exclusive but inclusive.

In example (136), the marker {-AII-K} is explicitly used to request permission from the addressee to perform an action; however, the addressee is not physically involved in the action. In this case, the use of volunative {-AII-K} refers to a request for permission from the superior, rather than a desire or wish, and is not interpreted inclusively.

### Third person volunative markers

The third person volunative indicates requests, demands, encouragement or invitation, incitement, permission, advice, or consent for an action to be performed by an entity other than the addressee. It is usually used in an impersonal sense, where the act is expected to be performed from the speaker’s perspective. Semantically, the volunative is closely related to the optative and can be translated as ‘X shall do’, ‘X should do’, ‘May X do’, ‘Let X do’ (Johanson 2021a: 682).

Both suffix variants {-sUn} ~ {-sIn} are used for the third person singular volunative in the *Compendium*. However, in the St. Petersburg manuscript, there is only one instance of {-sIn}, as shown in (142). The Kazan manuscript attests the form {-sIn} in place of the St. Petersburg marker {-sUn}, see examples (140)–(141), (142). These examples demonstrate that there was no strict rule governing the vowel in the third person volunative marker within the *Compendium*.

The third person singular volunative may express the addresser’s desideratives (137)–(139) or jussives, directing Genghis Khan’s orders to a third person singular (140)–(142).

- (137) *tā abād al-abād-gājā dāvlāt aṇa yār bolsun* (StP: f.157r/2)  
*tā abād al-abād-gājā dāvlāt aṇa yār bolsun* (K: f.68v/10)

‘**May** happiness **be** his friend forever and ever.’

- (138) *yīl ašasun*  
*yüz yašasun* (f.2r/3)

‘**Let** him **consume** the year[s],  
**let** him **live** one hundred [of them].’



The next voluntative in example (139) is presented in the prepredicative position of the subject, with the nominal clause rhyme.

- (139) *kündin küngä ziyädü bolsun dävläti*  
*häm bozulmasun mämläkäti* (f.4r/5–6)

‘His fortune **shall thrive** day by day!  
His realm **shall not decay!**’ (Danka 2020: 78)

- (140) *aña xabär yibärdi*  
*naymanniñ pädišähî tayan xānyā mädäd kılmasun teb* (StP: f.55r/10–55v/1)

*aña xabär yibärdi*  
*naymanniñ pädišähî tayan xānyā mädäd kılmasın teb* (K: f.22r/11)

‘[He] sent an information:  
“[He] **should not help** to Tayan Khan, padishah of Naymans.”’

- (141) *xabär wāqi ‘î här vilāyätka tüşmäsün*  
*tā ulus sizniñ bilän vilāyätiniğgä kelmäsin* (StP: f.63v/7–8)

*xabär wāqi ‘î här vilāyätka tüşmäsin*  
*tā ulus birgä sizniñ bilän vilāyätiniğgä kälmäsin* (K: f.25v/12)

‘Pertinent news **should not be known** throughout all the provinces (lit. **should not be fallen** to all the provinces),  
even until the people **should not come** to your province with you.’

- (142) *jiñgiz xān elji yibärdi*  
*kün isiy gärm boldi*  
*qaytsin teb* (StP: f.61v/3)

*çiñgiz xān elci yibärdi*  
*kün isiy gärm boldi*  
*qaytsin teb* (K: f.24v/14–15)

‘Genghis Khan sent an envoy and said,  
“The day turned hot.  
[He] **should go back.**”’

Moreover, the third person singular voluntative marker {-sUn} appears in the purposive clause; see example (143). The conjugator *tā* occurs in complex sentences in the same way as it does with imperative; see Section 2.1.3.

- (143) *yolda här kişini yoluğa öldürüñüz*  
*tā xabär här tarafqa çikmasun*  
*teb bu vaşiyätni kildi* (StP: f.63v/11–64r/2)

*yolda här nä yoluğa öldürüñüz*  
*tā xabär här tarafqa çikmasın*  
*teb bu vaşiyätni kildi* (K: f.25v/14–15)

“Kill everyone you (PL) meet on the way

in order to the news **is not spread** (lit. **go out**) to all sides,”  
he made this testamentary arrangement.’

The accusative case marker {-nI} in the *vaṣiyyätni kıl-* ‘to bequeath, make a testamentary arrangement’ in example (143) raises questions about the existence of the analytic denominal verb derivation.

The {-lAr} marker was permanently added to {-sUn} to express multiple subject referents. There are three instances of the third person plural in the *Compendium*; all are jussives, directing Genghis Khan’s orders; see examples (144)–(145) and Table 1.6.

- (144) *uluylar wä läškär xalki barĵasi **şabr kilsunlar*** (StP: f.63v/5–6)  
*uluylar wä läškär xalki barĉasi **şabr kilsunlar*** (K: f.25v/10–11)

‘Great [amirs] and the whole military people **shall be patient.**’

- (145) *kurčilarya buyurdi*  
*turyanlar munuñdek bu kİR dağ bolub kara **bolsunlar*** (f.98r/11–98v/1)  
*kurčilarya buyurdi*  
*turyanlar munuñdek bu kİR dağ bolub kara **bolsunlar*** (K: f.40r/5–6)

‘[Cenghis Khan] ordered the quiver bearers,  
“**Let** those standings **be black** like this steppe.”’

#### 2.1.4.2 Optatives

The Turkic optative performs a variety of functions. It is “a modal category with volitional, deontic, and epistemic functions” (Johanson 2021a: 692).

The volitional notion represents wish, will, desire, hope, expectation, incitement, inducement, purpose, invocation, advice, command, directive, promise, blessing, and so on. However, these volitional notions frequently lead to deontic and epistemic notions such as prediction, necessity, potentiality, obligation, and counter factuality, as in the old Indo-European languages. The optative can be translated into English as ‘May X act’ for volitional and ‘X may/should/must/will/shall act’ for epistemic or deontic possibility. Furthermore, the Turkic optative can function as a marker of predication and potentiality, allowing its use in conditional clauses. These situations express unfulfilled actions that convey future time, indicating expected, desired, and possible notions. It can be compared to the Indo-European prospective and the use of the English *will/shall*. Therefore, Turkic grammarians label this category as ‘future’ or ‘optative-future’. The future situation is presented as possible, probable, or necessary (Johanson 2014: 20, 38–39; 2021a: 692–693; Abish 2016:

36). Originally the {-GAY} marker developed from the old prospective, and in the early Middle Turkic era, the meaning shifted to an emotive one (Rentzsch 2015: 188).

The main difference between voluntative and optative (as both represent primary volitional notions) is that “voluntatives mainly cover the semantic domains of desire and permissibility”, while “optative items tend to cover the domains of desire and necessity”. Moreover, the optative encompasses the full range of addressee shapes available with the voluntative and imperative and, therefore, may refer to both participant-internal and participant-external domains (Rentzsch 2015: 187).

In the *Compendium*, not all optative paradigms are attested. Table 1.7 illustrates the grammatical markers of the optative marker {-GAY} with the first person plural, third person singular, and third person plural pronominal types of agreement markers.

Table 1.7. Optative markers

	Singular	Plural
1 <sup>st</sup> person		{-GAY-mIz}
2 <sup>nd</sup> person		
3 <sup>rd</sup> person	{-GAY}	{-GAY-lAr}

The first person plural optative usually indicates the addresser’s readiness to act or to request permission to perform an action and can be translated as ‘May we act’, ‘We will act’, ‘Let us act’ (Johanson 2021a: 692). See the example below.

- (146) *anıñ oylanların soñ ayyaymız* (f.122v/2–3)  
‘Let us talk [about] his sons later.’

The third person optative conveys “the wish of the addresser or some other entity, but they may also be used in an impersonal sense” (Johanson 2021a: 692).

### Wish, desire, or hope

- (147) *χudāy ta ‘āla yār yüzündä pādīšāhlik saña bārgāy* (f.56v/1–2)  
‘May the Almighty Lord – may He be exalted – give you sovereignty on the surface of the Earth.’

- (148) *ešitgüjigä χoš kelib  
oқuyujıya ‘ākılya fāydasi bolıyay* (f.1r/1)  
‘May [it] be pleasant to the listener  
and useful to the reader’s mind.’

(149) *χudāy ta ‘āla buyurub turur*  
*seniñ atiñ jingiz χān **bolyay*** (f.56v/6–56v/7)

‘The Almighty Lord – may He be exalted – has ordered,  
“**May** your name **be** Genghis Khan.”’

(150) *ol läškärniñ arabasın tämirdin yasattı ya ‘nī*  
*{tämir (kudalaқта ?) yolda taylı taşlı yärdä araba **sinmayay}** teb* (f.59v/4–5)

‘He forced to make an army’s wagon-load from the iron, saying,  
“**May** the wagon-load **not break** [and] (go further ?) on the hard-to-pass (iron, round) road [that lies] on the mountainous, stony land.”’

Turkic languages exhibit various systems of verbal inflection for the modality in main clauses (Johanson 2014: 19). However, modality also appears in embedded finite clauses, the so-called non-main (subordinate) clauses in the *Compendium*. Although subordinate clauses are not native to Turkic languages, various types of subordinate clause developed in Chaghatay in imitation of the Persian (Eckmann 1966: 202), while still being expressed by finite predicates. The use of the imperative and voluntative in embedded finite clauses can be seen in Sections 2.1.3 and 2.1.4.1. The examples below show the use of the optative in subordinate clauses.

(151) *ošbu tört oyuldin birisini tilädi*  
*bu maqāmdin özgä maqāmya **baryay*** (f.24r/10–11)

‘He wanted/wished one of these four sons [that]  
he **shall go** from one dwelling to another.’

(152) *tilädi kim bizni*  
*özinä **muwāfaqat kilyaymiz*** (f.20r/11)

‘He desired us that  
we **should agree** with him (and accept his religion).’

### Command, directive

(153) *χalk arasında näčük kičik buzayı dek tek **turyay***  
*wä takı uruş vaqıtında mişāl-i aǰ kim šikār-gähda jähd işkä zāriliķ bilä **kelgüy***  
(f.93r/4–6).

‘[A man] **must conduct (lit. stay)** like a small calf among the people  
and, in time of war, [a man] **must take up (lit. come)** work hard in the hunting place  
with wailing like a hungry [hawk].’

### Necessity

The following examples (154)–(156) are similar to the sentences presented in example (153). However, there is a modal word *käräk* ‘necessary’ in examples (154)–(156), which slightly limits the meaning of the command and indicates necessity (Berta & Csató 2022: 157). Matrix clause structures imply the complementizer *kim*, which, together with the optative as a subjunctive, indicates the influence of the Persian language, as seen as in Middle Turkic and Kipchak (Rentzsch 2015: 128–129).

- (154) *ägär šunī içmäkdin jāräsi bolmasa anday käräk kim  
bir ayda üç katla mäst **bolıyay**  
näçük şul üjdin ötsä  
**çağā bolıyay** (f.97r/5–7)*

‘If there is no solution for drinking, then it must be so that  
[he] **may be intoxicated / drunk** three times a month.  
As he exceeds three,  
it **will be** a fault.’

- (155) *anday kim...(f.95r/6)  
ümärä-yi läškär soñ anday käräk kim  
oylanlarıya oq **attıryay**  
atqa **ıapturyay**  
tutuşmañni yaşşı **bildürgäy**  
anlarni munuñ dek işdä **āzmāyiş et[t]ürgäy**  
wä anday **bolıyay bolıyay**  
mäşxūr wä bahādūr bolub **yürügäylär** (f.95r/9–95v/1)*

‘So that...  
the *amirs* of the army must [be] so that  
[they] **must let** their sons **shoot** arrows,  
[they] **must let** [their sons] **ride** a horse.  
And [they] **must** properly **teach** wrestling.  
They **must trial** them in such things/work.  
It **must be** that/in the following way:  
**they shall live** being a famous [man] and a hero.’

In example (156), the {-GAy} marker fulfills the function of necessity, while modifying the command or directive in the embedded clauses.

- (156) *tümän begi wä müñ begi wä yüz begi anday käräk kim  
här biri öz läškärini anday **yasamışt kilyaylar**  
**ıabı** anday **bolıyay kim**  
här waķıtta kim anıñ färmāniya wä hukmiya **yätkäy**  
‹kăcäni kündüz kılıb› aytmay **aılanıyay** (f.99r/9–99v/1).*

‘Every general, colonel, and captain must [be] so that  
all of them **should/must regulate** their own army that/in the following way:  
enforcement/obedience/discipline **should/must be** so that

[they] **should/must obey** his commands and decisions/judgements all the time  
[and they] **must ride/march** <turning night into day> without saying/questioning.’

### General truth

According to Eckmann (1966: 161) and Bodrogligeti (2001: 199), the optative is sometimes used to express a general truth and is translated using the English present tense. I am highlighting the function of ‘general truth’ within the optative, which I have chosen as the basis for my analysis. However, it is important to note that Johanson does not address this particular function.

- (157) *šahr-i talaš qarī anda **bolyay**  
šahr-i otrar šayram haddiya yaqin **bolyay**  
čuw talaš isiy köl tākālik almaliq ilä qaratal ol vilāyätlärdä **bolyay**  
yäti kánt häm anda **bolyay** (K: f.60v/6–7)*

‘The ancient Talas city **is located** there.  
Otrar and Sayram cities **are located** near the border [of that mountain].  
Chu, Talas, Issyk-Kul, Tekelik, Almalyk, Ile and Karatal **are located** on that land.  
[These] seven cities **are also located** there.’

### Probability

- (158) *bu zamānda ol yärdä muķīm musulmān **bolyay**  
musulmānya **tābi** ‘ **bolyay** (f.16v/11–17r/1)*

‘At this time at that place inhabitants **must be** Muslims.  
[Therefore, the city] **must be subdued** to Muslims.’

### Potentiality

- (159) *här kim ersä öz üyüni rāst ķilsa  
mülkni häm **rāst ķilyay**  
här kim ersä on kim ersäni yasamišī etsyä  
lāyīķ aña **bolyay** kim  
mīñ beglikni taķi tümän begligini muña **bärgüylär**  
wä **yasamišī ķilyay** (f.91v/11–92r/3)*

‘Whoever can keep his own home in order  
[that one] **can also keep** the property **in order**.  
Anybody who can keep ten men in order  
[that one] **is** worthy of **being** that  
they **must give** him the title of colonel and general,  
and [that person] **must set things in order**.’

## Prediction, expectation

- (160) *mägär anlar artuksä läškär paydā **ķilyay**  
wä anlar kim öz yurtlarında olturub turur biligni ešitmäy  
anlarniñ ħāli mišāli taš **bolyay**  
köb suw astında **ķalyay**  
yā kāmālgä keltürmäy  
atқан ok **bolyay**  
**nā-bādīd bolyay**  
anday kim ersä pišvālikda **oltura almayay** (f.91v/7–11)*

‘Except for them more army make to appear.  
And they sit in their *yurts* and do not listen to the *biligs*  
their **situation will be** like a stone [that]  
**remains** under the water  
or **will be** like an arrow,  
[which is] shot imperfectly (lit. does not come perfectly)  
[and] **will disappear**.  
In that case [they] **will not rule (properly)** (lit. **could not sit** on the leadership).’

- (161) *bizniñ kawmızda munuñdek oylan hiñ tuymadi  
hiñ färzänd yinä **kelmägäy** (f.17v/7–9)*

‘Such a boy has not yet been born in our tribe so far,  
and **probably** [such a boy] **will not come** anymore.’

- (162) *bizdin soñ bizniñ uruyum•z ħubbälär bilän aķça **tolduryay**  
yaħši tonlar **kiygäylär**  
wä ni ‘mätlär järb wä širīn **yegäylär**  
yaħši şurätlik aṭlarya **mingäylär**  
wä ħūb yüzlük körklük ħātunlarni **alyaylar**  
**aytmayaylar** kim  
bularni bizniñ atalarim•z ayalarmim•z jāmi ‘*ķalyan erdilär teb*  
wä bizni ol uluy kün **unutmaķliķ etkäy** (f.95v/3–8).*

‘After us, our offspring **will fill [the treasury]** with heaps of money with outer,  
they **will put on** good fur coats  
and they **will eat** fatty and delicious food,  
they **will sit** on strong (lit. well-shaped) horses  
and they **will take** fine-faced beautiful wives,  
[but] they **will not say** that  
our fathers and elders collected all of these.  
And they **will forget** us on that day of judgment.’

## Purpose

- (163) *aniñ soñyudan oñ ħān bilän oyli sāñun bir bolub*

*läškär tartib nā-gāh jīngiz χanniñ üstünä baryaylar* (53v/6–7)

‘Then, Ong Khan, together with his son Sengun,  
went on a campaign **in order to attack** Genghis Khan unexpectedly.’

- (164) *özlärin körsätib*  
*tā näjük ol üç atlıylar aña **kaşd etkäy***  
*kaşurub kowub **kelgäy***  
*wä bi-mädäd nökärläri bilän anlarnü **tutyay*** (94r/8–10)
- ‘[He] showed himself  
**in order to** those three horsemen **encroach his life**  
[and] **chased** him,  
and [he] **would catch** them **up** with the aid of his *nökers*.’

### Rhetorical question

- (165) *wä ol näfs kim mäst bolmaқni özi kaydan **tabқay*** (f.97r/9–10)  
‘Where to **find** such a person who would not be intoxicated at all?’

In the *Compendium*, the ablative case is typically marked by {-dIn} دین. However, {-dAn} دان sporadically represents it as well, as shown in example (165).

### Optative in the past

There is no example in Chaghatay grammars where the pure optative marker expresses a past situation (Eckmann 1966; Bodrogligeti 2001). Johanson (2021: 692–701) also did not provide such examples in the Turkic language manual. The remote copula *erdi* is always added when expressing the optative in the past. However, the *Compendium* demonstrates examples of optative markers with past meaning, using the {-GAy} marker in discourse type based on the finite verb form {-DI}; see examples (166)–(167).

### Probability in the past

Example (166) indicates a very high probability, similar to example (158), but in the past. However, even though the context allows for the interpretation of the sentence as a generally known truth, this is unlikely. This is because the sentence is part of the historical narrative about Timur Qutlugh; therefore, a present or future interpretation of this sentence is not possible.

- (166) *bi-mävzi ‘ idil haddında mämālik-i hajji tarχānda **bolyay*** (f.146r/7)



‘He **must have been** [a khan] in the countries of *Hajji Tarkhan*<sup>21</sup> on the border of the Volga.’

There are examples in the *Compendium* where the entire passage consists of the modal marker {-GAy}, which performs various meanings related to modality. The following example (167) illustrates the notions of necessity, recommendation, possibility, and expectation in the main clause.

- (167) *taķi aytib turur*  
*er künni özindä ermäs teb **bilgäy*** (necessity)  
*barĵa yärdä özi dek eränlär bilän **körgäy*** (possibility)  
*anday bolsa erläri aņya yä ĵäriggä ketib ersä*  
*ĵätunlar üyün asbābin yaraşuķ **ķilyay*** (recommendation) *anday kim näčük*  
*elči yä mihmān bolsa*  
*anıñ üyünä kelib **ķonyay*** (possibility)  
*barĵa närsädin tärtibli **körgäylär*** (possibility)  
*wä ašinü yaĵši **etküy*** (recommendation)  
*mihmānnü yaĵši **ķonaķlayay*** (recommendation)  
*la-ĵäräm eriniñ yaĵši atini **paydā ķilyay*** (expectation)  
*anıñ atı **biyükkä čikayay*** (expectation) *näčük tay üstünä čikyan dek*  
*yaĵši erli yaĵši ĵätunli teb **ma ’lüm bolyay*** (expectation) (f.93r/10–93v/7)<sup>22</sup>

‘Also, he has said,

“[A man] **shall know** (necessity) that the Sun is not in himself [that] he **can see** (possibility) males like him everywhere.

In that case when their husbands go hunting or to the army

the wives **should keep** the house and utensils **pleasing** (recommendation) so that if there is an envoy or a guest,

[they] **could alight** (possibility) at his house

and they **could see** (possibility) everything in order.

And [wives] **should prepare** (recommendation) a good meal.

And [wives] **should prepare** everything that the guest needs (lit. let take up temporary quarters in a place) (recommendation).

As a result, [such wives] **create** (expectation) a good reputation [for their husbands].

His name **shall be exalted** (expectation) like [a name of man] who has climbed a mountain.

Good qualities of a husband **are recognized** (expectation) [by] the good qualities of a wife.”

Thackston (1998: 293 n.1; 295–296) used this part of the Turkic *Compendium* when translating the Persian text, since this section of the Persian text was obscure. He translated it as the following:

<sup>21</sup> Hajji Tarkhan is a medieval town located on the right bank of the Volga River; it is now known as Astrakhan.

<sup>22</sup> The source of example (167) is *Dede Korkut*, see Lewis (1974: 193).

“A man [is not the sun] that he can show himself openly to his relatives everywhere. A woman whose husband has mounted to go hunting or on an expedition should keep the home in order and decorated to that if an envoy or a guest alight at the home he will see that everything is well arranged, and she should prepare good food and see the guest’s needs. As a consequence, she will have made a good name for her husband, his reputation will be good, and he will hold his head aloft like a mountain in assemblies and gatherings. A man’s good repute is known from the goodness of his wife”.

The relevance of singular and plural optatives in the *Compendium* is presented, although not fully elaborated. The optative expresses various readings of performing an action in the *Compendium*. Nevertheless, every optative conveys the expression of a wish, even in epistemic notions, with the exception of general truth.

### 2.1.4.3 Further Modals

#### Expressions of necessity

The necessitative modal meaning pertains to modality in terms of the duty to act and can be translated using English ‘must’, ‘have to’, ‘should’, ‘necessary’. They are used to express ethical, moral, legal, or social norms without a strong force of obligation (Johanson 2014: 20–21; 2021a: 705; Abish 2016: 126).

Two examples of necessitives are attested in the *Compendium*, in addition to the modal word *käräk* in sentences with the optative marker {-GAy}, which limits the means of command; see examples (154)–(155) above.

The constructions of the two examples below are formed with the adjectival operator of necessity (modal word or auxiliary noun) *käräk* and the verbal noun in {-mAk} (although it is missing in example (169)) (Rentzsch 2015: 43; Abish 2016: 126; Berta & Csató 2022: 157; Johanson 2022a: 39), which is the most common structure of necessity. Rentzsch (2015: 117) mentions construction in both *Babur-name* and Old Uighur.

(168) *här söz kim bar*  
*üč dānā bilä keñäsmäk käräk* (f.92r/9–10)

‘Every word there is  
**must be consulted** with three wise men.’

The following example lacks a verbal noun; however, it is necessary for it to be there. It is likely that QAB missed it, as the verbal noun is absent in both St. Petersburg and Kazan manuscripts.

(169) *ägär andin ilgäri söz aytsa*

*ägär tiñlasa fä-bihā*  
*wä ĩlla temir kızıyanda [?] kārāk* (f.92v/4–5)

‘If he says a word early,  
it is good if they listen;  
except that iron **must be [stroked]** while it is hot.’

### Expressions of potentiality

Turkic potentials are inherent in possible, probable, or feasible action. They can express epistemic or deontic meanings. Potentiality markers generally indicate ability, regarding the subject of the sentence as ‘by virtue of his abilities’, which means that ‘it is possible’, comparable to an English modal verb *can* (Johanson 2021a: 710).

Furthermore, potentials refer to a relative future, representing an expected, supposed, destined event (Johanson 2021a: 712), which is expressed in the *Compendium* using the negated aorist marker {-mA-s}. Abish (2016: 59) noted that the aorist marker {-<sup>o</sup>r} exhibits prospectivity with the meaning of epistemic possibility; see examples (170) and (171). However, in the *Compendium*, the aorist marker {-<sup>o</sup>r} still conveys prospectivity in the sense of ‘neutral’ future categories.

(170) *daryā suwīn hič kim ersä içib tügänä almas* (f.3v/2–3)  
‘There is no one who **can drink up** all the water of the river.’

The next example is a well-known Turkic verse that appears in the part of the *Compendium* recounting the story of Tokhtamysh Khan. The verse highlights that the officers of the Crimean army were not willing to set off until the Volga froze. However, Qadir Berdi did not agree. His statement is preserved in history as following:

(171) *idil toñsa kim käämüs*  
*idigä ölsä kim barmas*  
*idil toñmas burun*  
*idigä ölmäs burun* (f.145v/9–10)  
‘If the Volga freezes, **none will cross** it.  
If Edige dies, **none will go**.  
The Volga has not frozen before.’  
Edige has not died before.’

### Expressions of prospective

Turkic languages lack futurity markers, and references to future actions are always expressed through modality. Prospectives can be translated as ‘will/shall’, ‘ought to’, ‘has to’, ‘may’, ‘can’

(Johanson 2021a: 712). The modal behavior of prospectivity does not establish a specific modal notion; however, it does not exclude interpretations of desire, possibility, or necessity in certain cases (Rentzsch 2015: 198).

The *Compendium* demonstrates prospectivity only in the non-past stratum. The prospective in the past wasn't attested. However, it is theoretically possible that such a form existed in the language in which the *Compendium* is written, expressing an action planned in the past to be accomplished at a later date.

Prospectivity in the *Compendium* is expressed through the negative form of the simple aorist marker  $\{-(^{\circ})r\}$ , which went through a defocalization process of the intraterminal item (Johanson 2000a: 100–101; 2021a: 712). It is the most widespread prospective marker in many modern Turkic languages (Rentzsch 2015: 203). It is worth noting that Xisamieva (2022: 64) excludes the future expression of the aorist marker  $\{-(^{\circ})r\}$  in Kazakh. However, in modern Kazakh, the aorist marker  $\{-(^{\circ})r\}$  does convey various notions of modality, including prospectivity.

- (172) *kündä säniñ xayrıñ eksimäs*  
*çazınädä*<sup>23</sup> *hiç mālīñ tügänmäs* (f.5r/11–5v/1)  
 ‘Your good deed **will not diminish** each day.  
 Your wealth **will not be depleted** in your treasury.’

- (173) *säniñ ināyät sohuryallarīñ*  
*tā abād al-abād-gājä çazınädä mālīñ hiç kim ersägä bārib*  
*tügänmäs häm eksimäs* (f.3v/3–5)  
 ‘Your generosity [is such that]  
 even if you distribute the treasures of your wealth forever and ever to everyone,  
 it **will neither deplete nor diminish**.’

Conditional sentences also utilize the aorist marker  $\{-(^{\circ})r\}$ . In such cases, hypotheticals are used as converb markers in the conditional sentences of dependent clauses, marked by  $\{-sA\}$  with agreement possessive suffixes, while the aorist marker  $\{-(^{\circ})r\}$  appears in the main clauses; see examples (174) and (175).

- (174) *ägär çudāyya tabunsañ*  
*ol çudāyya mühibb bolsañ*  
*säniñ sütiñni emärm•n* (f.17r/11–17v/1)  
 ‘If you obey the Almighty Lord,

<sup>23</sup> † *çazınädä*.

[and] treat the Almighty Lord with love (lit. become an affectionate friend to the Almighty Lord),

I **will suckle** your milk.’

- (175) *kim köb biligni bilib könlidä tutsa*  
*dävläti **artar***  
*sä ‘ädät aña yār bolsa*  
*miḥnatnī kim **tartar** (f.4v/11–5r/1)*

‘Those who knows and keeps a lot of *biligs* in his heart  
that’s power **will increase**.

If happiness is his companion,

who **will suffer** (lit. **bear** the suffering)?’

Another element that can be interpreted as prospective is  $\{-(^{\circ})r\}$  *bol-*. Old Turkic  $\{-(^{\circ})r\}$  *bol-* ‘become doing’ signals the transition to an intraterminal state in focus and is interpreted as prospectivity (Danka 2019a: 242; Johanson 2022a: 37). In Bodrogligeti’s terminology, it represents the optative of the aorist, expressing the anticipated future in the form  $\{-(^{\circ})r\}$  *bolyay* (Bodrogligeti 2001: 213). Such a future, modified by the optative marker  $\{-GAy\}$ , is attested in example (176).

- (176) *fath wä nuṣrät bilän **yätür bolyay** šām bilän ‘irākya* (f.4r/2–3)  
‘Victoriously **shall** they **reach** Syria and Iraq!’ (Danka 2020: 78)

The form  $\{-(^{\circ})r\}$  *bol-* ‘become doing’ indicates a transition to an intraterminal state in focus, interpreting prospectivity, as seen in example (176). On the other hand, the form  $\{-GAN\}$  *bol-* ‘become having done’ signals a transition to a postterminal state in focus, modifying the future with the optative marker  $\{-GAy\}$ . This form is combined with the terminal base and the hypothetical copular particle in the non-main clause in the *Compendium*, as shown in example (177).

- (177) *kim ersä üsrük boldi ersä*  
*ol ḥälätdä **ölgän bolyay** (f.96r/1–2)*

‘If someone would be intoxicated,  
in that case he **will be (like a) dead** one.’

The *Compendium* also demonstrates prospectivity through the construction of the verbal noun formant  $\{-GU\}$ , combined with the possessive suffix and the copula *turur*. This prospective was also attested in Chaghatay, expressing an imminent event that will definitely and unconditionally occur (Eckmann 1959: 155–156; Bodrogligeti 2001: 230; Rentzsch 2015: 202;

Boeschoten 2022: 168). In the *Compendium*, examples are attested only in the first person; see examples (178) and (179). These constructions convey an inner urge related to modality.

(178) *inšā allāh ta‘ālā hār kaysisini birär faşil büyān kılyum•z turur* (f.1r/2–3)  
 ‘According to Allah’s will – may He be exalted – we **need to/have the intention to describe** every section one by one.’

(179) *bu ma‘nādīn hazrät ögätäy çānya ‘arza kılyum turur* (f.83v/10–11)  
 ‘That’s why I **need to/have the intention to submit a request** to His Majesty, Ögedei Khan.’

## 2.2 Copular devices

Three types of copular devices will be distinguished in this investigation: person-number agreement suffixes, copular verbs, and copular particles.

### 2.2.1 Person-number agreement suffixes

The person-number agreement markers are unaccentuated enclitic elements. There are two types of person-number markers: the pronominal type and the possessive type. The possessive type is attached to the verb forms in the accentuable terminal suffix {-DI} and hypothetical {-SA}, while the pronominal type is used in all other cases.

Agreement suffixes always occupy the last position in the morpheme chain of word forms. The third person is marked by {+DUr}. In the *Compendium*, there are agreement markers for the first person singular, the second person singular and plural, and the third person singular and plural.

Table 2.1. Person-number agreement suffixes of the pronominal type

	<b>Singular</b>	<b>Plural</b>
<b>1<sup>st</sup> person</b>	{+m <sup>o</sup> n} <mn>	
<b>2<sup>nd</sup> person</b>	{+s <sup>o</sup> n} <sn>	{+s <sup>o</sup> Z} <sz>
<b>3<sup>rd</sup> person</b>	- / {+DUr} <dwr>	

In the *Compendium*, only one example of the first person singular (1), second person singular (2), and second person plural (3) forms of each was found.

#### First person singular marker

(1) *män noqaym•n*  
*tädi* (f.142v/8)

“I **am** Noghay,”  
[he] said.’

### Second person singular marker

- (2) *ay bār χudā yā*  
*qadīmdin bārü sän bilürs•n ve āgāhs•n kim*  
*ilgäridin altan χān fitnälär kılyan erdi* (f.97v/4–5)  
‘O God!  
You know from the olden times, and you **are** aware that  
Altan Khan stirred up troubles from before.’

### Second person plural marker

- (3) *oylanlarım sizlär hānūz yašsüz* (f.82r/8–9)  
‘My sons, you **are** still inexperienced.’

### Third person singular markers

The lack of the third person marker is a common feature in Turkic languages, including the *Compendium*. Consider the following examples (4)–(7):

- (4) *χalāyikīn ādillik bilän surayan χān* (f.1r/9–10)  
‘[He] **is** the khan who has ruled people with the justice.’
- (5) *jümlät al-kristiān pādīšāh hazrätleri bariš fyodorāvič uluy beg aq χān* (f.1r/6–7)  
‘His Majesty, the Padishah of all Christians, Boris Fyodorovich **is** a great lord [and] White Khan.’
- (6) *atī awalun ekä atliy* (f.47v/11–48r/1)  
‘Her name **is** Mother Awalun.’
- (7) *ādillik etärgü saḡa layik mämläkätindä här iş saḡa müvāfik*  
*dävlät-i sä ādät saḡa vāmik* (f.4v/3–5)  
‘To you, good-doer, in a good country everything **is** favorable for you.  
The state of prosperity **is** amorous to you.’

Clitics of copular type with the form {+DUr} are contracted forms of *tur-ur*. The term *tur-ur* is the aorist form of the verb *tur-* ‘to stand’. The aorist in Turkic languages is employed, indicating intraterminality or prospectivity in the non-past. However, the form *tur-ur* also serves as a copula in nominal predicates in non-past. They are utilized as markers for the third person; see examples (8)–(9).

- (8) *taχtniḡ šürāti ošbudur* (f.155v/1)  
‘The shape of the throne **is** the following.’

- (9) *ĵayatay bu yerdä ħāzīr dāgüldür* (f.89r/10–11)  
‘Chaghatay **is** not present here (lit. at this place).’

Furthermore, the following examples demonstrate the use of the form {+DUr} with third person plural subjects. In the *Compendium*, there are only two occurrences, both of which are used with the adjective *bar* ‘existent’; see examples (10)–(11).

- (10) *bu zamānda anīñ oylanlarī ħānnīñ kulluyunda bardur*<sup>24</sup> (f.76v/6–7)  
‘There **are** (some of) his sons in the service of the khan nowadays.’

- (11) *ol yärdä taķī oylanlarī bardur* (f.78v/1)  
‘There **are** more of his sons there.’

In Old Turkic written languages, the third person predicates either have no markers or use the pronominal type *ol* (Johanson 2021a: 560). Although the *Compendium* does not feature a pronominal type *ol* predicate, in examples (12)–(13), *ol* appears as a demonstrative pronoun with the copula *turur*.

- (12) *näčä anča häzār taydīn murād ol turur kim*  
*sāniñ taχtīñni tayya mišāl kildilar* (f.2v/8–9)  
‘The meaning of so many thousand mountains **is the following**:  
they compared your throne to the mountain.’

- (13) *χalkdīn murād ol turur kim*  
*sāyā-yi дәvlät mišāl sāniñ χalkīñ дәvlätkä oχšar* (f.2v/11–3r/1)  
‘The meaning of people **is that**  
your people are like the shadow of [your] reign.’

## 2.2.2 Copular verbs

Copular verbs include *er-* ‘to be’, *bol-* (*ol-*)<sup>25</sup> ‘to be(come)’, ‘to emerge’, ‘to turn out to be’, *tur-* ‘to stop, stand’, and also verbs of physical position, such as *oltur-* ‘to sit’, *yürü-* ‘to walk’, and *yat-* ‘to lie’.

### 2.2.2.1 *Er-* ‘to be’

---

<sup>24</sup> K: *durur* (f.30v/17). However, the form *durur* <drwr> does not appear anywhere else in the two manuscripts of the *Compendium*.

<sup>25</sup> An example with the form *ol-* ‘to be(come)’ (StP: f.48v/1) is attested in the St. Petersburg manuscript; however, the same sentence appears with *bol-* ‘id.’ (K: f.19r/13) in the Kazan manuscript.



In Old Turkic written languages, *er-* ‘to be’ is a fully conjugated verb with many forms (Johanson 2021a: 562). Although not all inflected forms are found in the *Compendium*, it is still a very active copular verb. The paradigms of terminal *er-di*, intraterminal *er-ür*, and hypothetical *er-sä* are attested in the *Compendium*. See Tables 2.2–2.4 below and examples of *er-* ‘to be’.

### *Er-di*

The terminal forms take on person-number markers of the possessive type (Boeschoten 2022: 167), such as first person singular *er-di-m*, second person singular *er-di-ŋ*. However, only third person singular and third person plural forms are attested in the *Compendium*. See Table 2.2 below.

Table 2.2. Terminal forms of the copular verb *er-* ‘to be’

	Singular	Plural
1 <sup>st</sup> person		
2 <sup>nd</sup> person		
3 <sup>rd</sup> person	<i>er-di</i> ⟨'yrdy⟩ / <i>e-di</i> ⟨'ydy⟩	<i>e-di-lär</i> ⟨'ydył' r⟩ / <i>er-di-lär</i> ⟨'yrdyl' r⟩

### Third person singular

The occurrences of the third person singular terminal *er-di* are shown in examples (14)–(15), and the form *e-di* in examples (16)–(17).

(14) *musa begniŋ oyli erdi* (f.143r/7)  
 ‘[He] **was** the son of Musa Beg.’

(15) *uruŋi ašli<sup>26</sup> moyol kawmīdan erdi* (f.14r/11)  
 ‘His clan **was** from the Mongolian tribe.’

In later stages, the *-r* at the end of the stem is frequently dropped, especially before consonants, e.g. *e(r)-di* > *e-di* (Johanson 2021a: 563). This is attested in the following examples:

(16) *näčük mu 'täbär edi* (f.72v/2)  
 ‘[He] **was** so respected.’

(17) *'azim mu 'täbär edi* (f.73r/9)  
 ‘[He] **was** powerful and respected.’

<sup>26</sup> *Uruŋi ašli* is a hendiadys, a device that uses two parallel nouns with similar meanings to express a single idea.

The following examples demonstrate the use of *er-di* (18)–(20) and *e-di* (21)–(22) with third person plural subjects. In examples (19) and (22), the plural subjects are morphologically singular, as Turkic uses the singular form for nouns following numerals.

(18) *anıñ oylanları köb erdi* (K: f.60v/16–17)  
‘His sons **were** many.’

(19) *aḥmäd ḡānniñ üñ ḡātunidin toḡuz oγul erdi* (f.146v/1)  
‘[There] **were** nine sons of Ahmet Khan from three wives.’

(20) *oḡları uzun uzun erdi* (f.143v/5–6)  
‘[His] arrows **were** very long.’

(21) *ol zamānda tamāmi büzürg ümārā-yi uluγ ähl-i i ‘tibār edi* (f.71v/8–9)  
‘All the great *amirs* **were** respected during that time.’

(22) *ol altı oylı özi bilän birgä edi* (K: f.7v/17)  
‘Those six sons of him **were** with himself.’

### Third person plural

The forms marked for plural, *er-di-lär* and *e-di-lär*, are also attested, see examples (23)–(24) and (25)–(26), respectively. The subject *bu ḡawm* in example (23) is a singular form with a collective meaning. The subject in *kärman uluyları* ‘the great ones of Kasimov’ in example (24), on the other hand, is morphologically marked for plural.

(23) *bu ḡawm eki böläk erdilär* (f.12v/7)  
‘This tribe **were** two divisions.’

(24) *kärman uluyları häm birgä ḡān ḡazrätläri ḡulluyunda erdilär* (f.154v/3–4)  
‘All the great ones of Kasimov **were** in the service of His Highness, the Khan.’

In example (25), the subjects, *anlarniñ atası ayası* ‘his father and elder brother’, are coordinated nouns meaning X and Y. The plural marker can have an individualizing function, referring to the persons involved individually. This is motivated by the text.

(25) *näḡük kim anlarniñ atası ayası kāfir edilär*  
*oγuz anlardın başḡa yürür erdi* (f.19v/5–7)  
‘As his father and elder brother[s] **were** non-believers,  
Oghuz was walking apart from them.’

The subject of the plural marked copula in example (26) is a morphologically singular form, *bu ekisi* ‘these two’.

(26) *bu ekisi aya wä ini edilär* (f.75v/2)  
 ‘These two **were** elder and younger brethren.’

### *Er-ür*

The aorist of *er-* takes on person-number markers of the pronominal type (Johanson 2021a: 633), such as the first person singular *er-ür-m<sup>o</sup>n* ‘I am’, second person singular *er-ür-s<sup>o</sup>n* ‘you are’, etc. However, in the *Compendium*, only third person singular forms are attested; see Table 2.3 below.

Table 2.3. Intratermianl forms of the copular verb *er-* ‘to be’

	Singular	Plural
1 <sup>st</sup> person		
2 <sup>nd</sup> person		
3 <sup>rd</sup> person	<i>er-ür</i> ⟨ <sup>˘</sup> yrwr⟩	

### Third person singular

(27) *aniñ oyli jalayir saba erür* (f.157v/6–7)  
 ‘His son **is** Saba [of the] Jalayir.’

(28) *mäymänä oñ kol mäysärä sol kol erür* (f.157v/11)  
 ‘Meymene **is** the right wing and meysere **is** the left wing.’

The following examples demonstrate the use of *er-ür* (29)–(30) with third person plural subjects.

(29) *urus xänniñ näslidä uluy ümärä-yi büziürg erür* (f.157v/9–10)  
 ‘There **are** great amirs in the progeny of Urus Khan.’

(30) *qawm qatayin öz aralarında bu zamānya deg[g]äj uluyları ma‘lüm erür* (f.148r/3–4)  
 ‘Their great [amirs] **are** known to this day among the tribe of Qatagin.’

### *Er-se*

The hypothetical marker {-sA} is used in conditional clauses. It is important to note that *er-sä* is not commonly used in the *Compendium*, occurring exclusively in the third person singular form. Below are two out of the three examples (31)–(32) presented. Additionally, there are examples of the hypothetical copular particle *er-sä* combined with terminals, which can be seen in Section 2.2.3.4.

Table 2.4. Hypothetical forms of copular verb *er-* ‘to be’

	<b>Singular</b>	<b>Plural</b>
<b>1<sup>st</sup> person</b>		
<b>2<sup>nd</sup> person</b>		
<b>3<sup>rd</sup> person</b>	<i>er-sä</i> < ‘yrs’ >	

### Third person plural

The next examples (31)–(32) demonstrate the use of *er-sä* with third person plural subjects.

- (31) *ƙaraçu är kim ersä ya ‘nī ‘āmmä šarāb içmäklikkä harış bolsalar aṭ wä gälä wä här nä jaḳli bar ersü anlarniḡ jümläsini tamām etkäy wä müflis bolṡay* (f.96v/6–8)

‘If any subordinate person, i.e. commoners, become craving for drinking wine, they will (lose) all **whatever** they **have**, horse, herd, and other possessions, and become impoverished.’

- (32) *erläri anya yā jāriggä ketib ersü ḡātunlar üyün asbābīn yaraşuḳ ḳilyay* (f.93v/1–2)

‘**When/if** their husbands **go** hunting or to the army, the wives should keep the house and utensils pleasing.’

#### 2.2.2.2 *Bol-* ‘to be(come)’

The copular verb *bol-* ‘to be(come)’ is originally an initio-transformative verb that denotes both a dynamic ‘to become’ and a static ‘to be’ phase. It has partially replaced the old verb *er-* ‘to be’ in its role as a copular verb (Johanson 2021a: 563). The *Compendium* contains the paradigms of the terminal *bol-dī*, aorist *bol-ur*, postterminal *bol-miš*, and hypothetical *bol-sa*.

#### *Bol-dī*

The terminal forms of the verb *bol-* ‘to be(come)’ take on person-number markers of the possessive type. In the *Compendium*, all singular forms of *bol-* ‘to be(come)’ are represented: first person (33), second person (34), and third person (35)–(40), while the plural is represented only by the third person (41)–(42). See Table 2.5.

Table 2.5. Terminal forms of the copular verb *bol-* ‘to be(come)’

	<b>Singular</b>	<b>Plural</b>
<b>1<sup>st</sup> person</b>	<i>bol-du-m</i> <bwldwm>	
<b>2<sup>nd</sup> person</b>	<i>bol-du-ḡ</i> <bwldwng>	
<b>3<sup>rd</sup> person</b>	<i>bol-dī</i> <bwldy>	<i>bol-dī-lar</i> <bwldyl’r>

### First person singular

(33) *muhibb-i haqq ta 'ālāya boldum*  
*tedi* (f.19v/3)

“I **became** a believer (lit. an affectionate friend) in the Rightful God – may He be exalted,”  
she said.’

### Second person singular

(34) *pādišahlar pādišahī bolduñ* (f.56v/6)  
‘You **became** the padishah of padishahs.’

### Third person singular

(35) *aniñ oyli janibek χān boldi* (K: f.60r/15)  
‘His son Janibek **became** the khan.’

(36) *ol ulusda manşur biyniñ ornuya (sic!) kaži biy boldi* (K: f.63v/14–15)  
‘Among those people, Qazi **became** the beg in the place of Mansur Beg.’

(37) *hasan begdin soñ musa begniñ oyli şidaq beg boldi* (f.143r/4–5)  
‘After Hasan Beg, Musa Beg’s son, Shidaq **became** the beg.’

(38) *ol häm biraz waķit χān boldi* (f.150v/11)  
‘He also **was** the khan for a while.’

The following examples demonstrate the use of *bol-di* (39)–(40) with third person plural subjects.

(39) *anlarniñ nām[lari] ma 'lüm mu 'ayyän boldi* (f.152v/3–4)  
‘Their names **were/became** known.’

(40) *andin soñ oylanlari [miñ begi] boldi* (f.69v/5)  
‘After that, his sons **became** [colonels].’

### Third person plural

The use of *bol-di-lar*, the form marked for plural, is also attested; see examples (41)–(42).

(41) *ekisi häm pādišāh boldilar* (f.136r/1)  
‘Both of them **were/became** padishahs.’

(42) *ammā bir anča ol vilāyätde kältä χānlar boldilar* (f.150v/8–9)  
‘[They] **were/became** minor khans in that land for some [time].’

The copular verb *bol-di* is primarily transformative and expresses a transformation into a new state, as shown in examples (33)–(37), (40). Nevertheless, it can also function as a non-transformative marker (38), like in Turkmen, as well as Kipchak and Karluk branches (Johanson 2021a: 563). In some cases, it may sometimes be difficult to determine whether *bol-di* is used in a transformative or non-transformative senses, as in examples (39), (41) and (43).

### ***Bol-ur***

The aorist form of the verb *bol-* is attested only in the third person singular. Examples (43)–(45) present non-transformative usages, which can be considered synonymous with the intraterminal copular verb *er-ür* (27)–(28).

Table 2.6. Intraterminal form of the copular verb *bol-* ‘to be(come)’

	<b>Singular</b>	<b>Plural</b>
<b>1<sup>st</sup> person</b>		
<b>2<sup>nd</sup> person</b>		
<b>3<sup>rd</sup> person</b>	<i>bol-ur</i> < <i>bwlwr</i> >	

### **Third person singular**

(43) [*burkučin tukum*] *moyolnıj bir čäti **bolur*** (f.31r/2–3)  
 ‘[Burkuchin Tukum] **is** one of the outskirts of the Mongols.’

(44) *ma ‘na-yi jıngizi ol turur*  
*ya ‘nı güür xān temäklig **bolur***  
*ya ‘nı kawı mu ‘azzam uluy pādišah temäk **bolur*** (f.54v/10–55r/1).  
 ‘The meaning of [the name] Genghis is that  
 so [he] **is** called *Gür Khan*,  
 so that means, [he] **is** an immensely respected great padishah.’

(45) *ma ‘na-yi lıķūm xıṭay tili bilän uluy beg demäk **bolur*** (f.31v/2–3)  
 ‘Thus, the meaning of *lıķūm* in the Chinese language **is** *ulugbeg* (great lord).’

### ***Bol-miř***

The postterminal form *bol-miř* indicates that the statement is supported by a source or evidence. The *Compendium* contains only two examples (46) and (47) of the indirective/evidential copular particle, both in the third person singular. In both cases, the copular verb *bol-miř* is used in a transformative sense.

Table 2.7. Postterminal form of the copular verb *bol-* ‘to be(come)’

	Singular	Plural
1 <sup>st</sup> person		
2 <sup>nd</sup> person		
3 <sup>rd</sup> person	<i>bol-miš</i> < <i>bwlmys</i> >, < <i>bwlmš</i> >	

### Third person singular

(46) *soñ yänä yaḡi bolmiš* (f.59v/10)  
 ‘After, [Tumat tribe] again **reportedly became** enemy.’

(47) *näčük ḡudāy ta ‘ālā yol bārdi ersä*  
*wä anih dāḡ müyässār bolmiš unutyanda öñägä köñül kilyanlarni* (f.94v/4–5)  
 ‘Just as the Almighty Lord – may He be exalted – made it possible (lit. gave a way),  
 so it **was evidently** accomplished, having forgot those who care for others.’

Example (47) shows a complex sentence translated from Persian into Turkic by QAB in the second part of the text. Thackston (1998: 296) translates this sentence as follows:

“When God gives a way,  
 such things **are** possible; you forget this and think things are otherwise.”

### *Bol-sa*

The hypothetical marker {-sA} is used with the copular verb *bol-* ‘to be(come)’ to express conditions and serve as the predicate in a conditional clause. *Bol-sa* has the same meaning as *er-sä*. However, *bol-sa* is more frequently used as a predicate in conditional clauses, while *er-sä* functions more as a hypothetical copular particle. There are many examples of hypothetical *bol-sa* in the *Compendium*, most of which are in the third person singular. Second person singular (48) and third person plural (51) forms also attested. In example (49), *bol-sa* conveys a rhetorical question.

Table 2.8. Hypothetical forms of the copular verb *bol-* ‘to be(come)’

	Singular	Plural
1 <sup>st</sup> person		
2 <sup>nd</sup> person	<i>bol-sa-ŋ</i> < <i>bwls’ng</i> >	
3 <sup>rd</sup> person	<i>bol-sa</i> < <i>bwls’</i> >	<i>bol-sa-lar</i> < <i>bwls’l’r</i> >

### Second person singular

(48) *ägär ḡudāyya tabunsañ*

*ol xudāyya muḥibb bolsañ*  
*sāniḡ sūtiḡni emārm°n* (f.17r/11–17v/1)

‘If you obey the Almighty Lord  
[and] treat the Almighty Lord with love (lit. **become** an affectionate friend to the  
Almighty Lord),  
I will suckle your milk.’

### Third person singular

(49) *sā ādāt aḡa yār bolsa*  
*mihnatni kim tartar* (f.5r/1)

‘If happiness **is** his companion,  
who **will suffer** (lit. **bear** the suffering)?’

(50) *anday kim*  
*nāčük elči yā mihmān bolsa*  
*anḡ üyünä kelib qonyay*  
*barḡa nārsādin tārtībli körgäylär* (f.93v/2–3)

‘So that  
**if** there **is** an envoy or a guest,  
[they] could alight at his (a man’s) house  
[and they] could see everything in order.’

### Third person plural

(51) *oylanlarim sizlär hānūz yašsüz*  
*wä taḡi kim günāhlik (sic!) bolsalar*  
*sān anlarni öz köḡlüḡ birlä öltürmāgil*  
*māḡä keḡāšmāginčä* (f.82r/8–10)

‘My sons, you are still inexperienced.  
**If** someone **commits** a sin,  
you (PL) do not kill them of your own accord  
until [you] consult with me.’

#### 2.2.2.3 Tur- ‘to stop, stand’

##### *Tur-ur*

In the *Compendium*, only the aorist form *tur-ur* of the verb *tur-* ‘to stop, stand’ is attested as a copular verb. It uses pronominal type person-number agreement markers. Almost the full paradigm is attested, with the exception of the first person singular and the second person plural. See examples (52)–(59).

Table 2.9. Intraterminal forms of the copular verb *tur-* ‘to stop, stand’



	Singular	Plural
1 <sup>st</sup> person		<i>tur-ur-m<sup>o</sup>z</i> <trwrms>
2 <sup>nd</sup> person	<i>tur-ur-s<sup>o</sup>n</i> <trwrsn>	
3 <sup>rd</sup> person	<i>tur-ur</i> <trwr>	<i>tur-ur-lar</i> <trwrl <sup>l</sup> r>

### Second person singular

(52) *oγuz aydī*  
*köñlüm tilägän sän **tururs<sup>o</sup>n*** (f.19r/10–11)

‘Oghuz said,  
‘You **are** the one my heart wanted.’”

### First person plural

(53) *sizniñ rāst turur söziñüz*  
*wä lēkin šul anwā ‘dīn bizlär andīn kim **tururm<sup>o</sup>z** tā bunuñ dek ötkizālim* (f.83v/11–  
84r/2)

‘Your words are right,  
but we **shall be content** with the things of which we have.’

### Third person singular

(54) *biriniñ atī ‘abdurrahman xoja **turur*** (f.149r/11)  
‘The name of one [of Baba Tükles’s sons] **is** Abdurrahman Hojja.’

(55) *aytmaslar yaman **turur** yā yaχšī* (f.96v/11–97r/1)  
‘They won’t / cannot tell whether he **is** bad or good.’

The following examples demonstrate the use of semantically plural *tur-ur* (56)–(57) with the third person plural subjects.

(56) *bu jāmā ‘ätlär kim qawmlari bisyār üküš köb **turur*** (f.13v/2–3)  
‘These groups **are** [composed of] too many tribes.’

(57) *ögätäy χänniñ oylanlari wä oyliniñ oylanlari bular **turur*** (f.102r/7–8)  
‘These **are** the sons of Ögedei Khan and the sons’ of his son.’

In the following example (58), both the subject and verb are morphologically singular. The subject in *beš beg* ‘five begs’ is singular, as Turkic nouns following numeral adjectives appear in singular form.

(58) *bu beš beg ma ‘lüm **turur*** (f.73r/1)  
‘These five begs **are** known.’

## Third person plural

The form marked for plural, *tur-ur-lar*, is also attested, see example (59).

(59) *kiçik xātundin tuḡkan oylanlar munlar tururlar* (f.34r/1–2)  
‘These **are** sons born from Kichik Khatun.’

### 2.2.2.4 Other copular verbs

In the *Compendium*, copular verbs are also expressed by verbs such as *oltur-* ‘to sit’, *yürü-* ‘to walk’, and *yat-* ‘to lie’, which indicate physical position. These Turkic verbs can function as equivalents to English copular verbs such as ‘to appear’, ‘to seem’, among others (Johanson 2021a: 564). All these copular verbs are attested in the aorist form.

### *Oltur-ur*

Table 2.10. Intraterminal form of the copular verb *oltur-* ‘to sit’

	Singular	Plural
1 <sup>st</sup> person		
2 <sup>nd</sup> person		
3 <sup>rd</sup> person	<i>oltur-ur</i> <’wltwrwr>	

## Third person singular

(60) *tamāmī anlarniñ uruyī ol yärlärdä olturur*<sup>27</sup> (f.10r/6–7)  
‘All their clan **dwells** in those places.’

Remarkably, the aorist form *oltur-ur* conveys a copular meaning similar to {+DUr} < *tur-ur*, while the terminal *oltur-dī* (f.38v/2, f.38v/3, f.68r/9, f.74r/6) consistently exhibits the lexical meaning ‘X sat’, as {-DI} serves as the basis of the narrative discourse type.

Graphically, the examples resemble the copular verb *turur* combined with the demonstrative pronoun *ol*, as shown in examples (12), (13), and (44) above.

The copular verb *oltur-ur*, in example (60), can be compared to corresponding verbs in Turkish and Kazakh. The Turkish word *oltur-* > *otur-* ‘to sit down, sit’ can sometimes be used in figurative senses, such as ‘to live’, ‘to dwell’, as illustrated in examples (61) and (62), respectively. The example (60) from the *Compendium* shares the same figurative meaning as the Turkish verb in the sense of ‘to live’, ‘to dwell’.

(61) *Ali genellikle kütüphanede pencere kenarında oturur*

<sup>27</sup> K: *tamāmī anlarniñ uruyī ol yärlärdä olturur erdi* (f.2v/3–4).

‘Ali usually **sits** in the library near a window.’

(62) *Zeynep’in bir arkadaşı İstanbul’da oturur*  
 ‘One of Zeynep’s friends **lives** in Istanbul.’

In Kazakh, the verb *otir-* ‘to sit’ can also mean ‘to be located’, expressing a state rather than an action. Additionally, *otir-* ‘to sit’ as well as *tur-* ‘to stand’, *žat-* ‘to lie’, and *žür-* ‘to move’ can serve as copular verbs, conveying various shades of actional meanings. In example (63a), the verb *otir-* ‘to sit’ is used as a copular verb in Kazakh with a static interpretation, indicating the state of being located. Dropping the copula, as in example (63b), does not alter the general meaning. See examples (63a)–(63b) and compare them with example (60). Furthermore, in Kazakh, the copulas *žür-* ‘to move’ and *žat-* ‘to lie’ are often interpreted as ‘to be’ with static meanings, as seen in examples (63c)–(63d). Notably, the Kazakh stem *žatir-* in the present tense is quite unique. The suffix {-ir} in *žatir-* reflects the old aorist form and is used exclusively in the high-focal intraterminal present tense. Among these verbs, *žat-* ‘to lie’ is the most grammaticalized; *žür-* ‘to move’ has only partially lost its lexical meaning, and *otir-* ‘to sit’ is the least grammaticalized (Muhamedowa 2016: 131–132).

- (63)
- (a) *Ol üydä otir*  
 ‘He/she/it [**permanently**] is (located) at home.’
  - (b) *Ol üydä Ø*  
 ‘He/she/it **is** at home.’
  - (c) *Ol üydä žür*  
 ‘He/she/it [**temporarily**] is at home.’
  - (d) *Ol üydä žatir*  
 ‘He/she/it **is** at home [**at the moment**].’

### ***Yürü-r***

The copular verb *yürü-r* ‘to walk’ in the *Compendium* functions similarly to the Kazakh verb *žür-* ‘to move’ (63c) in terms of indicating that an action is temporary (64), (66), and limited to a specific period of time (65). These verbs can be translated into various English verb forms, but the meaning remains the same: the events occur temporarily.

Table 2.11. Intraterminal forms of the copular verb *yürü-* ‘to walk’

	<b>Singular</b>	<b>Plural</b>
<b>1<sup>st</sup> person</b>	<i>yürü-r-m<sup>o</sup>n</i> <ywrwrmn>	
<b>2<sup>nd</sup> person</b>		
<b>3<sup>rd</sup> person</b>	<i>yürü-r</i> <ywrwr>	

### First person singular

(64) *māni (sic!) tilämäsm<sup>o</sup>n üydä ölmäkni  
wä bä-jihät-i atim nāmūsīm birlä yürürm<sup>o</sup>n* (f.89r/8–9)

‘I do not want to die at home,  
that’s why I [**temporally**] **live** [along] with my [good] name [and] honor.’

### Third person singular

(65) *kişlakı anıñ ol yärdä yürür* (f.16v/6)  
‘His winter quarter (for cattle) **is / is located** there.’

The following example demonstrates the coordination of multiple singular subjects: *irtiş wä kara qorum wä taķi altay tay oryan suwı vilāyät-i ķirkiz kāmķämjiut* ‘the Irtysh and the Qara Qorum, as well as the Altay Mountains and the Orkhon river, provinces of Qirghiz and Kemkemjiut’.

(66) *wä taķi irtiş wä kara qorum wä taķi altay tay oryan suwı vilāyät-i ķirkiz kāmķämjiut yürür* (f.9v/10–11)  
‘There **are** also the Irtysh and the Qara Qorum, as well as the Altay Mountains and the Orkhon river, provinces of Qirghiz and Kemkemjiut [at that time].’

### Yat-ur

Table 2.12. Intraterminal form of the copular verb *yat-* ‘to lie’

	Singular	Plural
1 <sup>st</sup> person		
2 <sup>nd</sup> person		
3 <sup>rd</sup> person	<i>yat-ur</i> ⟨y’ twr⟩	

The copular verb *yat-* in the *Compendium* not only means ‘to lie’ (67), but also conveys a meaning (68) similar to the Turkish verb *bul-un-*, which means ‘to be found’ or ‘to be located’ (69). Similarly, Kazakh uses the verb *zat-* ‘to lie’ to indicate the meaning ‘to be located’, as demonstrated in example (70).

The meaning of the sentence in example (67) is that they are buried in a lying position there.

(67) *biri ka ‘ba-niñ yanında yatur  
wä biri ürgänjdä yatur* (f.149r/8)  
‘One of them **lies** near of Kaaba

and one of them **lies** in Urgench.’

(68) *ḳādīr berdi ḫānya ḫabār ḳīldi*  
*idigä munda **yatur** teb* (f.146r/3–4)

‘[He] informed Qadir Berdi:  
“Edige **is / is located** here.”’

(69) *Millî kütüphanesinde farklı dilde kitap **bulunur***  
‘Books in different languages **are located in/ available** at the National Library.’

(70) *Ewraziya Ewropa män Aziyanij ortasında **ḫatir***  
‘Eurasia **lies/is located** between Europe and Asia.’

### 2.2.3 Copular particles

In Turkic languages, particles can indicate tense, express certainty or uncertainty, denote agreement or disagreement, negation and politeness, and confirm or presume the statement. These particles are usually used with the terminal forms of *er-* ‘to be’. In the *Compendium*, copular particles of various types have been identified, including:

- remote
- evidential
- hypothetical
- confirmative and presumptive
- negation

Particles can convey the addresser’s emotions, feelings, or attitude towards what is being said. *Remote copular particles* denote “temporal and nontemporal remoteness”. The particle mostly takes the form *er-di*. Turkic *evidential (indirective) copular particles* express that the statement in question is based on some source. The particles trace back to postterminal particles formed from the copula *\*er-* and markers {-mlš} and {-GAn}. *Rhetorical copular particles* are “part of rhetorical questions to which no answer is expected, or they are self-addressed questions”. The old uninflected particle *er-ki* expresses skepticism. Later, it was replaced by the secondary form *er-kin*, which might be the same as the evidential particle *e(r)-ken* but with a different function. It is likely that *erki* developed into *e(r)ken* due to contamination. It can be difficult to clearly distinguish between the evidential *e(r)-ken* and the rhetorical *e(r)-ken*. *Hypothetical copular particle* indicates “conditional and similar concepts and may also contribute to counterfactual utterances” in the form of *er-se*. *Confirmative copular particles* of the type {+DUr} < *tur-ur* can function as assertive markers as well as *presumptive copular markers*,

which means that the statement is questioned or needs to be confirmed. *Negative copular particles* are expressed in Turkic languages in different shapes, i.e. *är-mäs ~ ä(r)-mäs/ tągül ~ değıl ~ deyil* ‘is not’, *er-mäs er-di ~ e-mäs e-di/ tągül e-di* ‘X was not’ (Johanson 2021a: 564–571).

### 2.2.3.1 Remote copular particles

The term *remote* refers to a type of past tense or aspect used to show that events occurred before a specific point in the past or are distant from the present moment (Johanson 2021a: 564). The copular verb *er-di* (71)–(72) usually represents it. For more instances, see examples (14)–(26) in the *er-di* subchapter on copular verbs. Additionally, the copular verb *bol-di* (73) can also function as a remote copular particle in the *Compendium* when it serves as a non-transformative marker.

(71) *ma ‘lūm mäshūr üj <uluy> ordusī bar erdi* (f.151r/6–7)  
 ‘[His] three known and famous <main> residence (of wives) **were existent**.’

(72) *hänūz yaš erdi* (f.138r/8–9)  
 ‘[She] **was** still a young/inexperienced [girl].’

(73) ...*ya ‘nī anīñ uruyī kıyat boldi* (f.34r/9)  
 ‘... so his clan **was** Qiyat.’

The remote copular particles *er-di* (71)–(72) and *bol-di* (73) attested in the *Compendium* can be interchangeable. Instances of their interchangeability can be seen in examples (74)–(75).

(74) *oyli bisyār köb erdi* (f.39v/2)  
 ‘[He] **had** a lot of sons (lit. there **was** a lot of sons).’

(75) *näčük kim ‘ināyät-i <üzäldin> anlar haqqında kurb tört yüz yıl zamān káčgändin soñ anlarnıñ uruyi bisyār köb boldi* (f.14r/2–4)  
 ‘Because of the eternal kindness of Rightful God, some four hundred years later their descendants **became** many (lit. there **were** a lot of descendants).’

### 2.2.3.2 Evidential copular particles

In the *Compendium*, there are examples of the evidential copular particles *bol-mış* combined with the copular verb *bol-* ‘to be(come)’ and postterminal marker. Evidential copular particles indicate that a given statement or information relies on a source (Johanson 2021a: 565).

Examples of the evidential copular particles *bolmiš*, such as examples (46)–(47), which mean ‘reportedly became’, ‘evidently was’, are shown above in Table 2.7.

### 2.2.3.3 Rhetorical copular particles

Rhetorical copular particles are typically used in questions that do not answer or are self-addressed questions (Johanson 2021a: 567). The *Compendium* does not include any examples of rhetorical copular particles, such as *er-ki* or *e-ken*. However, the *Compendium* contains rhetorical questions using the hypothetical copular particle *bol-sa* and the verb form *tab-ḳay* in the Sections on copular verbs and optative, respectively.

### 2.2.3.4 Hypothetical copular particles

Hypothetical copular particles are used in the form *er-se* and can be combined with different verb forms. In the *Compendium*, the use of the hypothetical copular particle *er-se* is constantly associated with terminal bases, to which the personal markers are added.

Table 2.13. Hypothetical copular particle *er-sä* with terminal base

	Singular	Plural
1 <sup>st</sup> person	-DI-m er-sä <d/tym 'yrs'>	
2 <sup>nd</sup> person		
3 <sup>rd</sup> person	-DI er-sä <d/ty 'yrs'>	-DI-lAr er-sä <dyl' r 'yrs'>

### First person singular

(76) *mäni (sic!) näčük anlarya yakîn keldim ersä*  
*jümläsi bir dämdä oḳni yamyur tek ḳıldılar (f.100v/8–9)*

‘When/As I approached them,  
they all shot arrows like rain in a matter of seconds.’

(77) *ol yärdin sälämät kečtim ersä*  
*mäniḡ yolumda ölgänlärnin altı aḡta aḡi hiḡ kimärsäsiz tizginin basa basa yürür*  
*(f.100v/11–101r/1)*

‘As I passed safely through that place,  
the six gelded horses of the deceased were walking along my path, treading their reins without anyone [restraining/riding them].’

### Third person singular

(78) *ol yärgä yät[t]i ersä*  
*viläyät-i taḡkut wä jürjä[n] pädišähigä eljilər yibärdi (f.63r/5–6)*

‘When he reached those lands,

he sent envoys to the province of Tangut and to padishah of Jurchens<sup>28</sup>.’

(79) *kim ersä üsrük boldi ersä*  
*ol hālätä ölgän bolıay* (f.96r/1–2)

‘If someone **would be** intoxicated,  
in that case he will be (like a) dead one.’

### Third person plural

(80) *näčük musaxxar kıldılar ersä*  
*anlarni anda oq oxšatib*  
*ol mämläkät (sic!) mu ‘ayyän sürdi*<sup>29</sup> (f.85r/7–8)

‘**When** [they] **had conquered** [lands],  
then [Genghis Khan] found them suitable  
and decreed that territor[ies should] be assigned [to them].’

#### 2.2.3.5 Confirmative and presumptive copular particles

Confirmative (or assertive) and presumptive copular particles exist in Turkic languages and indicate confirmation or uncertainty. They contain copular particles of the type {+DUr} < *tur-ur*. According to Johanson (2021a: 569), copular particles of the type {+DUr} can express presumption in certain informal speech, while confirmative copular particles are found in formal registers and more common in written discourse.

Morphologically unmarked indicative sentences can be used for neutral, straightforward assertions, indicating that “the utterance is intended as a statement of fact” (Johanson 2014: 19). An assertive copular particle indicates a commitment to the content of the proposition and can be paraphrased using words, such as ‘actually’, ‘really’, ‘indeed’, ‘in fact’, ‘undoubtedly’, etc. (Johanson 2021a: 569).

Such sentences frequently use the marker {+DUr} in the *Compendium*. Although it is unclear whether the assertive copular particle {+DUr} is applicable to the *Compendium*, as it is a written language with no present-day speakers, the context of the attested examples with the copular particle of the type {+DUr} can testify to the validity of the confirmative (or assertive) copular particles. See example (81). Compare it with Turkish assertive copular particle {+DUr} in example (82), which indicate that the event is considered true and can be translated as ‘it is true’, ‘it is verified’ and so on.

(81) *bizdän soñ xāndur* (f.84r/2–3)

---

<sup>28</sup> The Jurchens were the people of Jurchen/Jin dynasty (1115–1234), which ruled over much of northern China (Rachewiltz 2004: 298, 302).

<sup>29</sup> K: *buyurdi* (f.34v/3).



‘He **is undoubtedly** the khan over us (lit. after us).’

(82) *Türk dilleri yaklaşık 170 milyon kişi tarafından konuşulmaktadır*  
 ‘Turkic languages **are** spoken by about 170 million people.’

### 2.2.3.6 Negation particles

There are two types of negation particles in Turkic: *er-mäs* and *tägül*. Many modern languages, such as Kazakh, Kirghiz, Uzbek, and Chuvash, still use the *er-mäs* type, dating back to the old written languages. Some Kipchak and Oghuz languages use the *tägül* type of negative particle (Johanson 2021a: 570).

In the *Compendium*, negative copular particles are mostly indicated by the copular verb *er-* ‘to be’ and are achieved by the negated aorist marker {-mA-s}. The copular particles *er-mäs* have been observed in the third person singular. In the only attested first person singular form in the *Compendium*, the negative copular particle is formed by adding the pronominal suffix {+m<sup>o</sup>n} to indicate person and number after the negation marker {-mA-s}, see example (83).

There is only one instance of the negation particle *tägül* in the *Compendium*; see example (89).

Table 2.14. Non-past forms of the negation particle *er-mäs*

	<b>Singular</b>	<b>Plural</b>
<b>1<sup>st</sup> person</b>	<i>er-mäs-m<sup>o</sup>n</i> <’yrm’smn>	
<b>2<sup>nd</sup> person</b>		
<b>3<sup>rd</sup> person</b>	<i>er-mäs</i> <’yrm’s>	

#### First person singular

(83) *bä-härzä ermäsm<sup>o</sup>n* (f.100r/3–4)  
 ‘I **am** not raving.’

#### Third person singular

(84) *munuñ anasī ma lūm ermäs* (f.127v/3–4)  
 ‘His mother **is** not known.’

The following example (85) demonstrates the use of *er-mäs* with third person plural subjects.

(85) *atları ma lūm ermäs* (K: f.63v/6)  
 ‘Their names **are** not known.’

The terminal forms are created with the third person singular form of the negation particle and the terminal copular particle *erdi*.

Table 2.15. Terminal forms of the negation particle *er-mäs*

	Singular	Plural
1 <sup>st</sup> person		
2 <sup>nd</sup> person		
3 <sup>rd</sup> person	<i>er-mäs er-di</i> <'yrm's 'yrdy>	<i>er-mäs er-di-lär</i> <'yrm's 'yrdy-l'r>

### Third person singular

(86) *atī ma 'lūm ermäs erdi* (f.45v/8)  
 'His name **was not** known.'

The following example (87) demonstrates the use of *er-mäs er-di* with third person plural subject.

(87) *lēkin anlarniñ aṣlī atları moyol ermäs erdi* (f.13r/1–2)  
 'But their original names **were not** Mongol.'

### Third person plural

(88) *oyuz bilän birgä ermäs erdilär* (f.12v/6–7)  
 '[They] **were not** together with Oghuz.'

The *Compendium* also employs a negative particle of the type *tägül*, as seen in example (89).

(89) *ĵayatay bu yerdä hāzīr dägüldür*<sup>30</sup> (f.89r/10–11)  
 'Chagatai **is not** present here.'

### 2.2.3.7 Combinations with copular particles

“Copular particles combine with various semantic bases, thus participating in the formation of numerous analytic constructions expressing aspectual, modal, evidential, and temporal concept” (Johanson 2021a: 716). Turkic languages use copular particles derived from the old verb base *er-* ‘to be’ in various forms (Johanson 2021a: 564). The *Compendium* is not an exception, as remote copular particles and evidential copular particles are attested in the corpus.

#### *Combinations with remote copular particles*

<sup>30</sup> K: *tügül* <twgw> (f.36r/11).

“Distant copular particles combine with intraterminal, postterminal, prospective, necessitative, and other thematic bases, mostly producing constructions that describe situations obtaining at some anterior orientation point” (Johanson 2021a: 716).

The old copular verb *\*er-* ‘to be’ and the terminal marker {-DI} represent remote copular particles. Therefore, the remote copular marker *erdi* serves as an anterior that localizes predicates at anterior orientation points. For detailed information about remote copular particles, see Section 2.2.3.1.

#### *Remote copular particles with aorist bases*

The aorist forms with the {-(°)r} marker, and their negation, is indicated with {-mA-s}. The aorist is used in main clause predicates, indicating intraterminality or prospectivity, with the meaning of epistemic possibility in the non-past.

At first, the aorist and the remote copular particle *erdi* were put together analytically to form intraterminals-in-past in EOT. However, in almost all other Turkic languages, combinations of the aorist with remote copular particles began to signal inclination and potentiality, which can be interpreted as habituality (‘would X’, ‘used to X’) as well as counterfactuality (‘would X’, ‘would have X-ed’) (Johanson 2021a: 716–717). This change suggests that, in many cases, combinations of the aorist and distant copular particles become strongly defocalized and modalized.

The *Compendium* predominantly indicates intraterminal meaning in the combination of the remote copular particle with the aorist base; see examples (90)–(92). However, there are also examples of modal meanings; see example (93).

(90) *yurti anıñ kara tay degän tayda olturur erdi*  
*mäliniñ hisäbin bilmäs erdi*  
*tört yaqđın mälın tawar qarasın yıyar erdi*  
*tay tübündä bir uluğ suw aqar erdi* (f.29r/3–6)

‘His land **used to be** at the [foot of] the mountain called the Qara tag.  
 He **used to know no** account of his wealth.  
 He **used to collect** his wealth [and] livestock from the four sides.  
 At the foot of the mountain a large river (lit. large water) **used to flow.**’

Examples in the *Compendium* show instances where the consonant *r* in the remote copular particle *erdi* has been dropped.

(91) *bu iklimde här birisin güür xān deb aytur edilär* (f.56v/4)  
 ‘[They] **called** them all Gür Khan in this clime.’

(92) *bir qarārda öz läškärin biylür edilär* (f.76v/7–8)

‘They **ruled** their army with stability.’

The next example demonstrates the combination of the prospective (with an aorist base), a so-called ‘prospective-in-past’. The unreal hypothetical conditional clause is based on the counterfactual conditional {-sA} and the past copular particle *erdi* in the non-main clause. The main clause contains the aorist {-(<sup>o</sup>)r} and the remote copular particle *erdi*. This represents an unreal condition with an imaginary situation in the past, corresponding to English *Conditional 3*. The construction in the main clause can be translated into English using *would* and the postterminal marker for a clear interpretation in the form of ‘would have X-ed’ (Johanson 2021a: 719).

(93) *ägär atam χān mäniñ üyümni yänä maña bärse erdi  
aniñ <bilän> el bolur erdim* (f.54r/10–11)

‘If only my grandfather [Ong Khan] had returned my household to me,  
I **would have submitted** to him’.

Table 2.16. Paradigms based on aorist and remote copular particle

	Singular	Plural
1 <sup>st</sup> person	{-( <sup>o</sup> )r} <i>er-di-m</i>	
2 <sup>nd</sup> person		
3 <sup>rd</sup> person	{-( <sup>o</sup> )r} <i>er-di</i>	{-( <sup>o</sup> )r}- <b>lAr</b> <i>er-di</i> {-( <sup>o</sup> )r} <i>er-di-lär</i>

Table 2.16 shows the paradigms based on the aorist and remote copular particle {-(<sup>o</sup>)r} *er-di*. It is clear that the paradigm of third person plural, based on the aorist marker {-(<sup>o</sup>)r} and the remote copular particle *er-di*, exhibits two forms: {-(<sup>o</sup>)r}-**lAr** *er-di* and {-(<sup>o</sup>)r} *er-di-lär*. The verbal morphology of Turkic verbs is usually produced in long (synthetically) derived chains in a strict order, normally comprising “markers of actionality, voice, possibility, negation, viewpoint aspect, mood, tense, person, and interrogation, [...], e.g. Turkish <Kov-ala-n-ma-mış-tı-k> ‘We had not been persecuted’, i.e. ‘to persecute + iterative + passive/reflexive + negative + postterminal + anterior + 1PL’” (Johanson 2022a: 36). According to this rule, the third person plural marker should follow the tense, as shown in an example (94). However, in example (95), the category of person comes before the category of tense.

(94) *taķi oyuzniñ barĵa kawmin ol waķitda türkmän teb aytur erdilär* (f.10v/3–4)  
‘Also, they **called** all Oghuz people Turkmen at that time.’

(95) *soĵ ani awalun ekä deb ayturlar erdi* (f.37v/5)

‘After they **called** her Mother Awalun.’

According to Erdal (2004: 322), the verb *er-* ‘to be’ “is a fully conjugated regular copula”, which means that, theoretically, the grammatical person must come after the tense, as in example (94). However, Erdal (2004: 246) also mentions that the category of “number” can express plurality in two ways: in the remote copular particle *erdi* and in aorist bases in Old Turkic. Both Eckmann (1966: 165) and Boeschoten (2022: 168) indicate that, in Chaghatay, both the aorist base and the remote verb *er-* can display the third person plural marker in intraterminals-in-past.

#### *Remote copular particles with focal intraterminal bases*

Remote copular particles, when combined with more focal intraterminals, mainly produce imperfects ((+PAST)(+INTRA)) that express “single or repeated events as going on at an anterior orientation point”, without indicating the beginning or end of the event. Several markers contribute to the renewal of the focal intraterminal (Johanson 2021a: 719).

In the *Compendium*, the sole instance of a focal intraterminal in the form {-A} *turur erdi* was attested; see example (52) in the Section on Intraterminals.

In modern South Kipchak and Altay languages, <B> type converbs, together with the auxiliary verbs meaning ‘to move’, ‘to lie’, and ‘to sit’, indicate the focality of intraterminality (Johanson 2021a: 720). However, in the *Compendium*, the transformative reading of the verb constructions {- (I)p} *yürü-* / {- (I)p} *yür-* ‘to walk’, {- (I)p} *yat-* ‘to lie’, {- (I)p} *oltur-* ‘to sit’ is clearly blocked. They block dynamic readings and indicate non-dynamic phase, usually specifying nontransformative durativity. For detailed information, see the section on nontransformativizing constructions.

#### *Remote copular particles with postterminal bases*

Postterminals are widely used in the *Compendium*. “Postterminals-in-past (pluperfects, past perfects) transpose the postterminal view into the past and mostly correspond to English pluperfects” (Johanson 2021a: 720). The *Compendium* categorizes remote copular particle combinations with postterminal bases into three groups.

The first group is based on the converb {- (I)p} and the remote copular particle *erdi*. This group also forms pluperfects with {- (I)p} *tur-ur erdi* and {-ma-y} *DUr erdi* constructions.

The second group of postterminal-in-past is based on the past participle {-GAN} and the remote copular particle *erdi*. Two types of negation within the {-GAN} *erdi* form are attested.

One involves the normal negation {-mA-} before the postterminal item. The second involves the lexical item *yok* appearing between the postterminal base and the remote copular particle.

The third group of combinations with a postterminal base and a remote copular particle is the {-mİš} *erdi* construction. It is worth noting that this form is represented only in the second part of the *Compendium*, which is translated from Persian, while {-(I)p} *erdi* and {-GAn} *erdi* appears in both second/translated and third/original parts.

Intraterminals in the past do not mark evidentiality, whereas postterminals in the non-past do. For detailed information about postterminals-in-past and the differences between the four forms of postterminals, see Section 2.1.2.2.

#### *Remote copular particles with terminal bases*

Several Turkic languages create a remote past category by combining the terminal base {-DI} with the remote copular particle *erdi*, which “has more event-oriented functions”. This feature exists in languages such as EOT, Turkish, Gagauz, and Crimean Tatar (Johanson 2021a: 722). The {-DI} *erdi* construction is also attested in the *Compendium*. For detailed information, see Section 2.1.2.3.

#### *Remote copular particles with volitional bases*

There are different combinations with volitional bases in Turkic languages, including voluntatives, optatives, and hypotheticals. In the *Compendium*, only one example of a hypothetical combination with a remote copular particle is attested. It expresses a complex counterfactual, a so-called ‘unreal’ condition, and an unfulfilled wish in the non-main clause (Johanson 2021a: 722–724). The combination of hypotheticals consists of the conditional {-sA} and the remote copular particle *erdi*. On contrast, the main clause exhibits a combination of prospective.

- (96) *ägär atam xān mäniñ üyümni yänä maña bärse erdi*  
*anıñ <bilän> el bolur erdim* (f.54r/10–11)

‘If **only** my grandfather [Ong Khan] **had returned** my household to me,  
I would have submitted to him.’

#### *Combinations with evidential copular particles*

Evidential copular particles are of the type *er-miš*. This element does not co-occur with the terminal marker {-DI} and can indicate both past and non-past events (Johanson 2021a: 725–726).

In the *Compendium*, the evidential copular particle *er-miř* combines with intraterminal and postterminals. There are only three instances of the particle *ermiř*. Example (97) is formed with the intraterminal marker {-<sup>o</sup>r} and the evidential copular particle, while examples (98)–(99) are formed by the postterminal marker {-<sup>I</sup>p} and the evidential copular particle.

(97) *bu zamān yaman iřni **tutub yürür ermiř*** (f.20v/8–9)  
 ‘At this time [Oghuz] **is evidently holding** bad acts.’

(98) *māniņ dīnim yolindin **ķaytīb ermiř***  
*anī ~~anī~~ tirig etmäyin*  
*der* (f.20v/9–20v/10)  
 ‘‘It **occurred that he left** the path of my religion.  
 I will not let him live,’’  
 he says.’

(99) *naymanniņ pādiřāhiniņ ayařı buyruk ķān bilān özgü ķawmlar hām anıņ birlä **bir bolub ermiř*** (f.53r/1–3)  
 ‘All other tribes **have reportedly been united** with Buyruk Khan, the elder brother of the nayman’s padishah.’

### 2.3 Postverbial constructions

A postverbial construction is a product of analytic derivation. The Turkic languages have a complex system of verbs, characterized by a rich inventory of multiverbal constructions, of which postverbial constructions are one type. They are frequently used in Turkic languages as well as in the *Compendium*. A postverbial construction comprises a lexical verb in a converbial form, followed by an auxiliary verb. The auxiliary verb loses its lexical meaning and has a grammatical meaning instead. It combines with the main verb with a preceding converb suffix to form a cohesive grammatical unit (Karakoç 2019: 178).

The converb is a non-finite verb form primarily used to indicate adverbial subordination. However, it can also serve as a connective in postverbial constructions. Converbs are also known as gerund, participle in European languages, and *deepričastie* in Russian (Haspelmath 1995: 2–3). Converb markers are part of converbial constructions. A verb stem together with a converb suffix creates a converb, i.e. a converb is a verb form consisting of a verb stem and a converb marker. Two basic converb types participate in the formation of postverbial constructions in the *Compendium*. In this research, I will label them as follows, following Johanson’s consideration (2021a: 750–753):

- the ⟨A⟩ type, where the converb marker ends in a vowel or -y when the verb stem ends in a vowel;
- the ⟨B⟩ type, where the converb marker ends in a labial stop.

These types of converbs are subordinated to grammaticalized verbs. The ⟨A⟩ and ⟨B⟩ type converbs have the basic function of linking a sequence of clauses to form a sentence. The converb suffixes used in postverbal constructions are usually of intraterminal and postterminal origin and lead to various types of ambiguity. In spoken languages, these meanings are typically distinguished by prosodic features, primarily pitch differences. Additionally, pluripredicate constructions may exhibit a brief pause between the verbs (Johanson 2021a: 598). However, in written texts in dead language varieties like the *Compendium*, ambiguous verb sequences cannot be distinguished by phonological features, and there are no speakers available to provide clarifications. In some, but not all cases, the context may be helpful in clarifying ambiguity.

The ⟨B⟩ type converb is the most widely used one and it usually indicates postterminality. Nevertheless, in pluripredicative constructions, the converbial verb in ⟨B⟩ functions as a separate predicate and translates into English as the main verb being in an independent clause. In that case, the ⟨B⟩ converb indicates simultaneous actions, and actions follow one another (Erdal 2004: 459–460). Moreover, the ⟨B⟩ type converb may perform various functions. “It may modify the content of a following syntactically superordinate verb [...]. It may combine with the following verb to form a compound in which both members maintain their lexical meaning” ,being a clause-combining device “and”. “It may also participate in serial verb constructions, in which verbs combine to describe what is conceptualized as one single event” (postverbal constructions). It may represent an event structure in similar multi-verb constructions when converbs are syntactically independent (Johanson 2021a: 753–754).

In contrast to the ⟨B⟩ type converb, ⟨A⟩ type converbs are limited to certain postverbal constructions and reduplications, indicating intensive or repeated action (Johanson 2021a: 752). Beside postverbal constructions, ⟨A⟩ type converbs were found in the creation of converb clauses through reduplication in the *Compendium*. Three examples of reduplication are shown in examples (1)–(3). Furthermore, one example in which the ⟨A⟩ type converb may modify the content of the following syntactically superordinate verb is found in the *Compendium*, which is not common in modern Turkic languages. See example (4)

- (1) *ol yärdin sälämät keçtim ersä  
mäniñ yolumda ölgänläрниñ altı axta añi hiñ kimärsäsiz tizginin basa basa yürür*  
(f.100v/11–101r/1)

‘As I passed safely through that place,



the six gelded horses of the deceased were walking along my path, **treading** their reins without anyone [restraining/riding them].’

(2) *ol vilāyätlärnin̄ k̄irayında šähär bar erdi yolda baris̄in **ala ala** kaldi* (f.60v/5–7)

‘There was a city on the border of those lands. [He] came **capturing** everything on the way.’

(3) *toluy xānni läškär alında vilāyätlä **ala ala** yibardi* (61r/6–7)  
‘[He] sent Tolui Khan at the head of an army **to seize** the countries.’

(4) *artidin̄ bala noyanni **kowdura** yibardi* (f.62r/1)  
‘[Genghis Khan] sent Bala *Noyan* after [Jelal al-Din] **to chase** him.’

In Turkic languages, *actional verb phrases* include at least one verb lexeme and may also contain additional complements and/or circumstantial elements. Actional verb phrases can be categorized into transformatives and nontransformatives, based on their internal structure. These categories distinguish between phrases that show a possibility of the object being acted upon and those that do not. See Figure 1 (Johanson 2000a: 58; 2021a: 573):

Figure 1. Actional phrases

- Transformatives [+t]
  - Finitransformative [+tf], e.g. *öl-* ‘to die’
  - Momentaneous [+mom], e.g. *tab-* ‘to find’
  - Non-momentaneous [-mom], e.g. *ös-* ‘to grow up’
  - Initiotransformative [+ti], e.g. *oltur-* ‘to sit down, sit’
- Nontransformatives [-t]
  - Dynamic [+dyn], e.g. *biti-* ‘to write’
  - Not-dynamic [-dyn], e.g. *bil-* ‘to get to know, know’.

If an actional phrase denotes a telic action that suggests a key limit or a natural turning point, it is transformative <+t>. The <+t> can be divided into finittransformatives <+tf>, such as *öl-* ‘to die’ when the limit is at the end of the action, and initiotransformatives <+ti> when the inherent crucial limit is at the start of the action, such as *oltur-* ‘to sit down, sit’. The action of finittransformatives concludes when the limit is reached and can also be momentaneous <+mom> or non-momentaneous <-mom>. In the <+mom> case, only the transforming final limit is important. In the <-mom> case, the process leading up to that limit is seen as significant (Johanson 2021a: 573).

The <+tf, +mom> occurs without a significant focus on the process that leads up to it. Even if the events have a very short duration, the beginning and the process leading up to it are

unimportant and blend together with the final result (Johanson 2000a: 61); see example (5). The term <+tf, -mom> describes activities that take some time to complete and are significant and can be seen as steps leading up to a significant outcome (Johanson 2000a: 62); see example (6).

(5) *bi-fazl-i xudāy uluyluḡni bu ma ‘anādīn tabdīm* (f.91r/1–2)  
 ‘I **found** greatness by this reason with the grace of the Almighty Lord.’

(6) *nihāyātsiz bolub östi* (f.105r/7–8)  
 ‘They **grew up**, and there were countless of them.’

The <+ti> verb has two phases: dynamic and not-dynamic. The dynamic phase is used with verbs that involve motion, while the not-dynamic phase is used with verbs that describe a static state (Johanson 2000a: 63), such as *oltur-* ‘to sit down’ (7), and *olur-* ‘to sit’ (8), respectively. The verb *oltur-* ‘to sit down, sit’ is intransitive. Example (7) illustrates the typical use of the dynamic phase with a prepositional phrase. The prepositional phrase ‘into khanate’ in the phraseological expression provides only additional information about where the person sat down using the dative-locative case, but not the object of the verb ‘to sit down’. However, in a particular example, the intransitive verb *oltur-* ‘to sit down, sit’ can be easily transformed into a transitive verb using the transformativizer, e.g. the causative marker {-t-}, as seen in (8).

(7) *yigirmi yaşında uraz muḡammād xān ḡazrātlāri xānlıḡya olturdi* (f.155r/10–11)  
 ‘His Majesty, Uraz-Muhammed Khan, **took power** (lit. **sat down** into khanate) at the age of twenty.’

(8) *anlarni orunda olturtti* (f.20r/3)  
 ‘[He] **seated** them in place [of honor].’

The Turkic <+ti> category is relatively rich, whereas in English and Russian, they tend to use two counterparts, e.g. *otur-* may correspond to transformative (finitransformative) and nontransformative, *sest’* ‘to sit down’, *sidet’* ‘to sit’, respectively. Therefore, the Turkic <+ti> should not be mistaken when compared to their English or Russian equivalents (Johanson 1999: 173; Johanson 2000a: 63).

An activity is deemed nontransformative <-t> if the action is atelic, meaning it lacks a distinct start and finish. It means that the event is ongoing or has already been completed. Although the feature of <+dyn> is generally characteristic of transformatives, it can also serve as a subclassifying criterion for nontransformatives. Actions with <-t, +dyn> have a clear beginning and end, as seen in the word *biti-* ‘to write’ in example (9). The content of <-t, +dyn> is characterized by a dynamic internal evaluation (Johanson 2000a: 64).

The actional content of <-t, -dyn> is “conceptualized as static, homogeneous, lacking internal processual evaluation”. It includes physical, social, and cognitive states properties, as well as possessions, relationships, and knowledge that are relatively stable over time. The actional content of <-t, -dyn> is less specific than that of <-t, +dyn>, and the category cannot express speed (Johanson 2000a: 65), e.g. *bil-* ‘to (get to) know’ in example (10).

(9) *pādišāh bariş fyodaravičniñ tuz ötmäk ‘adli haqqı üjün bitildi* (f.157r/8–9)  
‘[This chronicle] **was written** for the sake of the fair right of “bread and salt” of the padishah Boris Fyodorovich.’

(10) *köb kitāblar da okub häm bildim män* (f.5r/9)  
‘And I also read many books and **got to know** [a lot].’

Actional phrases are open to recategorization, meaning that they can be transformed from one category to another, i.e. transformativization and nontransformativization (Johanson 2021a: 574). Phase specification, or so-called actional modification (Johanson 2021a: 600; 2021b: 761) in these postverbial constructions can be categorized into transformativizing and nontransformativizing.

The transformativity of an actional phrase is important because the actional phrase, accompanied by a grammaticalized auxiliary verb in postverbial constructions, may (but not necessarily) point out changes in the transformativity and marks the start of a new stage. Consequently, the grammatical functions of these constructions cannot always be predicted from the lexical meaning of the source verbs. The actional phrase can have more than one meaning, depending on the context. In addition to them, examples exist where different actional values express the same meaning (Johanson 2021a: 597–615). The data in the *Compendium* do not show a wide corpus of such examples. On the other hand, repeated, durative, continuative, frequent, constant, iterative actions can be expressed not only by <B> *oltur-* ‘to sit’, but also by other static verbs <B> *tur-/<A> tur-* ‘to stand’, <B> *yat-* ‘to lie’, and the dynamic verb <B> *yürü-/<B> yür-* ‘to walk’. Nevertheless, the grammaticalized verb can express not only actional but also viewpoint-aspectual or modal meaning. Such constructions have developed further from actional phrases expressed by postverbial constructions (Johanson 2021a: 597–598). This causes doubt and ambiguity in the analysis of verb forms. Comrie (1989: 25) denotes that subdivisions of aspectual actions of imperfective in some languages are presented by habitual/continuative and nonprogressive/progressive oppositions. These subdivisions are regarded as a separate category and are called actionality (Aktionsart) in current Turkic studies (Johanson 1971, 1999, 2021a, 2021b, 2022a; Schönig 1984, 1997; Anderson 2004; Rentzsch 2005; Karakoç 2005; Ağcagül 2009; Ragagnin 2011).

*Actionality, actional properties* (German *Aktionsart*) is a verbal grammatical category. Postverbial constructions function as markers of actionality by altering the inherent phase structures of preceding lexical verbs (Johanson 2021b: 759), thereby modifying their contents. The primary role of postverbial constructions related to actionality is to specify an inherent actional phase, thus reclassifying transformative or nontransformative lexical content (Johanson 2000a: 58–66). Actionality is one of the complex and contradictory phenomena of Turkic languages that has not yet received an unambiguous interpretation. The lexical and auxiliary verbs exhibit a strong sense of cohesion. Actionality describes the way how the action is performed. Schönig (1984: 48–72) analyzes actionality as an element of the phase structure of an actional phrase. Erdal (2004: 247) claims that “actionality describes the course and development of the event in time and specifies the stage of this development in which the point referred to is situated as actually perceived by the speaker”. In other words, actionality is a broad semantic category that is expressed mainly by postverbial forms based on the lexical verb, converb types <A> and <B>, and auxiliary verbs.

It is worth noting that Erdal and Johanson provide slightly different classifications for the expression of postverbial constructions. Erdal (2004: 247) characterizes all types of action into domains of actionality, intention, ability, and version. On the other hand, according to Johanson (2021a: 597; 2021b: 759–762; 2022: 36), postverbial constructions modify the inherent actional characteristics of the lexical verb by expressing phase specification (actional modification, actionality), spatial orientation (directionality), version, ability/inability (potentiality). In this research, I will adopt the theoretical framework and methodology of Johanson. Therefore, I will use the terms *phase specification*, *spatial orientation*, *version*, and *ability/inability*.

Postverbial constructions operate for *phase specification* (or *actional modification*) to underline “the meaning of the actional phrase, specifying it qualitatively or quantitatively”; for *spatial orientation* (or *directionality*), “specifying whether an action is directed towards a deictic center, or away from it”; for *version*, indicating “whether a given action is performed to the benefit or affliction (advantage or disadvantage) of some entity”; and for *ability/disability* (or *potentiality*) (Johanson 2021a: 600–615; 2021b: 759–762).

The auxiliary verb follows the lexical verb in postverbial constructions. The members of the construction may have values of common aspect, tense, mood, modality, and evidentiality. There is strong cohesion between the lexical and the auxiliary verb, and only enclitic particles such as ‘and’, ‘also’, ‘even’, ‘only’ can be inserted between them (Johanson 2021a: 597–599). The *Compendium* exhibits a highly developed postverbial construction system, where no inserted enclitic particles are found.

Auxiliary verbs found in postverbial constructions of the *Compendium* can be traced back to the postural verbs *tur-* ‘to stand’, *oltur-* ‘to sit’, *yat-* ‘to lie’, *kal-* ‘to stay, remain’, as well as to motion verbs *yürü-/yür-* ‘to walk’, *kel-* ‘to come’, *bar-* ‘to go’, *käč-* ‘to pass’, *öt-* ‘to pass’, *ket-* ‘to go away’, and to verbs denoting different activities as, *al-* ‘to take’, *bär-* ‘to give’, *yibär-* ‘to send’, *tüş-* ‘to fall, settle, descend’, *bil-* ‘to know’.

### 2.3.1 Ambiguity

In written texts, no morphological distinction exists between pluripredicative verb sequences that describe two events and monopredicative verb sequences that describe a single event. The latter are referred to as postverbial constructions, where the second component belongs to a limited set of semantic classes, such as actionality/modality modifiers, which are grammaticalized and further undergo grammaticalization as viewpoint-aspect operators. Grammaticalization often leads to ambiguity between pluripredicative, actional, and viewpoint-aspectual reading, as the grammaticalized elements do not exhibit distinct morphological properties. However, accentuation might have the potential to solve such ambiguities (Csató et al. 2019: 2–3; Johanson 2021a: 599). Danka noted that ambiguity in the written register of the 17th century *Däftär-i Čingiz-nāmā* is understood from the point of view of the receiver, as the scribe rarely intended to introduce ambiguity. As a result, there are several possible interpretations of certain verb sequences (Danka 2019b: 135). These observations align precisely with the ambiguity found in the *Compendium*.

When a single sequence can be interpreted in multiple ways, structurally ambiguous verb sequences emerge. Based on morphology, there are two types of pluripredicative and monopredicative sequences (Csató et al. 2019: 5–6):

- One construction can be interpreted in several ways (see example (11));
- Several different constructions may have one and the same interpretation (see example (12))

(11) Turkish (Csató et al. 2019: 5)

(11a) ‘looked (and) stood’	
<i>bak-ti</i>	<i>dur-du</i>
look[PAST]	stand[PAST]

(11b) ‘looking stood’	
<i>bak-ti</i>	<i>dur-du</i>
look[PAST]	stand[PAST]

(12) Turkish (Csató et al. 2019: 6)

(12a) ‘looking stood’  
*bak-ti*                      *dur-du*  
 look[PAST]                      stand[PAST]

(12b) ‘looking stood’  
*bak-a*                      *dur-du*  
 look[A.CONV]                      stand[PAST]

The ambiguity of verb sequences occurs systematically in Turkic languages. These ambiguities encompass various forms that exhibit structural ambiguity, leading to a complex challenge in morphological and semantic analysis. The types of ambiguities, explanations, and corresponding examples (13)–(16) are outlined by Csató et al. (2019: 1–8):

- Ambiguity between lexical and grammaticalized readings;
- Ambiguity between “do-and-do” and “doing-do” readings of the converb-type pluripredicative constructions;
- Ambiguity between actionality and viewpoint-aspect readings of postverbial constructions;
- Ambiguity between different viewpoint-aspect readings.

### **Ambiguity between lexical and grammaticalized readings**

Within verb sequences, the second or subsequent verb can be interpreted either with a lexical meaning (13a) or with a grammaticalized meaning, which can be either actional/modal or viewpoint-aspectual (13b).

(13) Turkish (Csató et al. 2019: 7)  
*bakip durdu*

(13a) ‘looking stood’  
*bak-ıp*                      *dur-du*  
 look[CONV]                      stand/stop[PAST]

(13b) ‘X looking stood’  
*bak-ıp*                      *dur-du*  
 look[CONV]                      stand[AUX.DI]

### **Ambiguity between “do-and-do” and “doing-do” readings of the converb-type pluripredicative constructions**

The combination of a converb and an inflected verb in its lexical meaning can be understood as a ‘do-an-do’ reading, where two predications occur, with the first being syntactically

subordinate but semantically not modifying the second, as seen in example (14a). Secondly, it can be interpreted as a ‘doing-do’ reading, where the first predication acts as an adverbial modifier of the main predicate, as seen in example (14b).

(14) Turkish (Csató et al. 2019: 7)

<i>alip</i>	<i>gitti</i>
take[B.CONV]	go[DI.PAST]

(14a) ‘X took (it) and went’

(14b) ‘taking/having taken X went’

### **Ambiguity between actionality and viewpoint-aspect readings of postverbial constructions**

The ambiguity between actionality and viewpoint-aspect readings in postverbial constructions is limited to a specific group of auxiliary verbs that have undergone grammaticalization, transforming into viewpoint operators. For instance, example (15) demonstrates structural ambiguity, as it allows interpretation of both actionality (15a) and viewpoint aspect (15b) assigned to the auxiliary verb.

(15) Aliefendi dialect of Turkish (Csató et al. 2019: 2)

*Koşup durur*

(15a) ‘X continues to run.’

<i>koş-up</i>	<i>dur-ur</i>
run[B.CONV]	stand[AOR.3SG]

(15b) ‘X is running.’

<i>koş-up</i>	<i>dur-ur</i>
run[B.CONV]	stand[AOR.3SG]

### **Ambiguity between different viewpoint-aspect readings**

In certain Turkic languages, such as Noghay, certain constructions that involve a combination of the converb form in {-I<sub>p</sub>} of a lexical verb and the inflected form of the auxiliary verb *tur-* ‘to stand (up)’ or *yat-* ‘to lie (down)’ can exhibit ambiguity between an intraterminal interpretation (16a) and a postterminal interpretation (16b).

(16) Noghay (Csató et al. 2019: 8)

(16a) ‘who is coming’ (INTRA)

<i>kel-ip</i>	<i>tur-yan</i>
come[B.CONV]	stand[AUX.PART.NOM]

(16b) ‘who has come’, ‘who is in the state of having come’ (POST)  
*kel-ip*                                      *tur-yan*  
 come[B.CONV]                      stand[AUX.PART.NOM]

The sentences in the *Compendium* where the ambiguity arises usually consist of two or more verbs. For instance, in example (17), the verb form is complex and consists of three verbs.

(17) *mäni kördi kim šul zaχmliķ bolub yatur erdim* (f.101r/10–11)

(17a) ‘[He] saw me (when) **I got wounded** and (then) **I was lying there.**’ PLU

(17b) ‘[He] saw me (that) **I was wounded**, and **I was lying there.**’ PLU

(17c) ‘[He] saw me (when) **I was lying there wounded.**’ ACT

The first interpretation illustrates one, in which two subsequent actions follow each other with the postterminal interpretation of {-I}p}; see example (17a). In this case, the observer (*mäni kördi kim*) saw both actions. However, the context suggests that he only saw the result of him being wounded.

The next interpretation (17b) is slightly grammaticalized, where two lexical verbs represent two actions that occur in parallel. In this case, {-I}p} does not have a postterminal interpretation. At a certain stage of development, {-I}p} can refer to both subsequent and parallel actions (Johanson 1995: 327–331). In this case, *bol-* does not have a transformative meaning, so *zaχmliķ bol-* does not mean ‘to get wounded’ but simply ‘to be wounded’.

The last interpretation (17c) is a grammaticalized one. The grammaticalized meaning of the form <B> *yat-* implies duration while still retaining something from its original lexical meaning referring to the actual position of the body. The English translation may not convey the intended meaning since the main action would be ‘to be wounded’, extended in time by <B> *yat-*. The postverbal construction signifies the durative meaning, which takes place in the narrative past and is continued for a certain period of time. The verb *bol-* is nontransformative. According to the context, example (17c) is the most accurate interpretation, although all of them are possible.

To sum up, the verb in the <B> type converb indicates action prior to the next verb; therefore, the time-levels of actions are not so different or distant from each other (Menges 1968: 135; Erdal 2004: 459–460). Furthermore, the construction is interpreted as a pluripredicate construction, where the <B> converb serves as a modifier that influences the meaning of a subsequent verb, which is syntactically subordinate. As a result, the abovementioned example (17) exemplified the ambiguity between the lexical (17a; 17b) and



the grammaticalized readings (17c), as well as the ambiguity between “do-an-do” (17a) and “doing-do” (17b) readings of the converb-type pluripredicate constructions. Examples (17b) and (17c) illustrate different degrees of grammaticalization for comparison.

The *Compendium* also captures the ambiguity between actionality and aspect. This ambiguity typically arises in postverbial constructions involving verb stems, converb markers, and the auxiliary verb *tur-* ‘to stand’. In actional interpretations, the use of *tur-* ‘to stand’ frequently conveys durativity. Example (18) illustrates how the expression *taḳi aytib turur* presents a long quotation.

(18) *taḳi aytib turur*

*bir naubat buryuḳi bilän kelür erdim  
on eki kim ersä tay üstündä yolnı alib turur erdilär  
wä buryuḳi mäniḳ soḳumda kelür erdi (f.101r/2–5)*

‘Also, he **has said/continues to say**,  
“Once [when] I was coming [to the mountain] with Burguḳi,  
twelve people had captured the road on the mountain,  
and Burguḳi was walking behind me.”’

(18a) ‘[he] **has said**’ (POST)

<i>ayt-ib</i>	<i>tur-ur</i>
say[B.CONV]	stand[AOR]

(18b) ‘[he] **continues to say**’ (ACT)

<i>ayt-ib</i>	<i>tur-ur</i>
say[B.CONV]	stand[AOR]

The next examples (19)–(20) highlight structural ambiguity in the reading of <A> *yibär-* form, as <A> type converbs are commonly utilized in the formation of postverbial constructions. However, in our examples, the verb sequences manifest a pluripredicate interpretation.

(19) *oḳ [ḫān] oyli sängun üḳün ḫilä bilän ḳiz tilätä yibärdi (f.53v/4–5)*

‘Oḳ [Khan] **sent to make [someone] to arrange a match** with cunning for his son Sengun.’

(20) *ḳäbä noyan wä sübüdey bahadurni sulṫān muḫammäd ḫoräzmī šāḫ artidin ḳowdura yibärdi (f.61r/3–4)*

‘[Genghis Khan] **sent** Jebe *Noyan* and Sübüdei *Bahadur* after Khwarazmian Sultan Muhammed **to chase** him.’

As previously mentioned, in contemporary languages, the use of <A> converbs can appear in reduplications, postverbial constructions, and specific limited verb forms (Johanson 2021a: 752). In the *Compendium*, the <A> converb appears in pluripredicate and reduplication forms

with the verb *yibär-* ‘to send’; see examples (19)–(20) and (21), respectively. However, there is also a postverbial construction <B> *yibär-* (22) that utilizes the same lexical verb as the verb sequence <A> *yibär-* in (20). In example (22), the postverbial construction <B> *yibär-* conveys the meaning of the completion of an action. Therefore, there is a structural ambiguity, which arises from the question of whether the form <A> *yibär-* can serve as a postverbial construction or not. However, it is worth noting that Eckmann (1966: 145) provided a sentence with <A> *yibär-*, referring to a sudden action, which can be considered a postverbial construction.

(21) *toluy χänni läškär alında viläyätlär ala ala yibärdi* (61r/6–7)  
 ‘[He] sent Tolui Khan at the head of an army **to seize** the countries.’

(22) *oŋ χān bir ayaşın kowub yibärdi* (f.49r/11–49v/1)  
 ‘Ong Khan **drove away** one of his brothers.’

If the finitransformative lexical verb *yibär-* ‘to send’ can be grammaticalized in the postverbial construction of <B> type, it is hypothetically possible for the same lexical verb *yibär-* ‘to send’ to be grammaticalized in the postverbial construction of <A> type. However, according to Erdal (2004: 247), the {-A} suffix mainly forms the lexical verb, while the {-I}p} converb suffixes are often interchangeable and can form both lexical and auxiliary verbs. Nevertheless, Rentzsch demonstrates the actional construction {-E} *yibär-* in the form of {-Iver-} ‘to send’ in Uyghur, which carries two meanings. The first meaning is spontaneous or casual action, while the second one is fast action (Rentzsch 2005: 27). Johanson (2021a: 601–602, 613) compares the same {-I-wär-} and discusses in length that modern Turkish {-I-ver-} is the corresponding form of construction that goes back to \*i:δ-u ber- in examples like *gül/i/ver-* ‘burst out laughing’.

A different verb sequence, demonstrating morphological ambiguity, is presented in the form of <A> *at-*; see example (23). The construction <A> *at-* with the converb marker {-A} and the verb *at-* ‘to throw, shoot’ appears solely in the *Compendium*. The meaning of the sentence using this construction remains uncertain. Today, four translations of this sentence are presented by native Turkic speakers: QAB/Mingulov et al. (Kazakh), Syzdykova & Kojgeldiev (Kazakh), Alimov (Kirghiz), Xisamieva (Tatar). All the different Turkic language speakers translated it differently. Kazakh scholars presented Kazakh translations, while Kirghiz and Tatar scholars provided Russian translations. However, both Kazakh translations are almost identical to the QAB’s sentence, and the construction that interested us remained the same.

(23) *kādir berdi χänni[η] altunliy kolluyin käsü attı* (f.143v/11)

The abovementioned scholars’ translations are as follows:

(QAB/Mingulov et al. 1997: 119) ‘Қадірберді ханды ол алтын садағымен **кесе атты.**’  
*He shot, cutting Qadir Berdi with his golden bow.*

(Syzdykova & Қojgeldiev 1991: 256) ‘Қадірберді ханды алтын садағымен **кесе атты.**’  
*[Hajji Muhammed Ulan] shot, cutting Qadir Berdi with his golden bow.*

(Xisamieva 2022: 138) ‘...**уничтожил** золотой трон Кадыр-берди...’  
*[He] destroyed the Golden throne of Qadir Berdi.*

(Alimov 2022: 168) ‘Он **рассек** [мечом] золотой наруч Кадырберди-хана.’  
*He sliced through the golden sleeve of Qadir Berdi Khan [with a sword].*

The problem is that the translations of QAB/Mingulov et al., Syzdykova & Қojgeldiev, and Xisamieva do not reflect the exact meaning of the sentence. Kazakh language translators inserted the word *садақ* < sadaq > ‘bow’, which is absent in the *Compendium*. Xisamieva understood the word *қоллуқ* as ‘armchair’ and translated it figuratively. However, that interpretation is wrong for the *Compendium*, as QAB used the words *тағт* and *шандал* for the meaning of ‘throne’. *Қоллуқ* here means ‘sleeve’, it is the armament of a warrior designed to protect the arm and covers from the shoulder to the wrists or knuckles (Bobrov & Hudjakov 2008: 494).

Alimov, in his Russian translation, used the verb *rassek*, which describes the action, and means ‘to separate by a blow; chop or cut in two, into pieces; cut the body in half’. Moreover, this verb often indicates speediness (actional transformativizing notion).

Although no observed postverbal construction such as <A> *at-* exists in any grammar of Turkic languages, and there is no auxiliary verb such as *at-* ‘to throw, shoot’, the function of the verbal construction in the abovementioned sentence seems to be an actional phrase. Judaxin (1985: 77) indicates that in Kyrghyz, there is a possibility for *at-* to function as an abridged form of the auxiliary verb *yat-* ‘to lie down, lie’. The construction in that given scenario traces back to <A> *yat-*. However, the issue lies in the fact that in Turkic languages, the postverbal construction <A> *yat-* usually conveys a continuative function, the meaning of which is not compatible with the given context.

The verb *at-* ‘to shoot’ describes the action that involves launching something. In Turkic languages, there is a postverbal construction with a verb describing the action with an element of physical movement. It is the verb *taşla-* ‘to throw (away)’ in the <B> *taşla-* construction, which manifests fast, energetic, and resolute action, along with other interpretations. The sentence, semantically, is very close to that meaning. See the possible translation below, with a resolute action:

‘[Hajji Muhammed Ulan] **cut** Qadir Berdi’s golden sleeve **once and for all.**’

Moreover, the verb sequence *käsä at-* is semantically very familiar to the Kazakh *kesin macma-* (‘kesip tasta-’), coinciding with the translation provided above. Nevertheless, the grammatically adequate translation for the verb sequence *käsä at-* can also be the pluripredicate one:

‘[Hajji Muhammed Ulan] **shot, cutting** Qadir Berdi’s golden sleeve.’

However, it is interesting to note that a sentence is attested with *at-* ‘to throw, shoot’ in an ambiguous verb sequence in Ötemiş Hajji’s *Činggiz-näme* ‘Book of Genghis Khan’ (1551). This verb sequence is *kowalap atar-*. Kamalov (2009) translated the Tashkent manuscript into Turkish based on Judin’s Russian translation (1992), and Mirgaleev (2017) translated the Istanbul manuscript into Russian. All translations are based on the idea that it is a pluripredicate construction. See transcriptions of the Tashkent manuscript (ÖHt) and Istanbul manuscript (ÖHi) as well as translations below.

(ÖHt: f.37b/14–37b/15)  
*anı kowalab atarda*  
*aṭdın yikilib boyni sinib väfāt boldi*

(ÖHi: f.16b/4–16b/5)  
*anı kowalab atarda*  
*aṭdın yikilib boyunı üzülüb väfāt boldi*

(ÖHt/Judin 1992: 91)  
‘Преследуя его и пуская стрелы,  
он свалился с коня, свернул себе шею и умер.’

*While chasing him and shouting arrows,*  
*he fell from the horse, broke his neck, and died.*

(ÖHt/Kamalov 2009: 31)  
‘Onu kovalayıp (ok) atarken  
attan düşüp boyunu kırıp vefat etti.’

*While chasing and shooting (arrows) at him,*  
*he fell from the horse, broke his neck, and died.*

(ÖHi/Mirgaleev 2017: 28)  
‘Он начал пускать стрелы и преследовать их,  
но упал с коня и свернул себе шею и умер.’

*He began shooting arrows and chasing them,*  
*but he fell from his horse, broke his neck, and died.*

However, Balázs Danka (personal communication) recommends that it is possible that the sentence in question can be translated as a finitransformative postverbial construction:

‘When he was going **to hotfoot after** them,  
he fell off the horse, broke his neck, and died.’

However, this is the only single sentence of such an example in ÖH. I also tend to believe that this is a postverbial construction.

Furthermore, the analytic denominal verb was attested in the ‘Pagan’ Oghuz-name (15th century), where the auxiliary verb *et-* ‘to do, make’ is uncertain and can also be interpreted as *at-* ‘to throw’ in the examples *čamat ät-/at-* ‘to become angry’/ ‘to burst with anger’ and *sewinč ät-/at-* ‘to be glad’/ ‘to rejoice’. This ambiguity arises because the second element in these examples is spelled irregularly as <’d> in the Uyghur script (Danka 2019a: 144, 210).

Of course, these examples could simply be coincidences. However, the very fact that the verb *at-* ‘to throw, shoot’ can appear as an auxiliary verb in three different sources increases the possibility of its use as an auxiliary verb, and consequently, as part of the postverbial construction in the *Compendium*.

To sum up all that is mentioned above, the *Compendium* obtains almost all possible ambiguities classified by Csató et al., apart from the ambiguity between different viewpoint-aspect readings.

### 2.3.2 Phase specification

Postverbial constructions commonly specify and emphasize inherent aspects of the actional phrase, whether in qualitative or quantitative terms. Phase specification, or so-called actional modification (Johanson 2021a: 600; 2021b: 761) in these postverbial constructions can be categorized into transformativizing and nontransformativizing (see above).

#### **Transformativizing constructions**

Transformativizing postverbial constructions are utilized to emphasize the initial or final phase of an action within actionally ambiguous actional phrases, thereby blocking nontransformative interpretations. The auxiliary verbs utilized in these constructions alter the lexical verbs’ actions (Johanson 2021a: 600). In the *Compendium*, verbs such as *yibär-* ‘to send’, *ket-* ‘to go away’, *kal-* ‘to stay, remain’, *tüš-* ‘to fall, settle, descend’ are attested. Additionally, I have included the lexical phasal verb *bašla-* ‘to begin’ within the transformativizing group. Johanson

introduces another group that is not considered in this research, wherein lexical phasal verbs, as opposed to auxiliary verbs, are used based on semantic meanings, resulting in less grammaticalization of postverbal constructions since the verb that should function as an auxiliary still remains a lexical verb (Johanson 2021a: 617). There is only one example of such constructions in the *Compendium*, ⟨A⟩ *başla-*; see examples (36)–(37). Therefore, I have concluded that there is no need to keep an additional group for a single example. From a semantic point of view, this construction belongs to phase specification within the transformativizing category, as they narrow the meaning of the actional phase to transitioning to another phase, encompassing ‘to begin doing something’.

### ⟨B⟩ *yibär-* ‘to send (away)’

The form ⟨B⟩ *yibär-* ‘to send (away)’ conveys the sudden beginning of an action; see example (24). The auxiliary verb *yibär-* can be traced back to the simple *i:δ + ⟨A⟩ + bär-* ‘to give’.

(24) *burunyï wakïtđa bir birinü yađšilik kılişyannï öfkä sözin aytïb yibärdi yaraşali deb* (f.54r/1–2)

‘Genghis Khan **burst** out in words of anger for all the good things they had done to each other in the old days saying, “Let peace be made.”’

Moreover, the form ⟨B⟩ *yibär-* ‘to send (away)’ also indicates the completion of an action in the *Compendium*; see example (25), as the context of the text emphasizes the completion, rather than the suddenness, of Ong Khan’s action. A meaning highlighted in Eckmann’s manual (1966: 151).

(25) *oŋ xān bir ayaşin kowub yibärdi* (f.49r/11–49v/1)  
‘Ong Khan **drove away** one of his brothers.’

Furthermore, the verb sequence with ⟨B⟩ *yibär-* can also serve as a pluripredicate; see example (26).

(26) *tamāmisin läşkär bilän Hulagu xānya koşub yibärdilär irān zamīngä* (f.87r/2–3)  
‘They **attached** all of them to Hulagu Khan with troops **and sent** them to the land of Iran.’

From this perspective, the context of sentences is very important, as the converb marker {-(I)p} can function as both an indicator of the standard postterminal interpretation, where two

subsequent actions follow each other (26), and also as a connective in postverbal constructions (24)–(25).

Thus, the semantics of ⟨B⟩ *yibär-* construction is ambiguous in interpretation. It can manifest as both a postverbal and a pluripredicate construction in the *Compendium*.

⟨B⟩ *ket-*/⟨B⟩ *kät-*/⟨B⟩ *ke[t]-* ‘to leave, go (away)’

In the *Compendium*, eight examples of postverbal construction ⟨B⟩ *ket-*/⟨B⟩ *kät-*/⟨B⟩ *ke[t]-* were attested with transformativizing meaning. The construction ⟨B⟩ *ket-* in example (27) emphasizes the fast, energetic, resolute action.

(27) *sultān jālāl ad-dīn sīr daryāsindin káčib*  
*kačīb ke[t]ti* (f.61v/11–62r/1)

‘Sultan Jelal al-Din crossed the Syr Darya  
and **quickly escaped**.’

Moreover, the postverbal construction ⟨B⟩ *kät-* also modifies the description of the action in the sense of ‘to do something suddenly or unexpectedly’; see examples (28)–(29).

(28) *nūr mišāllik kim ersä oturub mäniñ közümgä köriniüb kätib erdi* (f.36r/8–9)  
‘A light-like someone **had suddenly appeared** before my eyes.’

The translation in example (29) represents only one of the possible interpretations. However, since the sentence contains three verbs, there can be different possibilities for the analysis. Nevertheless, the purpose of this section is to illustrate the meaning of the ⟨B⟩ *ket-*/⟨B⟩ *kät-*/⟨B⟩ *ke[t]-* construction, so other interpretations were not discussed.

(29) *kim ersä öz maḳāmında yol bärmägäy*  
*ya nī talab alīb ketmägäy* (f.90r/8–9)

‘Nobody should allow [such people] to appear in their own locality,  
so that they shall not **suddenly/unexpectedly** pillage (for themselves).’

In Old Turkic, the verb *ket-* ‘to go away’ was originally finitransformative verb. Therefore, finitranformality is sometimes the key to the source verb. Consequently, construction ⟨B⟩ *ket-* seemingly emphasizes the completion of the action, conveying the intended meaning of ‘finally’ in example (30).

(30) *wä lēkin āxir zamānda näčük vilāyät Tajikkä aṭlanyanda*  
*anı üyündä ordularında läškäri bilän salīb ke[t]ti* (f.81r/5–6)

‘However, when [Genghis Khan] went on a campaign in the land of the Tajiks the last time,

he **layed** him (his brother) in charge with his troops at his home, [i.e.] his residences...’

- (31) *andin üç qawm bolub ke[t]ti* (f.32v/11)  
‘They **finally became** three tribes (and that was it).’

Among other instances, two examples closely resembled those indicated in example (31), featuring verb forms *uluy bolub ke[t]ti* (f.14v/11–15r/1) and *qawm bolub ke[t]tilär* (f.25v/2).

#### ⟨B⟩ *qal-* ‘to stay, remain’

The next transformativizing is presented by the actional phrase of a construction based on ⟨B⟩ *qal-*, which means ‘to get into a state and remain in there’; see example (32). The verb *qal-* ‘to stay, remain’ inherently possesses an initiontransformative nature. Thus, the ⟨B⟩ *qal-* construction highlights the **initial** dynamic phase of an action while also encompassing the subsequent posttransformative phase (Johanson 2021a: 603). This has clearly actional interpretation and found in the only example (32) in the *Compendium*.

- (32) *kimiz şabasini yaba turyan täre tonniñ arasında yaşurub alib qaldılar* (f.30v/1–2)  
‘They **hid** him (and **kept him hidden**) [for their own sake] between leather overcoats that covered the koumiss in a leather bottle.’

#### ⟨B⟩ *tüş-* ‘to fall, settle, descend’

The last transformativizing construction relates to the finitransformative action of ⟨B⟩ *tüş-* with the grammaticalized verb *tüş-* ‘to fall, settle, descend’. There are only four examples of this construction in the *Compendium*, all of which are examples of *kelib түш-*, where grammaticalized *tüş-* is combined only with the semantical verb *kel-* ‘to come’. See examples (33)–(35).

- (33) *bir hafta içində şawwāl ayiniñ yigirmi törtünji kün täre-i miñda pänjšänbä kün дәvlät bilän mubāräk qadam qutluq rüzgār bilän kārman şähriya kelib түşdilär* (f.154v/4–6)

‘Within a week [they] **arrived** in Kasimov city on the 24th of the month of Shawwal 1000 on Thursday along with might and a blessed, and a happy fate.’

- (34) *jiñgiz xān bu yıl yazısin öz ordulariya kelib түştü* (f.62v/1–2)  
‘This summer, Genghis Khan **arrived** at his [royal] residences.’

- (35) *anda kelib түştü* (f.98r/9)  
‘[He] **arrived** there.’

While the translation of *kelib түш-* can be a simple presentation of the finitransformative verb ‘to arrive’ in English, contrasting with ‘to come (be on the way)’, the German verb ‘ankommen’



provides a more precise translation. Therefore, these constructions convey a sense of completion or termination. Johanson (2021a: 601) mentioned that one of the auxiliary verbs utilized in these transformativizing constructions was the finitransformative source verb ‘to fall’, but did not give exact examples with *tüş-* ‘to fall’.

It is also necessary to note the explanation of Jumabay et al. (2019: 153) given to the verb sequence *kelib tüs-* ‘to stay, stay overnight’ in the contemporary Kazakh language. According to them, *kelib tüs-* is “a complex verb where the two verbal roots combine their semantic meaning and are put together to express the meaning of a complex action”. They call the Kazakh postverbal construction *kelib tüs-* a *composite verb*.

Nevertheless, all the examples of ⟨B⟩ *tüş-* in the *Compendium* convey the finitransformative meaning of ‘to arrive’. While example (33) undoubtedly carries the meaning of the finitransformative verb ‘to arrive’, examples (34)–(35) can be ambiguous. Based solely on the meaning of the sentence, it is impossible to determine the accurate translation; both ‘to arrive’ and ‘to stay overnight’ are possible. However, considering the contextual story in examples (34) and (35), the ⟨B⟩ *tüş-* construction clearly indicates the finitransformative phase distinction. Importantly, Alimov (2022: 149) translates the form ⟨B⟩ *tüş-* in example (34) as *остановился* ‘stayed’, ‘stopped.’

#### ⟨A⟩ *başla-* ‘to begin’

In the *Compendium*, two examples (36)–(37) of ⟨A⟩ *başla-* ‘to begin’ are identified. According to Erdal (2004: 249, 409–410), verbs that indicate phasal verbs, such as *başla-*, should not be referred to as auxiliary verbs because they do not form part of a grammatical category. Regarding this type of verb, he refers to them as ‘supine constructions’, drawing a parallel to the utilization of Latin supine I (e.g. *salutatum venire* ‘to come to greet’) and Latin supine II (e.g. *horribile dictu* ‘terrible to say’).

Compared to constructions discussed previously, the lexical phasal verb displays a lower degree of grammaticalization in the text. Unlike the fully grammaticalized second verbs found in other constructions, the following constructions incorporate the phasal verb *başla-* with its inherent lexical meaning ‘to begin’. The ingressive verb *başla-* can combine with both ⟨A⟩ and ⟨B⟩ type converbs (Johanson 2021a: 617). However, the data in the *Compendium* contains only two examples of the ⟨A⟩ *başla-* form.

(36) *ol oylan anasiniñ sütin yemä başladı* (f.17v/5)  
‘This boy **started to suck** his mother’s milk.’

(37) *ol zamānda jingiz xānya ol yıl kawm tayjiut öngä aya wä inisi kawm juriyat wä märkit tatar wä yäir häm här birisi bir az zahmät tegürä başladı* (f.48r/2–4)

‘At that time in that year, the Tayjiut tribe, [as well as] other older and younger brothers from the tribes of Juriat, Merkit, Tatar, and others **began to harass** Genghis Khan.’

### Nontransformativizing constructions

Certain constructions exhibit a nontransformativizing nature, emphasizing the statal (non-dynamic) phase of an action. In these postverbal constructions, the auxiliary verbs alter the internal phasal structure of a lexical verb, turning it into nontransformative forms through a process of recategorization (Johanson 2021a: 603–604; 2021b: 762). These constructions are based on initiotransformative postural verbs, such as *tur-* ‘to stand up, stand’, *oltur-* ‘to sit down, sit’, *yat-* ‘to lie down, lie’, *kal-* ‘to get into a state’ + ‘to remain in the state’; and on motion verbs such as *yürü-/yür-* ‘to walk’, *kel-* ‘to come’, *ket-* ‘to leave, go (away)’, and *öt-* ‘to pass’, *käč-* ‘id.’ in the *Compendium*. The category of nontransformativizing comprises two converb types of postverbal constructions: the ⟨B⟩ type and the ⟨A⟩ type.

#### The ⟨B⟩ type

The largest subgroup with the ⟨B⟩ type category of nontransformativizing comprises the actional meaning expressed through four distinct constructions: ⟨B⟩ *tur-* ‘to stand’, ⟨B⟩ *oltur-* ‘to sit’, ⟨B⟩ *yat-* ‘to lie’, ⟨B⟩ *yürü-/yür-* ‘to walk’. ⟨B⟩ *tur-* ‘to stand’, ⟨B⟩ *oltur-* ‘to sit’, and ⟨B⟩ *yat-* ‘to lie’ are classified as static (non-dynamic) phases of the actional phrase, while ⟨B⟩ *yürü-/yür-* ‘to walk’ is classified as dynamic. They are generally characterized by repetition, duration, continuity, frequency, constancy, and iteration. These constructions are typically used in a similar way, offering further information about the physical position of the action. It can be stated that the combination of the ⟨B⟩ type converb together with auxiliaries, expressed by the postural verbs as *tur-* ‘to stand’, *oltur-* ‘to sit’, *yat-* ‘to lie’, and motion verb *yürü-/yür-* ‘to walk’, turns the transformative or actionally ambiguous actional phrases into nontransformative verbs.

In the *Compendium*, six postverbal constructions, including ⟨B⟩ *öt-* ‘to pass’, ⟨B⟩ *käč-* ‘id.’, and the four forms mentioned above, together with lexical verbs, are used to show the ⟨B⟩ type nontransformativizing constructions.

#### ⟨B⟩ *tur-* ‘to stand’

(38) *bir näčä kün pädišāh hazrätläri yanında mävküf bolub turdılar* (f.154r/2–3)  
‘For several days, he **kept** staying on His Majesty, the Sovereign’s side.’

(39) *bir yärdä bir uruy bolub turur erdilär* (f.70r/2–3)  
‘They **were being** one clan in one place.’

Example (39) presents a grammaticalized durative action of the form <B> *tur-*, which implies duration and conveys the meaning ‘to be’. The postverbial construction signifies the durative meaning, which takes place in the narrative past and is continued for a certain period of time. It is the most appropriate interpretation; however, since the construction consists of three verbs, it demonstrates the ambiguity. Therefore, the second interpretation can be the illustration of two subsequent actions that follow each other with the standard postterminal interpretation of {-I)p}, where *bol-* is ‘to become’ and *tur-* is ‘to live, dwell’; see example (39b). The next interpretation (39c) is slightly grammaticalized, with two lexical verbs representing two actions that occur in parallel. Thus, example (39) consists of a set of ambiguous sequences between pluripredicate and postverbial interpretations.

(39b) ‘They **became** one clan **and (then) lived** in one place.’ PL

(39c) ‘They **were being** one clan **and were (standing)** in one place.’ PL

#### <B> *oltur-* ‘to sit’

(40) *ol hāldā bu naṣīhatni buyurdī*  
*ol hāzīrda barča ümārā-yi uluyları baķīb olturub erdilār* (82v/1–3)

‘When [Genghis Khan] prescribed this advice on that occasion,  
 all the great *ulug*[*begs*] (great lords) who were present there had **kept** watching [at him]  
 that time.’

(41) *ol hām konub olturub erdi*  
*anda oķ basti öltürdi* (f.57r/2)

‘He had just **settled** to rest,  
 [when] an arrow hit [him] and killed [him] there.’

#### <B> *yat-* ‘to lie’

(42) *māni kördi kim*  
*şul zaķmlik bolub yatur erdim* (f.101r/10–11)

‘[He] saw me  
 (when) **I was lying there wounded.**’

Example (42) in the form <B> *yat-* ‘to lie’ is a non-dynamic construction that is found only once in the *Compendium*. The construction expresses an actional meaning through nontransformative durativity. However, in addition to its actional meaning, construction can also be interpreted as

a pluripredicate construction where *yat-* expresses its lexical meaning; see examples (42b)–(42c).

(42b) ‘[He] saw me(when) **I got wounded** and (then) **I was lying there.**’

(42c) ‘[He] saw me (that) **I was wounded**, and **I was lying there.**’

### ⟨B⟩ *yürü-*/⟨B⟩ *yür-* ‘to walk’

(43) *bir waqit[da] biri xān biri beg bolub yürügän erdi* (f.144v/4–5)  
‘One [of them] **had lived** as a khan, [and the other] one **had lived** as a beg at the same time.’

(44) *hajji muḥammäd ulannï manşur beg xānladi*<sup>31</sup>  
*biri xān biri beg bolub yürür erdi* (f.144r/3–5)  
‘[After] Mansur Beg enthroned Hajji Muhammed *Ulan*,  
one of them **lived** as a khan, [and the other] one **lived** as a *beg*.’

(45) *açlık susalık bolub yürür erdi* (f.145r/1)  
‘They were **living being** hungry and thirsty.’

(46) *anlarnï läşkär uluyi etib yürügüzdï* (f.90v/5–6)  
‘[He] made them to be [**and living**] commanders of the army.’

All instances represented in examples (43)–(46) in an actional reading indicate nontransformative durativity, which prevents the dynamic interpretation of ⟨B⟩ *yürü-*/⟨B⟩ *yür-* ‘to walk’. However, three of them (43)–(45) express ambiguity between a pluripredicative and a monopredicative reading without contextual knowledge. Another text, *Däftär-i Ćingiz-nāmā*, also written in Turkic variety in the 17th century, exhibits the same characteristic (Danka 2019b: 139).

### ⟨B⟩ *öt-* ‘to pass’ and ⟨B⟩ *käč-* ‘id.’

Johanson (2021a: 611) explained both the ⟨B⟩ *öt-* and ⟨B⟩ *käč-* constructions as actions carried in passing, with overtones of casual, careless performance. However, he provided evidence only in Uzbek for the ⟨B⟩ *öt-* and in Turkish for the ⟨B⟩ *käč-*, without presenting evidence from other languages and putting them in the spatial orientation expression of postverbal constructions. The ⟨B⟩ *öt-* construction was described by Gabain (1945: 125) as the expression of a well-executed action in Uzbek. Modern Turkish has *geç-* ‘to pass’ which corresponds to the verb *öt-* ‘to pass’ in other Turkic languages. Clauson (1972: 39) writes that the main connotation

---

<sup>31</sup> † *xānladi*.

of *öt-* is movement or over; however, in the later stage, it mostly appears with ‘time’. In the Oghuz branch, *öt-* only appears in Turkmen and in the Anatolian dialect of Turkish.

Since both of <B> *öt-* and <B> *käč-* forms convey the idea of ‘(time) to pass’, they were combined in one section in the *Compendium*; see *öt-* (47)–(49) and *käč-* (50)–(52). The focus in all the examples is on the process and, therefore, can be expressed using the adverb ‘gradually’ or the adverbial phrase ‘step by step’, ‘one by one’. Both *öt-* and *käč-* appear together with phrases such as ‘until today, for some time, from then until now’ (49)–(51), which represent a specific period of time.

(47) *büzürg mu ‘täbär bolub ötti* (f.76v/4)

‘[He] became **step by step** very well respected.’

(48) *bu moyollar aşli eki böläk bolub öttilər* (f.14r/9–10)

‘The origin of these Mongols **gradually** came from two groups.’

(49) *aḥwāl moyol läškärläri anja tā gāyät waḳitka deg[g]äč ma ‘lüm bolub ötüb erdilär* (f.88r/10–11)

‘The position of the Mongolian army had become **step by step** so famous until today.’

(50) *näjä waḳitka deg[g]äj bär münša ‘ib uruy bolub käčtilär* (f.146r/9–10)

‘[They] **gradually** became clan, branching out for a long time.’

(51) *ol ḳawm ol kündin bu küngä deg[g]äj bisiyär ḳawm bolub käčtilär* (f.10r/10–11)

‘That tribe **gradually** became a large tribe from that time until today.’

(52) *turkistān ḥaddindaki šähärlärni alīb käčä ke[t]ti* (f.60v/8)

‘[Jochi, Chagatai and Ogedei] took over the cities **one by one** on their way to the Turkestan border.’

The sentence in example (52) is complex, featuring three verbs that can be combined. The construction *al-ib käč-* appears to emphasize the process of action, i.e. ‘took the cities one by one (as a process)’. However, the construction <A> *ke[t]-* poses some issues. It can function similarly to the <B> *ket-* construction, which would mean ‘he **finally** took the cities one by one’, combining <B> *käč-* and <B>/<A> *ket-* together. Gabain (1941 [1974]: 123) stated that the most important difference between the <A> and <B> type converbs is that <A> indicates simultaneity, while <B> type means a temporal antecedent. However, later researchers questioned Gabain’s interpretations of the <A> and <B> types (Erdal 2004: 462). Among them, Johanson (2021a: 599) concluded that in many cases, the opposition between <A> and <B> types becomes neutralized, resulting in relatively vague functions.

Gabain (1945: 124) attested to the <A> *ket-* form in Uzbek and indicated it as an inchoative actionality, the meaning of which is close to the <B> *ket-* form. Alternatively, the presence of

the ⟨A⟩ type converb following ⟨B⟩ *käč-* could indicate the pluripredicate construction, expressing ‘he went way, taking the cities one by one’. However, apart from reduplications and the ambiguous lexical verb *yibär-* ‘to send’, no other examples of the ⟨A⟩ type markers were found in the *Compendium*, serving as a modifier that influences the meaning of a subsequent verb in pluripredicate sentences. Although the ⟨A⟩ *ke[t]-* construction is the only example in the *Compendium*, it is represented as an active actionality in modern Kazakh. It conveys the meaning of an additional action occurring in parallel with another action, where the second action serves as the main action (Oralbaeva 1979: 164). That’s why the form ⟨A⟩ *ke[t]-* in example (52) is translated as ‘on (their) way’.

### The ⟨A⟩ type

#### ⟨A⟩ *tur-* ‘to stand’

The category of nontransformativizing in the ⟨A⟩ *tur-* form is expressed through the continuative meaning, which is classified as a statal (non-dynamic) phase. There is only one instance in the *Compendium*; see examples (53).

- (53) *χātunlar wä kälinlär wä kızlar kim anday kızıl ot tüslük jaynay turur erdilär* (f.98v/1–2)  
 ‘Spouses, daughters-in-law, and daughters **were shining** like red fire.’

It seems that there is no semantic difference between the forms ⟨A⟩ *tur-* and ⟨B⟩ *tur-* in the *Compendium*. Moreover, there are mostly no strict rules governing the usage of the ⟨A⟩ type converb or that of the ⟨B⟩ type converb, but rather a tendency towards one form or the other (Menges 1968: 150–151; Johanson 2021a: 599). Juldašev (1965: 73) notes that in Bashkir folklore in the sphere of present tense, the form ⟨A⟩ *tur-* can convey the same meaning as ⟨B⟩ *tur-*. Kononov (1956: 210) and Lewis (1967: 191) maintain about the same meanings of ⟨A⟩ *dur-* and ⟨B⟩ *dur-* in modern Turkish. However, according to Johanson (2021a: 607), these two constructions differ from each other. Only the ⟨A⟩ *tur-* construction expresses the continuative action that has already begun and can refer to an action in relation to a second action. Furthermore, ⟨A⟩ *tur-* does not combine with finitrasformatives. Due to the lack of the form constructions with ⟨A⟩ *tur-* in the *Compendium*, the difference remains unclear.

#### ⟨A⟩ *kal-* ‘to stay, remain’

The verb *kal-* ‘to stay, remain’ inherently possesses an initiontransformative nature, as already mentioned above. However, this lexical verb, when used as an auxiliary verb together with the ⟨A⟩ type converb, creates the category of nontransformativizing in the actional construction ⟨A⟩

*kal-*, meaning ‘to get into a posttransformativizing state and to remain in it’. So, the construction illustrates “comparable properties, highlighting the second phase (non-initial), while also including the initial phase that leads to it” (Johanson 2021a: 608). It explicitly states that the observed action is in its final stage (Erdal 2004: 250).

- (54) *ägär müvāfik tüssä*  
*äytkänläri här kâz kelmây kalmas* (f.92r/11–92v/1)  
 ‘[Even] if [this advice] is appropriate,  
 it does not **remain** valid every time.’

In the *Compendium*, there is another example of the verb *kal-* ‘to stay, remain’ with the <B> type converb in the section on transformativizing constructions. That usage, on the contrary, prevents the nontransformativizing interpretation and emphasizes the initial phase; see example (55).

- (55) *kimiz şabasini yaba turyan tãri tonniñ arasında yaşurub alib kaldılar* (f.30v/1–2)  
 ‘They **hid** him (and **kept him hidden**) [for their own sake] between leather overcoats that covered the koumiss in a leather bottle.’

Erdal (2004: 250) and Anderson (2004: 116–120) propose that <A> *kal-* serves the same function as <B> *kal-* in EOT and South Siberian, respectively, with minor nuances. In EOT, <A> *kal-* defines the meaning as “the action’s last stage”. In South Siberian, <A> *kal-* defines a “perfective action”, while <B> *kal-* carries “an additional connotation of successful completion of an action”. However, these two constructions are different in the *Compendium*.

#### <A> *kel-* ‘to come’

The next subgroup of nontransformativizing constructions is <A> *kel-* ‘to come’ with actional meaning “up to some later orientation point” (Johanson 2021a: 608). It indicates actions that have been ongoing for a certain duration but analyzes them from a later stage, possibly when they have become habitual or are being narrated (Erdal 2004: 250, 253).

- (56) *uruyları pâdişâh bola keldilər* (f.33r/3–4)  
 ‘His descendants became a ruler **until today**.’

- (57) *ol zamândin bu zamân jingiz çānya deg[g]äj kul bola keldilər* (f.30v/9)  
 ‘From that time **until** this time of Genghis Khan, [they] were slaves.’

Generally, the word *kul* translates as ‘servant’ rather than ‘slave’ in the *Compendium*. Its original meaning corresponds to ‘servant’, ‘subordinate’, or ‘subject’ (Budagov 1871: 45).

However, in example (57), it is clear that the meaning is ‘slave’. This sentence belongs to the dastan of Dutum Menen (see footnote 195). After the murder of Monolun and her eight sons, the Jalayirs who participated in the murder were given to Qaidu as slaves. The translators of Persian *Compendium* into English (RD/Thackston 1998: 120) and Russia (RD/Smirnova 1952: 19), as well as the Russian translation of the Turkic *Compendium* (Alimov 2022: 126), have rendered it as ‘slave’ in this context.

### 2.3.3 Spatial orientation

The spatial orientation (or directionality) group is divided into two subgroups, both based on the <B> type converb, with three motion verbs:

- towards the deictic center (‘to this place’), using the meaning verbs of ‘to come’;
- away from the deictic center (‘from this place’), using the meaning verbs of ‘to go away’ (Johanson 2021a: 610).

The first subgroup of spatial orientation is the direction of motion towards the deictic center (to this place) based on the converb and motion verb <B> *kel-* ‘to come’. The term *venitive* (*cislocative*) is also used to indicate the concept ‘coming’ (Johanson 2021b: 761).

Example (58) indicates the orientation with respect to the point of reference.

- (58) *özlärin körsätib*  
*tā nājūk ol üj aṭliylar aña qaşd etkäy*  
*kaşurub qowub **kelgäy***  
*wä bi-mädäd nökärläri bilän anlarni tutyay* (94r/8–10)
- ‘[He] showed himself  
in order to those three horsemen encroach his life  
[and] **chased** him,  
and [he] would catch them up with the aid of his *nökers*.’

- (59) *toqtayya alib **kelä**<sup>32</sup> turur erdi* (f.142v/8–9)  
‘[He] was on the way **bringing** [him] **to** Tokhta.’

The second subgroup of spatial orientation refers to the direction of motion away from the deictic center (‘from this place’). This is expressed through *andative* (*translocative*) constructions that rely on converbs and auxiliary verbs based on <B> *bar-* ‘to go’ and <B> *ket-* ‘to leave, go (away)’ (Johanson 2021a: 610; 2021b: 761).

---

<sup>32</sup> *Alib kel-* is a lexicalized verb, with its lexicalization occurring as a secondary development after the emergence of the postverbal construction.



The *Compendium* comprises three examples of <B> type lexical constructions that involve the verb *bar-* ‘to go’. It seems that all three examples (60)–(62) are lexicalized. Examples (61)–(62) manifest the partially lexicalized verb *alib bar-* ‘to carry away’, which is the counterpart of the fully lexicalized *alib kel-* ‘to bring’ (59).

(60) *bir vilāyāt[din] bir vilāyātқа өтүб барур erdi* (f.143v/4)  
 ‘[He] was **passing** from one country to another.’

(61) *soñ bu қaydunı ol қawmya alib bardı* (f.30v/4)  
 ‘After, he **took** this Qaydu to that tribe.’

(62) *börtä füjinni alib bardılar* (f.42r/4)  
 ‘[They] **took** Lady Börte **away**.’

The direction of motion away from the deictic center (‘from this place’), expressed by the construction <B> *ket-*, is attested in the following examples (63)–(65):

(63) *andın burun jıñgiz χänniñ һukumi yariyi bilän joji χән läškär bilän aḡlanıb ketib erdi*<sup>33</sup>  
 (f.111r/6–7)  
 ‘Earlier, Jochi Khan **set out** with an army on the order of Genghis Khan.’

(64) *қoyan yili bahār waқıtда güyük χән bilän möngü χән yarlıyi bilän toluy χән һazrätiya қaytib ke[t]tilär* (f.111v/7–9)  
 ‘In the Year of the Hare, during the springtime, in accordance with the command of Güyük Khan and Möngke Khan they **went back to** His Majesty, Tolui Khan.’

(65) *joji ayruk қina қaytib ketib turur* (f.61v/8)  
 ‘Jochi **has returned** to his baggage.’

### 2.3.4 Version

Version is the construction based on the verbs *bär-* ‘to give’ and *al-* ‘to take’. The term ‘version’ denotes its directionality, precisely indicating whether a particular action is executed for the advantage or detriment of a specific entity. Primarily, this concept argues around beneficence, showing whose benefit or interest the action serves: action in one’s own interest or acting for the benefits of someone else (Erdal 2004: 247, 260–261; Johanson 2021a: 611) and is illustrated by:

- <B> *al-* ‘to take’ (indicates the benefit for the performer, i.e. for one’s own sake);

<sup>33</sup> *Aḡlanıb erdi* (K: f.45v/4).

- <B> *bär-* ‘to give’ (indicates the interest of the action carrier, i.e. for the sake of someone else).

Constructions derived from the verb *al-* ‘to take’ convey the subject vision (66)–(68), while constructions derived from the verb *bär-* ‘to give’ express the object version (69)–(71) (Johanson 2021b: 761).

#### <B> *al-* ‘to take’

- (66) *aniñ üyün tirligin malin oñ xān jabīb aldi* (f.54r/7)  
‘Ong Khan **seized** [Joji Qasar’s] household and wealth (**for himself**).’
- (67) *köbräk rüb məskün taχtın taşarruf kilīb aldi*lar (f.10r/9–10)  
‘He **took possession** of his throne over most of the inhabited one-quarter [of the Earth].’
- (68) *jiñgiz xān bu yıllar buxaraya kelīb aldi*  
*häm oşbu yıl oq aniñ tegräsi[n]dägi šähärlärni aldi* (f.60v/10–11)  
‘Genghis Khan **came (in his own interest)** to Bukhara those years.  
And [he] took the cities around it.’

#### <B> *bär-* ‘to give’

- (69) *jiñgiz xān oylanlarıya läškär bölüb bär*di (f.142r/6–7)  
‘Cenghis Khan **divided** the troops **for** his sons.’
- (70) *kärman šährin sohuryal kilīb bär*di (f.154r/1–2)  
‘He **granted** Kasimov city [to Uraz Muhammed].’
- (71) *här birisiya tört miñ läškär hişsa kilīb bär*di (f.142r/7–8)  
‘He **contributed** four thousand warriors **for** each of them.’

### 2.3.5 Ability/Inability

The term “ability” or “potentiality” denotes the capacity of the subject to effectively perform the action in question (Erdal 2004: 247). In the *Compendium*, the constructions of ability/inability are based on the forms <A> *al-* ‘to take’ for the physical, mental ability/inability and <A> *bil-* ‘to know’ for the circumstantial possibility.

It is noteworthy to highlight that the *Compendium* yielded only a few examples, demonstrating circumstantial possibility; see examples (75)–(76). On the contrary, a significantly broader range of examples provided evidence for physical and mental ability/inability. A total of fifteen examples of ability/inability (<A> *al-*) and only two examples of circumstantial possibility <A> *bil-* were found in the *Compendium*.

⟨A⟩ *al-* ‘to take’

(72) *toğuzunji oylin taba almadılar* (f.30r/11–30v/1)

‘They **could not** find her ninth son.’

(73) *hiğ jänwär ağ kötärü almas erdi* (f.106v/6–7)

‘No animal, no horse **could** have lifted him.’

(74) *daryā suwın hiç kim ersä içib tügänä almas* (f.3v/2–3)

‘There is no one who **can** drink up all the water of the river.’

⟨A⟩ *bil-* ‘to know’

(75) *ol suwdan läşkär-i xıṭay kəcə bilmädi* (f.29v/3–4)

‘It was **impossible** for the Chinese troops to cross the water.’

(76) *ägär günāh etsälär*

*ittifāk bilän keñäş kilsañız*

*anlarnıñ günāhnı rauşan bolyay*

*tā köñüllärindä inkār etä bilmägäylär* (f.82v/4–6)

‘If they sin,  
[and] if you consult with consent,  
their sin will be clear;  
that is, it will be **impossible** for them to deny.’

This section highlights the current state of the system of postverbal constructions. The postverbal constructions, such as ⟨B⟩ *qal-* ‘to stay, remain’, ⟨A⟩ *qal-* ‘to stay, remain’, ⟨B⟩ *tüş-* ‘to fall, settle, descend’, ⟨B⟩ *öt-* ‘to pass’ and ⟨B⟩ *käç-* ‘id.’, ⟨A⟩ *ke[t]-* ‘to leave, go (away)’, ⟨B⟩ *ket-* ‘to leave, go (away)’, ⟨A⟩ *kel-* ‘to come’, ⟨B⟩ *kel-* ‘to come’, ⟨B⟩ *bar-* ‘to go’, ⟨B⟩ *bär-* ‘to give’, ⟨A⟩ *al-* ‘to take’, ⟨A⟩ *bil-* ‘to know’ are not problematic and, therefore, probably, already have completed of development, while the constructions, such as ⟨A⟩ *tur-* ‘to stand’, ⟨B⟩ *tur-* ‘to stand’, ⟨B⟩ *oltur-* ‘to sit’, ⟨B⟩ *yat-* ‘to lie’, ⟨B⟩ *yürü-/*⟨B⟩ *yür-* ‘to walk’, ⟨B⟩ *ket-*, ⟨B⟩ *yibär-* are being under development with completing forms, due to overload in usage. However, this analysis remains hypothetical, as the *Compendium* contains only a limited number of examples.

## 2.4 Verb stems

This chapter will distinguish denominal verb derivation, analytic denominal verb derivation, phraseological phrases and expressions, and deverbal verb derivation.

According to Johanson (2021a: 572), Turkic verbs fall under an open lexical class that is divided into the following main subclasses:

- **Intransitive** verbs are verbs that convey a complete idea in a sentence without requiring an object to complement the meaning. They do not require an object to convey their meaning in the sentence, e.g. *öl-di* ‘X died’. See example (1).
- **Transitive** verbs are verbs that show an action in the sentence and require an object to complete their action. In other words, they are preceded by a direct object in the sentence, e.g. *kötär-di-lär* ‘X raised (PL)’. See example (2).
- **Ambitransitive (neutral)** verbs are verbs that can function as both transitive and intransitive, depending on their usage in the sentence. One of the most frequently used ambitransitive verbs in Turkic languages is *iç-* ‘to drink’, ‘to drink (something)’. Compare examples (3) and (4).

(1) *toqtaya öldi* (f.142v/10)  
‘Toqtaga **died**.’

(2) *tört kim ersä tört yaqtin hazrät xānni altunliş şandalnıñ üstinä kötärdilär* (f.155r/8–10)  
‘Four [*qaraçu begs*] from the four sides **raised** His Highness, the Khan, to the golden throne.’<sup>34</sup>

In the following example (3), the sentence is transitive because the verb has the object ‘*äsäl*’ ‘mead’. The question here is, “What did he drink?” and the answer is, “He drank the mead”. In contrast, in example (4), the verb *iç-* ‘to drink’ is used intransitively, without an object in general, answering the question, “What does he/she/it do?” The answer to this is, “He/she/it drinks”.

(3) ‘*äsälni içür erdi* (f.144v/3)  
‘[He] **drank** the mead.’

(4) Turkish: *İçiyor* (Johanson 2021a: 572)  
‘He/she/it **drinks**.’

Due to corpus limitations, ambitransitive verbs in the *Compendium* are often observed in their transitive forms.

Verbs generally exist as either primary stems or secondary stems (derived forms). Secondary stems can be further categorized into two types: deverbal verbs and denominal verbs.

---

<sup>34</sup> Uraz-Muhammed was elevated to the throne by the four highest-ranking clan leaders (*qaraçi begs*): Jalayir, Mangit, Argin, and Kipchak. The *qaraçi begs* held chieftain positions in the main clans of the Crimea, Kazan, and Qasym khanates. Typically, the chiefs of these clans included Shirin, Barin, Argin, and Kipchak. They usually install a khan by raising him on white felt. This act of elevating on the felt symbolized their share of power (Ivanics 2022: 148). According to Beljakov (2019: 67), the enthronement ceremony of Uraz-Muhammed was more likely an exceptional instance of a khan’s inauguration in Kasimov.

Secondary verb stems are actively used in Turkic languages. Adding a suffix to a primary stem modifies the function or meaning of the verb, forming these stems. This is a very important feature of Turkic languages, as it allows addressees to express different shades of meaning in their speech. Derivational suffixes or auxiliary verbs can form secondary stems synthetically or analytically (Johanson 2021a: 572). See the respective examples (5) and (6).

(5) *manşur begni baraq χān öltürdi* (f.144r/5)  
'Baraq Khan **killed** (lit. **made to die**) Mansur Beg'.

(6) *soñ bu kayduni ol kawmya alib bardı* (f.30v/4)  
'After, he **took** this Qaydu to that tribe'.

This chapter will consider the definitions of productivity and non-productivity in connection with derivation. In linguistics, productivity is a broad term that refers to the ability of language users to generate and comprehend an unlimited number of sentences. A pattern is considered productive if it is repeatedly used in a language to create additional instances of the same type. On the other hand, non-productive (or unproductive) patterns lack the potential to generate further instances. Furthermore, there exists another pattern known as semi-productive forms, which are characterized by limited or occasional creativity (Crystal 2008: 389–390). Kempf (2013: 49) accurately pointed out that while the concepts of productivity and non-productivity are frequently employed in “Altaic” linguistics, including historical languages, the precise quantity of examples required to be considered productive or non-productive poses a challenge in terms of definition. Erdal (1991: 26) examined the functions of derivatives in word formation and established several criteria for distinguishing them. The most important suggestion is that “both base *and* suffix of synchronous formation have to be attested”. Therefore, in this research, I categorize the derivational elements into productive, attested, and unproductive categories. A marker is considered productive when both the stem and the derivative are attested in three and more examples in the *Compendium*. When the derivative is attested but the stem does not occur in the *Compendium*, regardless of the number of derivative examples, I classify the marker as attested. Danko (2019a: 185) explains that the absence of commonly used stems in the text only indicates that the variety in historical texts is not fully described. Finally, I classify a marker as unproductive when the verb’s derivation is not transparent or when there are fewer than three examples.

## 2.4.1 Denominal verb derivation<sup>35</sup>

The following inventory of denominal verb derivational elements is attested in the *Compendium*: {+lA-}, {+A-}, {+I-}, {+(A)l-}, {+(A)y-}, {+(A)r-}, {+dA-}, {+(I)K-}, {+KAr}, {+(U)(r)ka-}. Additionally, an example illustrates the ancient *z* ~ *r* correspondence, where *z* is replaced by *r* when using the denominal verbalizer {+I-}. For example, *semiz* ‘fat’ ~ *semür-* ‘to be(come) fat’ (Erdal 1991: 480).

Tables 4.1–4.12 will provide the forms of various denominal verb derivational elements.

### 2.4.1.1 {+lA-}

The {+lA-} suffix serves as the primary denominal verb formative, allowing unrestricted addition to foreign bases, as shown in Table 4.1. Verbs derived with the {+lA-} suffix can encompass a broad spectrum of meanings associated with the meaning of the base. It functions as a verbalizer for transitive, intransitive, and ambitransitive (neutral) verbs (Erdal 1991: 415, 454).

Examples (7)–(8) illustrate transitive verbs; examples (9)–(10) display intransitive verbs; and examples (11)–(12) demonstrate the ambitransitive verbs.

(7) *hajji muḥammäd ulannî mansur beg ḫānladî*  
*biri ḫān biri beg bolub yürür erdi* (f.144r/3–5)

‘[After] Mansur Beg **enthroned** Hajji Muhammed *Ulan*<sup>36</sup>,  
one of them lived as a khan, [and the other] one lived as a *beg*.’

(8) *töhmät <bi-siḥr> bilän anî kür suwiya taşladî* (f.137r/8)  
‘On suspicion <of witchcraft>, [he] **threw** her into the Kura River.’

(9) *anda ḫişladî* (f.54v/2)  
‘[They] **passed the winter** there.’

(10) *özi läşkari bilän nigias taba yüzländi* (f.89v/4–5)  
‘He and his army **turned towards** Nikyas.’<sup>37</sup>’

---

<sup>35</sup> The examination of denominal verb derivation is based on my research, which was published in Togabayeva (2024b).

<sup>36</sup> *Ulan* is a Genghisid from the Jochid, Chagataid, and Hulaguid dynasties. By the 15th century, the Jochids, began using the term *sultan* to refer to princes, while *oylan* was lowered in the social hierarchy (Beljakov 2019: 56). *Ulan* is the Kipchak form of the Turkic *oylan*.

<sup>37</sup> According to Thackston’s English translation of the Persian *Compendium* (1998: 262), the term used is *Nankiyas*. In the Russian translation, it is referred to as *Нангяс* (RD/Smirnova 1952: 232). In the footnotes, it is explained that *Нангяс* is a term used for southerners, derived from the Chinese *нань-цзя* (\**нань-гя*), and it incorporates the Mongolian plural suffix. It is a Mongolian name, while the Chinese refer the country as *Манзи*. The term *Манзи* comes from the Chinese *мань-цзы*, which means “the southern barbarians”. In ancient times, the Chinese used this term to refer to all the alien tribes that lived in southern China. During the Mongolian period, the name *мань-цзы* was specifically applied to the southern Chinese (RD/Smirnova 1952: 77 n.3, 4).

The denominal verbs *färmān-la-* ‘to order’ and *hisāb-la-* ‘to count’ exhibit ambitransitive meanings (both transitive and intransitive). However, the *Compendium* lacks sufficient examples to directly observe the transitive and intransitive aspects of ambitransitive verbs. Only one example is available for each verb in the *Compendium*. The verb *färmān-la-* ‘to order’ is used in its intransitive form, without an object, in example (11). The ambitransitive verb *hisāb-la-* ‘to count’ is exemplified only together with the transitivizing causative marker {-t-}, see example (12), which demonstrates the transitive verb *hisāb-la-t-* ‘to cause to count’.

(11) *anday färmānladīm* (f.19v/1)  
 ‘I **ordered** so/ the following...’

(12) *tämügä otġigin noyannġ uruyġn ku[b]ilay χān hisāblattġ* (f.40r/9–10)  
 ‘Kublai Khan **caused** (them) **to count** the descendants of Temüge *Otġigin*<sup>38</sup>  
*Noyan*<sup>39</sup>.’

An instance of the verb formative {+IA-} appears in the transitive verb *tġla-* ‘to hear, listen’ in the *Compendium*; see example (13). Clauson (1972: 512, 522) notes that it has been suggested that the verb *tġla-* was derived, albeit doubtful, from the form *tġ*, which may have originated from the Chinese word *t’ing* ‘to hear, listen’ and is attested in Old Uyghur with the meaning ‘sound’. Boeschoten (2023: 338) similarly recognizes *\*tġ* as a reconstructed form associated with ‘sound’.

(13) *mānġ sözümnġ tġlamadġ* (f.19r/4–5)  
 ‘[They] **didn’t listen to** my words.’

Table 4.1. Verbs derived with {+IA-}

etymological stems found independently in the corpus
<i>aġ-la-n-</i> ‘to march against, set out’ ← <i>at</i> ‘horse’
<i>baš-la-</i> ‘to begin, lead’ ← <i>baš</i> ‘head’
<i>biy-lä-</i> ‘to rule’ ← <i>biy</i> ‘biy/beg’
<i>färmān-la-</i> ‘to issue a <i>firman</i> , order’ ← <i>färmān</i> P ‘ <i>firman</i> , imperial edict; command, order’
<i>hisāb-la-t-</i> ‘to cause to count, cause to plan, cause to calculate’ ← <i>hisāb</i> A ‘counting, numbering’, ‘considering’
<i>χān-la-</i> ‘to enthrone’ ← <i>χān</i> ‘khan’
<i>izzät-lä-</i> ‘to treat with respect, honor’ ← <i>izzät</i> A ‘a being glorious’, ‘glory, greatness’, ‘excellence’, ‘honor, dignity’
<i>kış-la-</i> ‘to pass the winter, into winter quarters’ ← <i>kış</i> ‘winter’

<sup>38</sup> *Otġigin* (Mo *otġigin* ← *ot* + *tigin* (> *ġigin*) ‘fire-prince’) is the youngest son and the guardian of the hearth, the keeper of (the family) fire(place) (Rachewiltz 2004: 236, 288).

<sup>39</sup> *Noyan* is the Mongolian equivalent of the English ‘chief’, ‘commander’, ‘official’, ‘nobleman’ (Rachewiltz: 2004: 247).

---

*söz-lä-* ‘to speak, say’ ← *söz* ‘word, speech, statement’

*taş-la* ‘to stone’ ← *taş* ‘stone’

*yayï-la-š-* ‘to antagonize each other, feud with one another’ ← *yayï* ‘hostile, enemy’

*yüz-lä-n-* ‘to face toward, turn towards’ ← *yüz* ‘face’

---

**etymological stems not found independently in the corpus**

*añ-la-* ‘to understand’ ← *añ* ‘understanding, intelligence’

*bay-la-* ‘to tie, fasten’ ← *bay* ‘bond, tie, belt’ ← *ba-* ‘to bind’, ‘to fasten’ {-**(I)G**} VN

*iktü-lä-* ‘to bring up’ ← *iktü* ‘a small-fed animal’

*jar-la-* ‘to call to, summon’ ← *jar* ‘onomatopoetic jingle’

*kiz-lä-* ‘to hide’, lit. ‘to put (something) in a box or bag’ ← *kiz* ‘wardrobe, clothes bag, cupboard’ (Clauson 1972: 756); \**kiz* ‘secret’ (Boeschoten 2023:169)

*konak-la-* ‘to entertain (a guest)’ ← *konak* ‘guest’ ← *kon-* ‘to settle, stop (for the night), settle down’ {-**(°)K**}<sup>40</sup> VN

*kučak-la-š-* ‘to embrace one another’ ← *kučak* ‘embrace, armful’ ← *kuč-* ‘to embrace’ {-**(°)K**} VN

*sak-la-* ‘to watch over guard, protect’ ← *sak* ‘awake, alert’

*tij-la-* ‘to hear, listen’ ← *tij* ‘sound’

*yay-la-* ‘to pass the summer’ ← *yay* ‘summer’

*yiy-la-* ‘to weep’ ← *yiy* ‘weeping, sobbing’

*yuma-la-n-* ‘to roll’ ← *yumar-la-* ‘to knead (dough) into a ball’ < *yumur-la-* ‘id.’ ← *yumur* ‘something round, globular, coiled’ ← \**yum-* {-**(°)r**} VN or {-**mUr**} VN

---

The verb *yuma-la-* with the passive-reflexive-medial marker {-**(I)n-**} is of special interest. Clauson (1972: 938) indicates the form *yum-ur-la-*. *Yumur* basically refers to ‘something round, globular, or coiled’; hence, it is associated with ‘the howls’, especially those of animals (Clauson 1972: 937). It is derived from \**yum-* ‘to be round’ (Clauson 1972: 934; Boeschoten 2023: 426). \**Yum-* is a reconstructed verb that has survived in words such as *yumyak* ‘a spherical or globular object’ (Clauson 1972: 936), *yumurtya* ‘egg’ (Clauson 1972: 938), and *yumuš* literally something like ‘circulating’. Originally, it implied ‘an errand’ but later it survived with the broader meaning of ‘a task’, ‘piece of work’ (Clauson 1972: 938), *yumuz* ‘round, globular’ and, of course, *yumur* (Clauson 1972: 940). However, *yum-* can also mean ‘to shut, clench’, and ‘to assemble’. Therefore, there are two ideas of derivation (Róna-Tas & Berta 2011: 399). The earliest is the aorist marker {-**(°)r**} within *yum-ur*. The second one is a suffix {-**mUr**} in \**yum-mur* > *yumur*. For detailed information, see Róna-Tas & Berta (2011). Furthermore, it is highly interesting to note that in modern Kazakh, the initial consonant is rendered by the realization of Proto-Turkic \**d*\* in the form of *домала-* <domala->, as the initial *d-* reflects Proto-Turkic \**d*\* in some historical documented languages (Johanson 2021a: 366–

---

<sup>40</sup> I labeled it {-**(°)K**} since the word ‘guest’ first appeared independently in Qarakhanid Turkic in the form *konok*. Erdal (1991: 238) describes the derivational marker in the noun as {-**(O)k**}, while Johanson (2021a: 448) identifies such markers as {-**(U)K**}~{-**(I)K**}. For comparison, *konak* can appear in the forms of *konak/konay/konuk/konok/koniik/* (Clauson 1972: 637).



367), cf. Kirghiz (Judaxin 1985: 268) *жума-ла-* <*žuma-la-*> ‘катить, скатывать’, and Tatar (TRS 1966: 188) *йомар-ла-* <*yomar-la-*> ‘катиться, скатываться, скатать, придать круглую форму’. The WOT borrowing words in Hungarian also reflect the realization of the initial *d’-*, as seen in the words like *gyümölcs* <*d’ümölc̥*> < \**žemilč* ← WOT \**žemilč* | EOT *yemiš* ‘fruit’ < *ye-* ‘to eat’ (Róna-Tas & Berta: 2011: 417), cf. Kazakh *жеміс* <*žemis*>. In Kazak, it usually takes the initial *ž-*. It is intriguing that this initial *ž-* is preserved in Kazakh words, such as *жұмыртқа* <*žumirtqa*> ‘egg’ and *жұмыс* <*žumis*> ‘work’ or ‘labor’ which share the same original stem. This is a perfect illustration of the word-initial sounds *y-* ~ *ǰ-* ~ *ž-* ~ *t’-* ~ *d’-* ~ *ts-* ~ *ś-* ~ *s-*. While EOT displays the initial *y-*, the WOT illustrates *ǰ-*. Chuvash and Yakut show the initial *s-*. Old and more recent languages exhibit variations between *ǰ-* ~ *y-*. Many modern languages show *y-*. The vacillation between *y-* ~ *ǰ-* is observed in Kipchak languages, whereas Kazakh shows a stable *ž-*. The North Altay varieties illustrate *t’-* ~ *d’-* ~ *ts-* ~ *y-*. South Siberian languages illustrate the initial *d’-* and *t’-*, which is the dentalization of *y-* (Johanson 2020: 110).

Erdal (1991: 416) noted that in Old Turkic, bisyllables ending in the velars *K*, *G*, and *ŋ* generally took the marker {+lA-} rather than {+A-}. However, in the *Compendium*, the suffix {+lA-} is primarily found after monosyllables ending in velars *k*, *g*, *ɣ*, *ŋ* and only once after a bisyllable ending in the velar *k*. In contrast, no instances of syllables ending in any velar sound with the {+A-} suffix are attested in the *Compendium*.

According to the corresponding examples in Table 4.1, denominal verbs formed with {+lA-} are highly productive in the *Compendium*. These verbs reflect actions or activities associated with the nouns from which they are derived. Furthermore, the marker {+lA-} appears in combinations and formative sequences such as {+lA-n-}, {+lA-š-}, and {+lA-t-}. The documented derivations include nouns from Turkic, Arabic, Persian, and other languages.

#### 2.4.1.2 {+A-}

The suffix {+A-} is the second most frequently occurring denominal verb formative in the *Compendium*. It attaches to nominals that end in a consonant and forms both transitive and intransitive verbs from nouns and adjectives. This denominal verbalizer {+A-} indicates the action or activity associated with the noun or adjective from which it is derived, functioning similarly to the denominal verbalizer {+lA-}. It can be added to both mono- and bisyllabic bases; however, in bisyllabic bases, the second vowel is typically shortened (Erdal 1991: 416; 2004: 228; Johanson 2021a: 574). The *Compendium* provides evidence for only five examples of denominal verbs with the {+A-} suffix on monosyllabic bases.

All the documented examples in the *Compendium* are synthetically derived from nouns and an adjective, as illustrated in Table 4.2. Among these verbs, the transitive denominal verb

*at-a-* ‘to call out’, ‘to nominate to a post’, ‘to betroth’ displays a wide range of usage; see its transitive usage in example (14). However, the reflexive form {-I)n-} also attests to instances indicating intransitive meanings, as seen in example (15).

(14) *anì öz ayasiniñ oyliya atadi* (f.141r/6–7)  
 ‘[He] **betrothed** her to his elder brother’s son.’

(15) *jñgiz xānniñ zamāninda göyäh atandilar* (f.30v/11–31r/1)  
 ‘In Genghis Khan’s time they **were called out** as *göyäh*.’

The denominal verbs *aš-a-* ‘to eat (up)’ and *yaš-a-* ‘to live (for many years)’ are attested only once as transitive verbs in the *Compendium*; see example (16).

(16) *yil ašasun*  
*yüz yašasun* (f.2r/3)  
 ‘**Let him consume** the year[s],  
**let him live** one hundred [of them].’

One of the most frequently used verbs with the suffix {+A-} in the *Compendium* is *til-ä-* ‘to wish’.

(17) *pādišāh bariš fyodaravijniñ tilägin tilädi* (f.156r/9–10)  
 ‘[He] **complied with** (lit. wished) the wishes of Tsar Boris Fyodorovich.’

Table 4.2. Verbs derived with {+A-}, where the etymological stems are found independently in the corpus

<i>aš-a-</i> ‘to eat’, ‘to eat up, destroy’, ‘to enjoy, experience (something)’ ← <i>aš</i> ‘food’
<i>at-a-</i> ‘to call out (someone’s name)’, ‘to nominate to a post’, ‘to betroth’ ← <i>at</i> ‘name’
<i>keñ-ä-š-</i> ‘to take counsel (together)’ ← <i>keñ</i> ‘wide, broad’
<i>til-ä-</i> ‘to wish’ ← <i>til</i> ‘tongue’
<i>yaš-a-</i> ‘to live (for many years)’ ← <i>yaš</i> ‘year’

The productivity of the {+A-} suffix in the *Compendium* is limited, as only five forms have been observed. These five verb forms are linked to nominal stems related to nouns such as *at* ‘name’, *aš* ‘food’, *yaš* ‘year’, and *til* ‘tongue’ and the adjective *keñ* ‘wide, broad’. Danka (2019a: 198) noted that the connection between *tilä-* ‘to wish’ (Clauson 1972: 492) and *til* ‘tongue’ was not made by Clauson or Erdal.

The verb *keñ-ä-* ‘to arrange one’s affairs with somebody’ itself is not found in the *Compendium*; the verb appears only with the cooperative-reciprocal voice marker {-I)š-} in the form *keñ-ä-š-* ‘to take counsel (together)’. According to Erdal (1991: 420), the semantic connection between *keñ* ‘wide, broad’ and *keñ-ä-* ‘to arrange one’s affairs with somebody’ is

not entirely transparent, but it is possible that the term was calqued from a foreign language. Danka (2019a: 199) considered *keṇ* base word for *keṇ-ä-š-* in his example of verbs. However, Clauson (1972: 727) did not establish the connection between *keṇ* ‘wide’ and *keṇ-ä-* ‘to arrange one’s affairs with somebody’, and Boeschoten (2023: 161) suggests *\*keṇä-* as a reconstructed verb ‘to settle one’s affairs.’

Based on the attested verbs listed in Table 4.2, we can observe three clear examples of stem-derivative pairs: *at* ‘name’ → *ata-* ‘to call out (someone’s name)’, ‘to nominate to a post’, ‘to betroth’; *aš* ‘food’ → *aša-* ‘to eat (up)’; and *yaš* ‘year’ → *yaša-* ‘to live (for many years)’. Additionally, there are two examples, *til-ä-* ‘to wish’ and *keṇ-ä-* ‘to arrange one’s affairs with somebody’, where the derivation of the verbs may already not be transparent. However, the existence of three examples of verbs derived with {+A-}, where both the stems and the derivatives are clearly transparent, suggests that {+A-} tended to be productive in the *Compendium*.

### 2.4.1.3 {+I-}

The denominal verbalizer {+I-} functions similar to the commonly used {+A-} in Turkic languages. Like {+A-}, it is used exclusively with base words that ending in consonants. However, unlike {+A-}, it generates only intransitive verbs. Its purpose is to indicate the process of becoming the entity represents by the base noun or adjective (Erdal 1991: 474, 479; Johanson 2021a: 574). In the *Compendium*, there are only two pure instances of the {+I-} verbalizer in three examples (18)–(20) with transparent derivation; see the instances in Table 4.3.

(18) *anlar (sic!) bu säbäbdin köñülläri ayrıdı* (f.52v/10)  
 ‘For this reason [they] **became angry** (lit. their hearts became heavy) [at him].’

(19) *tamāmsi bu sözni eštkäñ oyuzdın ayrıldılar* (f.20v/10–11)  
 ‘Everyone, having heard these words, **became angry** at Oghuz.’

(20) *tört tarafқа bärib hič kämimäs* (f.5v/1)  
 ‘[Even] giving out to the four corners [of the word], they **will not diminish**.’

Table 4.3. Verbs derived with {+I-}

---



---

#### etymological stems found independently in the corpus

---

*ayr-i-* ‘to be(come) heavy’, ‘to be in pain, be angry’ ← *ayir*<sup>41</sup> ‘heavy in the physical sense’

---

<sup>41</sup> Numerous Turkic languages exhibit a distinct pattern in which lax vowels alternate with  $\emptyset$  in a group of bisyllable primary roots ((C)VC-VC). This means that the vowel in the second syllable is delated. These vowels are known as volatile vowels. Most primary stems with volatile vowels tend to end in the consonants *n*, *r*, *l*, and *z* (Johanson 2021a: 293–294).

---

*käm-i-* ‘to diminish’ ← *käm* P ‘few, little, diminished’, ‘less’

*semür-t-* ‘to be(come) fat or corpulent’ ← *semri-* ‘id.’ ← *semiz* ‘fat, corpulent’ {+I-} NV

---

The denominal verb *kämi-* leads us to believe that the denominal verbalizer {+I-} was quite active in the 17th century. It was derived from the Persian word *käm* ‘few, little, diminished’ and has not been attested in earlier stages by either Erdal (in Old Turkic), Clauson (in pre-13th century Turkic), or Boeschoten (in Early Middle Turkic).

Nominal bases ending in the consonant *z* undergo substitution with *r* when the {+I-} suffix is added; refer to Table 4.3. This descriptive statement can only be replaced by an explanatory one if it is part of a theory that explains the phenomenon of *rhotacism* vs. *zetacism* in the “Trans-Eurasian”<sup>42</sup> (or “Altaic”) languages as a whole.

Erdal (1991: 480) documented the verb *semri-* ‘to be(come) fat or corpulent’ in Old Turkic languages. It demonstrates the ancient *z* ~ *r* correspondence, where the verb *semri-* is derived from the adjective *semiz* ‘fat, corpulent’, achieved by omission of its second vowel, and clearly indicates the *z* + {+I-} derivation. This process probably has ancient origins and was likely active during an undocumented historical linguistic stage. The EOT runiform transcriptions already attest to the presence of the *semiz* → *semir-*. In the *Compendium*, the verb *semri-* underwent certain phonological processes and transformed into *semür-*; see example (21).

(21) *ärdiš suwini yaylamışi kildi*  
*tā aḡin ulayin semürtti* (f.60v/4–5)

‘He passed the summer on the Irtysh River  
and **fattened** his horses and post horses.’

Thus, the *Compendium* lists three examples of denominal verb formations using the {+I-} suffix. All these examples appear to be productive, as both stems and their derivatives are attested. Therefore, I classify the verb formative {+I-} as productive in the *Compendium*.

All the examples with the denominal derivational suffix {+I-} indicate actions related to the notion revealed by the adjective, such as the {+IA-} and {+A-} suffixes. The occurrence of these markers is restricted to monosyllabic and bisyllabic word endings in a consonant.

#### 2.4.1.4 {+(A)δ}

The dental lenes (weak) *\*d\** in the earliest reconstructible hypothetical Proto-Turkic language transformed into the fricative sound represented by the symbol *δ*, which later commonly

---

<sup>42</sup> A newly used term by Johanson and Robbeets (2010: 1–2).

evolved into the sound *y* (Johanson 2021a: 363; 2022b: 101). Erdal (2004: 62) expresses a greater confidence in utilizing the written symbol *d* instead of the fricative symbol  $\delta$ . Johanson (2021a: 576) documents the fricative  $\delta$  within the formative {+(A) $\delta$ -} of the {+(A)D-} group, where he demonstrates examples from modern Turkic languages with {+(A)y}. Johanson explains that “{+(A)D-} forms intransitive verbs meaning ‘to become (something)’. The derivatives are occasionally transitive”. The formation of these verbs can have bases that consist of one or two syllables. A significant number of verbs with the {+(A)D-} suffix have human subjects (Erdal 1991: 485).

#### 2.4.1.4.1 {+(A)y-}

There is only one example of the formative {+(A)y-} in the *Compendium*; see example (22).

(22)*soŋ kartaydi* (f.74r/5)  
 ‘Later [he] **grew old**’

Table 4.4. Verb derived with {+(A)y-}, where the etymological stem is not found independently in the corpus

---

*kart-ay-* ‘to grow old, get old’ ← *kart* ‘an old man’, ‘old’

---

It is interesting that there is no evidence of the verb *kart-ay-* ‘to grow old’ in either Erdal (1991) or Clauson’s work (1972). However, Boeschoten (2023: 240) indicates *kart-ay-* ‘to grow old’ in *at-Tuhfa az-zakkiyya* (Atalay 1945; Fazylov & Zijaeva 1978).

#### 2.4.1.5 {+(A)l-}

Johanson (2021a: 574–576) notes the use of the marker {+(A)l-} in the Oghuz branch, which means ‘to become something’. The suffix {+(A)l-} is common in Oghuz but not in Kipchak and Karluk (Nugteren & Korpershoek 2007: 59).

There are two examples of the formative {+(A)l-} in the *Compendium*, see Table 4.5. This linguistic characteristic is found in the *Compendium* as a derivation of adjectives, with both instances being intransitive. Examples (23)–(24) illustrate this derivation.

(23)*soŋ bir birindin ösä*  
*artuŋrak köpaldilər* (f.78v/5–6)  
 ‘Then increased by each other,  
 [they] **became more numerous.**’

(24)*anlarya üstün bolub*  
*bäkrät qawmini tüb tüz <küldi>*  
*ya ‘nī yoŋaldı* (f.48r/8)

‘[He] overpowered them,  
razed the Bekret tribe to the ground,  
that is, [they] were **destroyed**.’

Table 4.5. Verbs derived with {+(A)l-}, where the etymological stems are found independently in the corpus

<i>köp-ül-</i> ‘to increase, become numerous’ ← <i>köp</i> ‘much, many’
<i>yok-al-</i> ~ <i>yoy-al-</i> <sup>43</sup> ‘to be destroyed, perish, disappear’ ← <i>yok</i> ‘not-existent’

#### 2.4.1.6 {+(A)r-}

A denominal verbalizer, represented by {+(A)r-}, is used to create intransitive verbs, often with an inchoative meaning derived from adjectives. This verbalizer is more commonly used in the Kipchak branch compared to the Karluk branch, except when forming verbs from color adjectives (Erdal 1991: 499; 2004: 228; Johanson 2021a: 574).

Verbs that utilize the {+(A)r-} suffix do not undergo passive or transitive transformations. Typically, these verbs consist of one, two, or three syllables when using {+(A)r-}. It is worth noting that a considerable number of these stems are derived from bases that end in *gU*. Another notable group includes derivatives formed from color names. In general, {+(A)r-} verbs express the meaning “to be or become, to form or turn into what the base nominal denotes” (Erdal 1991: 506–507). In the *Compendium*, there are examples of denominal verbs derived synthetically from a color adjective, see example (25), as well as verbs derived from a noun ending in *gU*; see example (26).

(25) *jüŋgiz xān yigit zamānida ertä uykuḍin turḍi ersä  
kākülindä bir näjā ḳillar aḳarib turur erḍi* (f.101v/7–9)

‘When Genghis Khan woke up early in his youth,  
a few strands on his forehead **unexpectedly turned white**.’

(26) *⟨aniḥ⟩ yüzindä xastalik belgürdi* (f.63r/10)  
‘[A sign of] illness **appeared** on ⟨his⟩ face.’

Table 4.6. Verbs derived with {+(A)r-}, where the etymological stems are found independently in the corpus

<i>aḳ-ar-</i> ‘to turn/become white’ ← <i>aḳ</i> ‘white’
<i>belgü-r-</i> ‘to appear, became manifest’ ← <i>belgü</i> ‘sign, mark’, ‘distinguishing characteristic’

#### 2.4.1.7 {+dA-}

<sup>43</sup> According to Nugteren & Korpershoek (2007: 62), \**yok-a-l-* displays passive formation from the denominal verb in {+A-}.

The denominal verb formative {+dA-} is an exceptionally uncommon verbalizer that exclusively attaches to a limited range of consonants, resulting only in transitive verbs. It did not appear prior to the Qarakhanid period (Clauson 1972: xlv; Erdal 1991: 417, 455). In Old Turkic, {+dA-} is attested after bases ending in *l*, *n*, and *z* (Erdal 1991: 457–458). Monosyllabic stems ending in consonants also use it. There does not seem to be any clear synthetic implication associated with the suffix. Similar to {+lA-} and {+A-}, there are no apparent limitations on the formation of verbs with {+dA} bases, indicating an indeterminate behavior. The *Compendium* provides only one documented instance of this verbalizer derived from a noun.

(27)*bu qulī anday erdi kim*  
*hulagu xān irān zamīngā baryanda tā*  
*hār jānībdayī šāhzādālārni läškāri bilān jihat özünā boluślukya ündüdi* (f.107v/9–108r/1)

‘It was the same Quli who,  
when Hulagu Khan marched into the land of Iran,  
**urged** *shahzades* from all sides to join him with their armies.’

Table 4.7. Verb derived with {+dA-}, where the etymological stem is not found independently in the corpus

---

*ün-dä-* ‘to call, urge, encourage, invite’ ← *ün* ‘sound’

---

#### 2.4.1.8 {+(I)K-}

The denominal verb formative {+(I)K-} can be observed after both vowels and consonants in intransitive constructions. Verbs formed with {+(I)K-} typically have bases consisting of one or two syllables. The transitive or causative counterpart of {+(I)K-} is the formative {+KAr-}. With a few exceptions, the subjects of {+(I)K-} verbs do not correspond to the bases of these verbs, indicating that they do not signify “to be or become what the base nominal denotes” (Erdal 1991: 492, 497–499). In the *Compendium*, only a single transparent instance of a finite verb form utilizing this verbalizer, derived from the numeral *bir* ‘one’, is attested; see example (28).

(28)*muḡa birikti* (f.80r/6)  
‘[They] **joined** him.’

Table 4.8. Verb derived with {+(I)K-}, where the etymological stem is found independently in the corpus

---

*bir-ik-* ‘to join’ ← *bir* ‘one’

---

Another example of a denominal verb derivation can be seen in the verb *çiq-*, which means ‘to go out’, formed with {+(I)K-}. However, this verb is completely non-transparent. In the EOT, *çiq-* developed from *taš-ik-* ← *taš* ‘exterior’ and the {+(I)K-} suffix, indicating an inherent lack of transparency in its formation (Clauson 1972: 562; Danka 2019a: 200).

(29)*künlärdä bir kün oyuz yazıya çikti* (f.18v/8–9)  
 ‘One day [Oghuz] **went out** to the plain.’

Table 4.9. Non-segmentable denominal verb with {+(I)K-}

---

*çiq-* ‘to go out’ < *taš-ik-* ‘id.’ ← *taš* ‘exterior’

---

Generally, the denominal verb formative {+(I)K-} exhibits limited productivity, as it is observed in only one transparent example with the numeral *bir* ‘one’. In this particular case, {+(I)K-} means ‘to become what the base nominal denotes’, specifically ‘to become one with others’. The second example, however, lacks transparency. Therefore, it is concluded that the {+(I)K-} suffix is unproductive in the *Compendium*.

#### 2.4.1.9 {+KAr-}

All formations with {+KAr-} form transitive verbs and serve as the transitive counterpart of {+(I)K-} (Erdal 1991: 415, 498). This verbalizer has only one verb form in the *Compendium*; see example (30). Based on the similarities in form and meaning, it is probable that the formative {+KAr-} originated from the combination {+(X)k-}+{+Ar-} (Erdal 1991: 747).

(30)*hämışä mäniñ niyätim ol turur kim*  
*ilgäriki şäkär siyuryamışini anlarniñ ayızlarıya şırın kıldim*  
*wä ilgäriki wä soñyi yürgüzüb*  
*anlarya altunli tonlar bilän keltürdüm*  
*wä anlarni yağşı ahta aqlarya mindirib yolda yürgüzdüm*  
*wä tatli ariğ akin suwlardın içirdim*  
*wä tawar karasin anlarniñ yağşı otluk suwluk yärlärdä otqardim*<sup>44</sup> ... (f.98v/3–8)

‘My intentions were always the following:  
 to further sweeten the gift [like] sugar to their mouths,  
 and let them go back and forth,  
 to dress them in golden fur coats,  
 and let them ride on good horses,  
 and give [them] drink from some delicious clean streaming water,  
 and **graze** their cattle in a good place for pasture with abundant water and streams.

<sup>44</sup> StP: † *otkazdim*; K: *otkardim* (f.40r/11).



The abovementioned sentence is a story in the section of Genghis Khan’s exhortation to his sons, where all the verbs are used in the causative voice. The first verb, *šīrīn kīl-* ‘to sweeten, make sweet’, is derived from the light verb *kīl-* ‘to do, make’ and indicates that the action expressed by the verb is caused or made to happen by the subject. The next verb, *kel-tūr-* ‘to bring, make to come’, uses the causative marker {-DUr-}, followed by *yür-güz-* ‘to let ride’, which uses the causative marker {-GUz-}, and *ič-ir-* ‘to give drink’, which uses the causative marker {-ir-}. Finally, the verb *ot-ķar-* ‘to pasture’ is derived with the denominal verb derivational element of causation {+KAr-} (Erdal 1991: 742–748).

The initial velar sound of the formative {+KAr-} has been voiced since the earliest texts, with the voiceless variant appearing only in Qarakhanid (Erdal 1991: 746). Therefore, it would be expected to find the voiced *g* in the {+KAr-} formation in the *Compendium*, as *ot-gar-*, like in Erdal’s list (1991: 746). The presence of the velar *ķ* in the *Compendium* could potentially be attributed to a mistake made by the scribe or rewriter. However, a closer examination of the *Compendium* reveals that the velar *ķ* is consistently used on two separate occasions (the second being in the infinite verb form), indicating a deliberate choice rather than random usage.

(31) *tawar ķarasīn otķarīb*  
*ol yārdā mānzil ķildīlar* (f.9v/3)  
 ‘**Grazing** their cattle,  
 they settled down in that place.’

Table 4.10. Verb derived with {+KAr-}, where the etymological stem is found independently in the corpus

---

*ot-ķar-* ‘to pasture, graze (an animal)’ ← *ot* ‘grass, vegetation’

---

#### 2.4.1.10 {+(U)(r)KA-}

The denominal verbalizer {+(U)(r)KA-} is a very rare creator of transitive verbs that express emotions, attitudes, or opinions towards their objects (Erdal 2004: 228). Erdal categorizes the transitive denominal verb formative {+(X)(r)kA-} as a *verba sentiendi* and describes it as follows:

“The verbs created with this formative all denote feelings or sensations, the base nominal being either the name of the feeling, the object of the attitude, what one sees an object as, the mental source of the attitude, etc.” (Erdal 1991: 458).

According to Erdal’s explanation, when the base is monosyllabic, the full form of the formatives {+(X)(r)kA-} is represented, i.e. *soy-urya-*. In the case of a bisyllabic base ending with a vowel, the formative is used without a vowel, which is expressed as {+(r)kA-}. However, if a bisyllabic

base ends in a consonant, the alternative formative is expressed by {+kA-}, as seen in the example like *yarliḡ-ga-*. Erdal clarified that there were no other formatives in Old Turkic with the shape {+kA-}. The alternation between {+(X)(r)kA-}, {+(r)kA-}, and {+kA-} is a deliberate pattern aimed at maintaining a consistent number of syllables in the stem (Erdal 1991: 458–459).

Poppe (1954 [2006]: 65) interprets the semantic significance of the formative as “to denote possession of something in abundance” in written Mongolian,<sup>45</sup> while Erdal describes the function of the formative {-(X)(r)kA-} as expressing a particular type of action in Turkic (Erdal 1991: 463).

In the *Compendium*, there are examples of both a monosyllabic stem, see example (32), and an a bisyllabic stem, see example (33). However, neither of them can be segmented at the synchronic level of the *Compendium*.

(32) *jīngiz ḡān anī bisyār soyuryar erdi* (f.38r/7)  
 ‘Genghis Khan **showed** a lot of **favor** to him.’

In the given sentence, the verb *soyurya-* serves as a denominal verb derived from the Chinese *tz’ü* ‘kind, merciful’ in Old Turkic. It was borrowed into Mongolian as a non-segmental form *soyurka-* with an alteration to its first vowel, becoming a whole unit that was not segmented. Later, it was borrowed back into Turkic as *soyurka-*, also in a not-segmental stem. When the *Compendium* was written, it was a Mongolic loanword that was already not segmental. Moreover, the stem *soy* has no independent meaning in Turkic. In its original Turkic context, it was meant to act as ‘to have pity on (someone), be compassionate’. However, in Mongolian, the term acquired a more practical connotation, signifying ‘to show favor to (someone), reward’. During the medieval period, it was reborrowed from Mongolian into Turkic with the same practical sense, yet it appears to have become obsolete everywhere (Clauson 1972: 556; Danka 2019a: 200).

(33) *jīngiz ḡān soḡ anīḡ ḡalyan aḡliḡyına öküš sohurḡallar ḡildi*  
*ḡalyanin yarliḡadi* (f.59v/11–60r/2)

‘Genghis Khan [because of] respect [for the deceased Burgul *Noyan*] made many gifts [to wives and children] who remained after him and **was gracious** to the rest.’

The verb form *yarliḡ-ka-*, which means ‘to be gracious; to command’, is frequently used as an auxiliary of majesty, commonly translated as ‘to deign’ in Old Uyghur. The distinction between

<sup>45</sup> For information on the use of the denominal verb marker {+rKA-} in Mongolian, see Kempf (2013).

*yarligka-* and *yarlika-* is considered to be determined by chronological or stylistic factors. The honorific usage of this verb appears to be secondary and aligns with the appropriate transfer of pragmatic norms in this domain (Erdal 1991: 462). In the *Compendium*, *yarlika-* is already not segmental.

Table 4.11. Non-segmentable denominal verbs with {+(U)(r)KA-}

<i>soyurya-</i> ‘to show favor to (someone), reward’ < * <i>tsuy-urya-</i> ← <i>tz’ü</i> Chin. ‘kind, merciful’
<i>yarlika-</i> ‘to issue orders, be gracious, be compassionate, forgive’ < * <i>yarliy-ka-</i> ← <i>yarliy</i> ‘a command from a superior to an interior’

In the *Compendium*, the transitive denominal verb formative {+(U)(r)KA-} lacks transparency and is used as a cohesive syntactic unit. It can be argued that this formative was inactive and unproductive in its usage.

The following Table 4.12 indicates a summary of the denominal verb derivational elements in the *Compendium*.

Table 4.12. Denominal verb derivational elements in the *Compendium*

{+lA-}	productive
{+A-}	productive
{+I-}	productive
{+(A)l-}	unproductive
{+(A)y-}	attested
{+(A)r-}	unproductive
{+dA-}	attested
{+(I)K-}	unproductive
{+KAr-}	unproductive
{+(U)(r)KA-}	unproductive

## 2.4.2 Analytic denominal verb derivation

*Analytic derivation* is a word formation process in which new words are created by combining existing words without changing their original forms. This type of derivation involves a secondary stem that is composed of a nominal element and an auxiliary verb, typically *et-*, *kil-* ‘to do’, which cannot be separated from them except by certain particles, such as the interrogative particle {mU}, the focus particle {OK}, and the additive particle {dA} (Johanson 2021a: 578).

Examples in which the nominal element and the verb are separated are presented below (34)–(37) to demonstrate that such examples do not belong to analytic derivation.

Sentences (34) and (35) illustrate that *ķil-* is not an auxiliary verb but a fully lexical verb, taking direct objects like *toylar* (feasts) and *sohuryallar* (gifts), which are separated by the plural suffix {+lAr}.

(34)*häm ol zamān uluy ‘azim toylar ķildilar* (f.156r/3)  
 ‘[He] also **made** great feasts at that time.’

(35)*jūngiz χān anij ķalyan aķliyina öķüš sohuryallar*<sup>46</sup> *ķildi*  
*ķalyanin yarliķadi* (f.59v/11–60r/2)

‘Genghis Khan [because of] respect [for the deceased Burgul *Noyan*] **made** many gifts [to wives and children] who remained after him and was gracious to the rest.’

The combination *tärtüb ķil-* (36) could potentially be considered an analytic verb; however, the participle *üze* ‘following’, originally functioning as an adverb meaning ‘above, on high’ (Clauson 1972: 280), separates them and indicates that *ķil-* is a lexical verb.

(36)*munday tärtüb üze ķilindi* (f.110r/10)  
 ‘[They] **are listed** (lit. made) in the following order.’

The word ‘*azmät* (Budagov 1869: 762) functions as the plural form of the Arabic noun ‘*azm* ‘a setting out, beginning, undertaking’ (Budagov 1869: 761; Boeschoten 2023: 48). The combination ‘*azm ķil-* serves as an analytic verb, meaning ‘to move, head for, set out on a journey’; see example (38). Theoretically, the plural form ‘*azmät* should not participate in the analytic verb form. However, due to borrowing, the plural form undergoes changes within the word; see example (37). In any case, *mämalik-i ķitayya* is inserted between the word ‘*azmät* and the auxiliary verb *ķil-*, which refutes the idea of analytic denominal verb derivation in ‘*azmät ķil-*.

(37)*jūngiz χān ol waķitda kim ‘azmät mämalik-i ķitayya ķildi* (f.97r/10–11)  
 ‘At that time, Genghis Khan **set out on a journey** against the possessions of Khitay.’

(38)*šawwāl ayında pānjšānbä kūn Kārman šähriya (sic!) ‘azm ķildi* (f.154v/2–3)  
 ‘They **headed for** Kasimov city in the month of Shawwal on Thursday.’

Analytic verbs usually express a more special meaning than synthetic verbs. Although it is impossible to claim that analytic devices appeared under the influence of foreign languages, their usage has undoubtedly been validated by foreign models (Johanson 2009: 495). See Tables 4.13–4.54.

<sup>46</sup> K: *siyuryal* (f.24r/9).

Auxiliary verbs may have limited semantic content and be combined with the so-called light verbs, which are the most commonly used in the *Compendium*. Light verbs have a non-specific meaning that, on their own, is insufficient to function as a complete predicate (Johanson 2021a: 578). Therefore, they require a complement to function as an effective predicate (Crystal 2008: 281). Although light verbs can be transitive on their own, when combined with a nominal stem, they can ultimately be intransitive. The *Compendium* contains three transitive light verbs with the meaning ‘to do, make’. From the most to the least frequent ones are *kil-* ‘to do, make’ (see Tables 4.13–4.27), *et-* ‘to do, make’ (see Tables 4.28–4.37), and *yasa-* ‘to do, make’ (see Table 4.38), which is found only in a single expression. Tables 4.45–4.50 list instances of the intransitive verb *bol-* ‘to be(come)’.

Alternatively, auxiliary verbs can be used by semantic verbs for derivational purposes in the *Compendium*. The most commonly used semantic verbs are *bär-* ‘to give’ (see Table 4.39) and *tab-* ‘to find’ (see Table 4.40). Additionally, there are exceedingly rare auxiliary verbs, such as *koy-* ‘to put’ (see Table 4.41), *yibär-* ‘to send’ (see Table 4.42), *tut-* ‘to take, hold’ (see Table 4.43), *ur-* ‘to strike’ (see Table 4.44), *kel-* ‘to come’ (see Table 4.52), and *kal-* ‘to stay, remain’ (see Table 4.53). Copulas, such as *er-* ‘to be’ (see Table 4.51) and *tur-* ‘to stand’ (see Table 4.54), are also used for analytic derivation.

It is worth noting that parallel analytic and synthetic derivations can coexist, e.g. *χānla-* ‘to enthrone’ vs. *χān yasa-* ‘id.’, *keṇäš-* ‘to take counsel (together)’ vs. *keṇäš et-* ‘id.’, *keṇäš kil-* ‘id.’, and so on.

Below the tables, examples that illustrate analytic derivation will be provided to facilitate comprehension.

Four types of auxiliary verbs will be distinguished in the following sections:

- transitive light verbs;
- the other transitive auxiliaries with more definable verbal meanings;
- intransitive light verbs;
- the other intransitive auxiliaries with more definable verbal meanings.

#### 2.4.2.1 Transitive light verbs

This section will deal with three light verbs: *kil-* ‘to do, make’, *et-* ‘id.’, and *yasa-* ‘id.’.

##### The light verb *kil-* ‘to do’, ‘to make’

In the *Compendium*, the examples of analytic denominal verb derivation with the light verb *kil-* ‘to do, make’ can be divided into the following seven groups:

1. Arabic nouns with *kil-* (88 examples)
2. Persian nouns with *kil-* (12 examples)
3. Turkic nouns (primary stems and derivatives) with *kil-* (10 examples)
4. Turkic nouns of Arabic and Persian origin with {+IJK} and with *kil-* (9 examples)
5. Persian nouns of Turkic and Mongolic origin with {-mİš-ī} and with *kil-* (7 examples)
6. Turkic nouns of foreign origin with *kil-* (2 examples)
7. A Mongolic noun with *kil-* (1 example)

### Arabic nouns with *kil-*

In the *Compendium*, the majority of analytic denominal verb derivations originate from Arabic nouns. Arabic-based lexemes are notably more prevalent in the *Compendium* compared to those from other languages. The transitive auxiliary verb *kil-* pairs with Arabic nouns, providing numerous examples. The results of using the transitive auxiliary verb *kil-* indicate all types of transitivity, including transitive, intransitive (where the nominal stem functions as the object of the light verb, but the overall expression is intransitive), and ambitransitive categories. See instances in Tables 4.13–4.15.

Table 4.13. Transitive results of transitive auxiliaries with *kil-*

<i>bäyān kil-</i>	‘to illuminate, explain’	←	<i>bäyān</i>	A ‘explanation, exposition’
<i>däfn kil-</i>	‘to bury’	←	<i>däfn</i>	A ‘funeral, burial’
<i>yāfil kil-</i>	‘to catch by surprise, catch at a weak moment’	←	<i>yāfil</i>	A ‘careless, inattentive’
<i>häšil kil-</i>	‘to result, accrue’, ‘to be obtained, be acquired’	←	<i>häšil</i>	A ‘resulting, result, effect’, ‘occurring’, ‘existing’
<i>hawälät kil-</i>	‘to entrust, transfer’	←	<i>hawälät</i>	A ‘procuratory, delivery’
<i>häläk kil-</i>	‘to kill, cause to perish’	←	<i>häläk</i>	A ‘perishing, destruction, miserable death’
<i>hišša kil-</i>	‘to divide into parts, distribute’	←	<i>hišša</i>	A ‘part, share’
<i>ħarāb kil-</i>	‘to destroy, lay waste’	←	<i>ħarāb</i>	A ‘devastation, damage’
<i>iħtiāj kil-</i>	‘to be needy’	←	<i>iħtiāj</i>	A ‘need, necessity, indispensability’
<i>ikrām kil-</i>	‘to respect’	←	<i>ikrām</i>	A ‘respect, honor’
<i>istinbāt kil-</i>	‘to gain, benefit’	←	<i>istinbāt</i>	A ‘a bringing to light a hidden matter’, ‘deducting, interring’
<i>‘izzät [kil-]</i>	‘to respect, esteem, render honors’	←	<i>‘izzät</i>	A ‘respect, regard, honor’
<i>jami kil-</i>	‘to compile, compose, collect’	←	<i>jami</i>	A ‘compendium’, ‘that collects, unites, brings together’, ‘embracing, containing, holding’
<i>māni kil-</i>	‘to hinder, resist’	←	<i>māni</i>	A ‘hindrance, trouble, disturbance’
<i>mu‘ayyän kil-</i>	‘to define’	←	<i>mu‘ayyän</i>	A ‘pointed out, designated, known, defined’
<i>muħtašar kil-</i>	‘to shorten’	←	<i>muħtašar</i>	A ‘shortened, abridged, summarized’, ‘short, brief’
<i>muħarrar kil-</i>	‘to establish, approve, assign’	←	<i>muħarrar</i>	A ‘approved, appointed, determined’
<i>mušaħħar kil-</i>	‘to subdue, subject, place under the command of’	←	<i>mušaħħar</i>	A ‘conquered, captured, captive’

<i>naṣiḥat kīl-</i> ‘to instruct’ ← <i>naṣiḥat</i> A ‘advice, guidance’
<i>nikāḥ kīl-</i> ‘to marry, take a wife, enter into marriage’ ← <i>nigāḥ</i> A ‘marriage, engagement’
<i>nisbāt kīl-</i> ‘to relate, attribute’ ← <i>nisbāt</i> A ‘relation, attribution to (someone or something)’
<i>ḳabūl kīl-</i> ‘to agree, accept’ ← <i>ḳabūl</i> A ‘accepting’
<i>ḳatl kīl-</i> ‘to kill’ ← <i>ḳatl</i> A ‘killing, murdering’
<i>šāḥīd kīl-</i> ‘to martyr’ ← <i>šāḥīd</i> A ‘martyr’
<i>tābi kīl-</i> ‘to subdue’ ← <i>tābi</i> A ‘subordinate, subjugated, subject’
<i>taḥḳīḳ kīl-</i> ‘to approve, certify, prove, accept as truth, make sure’ ← <i>taḥḳīḳ</i> A ‘true, original, truth, reliability’
<i>ṭalab kīl-</i> ‘to request, require, expect’ <sup>47</sup> ← <i>ṭalab</i> A ‘demand, order, request’
<i>tamām kīl-</i> ‘to complete, finish’ ← <i>tamām</i> A ‘complete, finished’, ‘completion’
<i>ta rīf kīl-</i> ‘to describe’, ‘to define’ ← <i>ta rīf</i> A ‘description’, ‘definition’
<i>taṣnīf kīl-</i> ‘to compose, compile, write’ ← <i>taṣnīf</i> A ‘compilation, composition’
<i>ta yīn kīl-</i> ‘to appoint’, ‘to decide, settle’ ← <i>ta yīn</i> A ‘assignment, nomination, designation’
<i>tāḥāyyūj kīl-</i> ‘to encourage, excite, concern’, ‘to irritate’ ← <i>tāḥāyyūj</i> A ‘excitement, emotion’, being raised (as anger or dust)’
<i>tārbiyāt kīl-</i> ‘to bring up, educate, train, raise, teach manners’ ← <i>tārbiyāt</i> A ‘bringing up, raising, nursing, training, educating’
<i>vāfāt kīl-</i> ‘to kill’ ← <i>vāfāt</i> A ‘death’

(39)*hār jānibdayi vilāyātlārni özinä musaxḫar ḳildī* (K: f.60v/14)  
‘He **subdued** provinces from all sides.’

(40)*bu oylin tārbiyāt ḳildī* (f.48r/1)  
‘[She] **raised up** this son.’

(41)*šarḥin muḫtaṣar ḳilduḳ* (f.11r/5)  
‘We **shortened** the explanation.’

Table 4.14. Intransitive results of transitive auxiliaries with *ḳil-*

<i>amāl kīl-</i> ‘to manage affairs’ ← <i>amāl</i> A ‘labor, job, activity’
<i>arḻa kīl-</i> ‘to submit a request’, ‘to report’ ← <i>arḻa</i> A ‘statement, announcement, report’
<i>ayš [ḳil-]</i> ‘to bliss’ ← <i>ayš</i> A ‘life’, ‘pleasant life’
<i>azm kīl-</i> ‘to head for, set out on a journey’ ← <i>azm</i> A ‘a setting out, beginning, undertaking’
<i>bī-hūšlīḳ kīl-</i> ‘to lose consciousness, flake out, feel dizzy’ ← <i>bī</i> P ‘without’ <i>hūš</i> P ‘consciousness, mind; cautious’ {+IIK} NN
<i>du ā kīl-</i> ‘to pray, read the prayers’ ← <i>du ā</i> A ‘prayer, blessing’
<i>fārāyāt [ḳil-]</i> ‘to relax’ ← <i>fārāyāt</i> A ‘rest, calm, liberty’
<i>yaryarā kīl-</i> ‘to gargle’ ← <i>yaryarā</i> A ‘gargling’, ‘gargle’
<i>ḥādīṣ ḳil-in</i> - <sup>48</sup> ‘to occur, come into existence’ ← <i>ḥādīṣ</i> A ‘new, recent’, ‘newly coming into existence’
<i>ḥamlā kīl-</i> ‘to make an attack’ ← <i>ḥamlā</i> A ‘attack, onset’

<sup>47</sup> The transitive verb *ṭalab kīl-* appears three times in the *Compendium*. In one example (f.57v/7–57v/8), the direct object is mistakenly used with the possessive case instead of the accusative case.

<sup>48</sup> The transitive verb *ḥādīṣ kīl-* appears only in the tranzitivized form with the passive-reflexive-medial marker {-I)n-} in the *Compendium*.

<i>ḥarb kīl-</i>	‘to fight’ ← <i>ḥarb</i> A ‘battle’
<i>ḫabār kīl-</i>	‘to put on notice’ ← <i>ḫabār</i> A ‘news, information, knowledge’
<i>ḫayr [kīl]-</i>	‘to shower favor’ ← <i>ḫayr</i> A ‘good deed’
<i>ḫurūj kīl-</i>	‘to set out (on a campaign)’ ← <i>ḫurūj</i> A ‘a coming or going out’
<i>ḫūṣūmat kīl-</i>	‘to have a feud, be at war, conflict’, ‘to have a spat with’ ← <i>ḫūṣūmat</i> A ‘hostility, animosity, enmity, war’, ‘falling-out’
<i>iḥsān kīl-</i>	‘to shower grace’ ← <i>iḥsān</i> A ‘grace, good deed, goodness’
<i>iḫtiyār kīl-</i>	‘to choose, elect’ ← <i>iḫtiyār</i> A ‘will, freedom, power, choice, consent’
<i>iltifāt kīl-</i>	‘to treat with favor, show courtesy, take notice’ ← <i>iltifāt</i> A ‘a treating with courserly or kindness’, ‘courteous or kind treatment’, ‘favor’, ‘a paying attention’
<i>inkār kīl-</i>	‘to retract’ ← <i>inkār</i> A ‘denial, nonacceptance’
<i>intizār kīl-</i>	‘to wait’ ← <i>intizār</i> A ‘waiting’
<i>isti‘ānāt kīl-</i>	‘to ask for help’ ← <i>isti‘ānāt</i> A ‘an asking or seeking for help’
<i>ittifāk kīl-</i>	‘to agree, be unanimous’, ‘to be allied’ ← <i>ittifāk</i> A ‘agreeing, consenting, agreement, alliance’
<i>maṣāff kīl-iš-</i> <sup>49</sup>	‘to fight on the battlefield against each other’ ← <i>maṣāff</i> A ‘battlefield, battle, ranks of combatants’
<i>mādād kīl-</i>	‘to help’ ← <i>mādād</i> A ‘help, aid’, ‘a helper, reinforcement’
<i>mānzil kīl-</i>	‘to settle down’ ← <i>mānzil</i> A ‘dwelling, encampment’
<i>māyil kīl-</i>	‘to lean (towards someone’s side)’, ‘to have disposition or inclination’, ‘to wish or desire’ ← <i>māyil</i> A ‘a leaning, inclining’, ‘love, propensivity’
<i>mīṣāl kīl-</i>	‘to compare’ ← <i>mīṣāl</i> A ‘like, match, semblance’, ‘example, model, precedent’, ‘command, edict’
<i>mülāzāmāt kīl-</i> <sup>50</sup>	‘to serve diligently’ ← <i>mülāzāmāt</i> A ‘affection, devotion, loyalty’
<i>muwāfaqat kīl-</i>	‘to agree, consent’ ← <i>muwāfaqat</i> A ‘agreeing, consenting’, ‘agreement, consent’
<i>naẓar kīl-</i>	‘to look, gaze, glance’ ← <i>naẓar</i> A ‘eye, glance’
<i>nāwhā kīl-</i>	‘to wail and lament vociferously’ ← <i>nāwhā</i> A ‘wail or lament’, ‘lamentation, moan, keening’
<i>niṣār kīl-</i>	‘to scatter small coins’ ← <i>niṣār</i> A ‘coins strewn among people’
<i>kanā‘t kīl-</i>	‘to be satisfied, be content’ ← <i>kanā‘t</i> A ‘contentment, satisfaction’
<i>raḥīm [kīl-]</i>	‘to show kindness, empathize’ ← <i>raḥīm</i> A ‘mercy, compassion’
<i>rivāyāt kīl-</i>	‘to tell’ ← <i>rivāyāt</i> A ‘story, tale, legend’
<i>ṣabr kīl-</i>	‘to be patient’ ← <i>ṣabr</i> A ‘patience, forbearance, endurance, fortitude’
<i>ṣānā kīl-</i>	‘to pay tribute to’, ‘to praise, commend’ ← <i>ṣānā</i> A ‘praise, gratitude’
<i>ṣoḥbāt kīl-</i>	‘to talk, chat, speak’ ← <i>ṣoḥbāt</i> A ‘conversation, society’
<i>suāl kīl-</i>	‘to ask, request’ ← <i>suāl</i> A ‘question, interrogation, questioning’, ‘the Last Judgment’
<i>ṣarṭ kīl-</i>	‘to make conditions’ ← <i>ṣarṭ</i> A ‘condition, arrangement’
<i>ṣāfaqat kīl-</i>	‘to pity, show compassion, mercy’ ← <i>ṣāfaqat</i> A ‘mercy, compassion’
<i>tafṣīl kīl-in-</i>	‘to be illustrated in detail’ <sup>51</sup> ← <i>tafṣīl</i> A ‘detailed illustration’

<sup>49</sup> The intransitive verb *maṣāff kīl-* ‘to fight on the battlefield’ appears only once in the form with the cooperative-reciprocal marker {-Iš-}.

<sup>50</sup> The verb is presented as *mülāzāmāt kıldīlar* ملازمت قیلدی لار in the St.Petersburg manuscript (StP: f.84r/11); however, it appears as *mülāzamatlık kıldīlar* ملازمت لیک قیلدی لار at the same place in the Kazan manuscript (K: f.34r/7).

<sup>51</sup> This verb is used quite often in the *Compendium*, but only in the passive form with the marker {-In-}. Four instances of *tafṣīl kīl-in-* occurred, mistakenly combining the passive voice with a direct object (f.107r/4; f.116v/9; f.118r/2; f.122r/5).



<i>taşarruf kıl-</i> ‘to take/gain possession of’ ← <i>taşarruf</i> A ‘possession, capture, occupation, invasion’
<i>vaşıyyät kıl-</i> ‘to make a will, bequeath, make a testamentary arrangement’ ← <i>vaşıyyät</i> A ‘an injunction, advice’, ‘command’, ‘a last will and testament’
<i>vağan kıl-</i> ‘to settle down’ ← <i>vağan</i> A ‘motherland, birthplace, homeland’
<i>vädä kıl-</i> ‘to give a goodbye, say farewell’ ← <i>vädä</i> A ‘farewell, parting’
<i>väfä kıl-</i> ‘to fulfill the promised word, observe loyalty, be devoted’ ← <i>väfä</i> A ‘loyalty, devotion’

(42)*şawwāl ayında pänjšänbä kün Kärman šähriya (sic!) ‘azm kıldı* (f.154v/2–3)  
‘They **headed for** Kasimov city in the month of Shawwal on Thursday.’

(43)*köbräk rüb ‘mäskün taχtin taşarruf kılıb aldılar* (f.10r/9–10)  
‘He **took possession of** his throne over most of inhabited one-quarter [of the Earth].’

(44)*hindu daryäsi yaqasında vağan kıldılar* (f.9v/2)  
‘They **settled down** along the Indian Ocean.’

Table 4.15. Ambitransitive results of transitive auxiliaries with *kıl-*

<i>yärät kıl-</i> ‘to raid, sack, plunder, pillage’ ← <i>yärät</i> A ‘pillage, plundering, sack of a place’, ‘booty, plunder’
<i>hisāb kıl-</i> ‘to count’ ← <i>hisāb</i> A ‘counting, numbering’, ‘considering’
<i>χilāf kıl-</i> ‘to act against, disobey’ ← <i>χilāf</i> A ‘contradiction, contrary’
<i>mufaşşal kıl-in-</i> ‘to be explained in detail’ <sup>52</sup> ← <i>mufaşşal</i> A ‘detailed, thorough, appropriately’
<i>naql kıl-</i> ‘to tell, rehearse’ ← <i>naql</i> A ‘story, retelling, narration’
<i>taqrır kıl-</i> ‘to report’ ← <i>taqrır</i> A ‘statement, deposition, report’
<i>tažarru kıl-</i> ‘to humbly to beg’ ← <i>tažarru</i> A ‘humbling oneself in prayer’

(45)*barja moyol türkī hisābī birlä hisāb kılur* (f.47r/10–11)  
‘All mongols **count** according to the Turkic calendar.’

(46)*elçiday noyannıj urukin (sic!) hisāb kıldılar* (f.39v/6–7)  
‘They **counted** the clan of Elchitay Noyan.’

(47)*ayası anıj daritay otj[gin] ku[t]ıla χännıj oyli altan näküin tayşinıj oyli kujar sözlärinä χilāf kıldılar* (f.52v/7–8)  
‘His uncle Daritai *Otčigin*, the son of Qutula Khan Altan, and the son of Nekun *Tayshi* Quchar **disobeyed** to his words.’

<sup>52</sup> The verb *mufaşşal kıl-in-* appears three times in the *Compendium*. On all three occasions, it is used with the passive-reflexive-medial marker {-(I)n-}, which results in the intransitivation of the transitive verb *mufaşşal kıl-*. However, the sentences contain some errors. In two instances, the passive voice is used together with a direct object (f.114v/8; f.119v/10), while in one case, the grammar is correct, and *mufaşşal kıl-in-* is used intransitively (f.117v/2).

(48)*anin färmānin näčük xilāf kīlalim* (f.83v/9–10)

‘How **may** we **act against** his order?’

(49)*barjasin yārāt kīla keldilər* (f.61v/4–5)

‘They came **pillaging** everything [in their path].’

(50)*bisiyār katl yārāt kildi* (f.62r/4)

‘He killed and **pillaged** a lot.’

### Persian nouns with *kīl-*

In the *Compendium*, analytic denominal verb derivations involving Persian noun and the verb *kīl-* ‘to do, make’ are also present. However, they are not as widespread as those formed with Arabic nouns. The results of the transitive auxiliary verb *kīl-* also indicate all three types of transitivity: transitive, intransitive, and ambitransitive. See them in Tables 4.16–4.18.

Table 4.16. Transitive results of transitive auxiliaries with *kīl-*

<i>ayāz kīl-</i> ‘to start, begin’ ← <i>ayāz</i> P ‘beginning’
<i>āzād kīl-</i> ‘to free, liberate, relieve’ ← <i>āzād</i> P ‘free, not enslaved’
<i>baḡšiš kīl-</i> ‘to present’ ← <i>baḡšiš</i> P ‘gift, present’
<i>bānd[ü] kīl-</i> ‘to enslave, subjugate’ ← <i>bāndä</i> P ‘slave, captive, servant’
<i>pāk kīl-</i> ‘to clean, purify’ ← <i>pāk</i> P ‘clean, innocent’
<i>rāst kīl-</i> ‘to keep in order’ ← <i>rāst</i> P ‘right’
<i>rūzī kīl-</i> ‘to allot’ ← <i>rūzī</i> P ‘daily bread, allotment’
<i>šīrīn kīl-</i> ‘to sweeten, make sweet’ ← <i>šīrīn</i> P ‘sweet, juicy’
<i>yād kīl-</i> ‘to remember, mention’ ← <i>yād</i> P ‘memory, remembrance’

(51)*pādišāh ḡazrātlāri sohuryallar ina āmlar baḡšiš kildi* (f.154r/1)

‘His Majesty, the Padishah, **distributed** many gifts and favors.’

(52)*hāmīšā māniḡ niyātim ol turur kim*

*ilḡariki šākār siyuryamīšini anlarniḡ ayizlariya šīrīn kildim...* (f.98v/3–4)

‘My intentions were always the following:

to further **sweeten** the gift [like] sugar to their mouths ...’

(53)*janibek ḡānniḡ oylanlarin bu zamān taḡi yād kilduḡ tā aniḡ oylī<niḡ> oylī nābirālāri<gā> deg[g]āj* (f.152r/10–152v/1)

‘This time, we also **remembered** the sons of Janibek Khan, until the sons of his sons and grandsons.

Table 4.17. Intransitive results of transitive auxiliaries with *kīl-*

<i>āfsūs kīl-</i> ‘to grieve, be sad, regret’ ← <i>āfsūs</i> P ‘regret, pity’
---

---

**jävälän kıl-** ‘to train, practise’ ← **jävälän** P ‘circulation, circular motion, practice of the troops’

---

(54) *jalayır ol suwya işänib ol läşkär[ä] taba baķib  
kolların[a] böriüklärin alib äfsüs kılıb edilär* (f.29v/4–6)

‘The Jalayirids, relying on the river, faced the army  
[and], grabbing their caps in their hands [feignedly], **grieved.**’

(55) *onđa wä şolda sulţānlar beglär wä mirzālar ađ arslan täg här bir bahadurlar  
jävälän kılur* (f.3v/10–4r/1)

‘Sultans, begs, and mirzās [as well as] each *bahadur*, **are trained** on the right and  
the left side, much like hungry lions.’

Table 4.18. Ambitransitive result of transitive auxiliary with *kıl-*

---

**paydā kıl-** ‘to create, lead’ TR; ‘to appear’ ITR ← **paydā** P ‘visible, conspicuous, evident’

---

(56) *lā-järäm eriniđ yađşı atini payda kılıyay* (f.93v/4–5)

‘This will inevitably **create** a good reputation for the husband.’

(57) *ol hāldä işig suw paydā kıldı* (f.101v/1)

‘This is where the hot water **appeared** at that time.’

### Turkic nouns (primary stems and derivates) with *kıl-*

The use of the transitive auxiliary verb *kıl-* with Turkic nouns in the *Compendium* exhibits only two types of transitivity: transitive and intransitive. Ten examples of verb forms involving Turkic nouns and the auxiliary verb *kıl-* are presented. However, the transitive meaning appears only twice; see examples (58)–(59). The others are presented in the intransitive meaning; see examples (60)–(62).

The deverbal nominal marker {-(A)K} appears only once in the nominal head of an analytic verb. Four examples involve deverbal nominal derivation with the productive {-(I)ş} marker. In these cases, they connected with the cooperative-reciprocal {-(I)ş-} to form an analytic verb, resulting in an intransitive meaning. Additionally, two bases with the stems *köñül* and *tapa* produce a reflexive meaning within the analytic unit.

Table 4.19. Transitive result of transitive auxiliary with *kıl-*

---

**yaman kıl-** ‘to do mischief’ ← **yaman** ‘bad, evil’

---

**yıraķ kıl-** ‘to alienate, distance’ ← **yıraķ** ‘far way, distant, remote’ ← **yıra-** ‘to be distant, keep away (from something)’ {-(A)K} VN

---

(58)*anıñ üjün anlarını özümde yırak kıldım* (f.19r/5–6)  
 ‘For this reason, I **have distanced** them from me.’

(59)*anlarını yaman kıldı* (f.52r/1–2)  
 ‘[He] **did mischief** to them.’

Table 4.20. Intransitive results of transitive auxiliaries with *kil-*

<i>keñäş kil-</i> ‘to take counsel (together)’ ← <i>keñäş</i> ‘counsel’ ← <i>keñä-</i> ‘to settle one’s affairs’ {-(I)š} VN
<i>könül kil-</i> ‘to concentrate, maintain attention’ ← <i>könül</i> ‘heart with a wide range shades of meaning’
<i>sančış kil-</i> ‘to fight a fierce battle’ ← <i>sančış</i> ‘battle, fight’ ← <i>sanč-</i> ‘to pierce’ {-(I)š} VN
<i>tapa kil-</i> ‘to lean to the side, go to the side’ ← <i>tapa</i> ‘towards, to, in the direction of’
<i>tokuš kil-</i> ‘to wage war’ ← <i>tokuš</i> ‘battle, fight’ ← <i>toķi-</i> ‘to knock’ {-(I)š} VN
<i>toy kil-</i> ‘to feast, celebrate, make feast’ ← <i>toy</i> ‘feast, celebration’
<i>uruş kil-</i> ‘to battle, fight’ ← <i>uruş</i> ‘battle, fight’ ← <i>ur-</i> ‘to strike’ {-(I)š} VN
<i>yarlıy kil-</i> ‘to command, order’ ← <i>yarlıy</i> ‘a command from a superior to an inferior’

(60)*soñ on bir yaşayanda kadir berdi xān kelib  
 kırım läşkari bilän idil daryäsın otä kacıb  
 idigä beg bilän tokuš kıldı* (f.145v/3–5)

‘Then, at the age of eleven, Qadir Berdi Khan came, crossed the Volga River with the Crimean army and **waged war** against Edige Beg.’

(61)*koñlay xān yarlıy kıldı* (f.39v/6)  
 ‘Kublai Khan **commanded**.’

(62)*jñgiz xān bu yıl yazıysın sarı kähärdä oñ xān bilän keñäş kıldılar* (f.51v/2–4)  
 ‘This year in the summer Genghis Khan **took counsel with** Ong Khan in *Sary Keher*.’

The next usage of the analytic verb in the form *keñäş kil-* is not ‘to take consult (together)’. Instead, there are examples where the verb *kil-* denotes its lexical meaning, with *keñäş* functioning as the direct object; see examples (63) and (64).

(63)*oñ xānniñ ba ‘zī uluyları özgä yanya könül etib  
 yaman keñäş kıldılar* (f.54r/3–4)

‘The hearts of some of the great [amirs] of Ong Khan turned toward another side and [it] led to a poor decision (lit. [they] **made** a bad decision).’

(64)*uluy kıriltay kıldı  
 ya ‘nī uluy keñäş kıldı* (f.60r/11–60v/1)

‘[Genghis Khan] arranged a large kurultai,

which means, [he] **arranged** a large counsel.’

Furthermore, the *Compendium* contains a few instances of ambiguity with respect to the verb sequences. One such example is *toy kıl-*, as demonstrated in example (65). The question arises as to whether this verb sequence belongs to analytic denominal verb derivation, as the verb *toy kıl-* can be interpreted as both ‘to celebrate’ and ‘to make a feast’.

(65) *äwwäl ay içindä toy kıldılar* (f.141v/5–6)

‘They **celebrated** in the first month.’

‘They **made** feast in the first month.’

In addition, the occurrence of the lexical verb *kıl-* with the plural object *toy-lar* in example (66) creates extra difficulty and raises questions about its classification as an analytically derived verb. Similar ambiguous examples, such as *tokuš kıl-* ‘to wage war’ (60) and *tokuš-lar kıl-* ‘to wage wars’ (67) are also appear in the *Compendium*.

(66) *häm ol zamān uluy ‘azim toylar kıldılar* (f.156r/3)

‘[He] also **made** great feasts at that time.’

(67) *munday xurūj kılib  
katiy tokušlar kıldı* (f.145v/10–11)

‘Having set out on such a campaign,  
they **waged** hard **wars** (lit. made hard battles).’

### Turkic nouns of Arabic and Persian origin with {+IİK} and with *kıl-*

The combination of the transitive auxiliary verb *kıl-* with nouns of Arabic and Persian origin, accompanied by the {+IİK} suffix, results exclusively in an intransitive meaning. See Table 4.21.

Table 4.21. Intransitive results of transitive auxiliaries with *kıl-*

<i>‘aķillik kıl-</i> ‘to make a smart choice’ ← <i>‘aķil</i> A ‘clever, smart, wise, prudent’ {+IİK} NN
<i>āsāyışlik kıl-</i> ‘to relax’ ← <i>āsāyış</i> P ‘rest, comfort, calm’ {+IİK} NN
<i>baġadurlik kıl-</i> ‘to commit heroism’ ← <i>baġadur</i> <sup>53</sup> ‘brave, valiant; champion, hero’ {+IİK} NN

<sup>53</sup> Redhouse (1974: 121) indicates that this word is of Persian origin. Alimov (2022: 177) cites it as the Mongolian word *герой, богатырь, эпитет, присваиваемый монголами выдающимся воинам*. Lessing (1960: 68) indeed indicates *baġatur* / *баатар\** as ‘hero’, ‘knight’, ‘heroic, brave’. Boeschoten (2023: 69), in the latest dictionary of Early Middle Turkic, states that *bahatur* is also of Mongolian origin. However, Clauson (1972: 313) manifests that *baġa:tu:r* is most likely of Hunnish (Hsiung-nu) origin, specifically from the second Hsiung-nu *shan-yü* (209–174

<i>dušmānlik kīl-</i> ‘to be in enmity, feud’ ← <i>dušmān</i> P ‘enemy’ {+IIK} NN
<i>fitnālik kīl-</i> ‘to stir up trouble’ ← <i>fitnā</i> A ‘indignation, misfortune, atrocity’ {+IIK} NN
<i>yawwāšlik kīl-</i> ‘to dive’ ← <i>yawwāš</i> A ‘diver (for pearls)’, ‘penetrating, subtle’ {+IIK} NN
<i>mülāzāmätlik kīl-</i> <sup>54</sup> ‘to serve diligently’ ← <i>mülāzāmät</i> A ‘affection, devotion, loyalty’ {+IIK} NN
<i>muwāfaqatlik kīl-</i> ‘to agree, consent’ ← <i>muwāfaqat</i> A ‘agreeing, consenting’, ‘agreement, consent’ {+IIK} NN
<i>pādišāhlik kīl-</i> ‘to reign’ ← <i>pādišāh</i> P ‘padishah’ {+IIK} NN

(68) *ol toktayya nāčā yillar pādišāhlik kīldi idil boyunda* (f.142v/9–10)  
‘That Tokhta **reigned** for so many years along the Volga.’

(69) *kaĵiun begi oŋ xān birlä birigib  
jīngiz xānyā dušmānlik kīldi* (f.32r/5–6)  
‘Qajun *Begi* united with Ong Khan  
and **was in enmity against** Genghis Khan.’

(70) *aŋa mülāzāmätlik kīldi* (f.83r/3)  
‘He **served diligently** to him.’

### Persian nouns of Turkic and Mongolic origin with {-mīš-ī} and with *kīl-*

In written Persian sources, there is an established procedure for borrowing verbs from Turkic and Mongolic languages. The main pattern of borrowing involves the morphological integration of Turkic and Mongolic verbs (via Turkic) into Persian and some other Iranian varieties. This process uses the postterminal participle marker {-mīš}, the Iranian abstract suffix {-ī}, and a native Persian auxiliary verb like ‘to do’ (*kardan*, *shurdan*, etc.). The meaning of borrowed verbs in Persian roughly correspond to their meanings in the original languages. The suffix {-mīšī} was not attested before the Mongolic period; however, after this period, examples of {-mīšī} became quite common (Doerfer 1963: 32).

Juvayni’s work shows that Turkic verbs formed with {-mīš-ī} are prevalent, especially in sections dedicated to Mongol campaigns. Additionally, a few more examples of Turkic borrowings appear in later new Persian language. In the modern Talysh language (as of the time of publication, that is, 1957), the regular borrowing of suffixes has led to the formation of verbs from the {-mīš-ī} suffix. These Turkic and Mongolic verbs with {-mīš-ī}, used in Iranian

BC), whose name was rendered in Chinese as Mao-tun. It was an early loanword in Mongolian, mostly as an element in proper names but also as a common noun ‘picked warrior’. The word only occurs once in the early period, and then as a proper name. In Mongolian, the shift from a proper name to a noun most likely occurred. During the medieval era, the word resurfaced in Turkic, usually in variants with the medial *-h-*, suggesting Persian as the immediate source. Therefore, I classify the word *baḫadur* with the medial *-ḫ-* in the Persian group.

<sup>54</sup> The verb is presented as *mülāzāmätlik kīldi* ملازمت ليک قیلدی in the St.Petersburg manuscript (StP: f.83r/3); however, it appears as *mülāzamatlik kīldi* ملازمت ليق قیلدی at the same place in the Kazan manuscript (K: f.33v/4).

languages, could re-enter Turkic under the influence of Persian, serving as a model for parallel formation (Menges 1957: 712–713). This is observable in the Turkic *Compendium*. Consequently, the construction of analytic verbs with the Turkic or Mongolic lexical verb together with {-mīš-ī} and the light verb *kīl-* in the *Compendium* shows the copying of the nominal form of the verbal element and the translation of the light verb into Turkic.

The combination of the transitive auxiliary verb *kīl-* with Persian nouns of Turkic and Mongolic origin with {-mīš-ī} yields transitive, intransitive, and ambitransitive categories. See them in Tables 4.22–4.24.

Table 4.22. Transitive result of transitive auxiliary with *kīl-*

<i>basmišt kīl-</i> ‘to press’ ← <i>bas-</i> ‘to press’ {-mīš} PART.POST {-ī} P NN
<i>siyuryamišt kīl-</i> ‘to favor, benefit, grant, bestow’ ← Mo <i>soyurgya</i> - <sup>55</sup> < EOT
<i>tsuyurka-</i> {-mīš} PART.POST {-ī} P NN

(71) *baš barmayin basmišt kīldi* (f.100r/2)  
 ‘He **pressed** his thumb.’

In the *Compendium*, the verb *bas-* ‘to press’, when combined with {-mīš-ī}, appears as *basmišt kīl-*, which is clearly written with the Arabic letter ش <š> in both manuscripts (StP: f.100r/2; K: f. 40v/12). However, in all other instances, the verb *bas-* is spelled with س <s>. Regarding the case of *baš-*, it can be assumed that *baš-* is identical to the verb *baša-* ‘to attack’, which functioned in PON as *baš-*. Danka (2019a: 198) suggests that *baš-* ‘to attack’ (Clauson 1972: 377) derived from *baš* ‘wound’ (Clauson 1972: 376) with the {+A-} suffix and was not transparent. Nevertheless, the context of the investigated example (71) involves manipulations with fingers on the hand. Thackston (1998: 293 n.1; 299 n.5), in his English translation of the Persian ‘Compendium of Chronicles’, relied on QAB’s Turkic translation because this section of the Persian text was obscure, probably due to its original translation of the Persian ‘Compendium of Chronicles’ from Mongolian. Thackston provided a transcription of the Turkic *Compendium* of this part in a footnote. He transcribed it as: “Bash barmaghin bashmish [basmish] qıldı”. As a result, he considered *bas-* to be the correct verb. The Russian translation of the same Persian ‘Compendium of Chronicles’ is the following: *Когда посол вернулся, Мукали-гойон [его] спросил: «Когда ты прибыл к Чингиз-хану и доложил мои слова, что он делал?». [Тот] сказал: «Он разделял [свои пальцы]»* (RD/Smirnova 1952: 264). ‘When

<sup>55</sup> *soyurya-* ‘to grant, concede’, *siyurya-* ‘to entertain, give a present < MMo \**soyurya-* ‘to grant, donate’ < OT *tsuyurkā-* ‘to have pity on someone, be passionate’. The term *soyurya-* ‘to grant, denote’ and its derivate *soyuryal* ‘donation, grant’ made their initial appearance in Turkic languages after the Mongol invasion in *Codex Cumanicus* (Kincses-Nagy 2018: 195).

the envoy returned, Muqali göyen asked [him]: “When you arrived in Genghis Khan and reported my words, what did he do?” [He] said’: “He **separated** [his fingures]”.’ In a footnote, it is noticed that in the original Persian ‘Compendium of Chronicles’, *baīšmīšī* was used instead of *basmišī mīkard* derived from the verb *basmak* (RD/Smirnova 1952: 264 n.3). Alimov (2022: 81; 178) also transcribes it as *basmišī* and translates it as *давить, надавливать на что-л.* ‘to press, apply pressure to something’, therefore *basmišī kīl-* translated as (*букв. давить пальцем на что-л.*) *поставить печать пальцем, оставить отпечаток пальца* ‘lit. to press with a figure on something) to make a fingerprint, leave a fingerprint’. That is why I consider the verb *bas-* in the sentence above is indeed in its correct form.

(72) *äwwäl ani sultān-i kärmān jālāl ad-dīnya siyuryamiš[i] kildi* (f.132v/9–10)  
 ‘At first, [he] **granted** her to Jelal al-Din, the Sultan of Kerman.’

Table 4.23. Intransitive results of transitive auxiliaries with *kīl-*

<i>čirkamišt kīl-</i> ‘to take pleasure, enjoy, have fun’ ← <i>čirka-</i> <sup>56</sup> Mo ‘to take pleasure, enjoy, have fun’ {-mIš} PART.POST {-i} P NN
<i>oljamišt kīl-</i> ‘to present gifts’ ← <i>olja-</i> <sup>57</sup> MMo ‘to present gifts’ {-mIš} PART.POST {-i} P NN
<i>yasamišt kīl-</i> ‘to set regulations, set things in order’ ← <i>yasa-</i> Mo ‘to do’ {-mIš} PART.POST {-i} P NN
<i>yaylamišt kīl-</i> ‘to pass the summer’ ← <i>yayla-</i> ‘to pass the summer’ {-mIš} PART.POST {-i} P NN

(73) *näčä küngä deg[g]äj ol ay ötkünčä káčä <wä> kündüz aiš wä išrätka (sic!) čirkamišt kildi* (f.156r/1–3)  
 ‘[During] the several days before the end of the month, [they] **enjoyed** a pleasant life and carousing.’

(74) *karluqnij (sic!) arslan xān yuyurnij idi kut (!) ekisi ol yärdä jingiz xānya kulluqya keldilər oljamišt kildilar* (f.58r/4–6)  
 ‘[The ruler] of the Karluks, Arslan Khan, and the *idugut* of the Uyghurs arrived together in the service of Genghis Khan and **presented gifts / greeted him with bending knee.**’

Table 4.24. Ambitransitive result of transitive auxiliary with *kīl-*

<i>tämäjümišt kīl-</i> ‘to fight, struggle’, ‘to contest, contend’, ‘to cause discord’ ← <i>tämäjä-</i> Mo ‘to fight, struggle’, ‘to contest, contend’ {-mIš} PART.POST {-i} P NN
---

<sup>56</sup> *jīrya-* ‘to rejoice, enjoy oneself’ ~ *čīrya-* ‘to enjoy oneself’ < MMo \**jīrya-* ‘to be joyful, be happy’ (Kincses-Nagy 2018: 126–127).

<sup>57</sup> West MMo *olja-* ‘to present gifts’ ~ *hülja-* ‘id.’ < \**hayulja-* ‘id.’ (Doerfer 1963: 169).



(75) *māniḡ vaṣiyyätimni aḡa tegürünjüz*  
*mäbādā kim män kečkändin soḡ māniḡ sözümnü taḡi mülkdä **tämäjämišt** **ḡiliḡiz***<sup>58</sup>  
(f.89r/11–89v/1)

‘Convey my last wish to him,

‘**Do not dispute** my words in the state under any circumstances after my passing.’

(76) *käčatu ḡātundin*<sup>59</sup> (*sic!*) *soḡ näčä aylar mülkdä **tämäjämišt** **ḡildi*** (f.130v/2)

‘After [the death of] Kechatu Khan, [Baydu] **caused discord** in the state for several months.’

The analytic verb *tämäjämišt ḡil-*, consists of the noun *tämäjämišt*, which, as Budagov (1969: 375) notes, was used by Persian historians to denote concepts such as ‘dispute’, ‘quarrel’, ‘competition’, ‘dumping’. It appears that this term was employed in the Persian ‘Compendium of Chronicles’ and adopted by QAB. A footnote in the Russian translation of the Persian text (RD/Xetagurov 1952: 141 n.8; 142 n.4; RD/Smirnova 1952: 232 n.1, 249 n.1) mentions this usage, referring to Budagov. Smirnova provided a translation of the derivate but did not specify its stem. It is possible that the translator was unaware of the original stem, as other examples with the same {-mš-ī} construction include both the stem verb and the translations. Xetagurov, the translator of another volume of the Persian *Compendium*, also refers to Budagov and identifies *tämäjämišt* as a Turkic word. On the other hand, Alimov, in his latest publication (2022: 213), proposes that the noun *tamajamišt* is derived from the Mongolian verb *tamula-*, which means ‘to torture, torment’ (Lessing 1960: 776). However, in the textual section, he transcribes the verb as *tämäčämišt ḡil-* (2022: 77) and translates it as *učinit’ razdor*, which means ‘to cause discord’ (2022: 147). Kincses-Nagy (2018: 210) has investigated that the form *tämäjämiši* ‘anxiety; persecution, pestering, harassment’ is a secondary Persian formation that was copied into Chaghatay in the following way:

“**Tämäjä-**: *tämäjämiši* ‘anxiety; persecution, pestering, harassment’ ← P *tämäjämiši*  
← MT *tämäjä-miš* < MT **tämäjä-** ← MMo *\*temeče-* ‘to fight, struggle; to contest, contend’ < *\*teme-če-*. Mo: *temeče-* ~ *demeče-* ‘to quarrel with one another.’”

Four of the attested examples of the nominal part of the analytic verbs in seven cases can be traced back to the original Mongolian base. Interestingly, among the remaining three examples, two are verbs of Turkic origin, *bas-* ‘to press’ and *yayla-* ‘to pass the summer’. Additionally, there is a derivate, which likely originated from the Chinese *tz’ü* ‘kind, merciful’, although this noun form was not attested. The form was borrowed into Mongolian from EOT and later

<sup>58</sup> K: *tämäjämišt etiḡiz* (f.36r/12).

<sup>59</sup> K: *ḡändin* (f.54v/10).

reborrowed from Mongolian to Turkic already as *soyurka-/soyurga-*. For a more detailed analysis of *soyurka-/soyurga-*, see Section 2.4.1.10 on the denominal verbalizer {+(U)(r)kA-}.

### Turkic nouns of foreign origin with *kil-*

Two analytic denominal verbs attested in Old Turkic, derived from the nouns of foreign origin with Turkic derivational suffixes and the auxiliary verb *kil-*, were attested in both transitive and intransitive meanings.

Table 4.25. Transitive result of transitive auxiliary with *kil-*

---

*sohuryal kil-* ‘to favor, benefit, grant, bestow’ ← *soyuryal* ‘a grant of privileges’ ← Mo *soyurgā-* {-I} VN

---

(77) *kärman šährin sohuryal kilib bärdi* (f.154r/1–2)  
 ‘He **granted** Kasimov city [to Uraz Muhammed].’

Table 4.26. Intransitive result of transitive auxiliary with *kil-*

---

*bitimäklik kil-* ‘to write (completed), compose’ ← *biti-*<sup>60</sup> ‘to write’ {-mAk} VN {+IIK} NN

---

(78) *taķi ħarf-i ekinči mäšrūĥ wä mufaşşal bitimäklik kildim<sup>o</sup>z* (f.77r/2–3)  
 ‘I<sup>61</sup> also **composed**, illustrated, and fully described, [in] the second section (lit. letter).’

### A Mongolic noun with *kil-*

Only one example presents the transitive auxiliary *kil-* with a Mongolic noun. This construction’s result shows a transitive output.

Table 4.27. Transitive result of transitive auxiliary with *kil-*

---

*olĵa kil-* ‘to capture as prey’ ← *olĵa* Mo ‘war booty, capture, captive’

---

(79) *yinä malin tirligin yärät kilib*

<sup>60</sup> The early Turkic verb *biti-* ‘to write’ may have been connected to the idea of inscribing or writing. None of the proposed etymologies, including Chinese (‘writing brush’) and Indo-European roots, appear to adequately account for the Turkic word’s development, which was influenced by neighboring cultures, especially in the evolution of its derived forms (*bitig*, *bitik*) and meanings in various dialects. It’s interesting note that non-Islamicized Turks use *biti-* ‘to write’, whereas those who have converted to Islam use *yaz-* ‘id.’ (Róna-Tas & Berta 2011: 123–125). Both verbs are attested in the *Compendium*.

<sup>61</sup> Most probably, the pronoun is ‘I’. Although the verb has a first plural possessive marker, it must be a first singular one. Previously, the scribe wrote *bu zaman başladim* (StP: f.77r/2; P: f.30v/22). Therefore, there should be a QAB’s error, as *bitimeklik kildim<sup>o</sup>z* was utilized in both the St.Petersburg (f.77r/3) and Kazan (30v/22) manuscripts.

*olja kildi* (f.52r/5)

‘He again plundered households  
and **captured** them as prey.’

### The light verb *et-* ‘to do’, ‘to make’

In the *Compendium*, examples of analytic denominal verb derivation with the light verb *et-* ‘to do, make’ have been attested in much smaller quantities compared to the light verb *kil-* ‘id.’.

These examples can be divided into the following five groups:

1. Arabic nouns with *et-* (16)
2. Persian nouns of Turkic and Mongolic origin with {-mlš-ī} and with *et-* (3)
3. Turkic nouns with *et-* (2)
4. A Persian noun with *et-* (1)
5. A Turkic noun with {+IIK} and with *et-* (1)
6. A Turkic noun of Persian origin with {+IIK} and with *et-* (1)

### Arabic nouns with *et-*

Table 4.28. Transitive results of transitive auxiliaries with *et-*

<i>äsir et-</i> ‘to captive’ ← <i>äsir</i> A ‘slave’, ‘prisoner of war, captive’
<i>häläk e[t]-</i> ‘to kill, cause to perish’ ← <i>häläk</i> A ‘perishing, destruction, miserable death’
<i>inkär et-</i> ‘to refuse, deny’ ← <i>inkär</i> A ‘denial, nonacceptance’
<i>mahbüs e[t]-</i> ‘to captivate’ ← <i>mahbüs</i> A ‘prisoner’, ‘imprisoned’
<i>mu‘ayyän et-</i> ‘to define’ ← <i>mu‘ayyän</i> A ‘pointed out, designated, known, defined’
<i>musaxxar e[t]-</i> ‘to subdue, subject, place under the command of’ ← <i>musaxxar</i> A ‘conquered, captured, captive’
<i>našihat et-</i> ‘to instruct’ ← <i>našihat</i> A ‘advice, guidance’
<i>kiyäs et-</i> ‘to compare’, ‘to conclude by analogy’ ← <i>kiyäs</i> A ‘comparison’, ‘rule, opinion’
<i>talab et-</i> ‘to request, require, expect’ ← <i>talab</i> A ‘demand, order, request’
<i>tamām et-</i> ‘to complete, finish’ ← <i>tamām</i> A ‘complete, finished’, ‘completion’

(80) *añi häm äsir etib*

*alib kelgän erdi* (f.65v/8)

‘He **captivated** (that tribe)  
and brought them there (for himself).’

(81) *bir nöbät xilāf etsä*

*anı tiliniz bilän našihat etiniz* (f.99r/1–2)

‘If (someone) acts against [the *yasaq*]<sup>62</sup> once,

<sup>62</sup> *Yasaq* refers to a decree or ordinance (with normative force), issued by the *qan* (Rachewiltz 2001: 568).

**instruct** him verbally (lit. with your tongue).’

- (82) *wā illā muḡa i ‘timād bolmasa*  
*öz sözüñni taḡi dānālarniḡ söziḡa ḡiyās etkil* (f.92r/10–92v/11)  
‘Otherwise, if there is no trust in this,  
**compare** your word[s] with the word[s] of knowledgeable people.’

Table 4.29. Intransitive results of transitive auxiliaries with *et-*

<i>ḡizmāt et-</i> ‘to serve, render service’ ← <i>ḡizmāt</i> A ‘service’, ‘duty, work’, ‘employment’
<i>maḡām e[t]-</i> ‘to live, reside’ ← <i>maḡām</i> A ‘place’
<i>niyāz e[t]-</i> ‘to make a request, entreat for, ask as a favor’ ← <i>niyāz</i> P ‘request, entreaty, supplication’, ‘need’, ‘wish’
<i>ḡaşd et-</i> ‘to have (evil) intentions (against)’ ← <i>ḡaşd</i> A ‘intension, endeavour, aim’
<i>tüfāwüt et-</i> ‘to differ (one from other)’ ← <i>tüfāwüt</i> A ‘difference’

- (83) *anlar kūn tuyuşı tapa maḡām et[t]ilär* (f.21v/3)  
‘They **settled** [in the lands] towards the east.’

- (84) *ol ma ‘nāya ‘aḡabḡa ḡaldı*  
*wā ḡoruḡtı*  
*kim ersäḡa niyāz ä[t]ti* (f.27v/5–6)  
‘[She] was amazed for that reason  
and scared  
**to make a request** to anybody.’

- (85) *özlärin körsätib*  
*tā nāḡük ol üç aḡliḡlar aḡa ḡaşd etkäy*  
*ḡaḡurub ḡowub kelḡäy*  
*wā bi-mädäd nöḡärläri bilän anlarni tutyay* (94r/8–10)  
‘[He] showed himself  
**in order to** those three horsemen **encroach his life**  
[and] chased him,  
and [he] would catch them up with the aid of his *nöḡers*.’

Table 4.30. Ambitransitive result of transitive auxiliary with *et-*

<i>ḡisāb e[t]-</i> ‘to count’ ← <i>ḡisāb</i> A ‘counting, numbering; considering’
---

- (86) *ḡisāb e[t]tilär anday kim şul aḡli kim bar erdi* (f.86v/5)  
‘They **counted** [the troops] to preserve [their] origin.’

### Persian nouns of Turkic and Mongolic origin with {-mİš-ī} and with *et-*

Table 4.31. Transitive result of transitive auxiliary with *et-*

---

**čīdamīšt et-** ‘to be able’, ‘to be able to overcome’ ← **čīda-** Mo ‘to be able’, ‘to be able to overcome’ {-mIš} PART.POST {-i} P NN

---

(87) *ägär pādīšāh kim ersä šarāb wä tarāsunḡa<sup>63</sup> ḡarīš bolsa*  
*anīḡ uluy iślāri wä biliglāri wä yosunlāri mu ‘azzam čīdamīšt etü almayay* (f.96r/10–96v/1)

‘If any *padishah* becomes addicted to wine,  
he will not be able to **accomplish** great deeds, *biligs*<sup>64</sup> and traditional customs.’

Table 4.32. Intransitive results of transitive auxiliaries with *et-*

---

**keḡāšmīšt et-** ‘to take counsel (together)’ ← **keḡāš-** ‘to take counsel (together)’ ← **keḡä-** ‘to settle one’s affairs’ {-(I)š} VN {-mIš} PART.POST {-i} P NN

---

**örüsdämīšt et-** ‘to be exhausted’, ‘to be ruined through misfortune or calamities’, ‘to be conquered, overcome’ ← **öristä-** (Lessing 1960: 332; 642) Mo ‘to be exhausted’, ‘to be ruined through misfortune or calamities’, ‘to be conquered, overcome’ {-mIš} PART.POST {-i} P NN

---

(88) *illā jümlä aḡa wä ini ḡami ‘ bolyaylar*  
*wä keḡāšmīšt etkäylār* (f.99r/7–8)

‘Otherwise, let all elder and younger brothers gather  
and **take counsel**.’

(89) *är (sic!) käšiktü kačan šarāb ičmäkkä ḡarīš bolsa*  
*ol kimsä uluy ḡatīḡ örüsdämīšt etkäy ya ‘nī bälā-yi ‘azīm muḡa tegḡäy* (f.96v/4–5)

‘If a bodyguard becomes addicted to wine,  
he **will be exhausted** by a great calamity.’

The form *är käšiktü* is complicated, as it is not found in dictionaries. Alimov transcribes the word as *ärkäšigtü* (Alimov 2022: 80) and explains it as being derived from the Mongolian *ärkäšigil* ‘to empower, enable’, hence *ärkäšigtü* ‘bodyguard’ (2022: 177). Indeed, the verb *erkesi-* ‘to amass power or authority; to dominate, reign; to become self-indulgent through the accruelements of one’s position or power or authority’ is present in the Mongolian dictionary (Lessing 1960: 329). The {-G} marker is a productive deverbal noun suffix in the written Mongolian, which forms nouns that designate the results of actions and abstract ideas (Poppe 1954 [2006]: 45). The {+tU} marker is a denominal noun suffix that forms nouns denoting possession of or containment in something, such as *moritu* ‘horseman’ from *morin* ‘horse’ (Poppe 1954 [2006]: 44). Originally, this suffix denoted the masculine gender (Khabtagaeva

---

<sup>63</sup> *Darasu(n)* is a sweet wine made from either fruit or grain (Lessing 1960: 232).

<sup>64</sup> *Bilig* refers to Genghis Khan’s wise saying (Rachewiltz 2004: 568). Knowledge of these *biligs* was a prerequisite for appointment to the highest administrative and military positions (Ivanics 2017: 14).

2009: 284). This explanation is plausible. However, Syzdykova (1989: 200) transcribes the word as *ар кашиктү* (ar kašigtü), but she does not provide a definition for this word. This transcription seems to be correct. In the footnote of RD's Russian translation, *kešigtu* «телохранитель, гвардеец», derived from *kešig*, is indicated as the singular form of the *kašiktan* (written Mongolian *kešigten*) (RD/ Smirnova 1952: 35 n.1). In the Secret History of the Mongols, the term *kešik* (Proto-Mongolian *kešig*, *kesig*) is also provided, referring to the khan's bodyguard, which was the most important military institution. They were divided into nightguards and dayguards (Rachewiltz 2004: 113, 691). Redhouse (1974: 646) also lists *kešik* as an archaic 'guard, patrol'. Lessing (1960: 460) defines *kesigten* as 'Genghis Khan's bodyguards'. Thackston, in the glossary of the Persian 'Compendium of Chronicles', translates *gäzig* as 'bodyguard corps' and *gäzigtän* as 'member of the bodyguard' (Thackston 1998: 767). Therefore, *är* in the text appears to be separate from *käšiktü* 'bodyguard'. Redhouse (1974: 344) indicates that *ار är* is the Persian variant of *اگر ägär*, which is no longer in use. Moreover, a sentence with *اگر ägär* had appeared earlier in the same dastan.

#### Turkic nouns with *et-*

Table 4.33. Intransitive result of transitive auxiliary with *et-*

---

*keñäš et-* 'to take counsel (together) ← *keñäš* 'counsel' ← *keñä-* 'to settle one's affairs' {-(I)š} VN

---

(90)*mändin soñ bir biriñiz bilän keñäš etiñiz* (f.82r/10–11)  
 'Take counsel with each other after me.'

Table 4.34. Transitive result of transitive auxiliary with *et-*

---

*yiraq et-* 'to alienate, distance' ← *yiraq* 'far way, distant, remote' ← *yira-* 'to be distant, keep away (from something)' {-(A)K} VN

---

(91)*anlar yurtlarında buyurdum tā  
 anlardın yiraq etiñiz deb  
 ot tikänni ketäriñiz teb* (98v/10–11)

'I ordered [those who] are in their yurts,  
 "Distance (PL) from them.  
 Remove (PL) the thorns of grass."

#### A Persian noun with *et-*

Table 4.35. Transitive result of transitive auxiliary with *et-*

---

*āzmāyiš et-* 'to test, subject to examination' ← *āzmāyiš* P 'experiment, proof, trial'

---

(92) *anlarni munuñ dek işdä āzmāyiş et[t]ürgäy* (f.95r/11)  
 ‘[They] must **trial** them in such things/work.’

#### A Turkic noun with {+IIK} and with *et-*

Table 4.36. Transitive result of transitive auxiliary with *et-*

---

***unutmaqlıq et-*** ‘to forget’ ← ***unut-*** ‘to forget’ {**-mAk**} VN {**+IIK**} NN

---

(93) *bizdin soñ bizniñ uruyum•z kabālar bilān aqça tolduryay*  
*yağşi tonlar kiygäylär*  
*wä ni mätlär jarab wä širīn yegäylär*  
*yağşi şurätlik aqlarğa mingäylär*  
*wä xūb yüzlük körklük xātunlarni alyaylar*  
*aytmayaylar kim*  
*bularni bizniñ atalarim•z ayalarem•z jāmi ‘kalyan erdilär teb*  
*wä bizni ol uluy kün **unutmaqlıq etkäy*** (95v/3–8)

‘After us, our offsprings will fill [the treasury] with heaps of money with outer,  
 They will put on good fur coats  
 and they will eat fatty and delicious food,  
 they will sit on strong (lit. well-shaped) horses  
 and they will take fine-faced beautiful wives,  
 [but] they will not say that  
 our fathers and elders collected all of these.  
 And they **will forget** us on that day of judgement.’

#### A Turkic noun of Persian origin with {+IIK} and with *et-*

Table 4.37. Intransitive result of transitive auxiliary with *et-*

---

***dostluq et-*** ‘to be friends’ ← ***dostluq*** ‘friendship’ ← ***dost*** P ‘friend’ {**+IIK**} NN

---

(94) *majār rūm vilāyätidin murād ol turur kim*  
*andin hām mundin hām bariş keliş etişib*<sup>65</sup>  
*dostuna dost bolub duşmanına duşman bolub **dostluq etişdi*** (f.2r/7–9)

‘His goal was to **maintain friendly relations** (lit. mutually made friendship) with  
 the land of *Majar Rum*<sup>66</sup>,  
 establishing diplomatic connections (lit. coming and going with each other) from  
 here and there,  
 making friends with the friend and becoming hostile with the enemy.’

<sup>65</sup> ايتى شين <’yty šyn>.

<sup>66</sup> *Majar Rum* is most probably the geographical name for Hungary (Togabayeva forthcoming).

## The light verb *yasa-* ‘to do’, ‘to make’

Constructions with the light verb *yasa-* ‘to make’, ‘to construct’, ‘to arrange’ emerged relatively late, around the 13th to 14th centuries. It started as a light verb and continues to exist in certain contemporary languages (Johanson 2021a: 580).

In the *Compendium*, it appears only twice in the form *χān yasa-*.

Table 4.38. Transitive result of transitive auxiliary with *yasa-* ‘to do’

---

### *χān yasa-* ‘to enthrone’ ← *χān* ‘khan’

---

(95) *kačib yürüb ĵayıryan bayya yalya kirib yürügändä*  
*beglär öz aralarında biri birin ülüläšä (sic!) almay*  
*χajji käräy sultānni izläb tabub*  
*χān yasadılar sänä-i 956 ĵumādā al-āχir ayında* (f.147r/6–9)

‘When [he] was running and hiring on work to *Jagirgan Bay*,  
begs who couldn’t divide [the pasture] between themselves  
looked for Hajji Giray and found [him].

[They] **enthroned** him in 956 in month of *jumada al-ahir*.<sup>67</sup>

A few words about the spelling of *ülüläšä* are necessary here. It is most likely that the verb should be *ülä-* ‘to divide into shares and distribute’ rather than *ülülä-* in *üläšä almay*. The spelling *-اولولاش- ülü-lä-š-* must be misspelled by QAB itself and not by the scribes, as it appears in both St. Petersburg (f.147r/8) and Kazan (f.62r/13) manuscripts. Xisamieva (2022: 128, 137) and Alimov (2022: 216) transcribe this verb as *ulula-* and translate it as *возвеличивать* ‘to exalt’. However, neither Clauson nor Nadeljaev et al. include the verb *ulula-*. Erdal (1991: 449) discovered *ülüğ+lä-* in Maitr, which can be translated as ‘to divide’. Syzdykova & Қojgeldiev (1991: 233) transcribe this word as *үле-*. At the same time, Valixanov (2014: 235) translates it as *в несогласии идя* ‘walking in disagreement’ and notes in the footnote that the Turkic original reads *اولاشا المای بےکی, между собою не имея согласия* ‘begs, without agreement among themselves’. When Valixanov mentions the original manuscript, he refers to Berezin’s publication, where, in fact, Berezin printed it as *اولاشا المای* (160/4).

Furthermore, the verb *ĵayıryan* is worth noting. Alimov (2022: 107; 166) transcribes it as the *ĵayıryan* (K: f.63a/12) and translates it as ‘*который звал*’ ‘who called/invited’. Xisamieva (2022: 128; 137) transcribes it as *чыгарган* (StP:147a); however, this word is skipped in the translation: *Нанимался у каждого богача в работники*. Syzdykova &

---

<sup>67</sup> The sixth month of the Islamic calendar.



Ҷоғғелдиёв (1991: 236) transcribes the word with a capital letter, indicating a personal name *Чагырған бай*. However, they do not provide an explanation of its origin. Similarly, the Kazakh translators of the *Compendium* indicate it as a personal name *Чагырған бай* without explanation of his identity (QAB/Mingulov et al. 1997: 116). I also suppose that this is a personal name, as QAB mentions a lot of names of begs who participated in the enthronement of Hajji Giray in that particular part, although I cannot define his origin.

#### 2.4.2.2 The other transitive auxiliaries with more definable verbal meanings

Table 4.39. Examples of derivation with auxiliary verb *bār-* ‘to give’

<i>ḡabār bār-</i> ‘to notify, inform, announce’ ← <i>ḡabār</i> ‘news, information, knowledge’
<i>ijāzāt bār-</i> ‘to allow, permit’ ← <i>ijāzāt</i> ← ‘permission’
<i>i’lām bār-</i> ‘to notify’ ← <i>i’lām</i> ‘announcement, notification’
<i>jāwāb bār-</i> ‘to answer, respond’ ← <i>jāwāb</i> ‘answer, response’
<i>kōñül bār-</i> ‘to show favor, give one’s heart’ ← <i>kōñül</i> ‘heart with a wide range shades of meaning’
<i>kūj bār-</i> ‘to help, support’ ← <i>kūj</i> ‘strength, power’

(96) *pādišāh ḡazrātlāri ijāzāt bārdi uraz muhammād ḡānya* (f.154r/4–154v/1)  
 ‘His Majesty, the Padishah [Boris Godunov], **allowed** Uraz-Muhammed [to leave Moscow].’

(97) *andīn idigā beggā i’lām bārdilār* (f.143v/6)  
 ‘Because of that, they **notified** Edige Beg [about him].’

(98) *kōb kūj bārdi jīngiz ḡānya* (f.71r/9)  
 ‘[He] **helped to/supported** Genghis Khan a lot.’

Table 4.40. Examples of derivation with auxiliary verb *tab-* ‘to find’

<i>ādāb tab-</i> ‘to have good morals’ ← <i>ādāb</i> A ‘breeding, politeness’, ‘respectfulness, modesty’
<i>kuwwāt tab-</i> ‘to gain strength’ ← <i>kuwwāt</i> A ‘strength, power, greatness’
<i>šuhrāt tab-</i> ‘to become famous, earn fame’ ← <i>šuhrāt</i> A ‘famousness, glory’
<i>vāfāt tab-</i> ‘to die’ ← <i>vāfāt</i> A ‘death’
<i>zafūr tab-</i> ‘to achieve victory, conquer the field’ ← <i>zafūr</i> A ‘victory’

(99) *bu zamānda moyol arasīnda at atanīb šuhrāt tabtī* (f.10v/7–8)  
 ‘At this time, [they] were called out [their separate] names and **became famous** among the Monghols.’

(100) *bu üj oyli yašda vāfāt tabdīlar* (f.46r/2–3)

‘These three sons **died** young.’

(101) *zafär tabti* (f.98r/6)  
‘[He] **achieved the victory**.’

Table 4.41. Examples of derivation with auxiliary verb *koy-* ‘to put’

---

---

*at koy-* ‘to name, call’ ← *at* ‘name’  
*qadam koy-* ‘to step, tread’ ← *qadam* A ‘foot, sole, footstep’

---

---

(102) *ol vilāyätidin öz ordusiya taba qadam koydi* (f.62r/10)  
‘[He] **headed** towards his residence from that country.’

(103) *börte fujin (sic!) at koydi ärikän<sup>68</sup> ekä teb* (f.69r/4)  
‘[He] **called** Lady Börte ‘main (the first) mother.’’

Table 4.42. Examples of derivation with auxiliary verb *yibär-* ‘to send’

---

---

*qabär yibär-* ‘to report, send information’ ← *qabär* A ‘news, information, knowledge’

---

---

(104) *anı kelsun teb qabär yibärdi* (f.62r/5)  
‘He **reported**, “[He] shall come”.’

Table 4.43. Examples of derivation with auxiliary verb *tut-* ‘to take, hold’

---

---

*ta ziyat tut-* ‘to mourn for the dead’ ← *ta ziyat* A ‘mourning for the dead’

---

---

(105) *ta ziyat tutmañiz* (f.63v/5)  
‘**Do not mourn**.’

Table 4.44. Examples of derivation with auxiliary verb *ur-* ‘to strike’

---

---

*yüz ur~* ‘to face toward, turn towards’ ← *yüz* ‘face’

---

---

(106) *mäniñ süñägimni turyan şandūknü alib  
öz vilāyätiniñizya (sic!) yüz uruñuz* (f.63v/10–11)  
‘Take the chest with that contains my body  
and **turn towards** your country.’

### 2.4.2.3 Intransitive light verbs

<sup>68</sup> Mo *terigyn* ‘head; first, chief, foremost’ (Lessing 1960: 805).

In the *Compendium*, examples of analytic denominal verb derivation with the intransitive auxiliary light verb *bol-* ‘to be(come)’ can be divided into the following five groups. All of them result in intransitive verbs.

1. Arabic nouns with *bol-* (23)
2. Persian nouns with *bol-* (4)
3. Turkic nouns with *bol-* (3)
4. A Turkic noun with {+IIG} and with *bol-* (1)
5. A Turkic noun of Persian origin with {+IIG} and with *bol-* (1)
6. A Persian noun of Mongolic origin with {-mlš-ī} and with *bol-* (1)

### Arabic nouns with *bol-*

Table 4.45. Examples of intransitive auxiliary with *bol-*

<i>daf bol-</i>	‘to ward off’	← <i>daf</i>	A ‘driving/warning off, defence’
<i>yālib bol-</i>	‘to prevail, overcome’	← <i>yālib</i>	A ‘winner, victor’
<i>hāmilā bol-</i>	‘to become pregnant, get pregnant’	← <i>hāmilā</i>	A ‘pregnant’
<i>hāšil bol-</i>	‘to happen, occur’	← <i>hāšil</i>	A ‘resulting, result, effect’, ‘occurring’, ‘existing’
<i>hāžir bol-</i>	‘to be present’	← <i>hāžir</i>	A ‘present’, ‘resident’, ‘ready, prepared’
<i>hālāk bol-</i>	‘to die’	← <i>hālāk</i>	A ‘perishing, destruction, miserable death’
<i>jami bol-</i>	‘to gather, unite’	← <i>jami</i>	A ‘compendium’, ‘that collects, unites, brings together’, ‘embracing, containing, holding’
<i>maḡḡūr bol-</i>	‘to be conquered’	← <i>maḡḡūr</i>	A ‘conquered, defeated, oppressed’
<i>mājālī bol-</i>	‘to be strong, be powerful’, ‘to be able to resist’, ‘to be capable of opposing’	← <i>mājālī</i>	‘strong, powerful’
<i>mejāl</i>	‘strong, powerful’	← <i>mejāl</i>	A ‘power, ability, strength’, ‘possibility’ {-ī} P NN
<i>māšyūl bol-</i>	‘to be busy with something, be devoted to something’	← <i>māšyūl</i>	A ‘busy’
<i>māvjūd bol-</i>	‘to exist’	← <i>māvjūd</i>	A ‘existing’
<i>māvḡūf bol-</i>	‘to be stopped’, ‘to depend on a condition’	← <i>māvḡūf</i>	A ‘stopped’, ‘dependent’
<i>mufaṣṣal bol-un-</i>	‘to be explained in detail’	← <i>mufaṣṣal</i>	A ‘detailed, thorough, appropriately’
<i>muḡarrar bol-</i>	‘to be considered certain, take it for granted’	← <i>muḡarrar</i>	A ‘approved, appointed, determined’
<i>muḡirr bol-</i>	‘to confess, admit’	← <i>muḡirr</i>	A ‘who confess a fault’, ‘confessor’
<i>mu tārif bol-</i>	‘to make an admission, make acknowledgement’	← <i>mu tārif</i>	A ‘who confesses’, ‘confessor’, ‘confessing, acknowledging, admitting’
<i>muṭī bol-</i>	‘to obey’	← <i>muṭī</i>	A ‘obedient, subservient, conquered’
<i>sākin bol-</i>	‘to live, settle’	← <i>sākin</i>	A ‘living, dwelling’
<i>šāhīd bol-</i>	‘to be martyred’	← <i>šāhīd</i>	A ‘martyr’
<i>tābi bol-</i>	‘to be subdued’	← <i>tābi</i>	A ‘subordinate, subjugated, subject’
<i>tamām bol-</i>	‘to be completed, finished, ended’	← <i>tamām</i>	A ‘complete, finished’, ‘completion’
<i>vāfāt bol-</i>	‘to die’	← <i>vāfāt</i>	A ‘dearth’
<i>ziyādū bol-</i>	‘to thrive’	← <i>ziyādū</i>	A ‘increase’

(107) *illā jümlä aya wä ini jami' bolyaylar*  
*wä keñäšmiš' etkäylär* (f.99r/7–8)

‘Otherwise, let all elder and younger brothers **gather**  
and take counsel.’

(108) *mälk oyli bašibäk sulṭān bilän toquz sarī oylanlarī bilän jayat ḥaddında šühīd*  
*boldīlar* (f.150v/1–2)

‘Melik’s son, Bashibek Sultan, along with approximately nine of his sons, **were martyred** on the border of *Jagat*<sup>69</sup>.’

(109) *dotum mänän ol waķit[da] vüfāt bolub erdi* (f.29v/11)

‘Dotum Menen **had died** that time.’

### Persian nouns with *bol-*

Table 4.46. Examples of intransitive auxiliary with *bol-*

<i>judā bol-</i> ‘to be(come) separate’, ‘to part from a person’ ← <i>judā</i> P ‘separation, parting, parted’
<i>nā-bādīd bol-</i> ‘to disappear’ ← <i>nā-bādīd</i> P ‘invisible, vanished’
<i>paydā bol-</i> ‘to appear’ ← <i>paydā</i> P ‘visible, conspicuous, evident’
<i>rävān bol-</i> ‘to go, flow’ ← <i>rävān</i> P ‘going, flowing’

(110) *uraz muḥammād ḫān ol ibtidā säkiz yašında uluy babasī šiyay ḫāndin judā*  
*boldīlar* (f.153r/5–6)

‘As a beginning, when Uraz-Muhammed Khan was eight years old, he lost (lit. he was separate from) his grandfather Shigay Khan.’

(111) *wä anlar kim öz yurlarında olturub turur biligni ešitmäy*  
*anlarniḥ ḫālī mīsali taš bolyay köb suw astında qalyay*  
*yā kämālgä keltürmäy atқан oқ bolyay nā-bādīd bolyay* (f.91v/8–10)

‘And the situation of those who sit in their *yurts* and do not heed the *biligs* are resemble a stone [which] stays in deep water or like an arrow [which is] shot imperfectly and **disappear**.’

(112) *salur ya nī hār yārgä kelsä*  
*ķilīč wä čumaқ birlä rävān bolur erdi* (f.23r/9–10)

‘Salur, that is, whenever he went,

<sup>69</sup> This sentence belongs to the dastan of Uraz-Muhammed. Therefore, the event described in this part was connected to the Qazaq Khanate. Several explanations have been proposed for the term *Jagat*. One of them is that *Jagat* refers to Chagatai. This means that the people mentioned in example (108) died in the Chagatai Ulus. Vel’jaminov-Zernov believed that Togum Khan (Kazakh khan) died in a battle with the Chagataid. He considered the word *chagat* to be a shortening of *Chagatai*. As the Chagataids in the 16th century controlled only East Turkestan, he identified this battle with the Kazakh-Mogul battle in 1537. Judin expressed the opinion that *chagat* should be understood as the people in against whom Togum Khan died in battle. It is likely that the nomad camps of these people were located on the Siberian frontiers. Akimushkina regards *Chagat* as the name of the area where Togum Khan and 37 khans died (Abuseitova 1985: 46).

his sword and mace **sprang** in action.’

### Turkic nouns with *bol-*

Table 4.47. Examples of intransitive auxiliary with *bol-*

<i>basruḡ bol-</i> ‘to be covered’ ← <i>basruḡ</i> ‘pressed down, cover’ ← <i>bas-</i> ‘to press, oppress, make a surprise attack’ {-Ur-} CAUS {-(U)K} VN
<i>bir bol-</i> ‘to be united, rally’ ← <i>bir</i> ‘one’
<i>el bol-</i> ‘to submit to, surrender to’ ← <i>el</i>

The verb *el bol-* is problematic from a semantic perspective. Both Turkic and Mongolic words *el* exist. The original Turkic meaning was ‘a political unit organized and ruled by an independent ruler’; the closest English equivalent is ‘realm’. Later, it extended into ‘the community, the people of the realm’, and finally ‘country, province; people, community’ (Clauson 1972: 121). In Mongolic, this word means ‘accord, harmony, peace; union; ally’ (Lessing 1960: 306). The meaning of *el bol-* is, of course, consistent with ‘to submit to, surrender to’, but the realization can be different. It may imply ‘to be a people of khan, so to submit’ or ‘to establish (to be) a union relationship, form (to be) an alliance’, and consequently, ‘to submit’.

- (113) *nāčā anča hāzār taydīn murād ol turur kim*  
*sāniḡ taḡtīḡnī taḡḡa mīḡāl kīldīlar*  
*ya ‘nī taynī ḡadd tabāarak wā ta ‘ālā yārgā basruḡ kīlib*  
*taḡī sāniḡ dāvlātli taḡtīḡ māmlākātkā **basruḡ bolḡay*** (f.2v/8–11)

‘The meaning of so many thousand mountains is the following:  
they compared your throne to a mountain.

As the Blessed God – may He be exalted – covered the Earth with mountains,  
so your country **will be covered** by your royal throne.’

- (114) <*mārkit*> *ḡiḡgiz ḡānyā **el boldī*** (f.44r/1)  
‘<The Merkit tribe> **submitted** to Genghis Khan.’

### A Turkic noun with {+IIG} and with *bol-*

The {+IIG} is the most common NN derivational suffix in Turkic languages (Erdal 1991: 139; Johanson 2021a: 486). It derives adjectives from nouns with the meaning X + {+IIG} ‘something having an X’. In Chaghatay sources, {+IIG} has the forms {+IIG} <lyk> (lik, lig), but also possibly <lyḡ> (līḡ), as seen in (115), and <lyḡ> (līḡ), as seen in example (116). In example (116), the form *zaḡm* + {+IIG} ‘having a wound’ is used, instead of *zaḡm* + {+IIK} ‘woundness, meant to be wound’ or the like.

Table 4.48. Examples of intransitive auxiliary with *bol-*

---

*yaraliy bol-* ‘to get wounded’ ← *yaraliy* ‘wounded’ ← *yara* ‘wound, sore, cut, injury, hurt’  
 {+IIG} NN

---

(115) *idige beg yaraliy boldi* (f.145v/11)  
 ‘Edige Beg **got wounded.**’

#### A Turkic noun of Persian origin with {+IIG} and with *bol-*

Table 4.49. Examples of intransitive auxiliary with *bol-*

---

*zaxmlik bol-* ‘to get wounded’ ← *zaxm* P ‘wound, ulcer’ {+IIG} NN

---

(116) *kadir berdi xan ham zaxmlik boldi* (f.146r/1)  
 ‘Qadir Berdi Khan also **got wounded.**’

#### A Persian noun of Mongolic origin with {-mIš-ī} and with *bol-*

Table 4.50. Examples of intransitive auxiliary with *bol-*

---

*čirkamišt bol-* ‘to take pleasure, enjoy, have fun’ ← *čirka-* Mo ‘to take pleasure, enjoy, have fun’ {-mIš} PART.POST {-ī} P NN

---

(117) *payvästä aiška jirkamišt bolyaylar* (f.91r/8–9)  
 ‘[They] will always **enjoy** a pleasant life.’

#### 2.4.2.4 The other intransitive auxiliaries with more definable verbal meanings

Table 4.51. Examples of derivation with copular verb *er-* ‘to be’

---

*arzū er-* ‘to wish, aspire’ ← *arzū* P ‘wish, desire’  
*hayāt er-* ‘to live’ ← *hayāt* A ‘life’  
*hāžir er-* ‘to be present’ ← *hāžir* A ‘present’, ‘resident’, ‘ready, prepared’  
*judā er-* ‘to be(come) separate’, ‘to part from a person’ ← *judā* P ‘separation, parting, parted’  
*niyāzlik er-* ‘to pray’ ← *niyāz* P ‘request, entreaty, supplication’, ‘need, wish’ {+IIG} NN  
*ta yin er-* ‘to appoint’, ‘to decide, settle’ ← *ta yin* A ‘assignment, nomination, designation’  
*tā alluq er-* ‘to be attached to’ ← *tā alluq* A ‘attachment’, ‘a being or becoming related to or connected with, connection, relation’  
*zaxmlik er-* ‘to get wounded’ ← *zaxm* P ‘wound, ulcer’ {+IIG} NN

---

(118) *ol koylay xan zamanında hayāt erdi* (f.68v/8–9)  
 ‘He **lived** in the time of Qoylay Khan.’

(119) *barış fyodaravijniñ yarlıyı bilän ol zamän alba[y]ut häm anda **hüzir erdi*** (f.155r/6–7)

‘A boyar also **was present** there by the command of Boris Fyodorovich.’

(120) *haqqya **niyazlık erdi*** (f.19v/5)

‘[He] **prayed** to the Truth.’

(121) *bu läškär kadımdın bärü öz aralarında miñ ayasiniñ begi **ta yün erdi*** (f.75r/10–11)

‘From of old, this army **appointed** *beg of ming agas*<sup>70</sup> from within its ranks.’

Table 4.52. Examples of derivation with auxiliary verb *kel-* ‘to come’

---



---

*xoş kel-* ‘to please, like’ ← *xoş* P ‘delectable, delicious, desirable’

---

(122) *bu söz jingiz xānyā **xoş keldi*** (f.65v/1)

‘These words **pleased** Genghis Khan.’

Table 4.53. Examples of derivation with auxiliary verb *kal-* ‘to stay, remain’

---



---

*tul kal-* ‘to become a widow’ ← *tul* ‘widow’

---

(123) *andın alan **kua tul kaldı*** (f.26v/4)

‘Alan Qua **was widowed** after [her husband’s death].’

Table 4.54. Examples of derivation with other copular verbs

---



---

*hayāt tur-* ‘to live’ ← *hayāt* A ‘life’ + *tur-* ‘to stand’

---

(124) *ol vilāyätde bu ‘ahd-da **hayāt turur*** (f.153r/4–5)

‘[He] currently **lives** in that country.’

Despite the various examples of analytic denominal verb derivation using the transitive auxiliary verbs *kil-* ‘to do, make’ and *et-* ‘id.’, which are combined with simple nouns from Arabic, Persian, Turkic, and Mongolic, as well as derived nouns from Arabic, Persian, Turkic, Mongolic, and Chinese bases, nine verbs provide the same final result (without semantic difference) when the same noun is used with the interchangeable auxiliary verbs (verbal heads) *kil-* ‘to do, make’ and *et-* ‘id.’ See Table 4.55.

Table 4.55. Analytic verbs with different verbal heads (same meaning) but the same semantics of verbal unit

---



---

<i>kil-</i> ‘to do, make’	<i>et-</i> ‘id.’
---------------------------	------------------

---

<sup>70</sup> The title of *miñ ayasiniñ begi* was given to the commander of the ‘personal thousands of Genghis Khan’.

<i>hālāk kīl-</i> ‘to kill, cause to perish’	<i>hālāk e[t]-</i> ‘id.’
<i>ḥisāb kīl-</i> ‘to count’	<i>ḥisāb e[t]-</i> ‘id.’
<i>keḥāj kīl-</i> ‘to take counsel (together)’	1. <i>keḥāš et-</i> ‘id.’; 2. <i>keḥāšmišī et-</i> ‘id.’
<i>musaxḫar kīl-</i> ‘to subdue, subject’	<i>musaxḫar e[t]-</i> ‘id.’
<i>naṣiḥat kīl-</i> ‘to instruct’	<i>naṣiḥat et-</i> ‘id.’
<i>ṭalab kīl-</i> ‘to request, require’	<i>ṭalab et-</i> ‘id.’
<i>tamām kīl-</i> ‘to complete, finish’	<i>tamām et-</i> ‘id.’
<i>tāmājmišī kīl-</i> ‘to fight, struggle’	<i>tāmājmišī et-</i> ‘id.’
<i>yīraḳ kīl-</i> ‘to alienate, distance’	<i>yīraḳ et-</i> ‘id.’

Among the nine verbs, two have synthetic counterparts. For the verb ‘to count’, the form *ḥisāb-la-* is observed. However, it is attested only in the causative voice; see example (12). The verb ‘to take counsel (together)’ appears most frequently, occurring twice analytically with the light verb *kīl-* in *keḥāj kīl-* (see example (62)), twice analytically with the light verb *et-* in *keḥāš et-* (see example (90)) and *keḥāšmišī et-* (see example (88)), and synthetically with cooperative-reciprocal marker {-(I)š-} in *keḥā-š-* (see Table 4.66).

Moreover, the *Compendium* provides examples where the verb *kīl-* ‘to do, make’ is used both as an auxiliary verb and as a lexical verb in a similar context and vocabulary, i.e. *keḥāš kīl-* ‘to make decision’ and ‘to arrange counsel’, see examples (63) and (64), respectively.

Additionally, the combination of analytic verbs with the noun *soḥuryal* ‘gift, award’ in example (77) conveys the meaning ‘to favor, benefit, grant, bestow’. However, in certain cases, the verb *kīl-* ‘to do, make’ can also function synthetically as a lexical verb with the object *soḥuryal*, as demonstrated in example (35). These examples are related but pertain to distinct concepts: *soḥuryal kīl-* fits the definition of analytic derivation, while *soḥuryallar kīl-* presents the lexical verb *kīl-* with a direct object.

Furthermore, the *Compendium* attests to the analytic verb *soḥuryal kīl-*, which has a synonymous meaning to the analytic verb *siyuryamišī kīl-* ‘to favor, benefit, grant, bestow’; see example (72).

A pair of auxiliaries, *kīl-* ~ *et-*, generates significant interest because the final meanings of the analytic denominal verbs differ from each other. They are *inkār kīl-* ‘to retract’ and *inkār et-* ‘to refuse, deny’; see Table 4.56.

Table 4.56. Analytic verbs with different verbal heads (same meaning) and varying semantics of verbal unit

<i>kīl-</i> ‘to do, make’	<i>et-</i> ‘id.’
<i>inkār kīl-</i> ‘to retract’	<i>inkār et-</i> ‘to refuse, deny’



In analytic denominal verb derivation, the copulative verb *er-* ‘to be’ exhibits synonymous interpretations with other auxiliary verbs when the stems match the form, such as the intransitive auxiliary *bol-* ‘to be(come)’, the transitive auxiliary *ķil-* ‘to do’, and the copular verb *tur-* ‘to stop, stand’, see Tables 4.57–4.59. However, when the morphological structure of the stems differ, the meanings of the analytic verbs change, i.e. *niyāz e[t]-* ‘to make a request, entreat for, ask as a favor’ differs from *niyāzlik er-* ‘to pray’. Moreover, the verb *niyāz e[t]-* ‘to make a request, entreat for, ask as a favor’ lacks an equivalent construction of a finite verb with the auxiliary verb *ķil-*. However, the lexical verb *ķil-* appears in a construction where *niyazlar* functions as the direct object, as in the verbal unit *niyazlar ķil-* (f.156v/2).

When both the auxiliary verbs and the roots of the noun (with a difference in NN {+IIK}) are identical, the final meaning of the entire analytic verb remains unchanged, i.e. *mülāzämät ķil-* ‘to serve diligently’ and *mülāzämätlik ķil-* ‘id.’, *muwāfaqat ķil-* ‘to agree, consent’ and *muwāfaqatlik ķil-* ‘id.’

Table 4.57. Analytic verbs with different verbal heads (same meaning) but the same semantics of verbal unit

<i>er-</i> ‘to be’	<i>bol-</i> ‘to be(come)’
<i>hāzīr er-</i> ‘to be present’	<i>hāzīr bol-</i> ‘id.’
<i>judā er-</i> ‘to be(come) separate’, ‘to part from a person’	<i>judā bol-</i> ‘id.’
<i>zaḡmlīk er-</i> ‘to get wounded’	<i>zaḡmlīk bol-</i> ‘id.’

Table 4.58. Analytic verbs with different verbal heads (same meaning) but the same semantics of verbal unit

<i>er-</i> ‘to be’	<i>ķil-</i> ‘to do, make’
<i>ta ‘yīn er-</i> ‘to appoint’, ‘to decide, settle’	<i>ta ‘yīn ķil-</i> ‘id.’

Table 4.59. Analytic verbs with different verbal heads (same meaning) but the same semantics of verbal unit

<i>er-</i> ‘to be’	<i>tur-</i> ‘to stand’
<i>hayāt er-</i> ‘to live’	<i>hayāt tur-</i> ‘to live’

It is important to note that, in addition to the above-mentioned finite verbs, there are also various forms of non-finite verbs. This study solely focuses on finite verb forms and does not address non-finite forms.

### 2.4.3 Phraseological phrases and expressions

In addition to analytic denominal verb derivation, phraseological derivation is a highly productive way of forming verbs. They demonstrate how the meaning of the verbs depends on the whole phrase. The present section aims to identify and classify them.

The *Compendium* contains a number of phraseological phrases and expressions. I categorized them into (a) phraseological phrases with compound expressions, (b) phraseological phrases with suffixes of diathetic modifications, (c) phraseological phrases with case forms, and (d) pure phraseological expressions (phrasal verbs).

Table 4.60. Phraseological phrases with compound expressions

<i>da</i> ‘ <i>vā-yi kārāmātlik̄ k̄il-</i> ‘to make a prediction, predict the future’ ← <i>da</i> ‘ <i>vā</i> A ‘a pretention to a right’, <i>kārāmāt</i> pl. A ‘a miracle worked through the agency of a saint’ {+IIK} NN
<i>izhār-i vāk̄i</i> ‘ <i>k̄il-</i> ‘to announce what happened’ ← <i>izhār</i> A ‘discovery, explanation, testimony’, <i>vāk̄i</i> A ‘happening, occurring, falling’
<i>mubārāk bād k̄il-</i> ‘to congratulate’ ← <i>mubārāk</i> A ‘blessed, prosperous, happy’, <i>bād</i> P ‘wind, puff, air’
<i>mubārāk bādlik̄ k̄il-</i> ‘to congratulate’ ← <i>mubārāk</i> A ‘blessed, prosperous, happy’, <i>bād</i> P ‘wind, puff, air’ {+IIK} NN
<i>katl-i ‘ām k̄il-</i> ‘kill everyone, exterminate everyone’ ← <i>katl</i> A ‘killing, murdering’, ‘ <i>ām</i> A ‘common, folk, simple people’
<i>söz uzatmaḳlik̄ et-</i> ‘to drag the conversation, be verbose, be redundant’ ← <i>söz</i> ‘word’, <i>uzatmaḳlik̄</i> ← <i>uza-</i> ‘to long, long drawn out’ {-t-} CAUS {-mAK} VN {+IIK} NN

(125) *barḳa ḥāzīrlar ḫān ḥazrātlāriḳa (sic!) mubārāk bādlik̄ k̄ildīlar* (f.156r/1)  
 ‘All those present [people] **brought their congratulations** to His Highness, the Khan.’

(126) *ḵalayīrni ḵapti*  
*katl-i ‘ām k̄ildi* (f.29v/8)  
 ‘[Chinese troops] attacked Jalairids [by a sudden ride]  
 [and] **exterminated everyone.**’

(127) *toḡuz yili ramazān ayiniḡ on törtünḡi kūn tāriḡniḡ altī yüz yigirmi törtüdü öz ordusında (sic!) keltürdīlar*  
*izhār-i vāk̄i k̄ildīlar* (f.64r/2–4)  
 ‘They brought [Genghis Khan’s body] to his residence on the fourteenth day of the month of Ramadan in the Year of the Pig, in the six hundred twenty-fourth year, [and] **announced what happened** (Genghis Khan’s passing).’

Table 4.61. Phraseological phrases with suffixes of diathetic modifications

{-(I)l-}
<i>at taḵ-īl-</i> ‘to be called’ ← <i>at</i> ‘name’ + <i>taḵ-</i> ‘to fix, attach’
<i>māšrūḡ ayt-ul-</i> ‘to be explained’ ← <i>māšrūḡ</i> A ‘detailed, particular’ + <i>ayt-</i> ‘to say, tell’
{-(I)š-}
<i>ḥarb kel-iš-</i> ‘to be dragged into the battle’ ← <i>ḥarb</i> A ‘battle’ + <i>kel-</i> ‘to come’
<i>köñül kal-iš-</i> ‘to be disappointed in each other, be offended by each other’ ← <i>köñül</i> ‘heart with a wide range shades of meaning’ + <i>kal-</i> ‘to stay, remain’
{-DÜr-}

---

*ḥāmilä kel-tür-* ‘to give birth’ ← *ḥāmilä* A ‘pregnant’ + *kel-* ‘to come’

---

*īmān kel-tür-* ‘to give faith in God, believe, profess’ ← *īmān* A ‘faith, trust’ + *kel-* ‘to come’

---

*ḳul ḳil-dur-* ‘to make to serve’ ← *ḳul* ‘submission’ + *ḳil-* ‘to do, make’

---

**{-GUz-}**

---

*mu ‘ayyān yür-güz-* ‘to specify’ ← *mu ‘ayyān* A ‘defined, pointed out, designated’ + *yürü-* ‘to walk, march’

---

**{-(U)r-}**

---

*‘ömr káč-ür-* ‘to live’ ← *‘ömr* A ‘life’ + *káč-* ‘to pass (through), cross’

---

*ḳol ḳow-uš-ur-* ‘to cross the arms over [the chest]’ ← *ḳol* ‘arm, hand’ + *ḳow-* ‘to follow, pursue, chase’

---

Phraseological phrases with suffixes of diathetic modifications include the passive-reflexive-medial marker **{-(I)l-}** (128), the cooperative-reciprocal marker **{-(I)š-}** (129); and the causative markers **{-DUr-}** (130), **{-GUz-}** (131), and **{-(U)r-}** (132).

(128) *bu ma ‘nādin aḡa at taḳildī muḳur ḳuran teb* (f.70r/8–9)  
‘For this reason, he **was called** (lit. a name was attached) Muḳur Quran.’

(129) *bu sabbābdin anlar arasında köñül ḳalıštī* (f.43r/10–11)  
‘For this reason, they **went cold** on each other.’

(130) *ägär sän uluḡ ḡudāyya iḳrār ḳilsaḡ  
anıñ birligiñä īmān keltürsäñ  
säni alayim  
dost tutayim* (f.19r/6–7)

‘If you acknowledge the Almighty Lord,  
[and] if you **follow this communion**,  
I shall take you  
and I shall consider you as my friend.’

(131) *ümārā-yi uluḡ kim sārvar bolyay  
wä tamāmī läškāri anday ḳäräk kim  
näčük kim aḡya aḡlansa öz aḡlarıya mu ‘ayyān ḳilyay näčük kim  
uruška aḡlanyan tāk  
atī wä āwāzāsi öziniḡ mu ‘ayyān yürgüzgäy  
wä dā ‘im ḡudāy ta ‘ālādīn du ‘ā ḡayr tilägäy  
wä köñül baylab ārāyışni säkiz yaḡtīn tilägäy  
tā ḳuwwätni ḡudāy-i ḳadīm bir yärdä turub tört ḡaraf tutayay* (f.92v/9–93r/4)

‘Great amirs, who as a rule are commanders,  
as well as all the army must [be] so that  
they must define their own name when [they] go hunting that  
just as if they were going to fight.  
[They] must **specify** their own name and battle cry  
and [they] must request blessing from the Almighty Lord – may He be exalted –  
continuously.

And [they] must request an arrangement from the eight sides, setting hearts on [God], so that the Eternal Lord may cover the four sides with His power, standing in one place.

(132) *ramazān al-mu‘azzam ayında on bāsinji kün jümlä orus pādišāhi bariş fyodaraviñniñ hūkmi yarlıyi bilän oñda şolda qaraçu ‘azamät begläri olturub köjü köjü oram oram miltik andāzlar jālälät wä siyāsät bilän qol qowuşurub turur* (f.153v/3–7)

‘On the 15th day of *the venerable month of Ramadan*, by the command of Boris Fyodorovich, the Padishah of All Rus’, the great *qaraçu begs* were placed to the right and the left

[of Uraz Muhammed, who proceeded] through the streets [where] the gunners **stood with their arms crossed over [their chests]**, with majesty and severity.’

The expression *qol qowuşur-* is found in the *drevnetjurkskij slovar’* in the forms *qol qavuştur-* and *qavuşurur-*, meaning ‘сложить руки [для приветствия]’, ‘скрестить руки [на груди]’ (Nadeljaev et al. 1969: 438; 453), Boeschoten (2023: 255) notes this as a sign of respect.

Table 4.62. Phraseological phrases with case forms

<i>LOC</i>
<i>kaläm-dä kel-</i> ‘to be written on paper, be recorded’ ← <i>kaläm</i> A ‘pen’ {+DA} LOC + <i>kel-</i> ‘to come’
<i>DAT</i>
<i>‘ajab-ka kal-</i> ‘to be astonished, be amazed’ ← <i>‘ajab</i> A ‘astonishment, surprise, amazement’ {+(G)A} DAT + <i>kal-</i> ‘to stay, remain’
<i>‘ākil-ya kir-</i> ‘to be(come) aware’ ← <i>‘ākil</i> A ‘clever, smart, wise, prudent’ {+(G)A} DAT + <i>kir-</i> ‘to enter’
<i>biyük-kä çik-</i> ‘to be exalted’ ← <i>biyük</i> ‘high or highest in rank’ {+(G)A} DAT + <i>çik-</i> ‘to come out, go out’
<i>χānlik-ya oltur-</i> ‘to take power’ ← <i>χān</i> ‘padishah’ {+IIK} NN {+(G)A} DAT + <i>oltur-</i> ‘to sit’
<i>χānlik-ya oltur-yuz-</i> ‘to enthrone’ ← <i>χān</i> ‘padishah’ {+IIK} NN {+(G)A} DAT + <i>oltur-</i> ‘to sit’ {-GUz-} CAUS
<i>pādišāhlik-ya oltur-yuz-</i> ‘to enthrone’ ← <i>pādišāh</i> P ‘padishah’ {+IIK} NN {+(G)A} DAT + <i>oltur-</i> ‘to sit’ {-GUz-} CAUS
<i>pādišāhlik-ya oltur-t-</i> ‘to enthrone’ ← <i>pādišāh</i> P ‘padishah’ {+IIK} NN {+(G)A} DAT + <i>oltur-</i> ‘to sit’ {-t-} CAUS
<i>qayta qol-ya tüş-ür-</i> ‘to return’ lit. ‘to seize back’ ← <i>qayta</i> ‘back’ + <i>qol</i> ‘arm, hand’ {+(G)A} DAT + <i>tüş-ür-</i> ‘to let fall, cause to fall’ ← <i>tüş-</i> ‘to fall’ {-(U)r-} CAUS
<i>üy-i-n-ä tüş-ür-</i> ‘to marry’ lit. ‘to let host [a girl] into his house [as a bride]’ ← <i>üy</i> ‘house’ {+I} POSS3SG {+(G)A} DAT + <i>tüş-ür-</i> ‘to bring as a bride’ ← <i>tüş-</i> ‘to come as a bride’ {-(U)r-} CAUS

Phraseological phrases with case forms (in the nominals), as seen in Table 4.62, can sometimes include markers of diathetic modifications (in the verbs) within the verbal unit. However, these

markers are not listed in the table of phraseological phrases with suffixes of diathetic modifications (see Table 4.61), as they can function and convey meaning independently of grammatical voices.

(133) *ābā wā ājdād uraz muḥammād ḫānnīḡ barġa uruy qarındaşları bilän bir dāstānda **kalämdä keldi*** (f.152r/7–8)  
 ‘All the ancestors and great-grandfathers of Uraz-Muhammed Khan, along with his family [members], [including his] brothers and sisters **were recorded** in one story.’

(134) ‘*ākilya kirdi* (f.18r/4)  
 ‘[Oghuz Khan] **became aware** [person].’

(135) *här nä anlardın alib erdi yäni **qayta qolya tüşürdi*** (f.51r/10–11)  
 ‘[Four *ulugbegs* (great lords) and army] **returned** everything that [they] had [previously] taken from Ong Khan.’

Table 4.63. Phraseological expressions (phrasal verbs)

‘ <i>aklın saqla-</i> ‘to be a very reasonable’ ← ‘ <i>akl</i> A ‘mind, intellect’ {+I} POSS3SG {+n} ACC + <i>saqla-</i> ‘to protect’
<i>ayaq asti bol-</i> ‘to be under the authority’, ‘to surrender’ ← <i>ayaq</i> ‘leg, foot’ + <i>asti</i> ‘beneath’ + <i>bol-</i> ‘to be(come)’
<i>söz<sup>o</sup>n çıq-ma-</i> ‘not to go against’ ← <i>söz</i> ‘word’ {+D <sup>o</sup> n} ABL + <i>çiq-</i> ‘to go out’ {-mA-} NEG

(136) *duşmānına zafār tabīb nuşrāt kiliġin ġabīb çerkes bilän tatarya nāmāç köräl **boldi ayaq asti*** (f.4r/1–2)  
 ‘[He], defeating his enemies,  
 struck the Circassians and Tatars with his victorious sword.  
*Nemeç Korel*<sup>71</sup> **surrendered.**’

(137) *män ḫudāyni eşitkänim yok bilgänim yok wä lēkin sāniġ söziḡ<sup>o</sup>n çıqmayin wä fārmāniġä muġi bolayin sän nä desāḡ anı kılayin* (f.19r/8–10)  
 ‘I have absolutely not heard the Almighty Lord,  
 I have absolutely not known [Him],

<sup>71</sup> *Nemeç Körel* most likely refers to the military order ‘the Teutonic Knights of St. Mary’s Hospital in Jerusalem’, best known as the Teutonic Order (Seward 1995). In the *Compendium*, the term *Nemeç Körel* / *Nemeġ Körel* / *نمچ کورال* / *Nemeġ Körel* / *نمچ کورال* appears in example (136), instances on sheets (f.1v/6), (f.2r/9), and (f.142v/1). Similarly, in Abū’l-Ḥāzī’s *Shajara-i Turk* (Desmaisons 1970), the term appears as *Körel ve Nemeş* / *کورل و نمش*, with the conjunction “and” in several places (172/2–172/3), (180/4), (180/12). Although these examples are not numerous, their occurrence in two different Turkic texts supports the idea that *Nemeç Körel* / *نمچ کورال* / *Nemeġ Körel* / *نمچ کورال* and *Körel ve Nemeş* / *کورل و نمش* represent a single concept consisting of two words, rather than a sequential enumeration of two concepts (*Nemeç* ‘Polish’, and *Körel* ‘king’).

but **I promise not to go against** (lit. not go out) your words  
and [instead] obey your order.  
May I do whatever you say.’

(138) *ägär šul yärdin çikib kelsä*  
*ādab tabyay*  
*wä ‘aqlin saklayay* (f.99r/6–7)

‘When/If he comes out of there,  
[he] will have good morals  
and **will be very reasonable.**’

#### 2.4.4 Deverbal verb derivation

The stem of a word refers to its form without inflectional affixes. There are two categories of stems: simple and complex. Simple structures are referred to as roots, while attaching a morpheme to roots transforms them into stems (Booij 2005: 28). In the *Compendium*, deverbal verb stems are synthetically formed by incorporating actional and diathetic markers, which occupy the space between the base of the verb and the slot of negation (Erdal 1991: 523), where negation is not included. Kempf provides a very precise definition of the derivation. In simpler terms, derivation examines how new words are made by adding affixes to existing words, whereas inflection explores the different forms that words can take based on their grammar. When a word undergoes derivation, it acquires a new meaning (Kempf 2013: 44).

##### 2.4.4.1 Actional markers

*Actional markers*, or markers of actionality, play a crucial role in indicating the development and transformation of events over time (Erdal 2004: 248). Positioned after the primary stem in the morpheme chain, they serve to modify the action by expressing qualities such as intensiveness, iteration, acceleration, systematicity, or periodicity. It is noteworthy that actional markers are predominantly unproductive and having been replaced by postverbal constructions (Johanson 2021a: 582).

When examining the members within the category of action types, it becomes evident that each member describes an event that displays significant differences compared to the other members. Derived types of actionality establish grammatical nominal cases as their base forms and inherit either transitivity or intransitivity (Erdal 1991: 523).

The *Compendium* contains no examples of synthetically derived actionality.

##### 2.4.4.2 Diathetic modifications

Diathetic modifications, also known as voices, represent the interaction between the participants in an action and their respective roles within it (Erdal 2004: 228). In the derivational chain, they occupy position after actionality. Verb stems that do not have diathetic markers can function as both transitive and intransitive. Diathetic modifications include passive-reflexive-medial, cooperative-reciprocal, and causative suffixes (Johanson 2021a: 583–584).

#### 2.4.4.2.1 Passive-reflexive-medial Stems

Passive-reflexive-medial stems are synthetic devices derived from the suffixes {-I}l- and {-I}n- in the *Compendium*. They were described by Johanson (2021a: 584) as follows:

“The passive voice is taken to indicate that the referent of the second argument of the base verb is the undergoer of the action.

The reflexive voice indicates that the referent of the first argument of the base verb is identical to that of the second argument, i.e. the agent is coreferential with the undergoer.

The medial voice indicates that the first argument of the base is identical to the beneficiary”.

According to Eckmann (1966: 72), the {-I}l- suffix serves as a marker for passive or medial voice constructions, whereas the {-I}n- suffix denotes reflexive or medial voice. Bodrogligeti (2001: 160–162) observes that passive stems are typically created by adding the {-I}l- suffix, except for stems ending in -l, -r, and monosyllabic stems with vowels, which instead use the suffix {-I}n-. Furthermore, according to the analysis of Bodrogligeti, the reflexive or medial voice stems are identical to the passive stems. Boeschoten (2022: 168) notes that passives in Chaghatay form by adding the suffix {-I}l-, while reflexive and medial forms are derived using the {-I}n- suffix. In a study on the *Compendium*, Xisamieva (2022: 62) states that {-I}l- represents the passive voice, while {-I}n- signifies the reflexive or middle voice.

However, according to Johanson (2021a: 584–588), there is no clear one-to-one correspondence between the forms {-I}n- and {-I}l- and the passive and reflexive meanings, respectively. This observation aligns with the findings in the *Compendium*. According to current research, passive-reflexive-medial stems are presented by the {-I}l- and {-I}n- markers in the *Compendium*; see Tables 4.64 and 4.65, respectively. Furthermore, in the case of the intransitive analytic formations with the light verb *kil-* ‘to do, make’, the marker {-I}n- is expressed as passive; see example (146).

Johanson (2021a: 584) highlights that passive markers cannot precede causative markers. This statement is supported by the instances found in the current research, although not

completely, since the *Compendium* is limited in vocabulary. Only two examples of compound markers with passive-reflexive-medial forms are found. The verb *koš-ul-uš-* in example (140) utilizes the cooperative-reciprocal marker {- (I)š-}, preceded by the passive-reflexive-medial marker {- (I)l-}. The verb *koš-ul-uš-* means ‘to be joined to each other, be united to each other’ and is derived from *koš-ul* ‘to be joined, be united’, which is based on *koš-* ‘to join, unite’. This follows the order of (1) base, (2) passive-reflexive-medial, and (3) cooperative-reciprocal, resulting in (1) *koš-* ‘to join’, (2) *koš-ul-* ‘to be joined’, and (3) *koš-ul-uš-* ‘to be joined to each other’.

In the second attested example, *baḳ-în-dîr-* ‘to subjugate, conquer’. The passive-reflexive-medial diathetic formation {- (I)n-} precedes the causative marker; see example (144). This verb can be literally translated as ‘to make obey’, ‘to force to submit’, with the stem order being (1) base, (2) passive-reflexive-medial, and (3) causative, resulting in (1) *baḳ-* ‘to obey somebody’, (2) *baḳ-în-* ‘to obey somebody’ (3) *baḳ-în-dîr-* ‘to make obey’, thus meaning ‘to subjugate’, ‘to conquer’. Interestingly, in this case, the reflexive formation does not significantly change the meaning, and the result of the verb *baḳ-în-dîr-* ‘to subjugate’, ‘to conquer’ can be considered synonymous with *baḳ-tur-* ‘id.’. This is the only instance of a compound marker with passive-reflexive-medial and causative formations found in the *Compendium*.

### {-(I)l-}

In EOT, the marker {- (I)l-} forms unseparated passive-reflexive-medial verbs. The marker {- (I)n-} is used instead when attached to a final consonant *l* (Johanson 2021a: 585).

Johanson (2021a: 585–586) notes that while the marker {- (I)l-} primarily serves as a passive marker, it can also function as a reflexive-medial marker.

Passive verbs are characterized by having the subject as the object of the action. A verb is considered passive when the subject is portrayed as not taking any initiative in the event. The {- (I)l-} suffix (Erdal 1991: 651) is a common and straightforward method for forming passive verbs, as shown in examples (139)–(140).

(139) *pādišāh bariṣ fyodaravičniṣ tuz ötmäk ‘adli ḥaḳḳi üjün bitildi* (f.157r/8)

‘[This chronicle] **was written** for the sake of the fair right of “bread and salt” of the sovereign Boris Fyodorovich.’

(140) *ṣul läškärlärdin turur kim rūs wä järkäs wä kibjāk wä mājār andin öngin häm anlarya ḳoşuluşılar* (f.77v/10–78r/1)

‘They **were joined to each other** from the troops of Russian, Circassian, Kipchak, Majar, as well as other [peoples].’



In example (141), the passive reading of the marker {-I}l- is not clear. It can be interpreted as passive, which means ‘they parted from each other (by somebody else)’, or it can be interpreted as reflexive, meaning ‘they parted from each other (by themselves)’.

Furthermore, the verb *ayr-ül-* ‘to be separated, be parted’, ‘to break away from’ is derived from the base form *ayir-* ‘to separate, part’, which lacks a clearly attested base, similar to other forms found for numerous causative and passive-reflexive-medial derivatives, as noted by Johanson (2021a: 595). Thus, this *ayir-* ‘to separate, part’ functions as a non-separable transitive stem and, as a result, is no longer causative in the *Compendium*.

(141) *här zamānda här uruydın här birisidin birär birär uruy bolub  
bir birisidin ayrıldılar* (f.10r/11–10v/2)

‘In each of the times, new and new clans emerged from each [of the existing] clans, and [therefore] they **were parted** from each other.’

Table 4.64. Examples of the passive-reflexive-medial marker {-I}l- }

<b>etymological stems found independently in the corpus</b>
<i>[N] koy-ül-</i> ‘to be V-ed’ ← <i>koy-</i> ‘to V’
<i>ayt-ül-</i> ‘to be said’ ← <i>ayt-</i> ‘to say’
<i>bär-ül-</i> ‘to be given’ ← <i>bär-</i> ‘to give’
<i>biti-l-</i> ‘to be written’ ← <i>biti-</i> ‘to write’
<i>buz-ul-</i> ‘to be destroyed, be damaged’ ← <i>buz-</i> ‘to destroy, damage’
<i>kötär-ül-</i> ‘to be lifted’ ← <i>kötär-</i> ‘to lift’
<i>mäsrüh ayt-ul-</i> ‘to be explained’ ← <i>mäsrüh ayt-</i> ‘to explain’ ← <i>mäsrüh</i> ‘commented’, ‘the aforesaid’ + <i>ayt-</i> ‘to say, tell’
<i>tab-ül-</i> ‘to be found’ ← <i>tab-</i> ‘to find’
<i>yaz-ül-</i> ‘to be written’ ← <i>yaz-</i> ‘to write’
<i>yüy-ül-</i> ‘to assemble’ ← <i>yüy-</i> ‘to gather, collect, assemble’
<b>etymological stems not found independently in the corpus</b>
<i>aç-ül-</i> ‘to be open’ ← <i>aç-</i> ‘to open’
<i>ayr-ül-</i> ‘to be separated, be parted’, ‘to break away from’ ← <i>ayir-</i> ‘to separate, part’ ← * <i>ad-</i>
<i>koş-ul-</i> ‘to be joined, be united’ ← <i>koş-</i> ‘to conjoin, unite’
<i>koş-ul-uş-</i> ‘to be joined to each other, be united to each other’ ← <i>koş-</i> ‘to join, unite’
<i>sağ-ül-</i> ‘to be scattered, be sprinkled’ ← <i>sağ-</i> ‘to scatter, sprinkle’
<i>tağ-ül-</i> ‘to get stuck’ ← <i>tağ-</i> ‘to fasten’
<i>yik-ül-</i> ‘to collapse, fall down’ ← <i>yik-</i> ‘to overthrow, demolish, destroy’

### {-(I)n-}

Reflexive markers indicate that the action stays within the sphere of the initial referent, with no external association. The initial referent can serve as the target of the action (‘reflexive’), the

originator of the action without a designated target, or the recipient of the action (‘medial’), where the verb can govern direct actions performed for one’s benefit (Johanson 2022a: 48). In the *Compendium*, the passive-reflexive-medial formation is represented by the {-I)n-} suffix. Earlier Erdal (1991: 584) described this derivational suffix {-I)n-} as reflexive verbs (“the subject represents both the agent and the object of the action”), medial verbs (“the action is carried out with respect to or for the benefit of the subject”), and anti-transitive or recessive (“presentation of an action as emanating from the subject itself”).

(142) *ol s̄abābdin j̄ingiz χānni oyul ukunyan erdi*<sup>72</sup> (sic!) (f.42r/7) REFL  
 ‘For this reason, he **has recognized** Genghis Khan as a son.’

(143) *bu kayduniñ üj oyli eki* (sic!) *bölündi* (f.31v/5) REFL  
 ‘Three sons of this Qaydu **split (themselves)** up into two (parties).’

(144) *χitay vilāyätidän anja vilāyätlär bakündirib erdi* (f.60r/5–6) REFL  
 ‘He **has subjugated** lands out of so many provinces of China.’

(145) *müddät-i soñ başına buktak salindi* (f.131r/11) PASS  
 ‘After a while, a buktak<sup>73</sup> was put **on** her head.’

(146) *soñ zamānda äwwäl kim yād k̄ilindi*  
*j̄ingiz χān oylanlariya läškär bölüb bardi* (f.154r/6–7) PASS  
 ‘In recent times, it **is mentioned** that  
 Genghis Khan had divided troops among his sons.’

Table 4.65. Examples of the passive-reflexive-medial marker {-I)n-}

---

**etymological stems found independently in the corpus**

---

*[N] k̄il-ün-* PASS ‘to be V-ed’ ← *[N] k̄il-* ‘to V something’

---

*ata-n-* ‘to be named, be called’, ‘to be famous’ ← *ata-* ‘to call out (someone’s name)’, ‘to nominate to a pose’, ‘to betroth’

---

*bağ-ün-dir-* ‘to subjugate, conquer’, lit. ‘to make obey, force to submit’ ← *bağ-* ‘to obey somebody’

---

*böl-ün-* ‘to be divided, split up’ ← *böl-* ‘to divide (into shares), separate, distinguish’

---

*kör-ün-* ‘to be visible, appear’, lit. ‘to let oneself be seen’ ← *kör-* ‘to see’, ‘to experience’, ‘to look to, obey’

---

*sal-ün-* ‘to put on oneself’ ← *sal-* ‘to put’, ‘to built’

---

*sağ-la-n-* ‘to protect oneself’ ← *sağla-* ‘to watch over guard, protect’

---

**etymological stems not found independently in the corpus**

---

*ağla-n-* ‘to set out, march against, stride’ ← *ağla-* ‘to stride’

---

*sağ-ün-* ‘to beware, be cautious, take care of oneself’ ← *sağ-* ‘probably an emphatic form of verb in -k- from sa-, replaced at an early date by *sakin-* (Clauson 1972: 804)’

---

*siy-ün-* ‘to shelter, protect, take care of oneself, be cautious, be on one’s guard against’ ← *siy-* ‘to fit into something’ with various metaphorical meanings

---

<sup>72</sup> K: *okuyan erdi* (f. 16v/9).

<sup>73</sup> Mongolian female headdress.

<i>tügä-n-</i> ‘to come to an end, be exhausted’ ← <i>tügä-</i> ‘to come to an end, finish’
<i>uķ-un-</i> ‘to treat like, perceive as’ ← <i>uķ-</i> ‘to understand, find out, hear’
<i>yumala-n-</i> ‘to turn round, revolve’, ‘to roll over, fall down’ ← <i>yumala-</i> ‘to role, slide’, ‘to knead (dough) into a ball’
<i>yüz-lä-n-</i> ‘to face toward, turn towards’ ← <i>yüzlä-</i> ‘to bring about a meeting’

The collected data demonstrate that the verbs derived analytically using the {-I)n-} suffix in the *Compendium* are often combined with the light verb *ķil-* ‘to do, make’. Clauson (1972: 623) observed that the derivational form *ķil-in-* functions as a reflexive verb, although it is commonly used in a passive sense, meaning ‘to be made, created’; see example (146). However, while passive interpretation is generally the most common and natural in many cases of Turkic languages, the {-n-} marker in many languages, both old and more recent, derives unseparated passive-reflexive-medial verbs when attached to vowel-final stems (Johanson 2021a: 585). Therefore, it can be observed that the {-I)n-} marker in the *Compendium* serves as a passive-reflexive-medial marker.

#### 2.4.4.2 Cooperative-reciprocal stem

The cooperative-reciprocal voice is a diathetic modification that involves the participation of multiple individuals performing the same action, either working together or competing with each other in various directions, such as on, towards, by, against, for, etc. The suffix {-I)š-} forms it. Verbs with the suffix {-I)š-} describe events influenced by collective participation. Otherwise, the speaker can simply use the plural form (Erdal 1991: 552). Verbs modified with cooperative-reciprocal markers typically convey the meanings ‘to do together’, ‘to complete in doing’, ‘to cooperate in doing’ (Johanson 2021a: 588). The cooperative-reciprocal marker {-I)š-} is derived from the meaning ‘to do something to one another’ and transforms the transitivity of the verb stem from transitive to intransitive. For example, the transitive verb *ķučak-la-* ‘to embrace something’ in example (147) is transformed into an intransitive verb using the cooperative-reciprocal form {-I)š-} *ķučak-la-š-* ‘to embrace one another’.

(147) *ol ǰalwatda*<sup>74</sup> *bu sözlärni tamām ķilyan [soŋ]*  
*här eki oyli bilän bir biri bilän **ķučaklaštılar*** (f.89v/2–3)

‘In this secret meeting, after finishing this speech,  
they **embraced** one another with each one of his two sons.’

<sup>74</sup> † *ǰalatda*.

Johanson (2021a: 588) noted that in Turkic languages, cooperative-reciprocal forms are frequently created by adding the suffix {+IA-} to the base. In the *Compendium*, there are indeed instances where the cooperative-reciprocal marker {-(I)š-} is derived from the denominal verb stem with the {+IA-} suffix, as illustrated in example (147). Furthermore, there are synthetic examples where the cooperative-reciprocal marker {-(I)š-} is followed by the causative marker {-DUr-}, as observed in examples (148)–(150).

(148) *bir xilāf oŋ xān bilān bir bolub  
fitnālār koyušturdī* (f.49r/3–4)

‘[Because of] the disagreement [that arose with Genghis Khan,] they rallied with Ong Khan and **revolted** (lit. atrocities **were appeared**).’

(149) *tā bir ay pīškāšlār yarašturdī* (f.63r/9)

‘He **set in order** [suitable] offerings during a month.’

(150) *bu jayan wä ulay suwisun šol ‘ajämdin ošbunuŋdek ol ordalarya ol hazāraya bu  
üläštürür erdi* (f.65v/2–4)

‘This Chagan **was responsible for dividing** (lit. **made to divide**) the livestock’s water between the hordes [of Genghis Khan and his] thousandth guard, starting from Persia until there.’

Johanson (2021a: 582) discusses a special type of intensive marker that resembles a combination of the cooperative-reciprocal marker {-(I)š-} and the causative marker {-DUr-}. He provides examples from contemporary languages, such as Turkish. For instance, the word <ara|ş|tır-> ‘to investigate’ is derived from <ara-> ‘to search’, and <koş|u|ş|tur-> ‘to run about’ is derived from <koş-> ‘to run’ both expressing intensivity in action. However, the combination of the cooperative-reciprocal marker {-(I)š-} and the causative marker {-DUr-} in the *Compendium* does not exhibit the same synthetic actionality.

There are notable examples of phraseological expression verbs that combine the cooperative-reciprocal suffix {-(I)š-} with the causative suffix {-(U)r-}. The verb in the phraseological expression *kol kow-uš-ur-* ‘to cross the arms over [the chest]’, mentioned in example (132), is derived from the noun *kol* ‘arm, hand’ and the verb *kow-* ‘to follow, pursue, chase’. It incorporates both the cooperative-reciprocal formation {-(I)š} and the causative {- (U)r-}.

Furthermore, it is interesting to observe that the intransitive verb *uyu-* ‘to clot, curdle’, originally meaning ‘to sleep’, is expressed in the *Compendium* with the cooperative-reciprocal marker but it still retains its active voice.

(151) *hulqumumda kan uyuşub erdi* (f.101v/1–2)  
 ‘The blood in my throat **clotted**.’

Table 4.66. Examples of cooperative-reciprocal markers {-(I)ş-}

<b>etymological stems found independently in the corpus</b>
<i>harb kel-iş-</i> ‘to be dragged into the battle with each other’ ← <i>harb kel-</i> ‘to be dragged into the battle’
<i>χūşūmat kıl-iş-</i> ‘to antagonize each other, feud with one another’ ← <i>χūşūmat kıl-</i> ‘to have a feud, be at war, conflict’
<i>käl-iş-</i> ‘to come to an agreement’ ← <i>käl-</i> ‘to come’
<i>könjül kal-iş-</i> ‘to be disappointed in each other, be offended by each other’ ← <i>könjül kal-</i> ‘to be disappointed, be offended’
<i>koy-uş-tur-</i> ‘to be increased, appear’ ← <i>koy-</i> ‘to put (down)’ {-DUr-} CAUS
<i>maşāff kıl-iş-</i> ‘to fight each other on the battlefield’ ← <i>maşāff kıl-</i> ‘to fight on the battlefield’
<i>kol kow-uş-ur-</i> ‘to cross the arms over [the chest]’ ← <i>kol</i> ‘arm, hand’ + <i>kow-</i> ‘to follow, pursue, chase’ {-(U)r-} CAUS
<i>kow-uş-</i> ‘to pursue each other’ ← <i>kow-</i> ‘to follow, pursue, chase’
<i>ur-uş-</i> ‘to fight, battle’ ← <i>ur-</i> ‘to strike’
<i>yät-iş-</i> ‘to reach, overtake’ ← <i>yät-</i> ‘to arrive, reach, overtake’
<i>yüyür-üş-</i> ‘to run together’ ← <i>yüyür-</i> (sic!) ‘to run’
<b>etymological stems not found independently in the corpus</b>
<i>keñä-ş-</i> ‘to take counsel (together)’ ← <i>keñä-</i> ‘to settle one’s affairs’
<i>kar-iş-</i> ‘to mix with one another’ ← <i>kar-</i> ‘to mix’
<i>koş-ul-uş-</i> ‘to be joined to each other, be united to each other’ ← <i>koş-</i> ‘to join, unite’
<i>kuçaqla-ş-</i> ‘to embrace one another’ ← <i>kuçaqla-</i> ‘to embrace’
<i>ülä-ş-tür-</i> ‘to make someone(s) to divide something among themselves’ ← <i>ülä-</i> ‘to divide into shares and distribute’
<i>uyu-ş-</i> ‘to clot, curdle’, ‘to sleep’ ← <i>udi-</i> ‘to clot, curdle’, ‘to sleep’ (Clouston 1972: 42)
<i>yayıla-ş-</i> ‘to antagonize each other, feud with one another’ ← <i>yayıla-</i> ‘to feud, antagonize’
<i>yara-ş-</i> ‘to make peace’, ‘to agree’, ‘to be suitable, fit’ ← <i>yara-</i> ‘to be suitable’, ‘to benefit’, ‘to be worthy of’
<i>yara-ş-tur-</i> ‘to set in order, make ready’ ← <i>yara-</i> ‘to be suitable’, ‘to benefit’, ‘to be worthy of’ {-DUr-} CAUS

#### 2.4.4.2.3 Causative stems

The causative derivational suffix shows that someone other than the initiator causes an event or action. Therefore, causative forms indicate how one participant in an action causes or enables another participant(s) to perform the action expressed by the base verb. They convey the meaning of ‘to make/let/cause/get someone to act’ (Johanson 2021a: 589). The *Compendium* exhibits an extensive range of causative markers, including {-DUr-} ~ {-Dir-}, {-GUr-} ~ {-GUz-} ~ {-GAz-}/{-GAR-}, {-Ur-} ~ {-ir-}, {-Ar-}, {-t-}, as observed in Tables 4.67 to 4.75.

**{-DUr-} ~ {-Dir-}**

The causative marker {-DUR-} ~ {-DİR-} is the most widely used causative marker in the *Compendium*. Examples (152)–(155) illustrate its exclusively attachment to bases ending in a consonant.

(152) *manşur begni baraq xān öltürdi* (f.144r/5)  
 ‘Baraq Khan **killed** Mansur Beg.’

It is interesting to note that the intransitive verb *kel-* ‘to come’ is transitivized by the causative verb suffix {-DUR-} in the derived verb *kel-tür-* ‘to bring’, originally conveying the sense of ‘to cause to come’, as seen in example (153). However, in the phraseological expression *īmān kel-tür-* ‘to give faith in God, believe’, ‘to profess’, ‘to follow the communion’, which is formed from the Arabic *īmān* ‘faith, trust’ and Turkic *kel-* ‘to come’ and literally means ‘to bring the faith’, the intransitive meaning remains, as seen in example (130).

(153) *vilāyāt-i taṅkutni jabīb  
 bisiyār āsīr keltürdi* (f.55v/9)  
 ‘[Genghis Khan] attacked the land of Tangut  
 and **brought** captives (lit. **made** captives **come**).’

The next verb featuring the causative marker {-DUR-}, *ay-tur-* ‘to betroth’, is derived from the verb *ay-* ‘to say, declare’ and literally conveys the sense of ‘to make someone say’. The origin of this derivation can be traced back to the traditions of the Turkic peoples, when the betrothals were usually agreed upon through the verbal agreements between families.

(154) *äwwäl anī oṅ xānniṅ oylī sāngun anīṅ oylī tusan buqaya ayturur erdi* (f.43r/8–10)  
 ‘At first, [he] **betrothed** her to Tusan Buqa, the son of Sengun, grandson of Ong Khan.’

Table 4.67. Examples of causative marker {-DUR-}

<b>etymological stems found independently in the corpus</b>
<i>ay-tur-</i> ‘to arrange a match’, lit. ‘to force to say’ ← <i>ay-</i> ‘to say, declare’
<i>āzmāyiš et-tür-</i> ‘to order to test, order to subject to examination’ ← <i>āzmāyiš</i> P ‘experiment, proof, trial’
<i>baq-tur-</i> ‘to make obey’ ← <i>baq-</i> ‘to obey (someone), look to’
<i>bas-tur-</i> ‘to give order to crush’ ← <i>bas-</i> ‘to press, crush, oppress’
<i>bil-dür-</i> ‘to bring to know’ ← <i>bil-</i> ‘to know’
<i>hisāb kıl-dur-</i> ‘to make to count’ ← <i>hisāb kıl-</i> ‘to count, plan, think out’
<i>īmān kel-tür-</i> ‘to give faith in God, believe, profess’, lit. ‘to bring the faith’ ← <i>īmān</i> A ‘faith, trust’ + <i>kel-</i> ‘to come’
<i>ḵap-tur-</i> ‘to make (a horse) gallop’ ← <i>ḵap-</i> ‘to gallop, run’
<i>kel-tür-</i> ‘to bring, make to come’ ← <i>kel-</i> ‘to come’

<i>musaxxar kıl-dur-</i> ‘to capture’ lit. ‘to cause to be subdued’ ← <i>musaxxar kıl-</i> ‘to subdue, subject, place under the command’
<i>öl-tür-</i> ~ <i>öl-dür-</i> ‘to kill’ ← <i>öl-</i> ‘to die’
<i>kow-dur-</i> ‘to make chase’ ← <i>kow-</i> ‘to follow, chase’
<i>koy-uş-tur-</i> ‘to be increased, appear’ ← <i>koy-</i> ‘to put (down)’ {-I}š-} RECIPIENT
<i>sal-dur-</i> ‘to order to build’ ← <i>sal-</i> ‘to build’, ‘to put’
<i>sın-dur-</i> ‘to defeat, break’ ← <i>sın-</i> ‘to be broken’
<i>şart kıl-dur-</i> ‘to force to make conditions’ ← <i>şart kıl-</i> ‘to make conditions’
<i>tābi kıl-dur-</i> ‘to capture’, lit. ‘to cause to be subdued’ ← <i>tābi kıl-</i> ‘to subdue’
<i>tol-dur-</i> ‘to fill’ ← <i>tol-</i> ‘to be(come) full’
<i>ur-dur-</i> ‘to order to beat’ ← <i>ur-</i> ‘to beat’
<b>etymological stems not found independently in the corpus</b>
<i>oz-dur-</i> ‘to let to outstrip’ ← <i>oz-</i> ‘to outstrip’
<i>yara-ş-tur-</i> ‘to set in order, make ready’ ← <i>yara-</i> ‘to be suitable’, ‘to be worthy of’ {-I}š-} RECIPIENT

Despite the historical usage of the {-tUr-} form for causative in Old Turkic, as evidenced by Erdal (1991: 709), the PON (Danka 2019a: 200), Caghatay (Eckmann 1966: 71; Boeschoten 2022: 169), and Middle Kipchak (Berta & Csató 2022: 156) employed the {-DUr-} form. The data from *Compendium* reveals the emergence of the {-Dür-} form, demonstrating an alternation with an unrounded vowel with just two documented examples. This finding is noteworthy, particularly considering that the modern Kazakh (Muhamedowa 2016: 214–215; Abish 2022: 343) and Tatar (Berta 2022: 310) exclusively employ the {-Dür-} form.

The causative marker {-Dür-} functions as an alternative form to the {-DUr-} marker. Table 4.68 demonstrates that it is attested only in *t ~ d* forms and only in a back vocalic environment. Based on evidence from old written texts, it is clear that {-Dür-} emerged at a later stage. This could potentially explain its sporadic occurrence in two examples in the *Compendium*. A process of delabialization likely caused its emergence.

In example (155), the causative marker {-Dür-} combines with the optative marker {-GAy}. The verb *attiryay* translates as ‘must let to shoot’.

(155) *ümārā-yi lāškār soñ anday kāräk kim*  
*oylanlariya oq attiryay*  
*aṭka japturyay*  
*tutuşmakni yaxşı bildürgäy*  
*anlarni munuñdek işdü äzmäyiş et[t]ürgäy*  
*wä anday bolıyay bolıyay*  
*mäşxūr wä bahādūr bolub yürügäylär (f.95r/9–95v/1)*

‘The *amirs* of the army must [be] so that [they] **must let** their sons **to shoot** arrows, [they] must let [their sons] ride horse. And they must properly teach wrestling.’

They must test them in this sort of assays/skills.  
 It must be that/in the following way:  
 they shall live being a famous [man] and a hero.’

One more example of the causative marker {-DİR-} can be found in the verb *bağ-în-dİR-* ‘to subjugate, conquer’. In this case, the causative marker {-DİR-} follows the passive-reflexive-medial marker {-(I)n-}, as seen in example (144).

Table 4.68. Examples of causative marker {-DİR-}, where the etymological stems are found independently in the corpus

<i>bağ-în-dİR-</i> ‘to subjugate, conquer’, lit. ‘to make obey, force to submit’, ← <i>bağ-</i> ‘to obey (someone), look to’ {-(I)n-} REFL.
<i>at-tİR-</i> ‘to order to throw, order to shoot’ ← <i>at-</i> ‘to throw, shoot’

The causative marker {-DUr-} ~ {-DİR-} is realized as *-dur-*, *-dİR-*, *-tur-*, *-tİR-* ~ *-dir-*, *-tİR-*. They are attested after one-, two-, and three-syllabic stems.

The causative marker {-dUr-} occurs after consonant-final stems ending in *-l*, *-r*, *-n*, *-z*, *-w*, while the alternation in the marker {-tUr-} occurs after consonant-final stems ending in *-l*, *-t*, *-s*, *-š*, *-k*, *-y*, *-p*. After *-n*, the causative marker is also realized as {-dİR-}, and after *-t*, it is realized as {-tİR-}. However, after the final *-n*, it is always the initial *d-* of {-dUr-} ~ {-dİR-}, and after the final *-t*, it is always the final *t-* of {-tUr-} ~ {-tİR-}.

The same base in the *Compendium*, when combined with the marker {-DUr-} in *bağ-tur-* and with the compound markers {-(I)n-} and {-DİR-} in *bağ-în-dİR-*, exhibits different phonetic realizations of the initial consonant and vowel in the causative formation {-DUr-} ~ {-DİR-}. Both derived elements are attested after final *-l*. This phenomenon can be observed in the verb *öl-* ‘to die’, which has two forms of the causative stem *öl-tİR-* and *öl-dİR-* ‘to kill’ in contrast to *öl-* ‘to die’.

Furthermore, it is evident that labial harmony does not operate in {-DUr-}. The {-DUr-} suffix is attested after both rounded and unrounded vowels, while instances in the {-DİR-} form demonstrate a strong manifestation of the rounded suffix-vowel harmony. However, this can likely be attributed to the scarcity of available examples.

### {-GUr-} ~ {-GUz-} ~ {-GAR-} / {-GAz-}

The causative marker {-GUr-} is observed in three verbs within the *Compendium*. Historically, the causative suffix {-GUz-} was exclusively attached to a limited set of consonants (Clauson 1972: xlvi) and later replaced by {-GUr-} in several modern languages (Johanson 2021a: 593).



However, in the *Compendium*, both stems are found, highlighting the ancient  $z \sim r$  correspondence. This correspondence represents a significant phonological change that occurred in the history of Turkic languages. According to Erdal (1991: 756), the verb suffix {-gUr-} functions almost identically to that of the {-Ur-}, {-Ar-}, and {+gAr-} verbs.

Despite the historical usage of the {-gUr-} form for causatives in Old Turkic, as evidenced by Erdal (1991: 709), and the presence of the {-GUr-} form in the PON (Danka 2019a: 201), Chaghatay (Eckmann 1966: 71; Boeschoten 2022: 169) employed the {-GAr-} ~ {-GUr-} form. In Middle Kipchak, the occurrence of {-GUr-} was sporadic, while Armeno-Kipchak demonstrated a relatively frequent use of {-Giz-} (Berta & Csató 2022: 156). The *Compendium* does not provide evidence of an unlabialized {-GIr-} form.

Table 4.69. Examples of causative marker {-GUr-}

<b>etymological stems found independently in the corpus</b>
<i>ur-yur-</i> ‘to lead (the troops)’, ‘to take charge of’, lit. ‘to force to strike’ ← <i>ur-</i> ‘to strike’
<i>yet-kür-</i> ‘to deliver, get to a place’ ← <i>yet-</i> ‘to arrive, reach, overtake’
<b>etymological stems not found independently in the corpus</b>
<i>äw-gür-</i> ‘to translate, turn’ ← <i>äw-</i> ‘to fall, lean to the side’

(156) *jingiz nāmā kitābidin ‹bu nusxa› fārs tilindin türk tiligä äwgürdi* (f.157r/5–6)  
 ‘[He] **translated** this ‹manuscript› from the book of *Jingiz nāme* from Persian into Turkic.’

The causative suffix {-GUr-} in the verb *ur-yur-* ‘to lead (the troops)’, ‘to take charge of’ is also of interest for our study. This suffix is not strictly used in a literal sense in the *Compendium*; refer to example (157). Therefore, the label ‘function changed’ is applied. Another causative formation of the same root *ur-*, with a literal meaning exists. This alternation form is *ur-dur-* with the causative formation {-DUr-}, which carries the meaning ‘to order to beat’; see example (158). Clauson argues that the earliest form of *ur-* had two distinct meanings that shared a common thread involving the use of arms. The first meaning is ‘to put something/ on something’, while the second meaning is ‘to strike’. Particularly noteworthy is the development of a wide range of idiomatic meanings (Clauson 1972: 194), as seen in the form *ur-yur-*.

(157) *ittifāk körüb*  
*keñāš kīlib*  
*mu ‘ayyān kīlib uryurdīlar* (f.86r/9–10)

They consulted,  
 agreed,  
 [and] appointed [Hulagu Khan] **to lead the troops**.

(158) *χān yätmiš ayāj urdurdi* (f.126v/8–9)

‘Khan **ordered to be beaten** seventy [strikes of a] stick [on him].’

As mentioned earlier, the Old Turkic languages lack the causative marker {-GUz-}, which several modern languages have replaced with {-GUr-}. Two attested verbs within the *Compendium* use this particular formation. One occurs after the consonant-final *-r* in *oltur-yuz-* (159), and the second occurs in *yür-güz-* after the consonant-final *-r* (30). However, the verb *yür-* goes back to *yürü-* ‘to walk’, as found in an ancient Turkic dictionary as *jürü-* and *jürü-gür-* (Nadeljaev et al. 1969: 286–287). Therefore, the second vowel in the base is apocoped here.

(159) *atası ornında (sic!) olturyuzdi* (f.44r/4–5)

‘He **seated** [him] in his father’s place.’

Table 4.70. Examples of causative marker {-GUz-}, where the etymological stems are found independently in the corpus

---

*oltur-yuz-* ‘to seat’ ← *oltur-* ‘to sit down, sit’

---

*yür-güz-* ‘to let ride, walk’ ← *yürü-* ‘to walk, march’

---

The causative forms {-GUr-} and {-GUz-} in the *Compendium* were utilized equally and demonstrated a lack of productivity. It is worth noting that neither of these forms has survived in modern Kazakh (Muhamedowa 2016: 214–215; Abish 2022: 343) or Tatar (Berta 2022: 310–311).

The causative formation {-GAR-} / {-GAz-} is relatively rare in Turkic languages, with limited instances of occurrence. It appears that this suffix transformed from {-GUr-} ~ {-GUz-} during the Chaghatay period, since it was not found in East Old Turkic (Johanson 2022c: 137), West Old Turkic (Károly 2022: 149), Middle Kipchak (Berta & Csató 2022: 156), or Ottoman (Kerslake 2022: 184). There is one example of this formation in the *Compendium* with the verb *öt-kär-* ~ *öt-käz-*; see example (160). In contrast to the expected *öt-kär-* ~ *öt-käz-*, it appears as *öt-gür-* in EOT. Erdal notes the absence of *öt-gür-* in runic or Manichean texts, which hinders the determination of whether its velar consonant was voiced. Metaphorically, Erdal (1991: 751) suggests that *öt-gür-* conveys the meaning ‘to get to bottom of a problem, understand in thoroughly’ or ‘to manage to explain’. Clauson (1972: 52) notes that in Chaghatay, *öt-gür-* took the form of *öt-kär-*. In modern Turkic languages, Johanson (2021a: 589) confirms the existence of both {-GAR-} ~ {-GAz-} and {-GUr-} ~ {-GUz-} forms. Of the six attested examples in the *Compendium*, five (except *äv-gür-*) are realized in one of the most productive forms, namely {-GIz-}, in modern Kazakh, although it is not found in the *Compendium*.

(160)

(a) *sizniñ rāst turur sözüñüz*

*wä lēkin šul anwā`dīn bizlär andīn kim tururm<sup>o</sup>z tā bunuñ dek ötközälim*  
(f.83v/11–84r/2)

(b) *sizniñ sözüñiz rāst turur*

*wä lēkin šul anwā`dīn bizlär andīn kim tururbiz tā bunuñ dek ötkörälim* (K:  
f.33v/17–34r/1)

‘Your words are right,

but we **shall be content** with the things of which we have.’

Table 4.71. Examples of causative marker {-GAz-} / {-GAR-}

---

*öt-köz/r-* ‘to act, let graze, cause to pass through’ ← *öt-* ‘to pass over’

---

### {-Ur-} ~ {-ir-}

The causative marker {-Ur-} is derived from one or two syllable bases and is used with various consonant-final stems in the *Compendium*. The suffix is typically added after -č-, -s-, -š-, -g-, -y-, and -t-, as demonstrated in Table 4.72. Erdal (1991: 733) generally indicates that the objects of {-Ur-} verbs relate to “food and drink, clothes, carts and drawing animals, mud, medicine, or words”. Consequently, no verb with the {-Ur-} suffix indicates an event or a process that involves three participants. He also states that when {-Ur-} is “added to base of the shape (C)VCVC-, the second vowel of the base is usually syncopated”. Johanson refers to such vowels as volatile vowels. An example of this can be seen in example (161), where the verb *tab-š-ur-* ‘to entrust, hand over’ is derived from the verb *tap-iš-* ‘to find one another’. This derivation follows a specific sequence: (1) base, (2) cooperative-reciprocal marker, and (3) causative marker. The vowel *i* in the cooperative-reciprocal marker is dropped due to the initial vowel of the following morpheme {-Ur-}.

(161) *börtä füjinni` izzät kilib*

*jalayir sabaya tabšurdi* (f.42r/11–42v/1)

‘He, having shown respect for Lady Börte,  
**entrusted** her to Jalayirid Saba.’

Example (162), found in the *Compendium*, demonstrates that the causative marker {-Ur-} in the verb *käč-* ‘to pass’ is metaphorically used to express the concept of ‘to die’ and, in the causative sense, ‘to kill’.

(162) *ähl-i tañkut čikib kelsä*

*barčasın kılıj bilän kăčürüñüz* (f.63v/9–10)

‘If the Tangut people come out [from the city],  
then **kill** them all with a sword.’

Table 4.72. Examples of causative marker {-Ur-}.

<b>etymological stems found independently in the corpus</b>
<i>kăč-ür-</i> ‘to make pass’, ‘to kill’, ‘lit. to force to pass’ ← <i>kăč-</i> ‘to pass’
<i>köč-ür-</i> ‘to transfer’ ← <i>köč-</i> ‘to migrate’
‘ <i>ömr kăč-ür-</i> ‘to live’ ← ‘ <i>ömr</i> A ‘life’, <i>kăč-</i> ‘to pass (through), cross’
<i>kač-ur-</i> ‘to put to flight, drive away’ ← <i>kač-</i> ‘to run away, flee’
<i>ķayta ķolya tüš-ür-</i> ‘to return’, lit. ‘to seize back’ ← <i>ķol</i> ‘arm, hand’ {+(G)A} DAT + <i>tüš-</i> ‘to fall’
<i>ķol ķow-uš-ur-</i> ‘to cross the arms over [the chest]’ ← <i>ķol</i> ‘arm, hand’ + <i>ķow-</i> ‘to follow, pursue, chase’
<i>tabš-ur-</i> ‘to entrust, hand over’ ← <i>tapiš-</i> ‘to find one another’ ← <i>tap-</i> ‘to find’ {-(I)š-} RECIP
<i>teg-ür-</i> ‘to cause to reach’ ← <i>teg-</i> ‘to reach’
<i>tuy-ur-</i> ‘to give birth’ ← <i>tuy-</i> ‘to be born’
<i>tüš-ür-</i> ‘to throw, shoot, waft’ ← <i>tüš-</i> ‘to fall, come down’
<b>etymological stems not found independently in the corpus</b>
<i>bat-ur-</i> ‘to cause to sink’ ← <i>bat-</i> ‘to sink’
<i>yaš-ur-</i> ‘to hide’ ← <i>yaš-</i> ‘to hide (oneself)’

The causative marker {-Ur-} was found in example (163).

(163) *ol zamānda jingiz χānyā ol yil ķawm tayjiut öngä aya wä inisi ķawm ĵuriyat wä märkit tatar wä ĵair häm här birisi bir az zaķmät tegürä bašladī* (f.48r/2–4)

‘At that time in that year, the Tayjiut clan, [as well as] other older and younger brothers from the clans of Juriat, Merkit, Tatar, and others **began to harass** Genghis Khan.’

The next causative marker is {-Ir-}, which is an alternative form of the {-Ur-} marker. Table 4.73 shows that it is attested only once in the *Compendium*. Previous claims mistakenly suggested that the causative markers {-Ir-} ~ {-Ur-} originated from the loss of the dental consonant *D* in {-DUr-} ~ {-Dür-}. Furthermore, a Kipchak vocabulary from the 14th century erroneously indicated that the dental consonant in *ič-Dür-* ‘to give to drink’ could sometimes be omitted, resulting in the formation of *ič-ir-* ‘id’ (Johanson 2021a: 592–593). See the example with *ič-ir-* ‘to give to drink’ in example (164).

(164) *tatli ariķ aķin suwlarıdīn ičirdim* (f.98v/7)

‘I **gave** you some delicious clean streaming water **to drink** (Lit. I **made you drink**).’

Table 4.73. Examples of causative marker {-ir-}, where the etymological stems are found independently in the corpus

---



---

*ič-ir-* ‘to let drink, give to drink’ ← *ič-* ‘to drink’

---



---

During the EOT period, the verb ‘to give someone something to drink’ was expressed as *ič-ür-* (Erdal 1991: 715). Neither Eckmann (1966: 70–71) nor Bodrogligeti (2001: 167) attested the {-Ir-} form in Chaghatay. However, in the *Compendium*, the verb ‘to let drink, give to drink’ is the only example of the {-ir-} form, appearing as *ič-ir-*. This usage indicates a transitional shift from {-Ur-} to {-Ir-}. It is worth noting that the causative marker {-Ur} was already used with consonant-final *-č* in the *Compendium*. Additionally, the {-ir-} form is also attested after consonant-final in the *Compendium*. On the contrary, in modern Kazakh, this verb is represented by the forms {-GIz-} *iuu-kiz-* <iš-kiz> and {-DIr-} *iuu-mip-* <iš-tir> and there are no semantic differences between these forms (Muhamedowa 2016: 215).

### {-Ar-}

Three verbs in the *Compendium* feature the causative stem {-Ar-}, all of which are derived from one syllable base, as illustrated in Table 4.74. See the example of the {-Ar-} marker in example (165).

(165) *hulqumumda kan uyuşub erdi*  
*ķaytardim* (f.101v/1–2)

‘The blood in my throat clotted.

I spat it out.’

Table 4.74. Examples of causative marker {-Ar-}, where the etymological stems are found independently in the corpus

---



---

*čik-ar-* ‘to bring out, send out, take out’ ← *čik-* ‘to go out, come out’

---

*ket-är-* ‘to remove, send away’ ← *ket-* ‘to go away’

---

*ķayt-ar-* ‘to get back, give back, bring back’ ← *ķayt-* ‘to turn back, return, come back’

---



---

It is important to note that there is no functional difference between the markers {-Ar-} and {-Ur-} ~ {-ir-} (Erdal 1991: 741). Both formations are attested with consonant-final stems. The {-Ar-} suffix is found after *-t* and *-ķ* in the *Compendium*. Eckmann (1966: 70) combines the forms {-Ar-} with {-Ur-}, while Bodrogligeti (2001: 165, 167) separates these two suffixes. Regardless, the {-Ar-} marker is attested with only two stems, making it unproductive. On the other hand, the {-Ur-} marker is observed with a number of consonant-final stems and appears

to be productive. Additionally, the {-Ur-} suffix, along with {-DUr-} and {-t-}, is the most productive accusative suffix in the *Compendium*.

### {-t-}

Erdal (1991: 799) stated that in 84% of EOT {-(X)t-} verbs, the formative loses its initial vowel due to its attachment “to bases ending in vowels or to polysyllabic bases ending in *-r*”. In the *Compendium*, suffixes of the same causative marker can attach the stems ending in vowels such as *-a*, *-ä*, *-ü*, and *-i*, as well as consonants like *-r* and *-l*, as illustrated in Table 4.75. The *Compendium* does not attest to the initial vowel of the formation {-(X)t-}. We cannot determine whether it was labialized or unlabialized. Nevertheless, the suffix {-t-} is one of the three productive causative suffixes in the *Compendium*.

(166) *oŋ [χān] oŷli sāngun üjün hīlā bilān kiz tilätü yibärdi* (f.53v/4–5)  
 ‘Ong [Khan] sent to **make [someone] to arrange a match** with cunning for his son Sengun.’

(167) ‘*arabī tili bilān χudāy ta ‘ālānīñ atin tilindä yürütür erdi allāh tāb* (f.19v/8–9)  
 ‘[He] **pronounced** the name of the Almighty Lord – may He be exalted – in Arabic as *Allah*.’

Table 4.75. Examples of causative marker {-t-}

<b>etymological stems found independently in the corpus</b>
<i>bālgür-t-</i> ‘to make manifest, display’ ← <i>bālgür-</i> ‘to appear, become manifest’
<i>oltur-t-</i> ‘to seat’ ← <i>oltur-</i> ‘to sit down, sit’
<i>pādišāhlikya oltur-t-</i> ‘to enthrone’ ← <i>pādišāhlikya oltur-</i> ‘to be enthroned’
<i>kiz tilä-t-</i> ‘to make someone to arrange a match’ ← <i>kiz tilä-</i> ‘to propose as a husband, arrange a match’
<i>yasa-t-</i> ‘to make someone to construct’ ← <i>yasa-</i> ‘to do, construct, arrange’
<i>yokal-t-</i> ‘to destroy, lose’ ← <i>yokal-</i> ‘to be destroyed, perish, disappear, be lost’
<i>yürü-t-</i> ‘to pronounce’, lit. ‘to cause to walk’ ← <i>yürü-</i> ‘to walk’
<b>etymological stems not found independently in the corpus</b>
<i>eši-t-</i> ‘to hear (something)’, ‘to get news of (something)’, ‘listen’ ← <i>eši-</i> ‘to cover, envelop’
<i>hisābla-t-</i> ‘to make to count’ ← <i>hisābla-</i> ‘to count, plan, calculate’
<i>semür-t-</i> ‘to fatten’ ← <i>semür-</i> ‘to be(come) fat’
<i>yara-t-</i> ‘to approve’, ‘to create’ ← <i>yara-</i> ‘to be suitable’, ‘to benefit’, ‘to be worthy of’

The phonological structure of the base influences the selection of causative markers in Turkic languages, although this is not entirely predictable. The lack of predictability is documented in the *Compendium*. See Table 4.76, where various causative formations were frequently added to consonant-final stem ending in *-l*, *-r*, and *-t*.

Table 4.76. Causative suffixes and final letters of the bases

{-DUr-} ~ {-Dür-}	<b>-l, -r, -n, -t, -s, -š, -k, -y, -p, -z, -w</b>
{-GUr-} ~ {-GUz-} ~ {-GAR-} / {-GAz-}	<b>-r, -t</b>
{-Ur-} ~ {-ür-}	<b>-č, -s, -š, -g, -y, -t</b>
{-Ar-}	<b>-t, -k</b>
{-t-}	<b>-r, -l; vowels</b>

The presence of a large number of causative markers suggests that they may have originated from various Proto-Turkic elements (Johanson 2021a: 589–590). Furthermore, in the *Compendium*, three different verb bases with two different causative suffixes were attested; see Table 4.77. Additionally, a set of verb bases is derived from the Arabic noun *ḥisāb*, which means ‘counting’, ‘numbering’, ‘considering’. The first verb in this set combines the primary stem *ḥisāb* with a transitive auxiliary formed from the light verb *kil-* and the causative suffix {-DUr-}, resulting in *ḥisāb kil-dur-*. The second verb is formed synthetically with verbalizer {+IA-} and the causative suffix {-t-}, resulting in *ḥisābla-t-*. It is interesting that there is no word *sana-* ‘to count’ derived from *sa-* ‘to count’ (Clauson 1972: 781) +n +A, instead *ḥisāb* appears in different forms.

Table 4.77. Causative variations and deverbal and denominal verbs derived from the same bases

base	{-DUr-}	{-GUr-}	{-GUz-}	{-t-}
<i>ḥisāb</i> A	<i>ḥisāb kil-dur-</i>			<i>ḥisāb-la-t</i>
<i>oltur-</i>			<i>oltur-yuz-</i>	<i>oltur-t-</i>
<i>ur-</i>	<i>ur-dur-</i>	<i>ur-yur-</i>		
<i>yürü-</i>			<i>yür-güz-</i>	<i>yürü-t-</i>

If *ḥisāb kil-dur-* ~ *ḥisābla-t* and *oltur-yuz-* ~ *oltur-t-* have the same meaning, expressing ‘to make to count’ and ‘to seat’, respectively, then two different causative formations result in different meanings for the other two verbs. The base *ur-* ‘to beat’ with the causative suffix {-DUr-} literally means ‘to order to beat’, while the base with the causative suffix {-GUr-} develops further into the idiomatic meaning ‘to lead (the troops)’, ‘to take charge of’. The second verb base is *yürü-* ‘to walk, march’. With the causative suffix {-GUz-}, *yür-güz-* means ‘to let ride’ in a literal sense, while the causative form {-t-} in *yürü-t-* is used idiomatically, expressing the meaning ‘to pronounce’ literally translating as ‘to cause to walk’.

Causative verbs in old languages appeared in labialized forms. Various examples of causative verbs with labialization can be found in the *Compendium*. However, although less commonly and unproductive, several examples of causatives without labialization are also attested.

The most productive causative formations in the *Compendium* include {-DUr-}, {-Ur-}, and {-t-}. On the contrary, the suffixes {-DİR-} and {-t-}, along with {-GIz-}, are the most productive in modern Kazakh (Balakaev et al. 1962: 308). However, the occurrence of these suffixes in Kazakh is also not completely predictable based on the phonological environment (Muhamedowa 2016: 214).

#### 2.4.4.2.4 Irregular diathetic formations

When causative and passive-medial-reflexive derivations lack their clearly attested base forms, *irregular diathetic formations* can occur (Johanson 2021a: 595). In other words, these irregular formations arise when the result of the secondary verb stem cannot be traced back to the primary verb stem. Compare Table 4.78 and examples (168)–(169).

Example (168) contains a secondary stem, *kut-ul-*, with a passive suffix {-(D)I-}. However, the primary stem, often referred to as the root, is questionable. Clauson (1972: 650) reconstructed it as *\*kurt-*, which has an unknown meaning. Boeschoten (2023: 265) noted its usage in the available sources as *kurt-ul-*, *kut-γar-*, *kut-ḳar-*, *ḳut-ar-*, *ḳut-ul-*, and so on, all meaning ‘to save’. This verb is presented as *ḳut-ḳar-* ‘to save’ in Kazakh and *kot-kar-* ‘id.’ in Tatar (TRS 286), both obviously connected to *ḳut-ul-* ‘to be rescued’, and its reconstructed form is *\*kurt* again. However, the question arises: why should active form of *kut-ul-* should be considered a passive form in the *Compendium* and a causative in modern Kazakh and Tatar, although the {-GAR-} suffix was rare and already unproductive in the 17th century *Compendium*.

The second verb presented in irregular diathetic formation in example (169) is *ičķi-n-* ‘to escape’, which is morphologically a reflexive form, however, with no known basic form.

(168) *andin bir kürän jalayir ḳaçib  
ḳutuldi* (f.29v/9–10)

‘Of all the Jalayirids, [only] one *kuren* escaped  
and **was saved**.’

(169) *anlardin andak awāzā bilän šunuḡ ḳolidin ičķindi* (f.86r/6–7)  
‘[They] **slipped away** from his hand with such a cry.’

Table 4.78. Examples of irregular diathetic formations

<i>ičķi-n-</i> REFL ‘to slip away, escape’ ← basic form is unknown (Clauson 1972: 23)
<i>ḳut-ul-</i> PASS ‘to be rescued, be saved’ ← <i>ḳurt-ul-</i> < <i>*kurt-</i>



The following Table 4.79 provides a summary of the deverbal verb derivational elements attested in the *Compendium*.

Table 4.79. Deverbal verb derivational elements in the *Compendium*

{-(I)l-}	productive
{-(I)n-}	productive
{-(I)š-}	productive
{-DUr-}	productive
{-Dür-}	unproductive
{-GUr-}	unproductive, function changed
{-GUz-}	unproductive
{-GAz-} / {-GAr-}	unproductive
{-Ur-} / {-üz-}	productive
{-ir-}	unproductive
{-Ar-}	productive
{-t-}	productive

### 3 Conclusion

The aims of this dissertation, as well as the theoretical methods that I used, have been discussed in the Introduction. I will not repeat my explanations here. I will not attempt to summarize the individual findings of my research, but I will limit myself to highlighting only particular important points.

The linguistic analysis of the *Compendium* began with the finite verbal categories in Chapter 2.1, providing information on the key concepts of viewpoint aspect, imperative, and modality, including agreement markers.

Following the grammatical analysis, it is evident that both intraterminals and postterminals in the *Compendium* are introduced by low-focal and high-focal degrees of focality, resulting in wide range of interpretations in translation. It is known that a new viewpoint operator appears when the previous one has undergone the defocalization process. Intraterminals in the *Compendium* have only two forms, showing the perfectly symmetric system of non-focality and focality in the non-past and past stratum by representing one form for each. In contrast, the postterminal viewpoint operators appear to be much more complex. Postterminals illustrate one form that is non-focal and asymmetric in the non-past and the past, along with two competing forms of focality that involve various interpretations of copular verbs. The symmetric system of non-past and past forms with the remote copular *erdi* has been attested to focal degree; however, two more forms have not been presented in the non-past.

Four different forms of postterminals-in-past, {-GAN} *erdi*, {-I)p} *erdi*, {-DI} *erdi*, and {-mĭš} *erdi*, were attested. It was shown that {-DI} *erdi* appeared as the furthest form from the crucial limit of the event and, therefore, was classified as non-focal, while three others indicated a closer distance to the orientation point compared to the crucial limit. Furthermore, these three, {-mĭš} *erdi*, {-I)p} *erdi*, and {-GAN} *erdi*, semantically exhibit the same resultative meaning when applied to the verb *tuy-* 'to be born' and are not opposed to focal meanings. Notably, {-DI} *erdi* and {-mĭš} *erdi* appear exclusively in the second part of the *Compendium*, translated from Persian, whereas {-I)p} *erdi* and {-GAN} *erdi* are found in both the second/translated and third/original parts of the *Compendium*. This distribution suggests that {-I)p} *erdi* and {-GAN} *erdi* were actively used as postterminal-in-past, while {-DI} *erdi* and {-mĭš} *erdi* were considered archaic.

The negated converb in the postterminal form {-I)p} *turur* and in the intraterminal form {-A} *s°n*, reduced from the second person singular of {-A} *turur*, took the same form as {-ma-y}, appearing as an ambiguous interpretation. However, they were differentiated into their defined aspect categories in the *Compendium*.

This study included optative and voluntative in the modality section, while categorizing imperative as a separative entity. In addition to voluntative and optative, the definition of modality was employed for expressions of necessity, potentiality, and prospective. The *Compendium* mainly expressed the {-GII} and {-(I)ŋ-Iz} ~ {-Uŋ-uz} markers for the imperative category, while using the imperative based on the stem of the bare verb in only one example. Additionally, the enclitic particle {jI} was also observed in a single example. The imperative only referred to second persons. Voluntative usage was presented in the first and third persons. Usually in Turkic languages, there is only one form for the first person singular voluntative and the other one for the first person plural voluntative. However, the *Compendium* illustrated two forms for the first person singular voluntative and four forms for the first person plural voluntative, i.e. {-AyI-m}, {-(A)yI-n} and {-AII}, {-AII-m}, {-AII-ŋ}, {-AII-K} ( {-AyI-K}), respectively.

The contexts in which first person voluntatives are used in the *Compendium* allow for both inclusive and neutral readings. However, there is no morphologically marked distinction between inclusive and neutral forms. The use of several different markers for singular and plural voluntatives indicates dialectal variation.

Optative performed a wide range of modal functions in the *Compendium*, such as wish, desire, or hope; command and directive; necessity; probability; potentiality; prediction and expectation; purpose, and rhetorical question. Additionally, the optative marker {-GAy} exhibited interpretations of probability as well as the interpretation of the general truth.

Voluntative, optative, and imperative appeared in the non-main clauses (purposive clauses), which were developed under the influence of the Persian language.

After examining the finite verbal categories, I moved on to the copular devices. In general, observations in Chapter 2.2 yielded anticipated results and followed the rules of Chaghatay. These included the sporadic appearance of the plural marker {+lAr} in copular verbs. Copular particles did not exhibit any specific features in the non-past, as they coincided with the form of the copular verbs. However, in the past, the plural marker {+lAr} appeared both in the terminal base before the copular particle and in the copular particle itself. Furthermore, the study of third person non-past copular verbs revealed that the verb *tur-* ‘to stop, stand’ in the aorist form *tur-ur* is the most elaborated, almost in the full paradigm. Statistically, it is much more frequently utilized, i.e. two hundred and seventy-five times in the third person singular marker alone. Additionally, this verb is the most frequently used auxiliary verb in different categories in the *Compendium*. In comparison, synonymous copular verbs are attested less frequently: *er-ür* occurs twelve times and *bol-ur* occurs nine times. Other copular verbs are attested in much smaller numbers.

Chapter 2.3 examines postverbal constructions, which involve the use of two verbs: a lexical verb and an auxiliary verb. These constructions commonly feature approximately twenty auxiliary verbs. The actional phrase can convey more than one meaning, depending on the context, a phenomenon also attested in the *Compendium*. For example, the construction ⟨B⟩ *ket-* in the *Compendium* functions as a phase specification, emphasizing its transformativizing meaning. Additionally, it conveys spatial orientation, specifically denoting movement away from a deictic center as a secondary implication.

Typically, auxiliary verbs employing ⟨A⟩ and ⟨B⟩ type converbs illustrate different meanings. For example, the verb ⟨B⟩ *kal-* sets the critical initial boundary of the action phase in a singular instance in the *Compendium*, while the converb ⟨A⟩ *kal-* highlights the second phase (non initial), though this also occurs in only a single example. Postverbal forms with the verb *kel-* likewise appears with both ⟨A⟩ and ⟨B⟩ type converbs. ⟨B⟩ *kel-* expresses spatial orientation toward a deictic center while preserving the lexical meaning of the auxiliary *kel-*. In contrast, ⟨A⟩ *kel-* assumes a nontransformative, phase-defined function. The *Compendium* also conveys instances where the semantic distinction between postverbal constructions of A) and ⟨B⟩ type remains unclear, as seen in the constructions ⟨A⟩ *tur-* and ⟨B⟩ *tur-*. Moreover, it is sometimes difficult to determine whether a compound verb belongs to the category of postverbal construction or aspect. These ambiguities extend to ⟨B⟩ *tur-* as well as to ⟨B⟩ *oltur-* and ⟨B⟩ *yat-* constructions.

The study highlights peculiarities associated with both Oghuz and Kipchak forms within the postverbal construction inventory, such as ⟨B⟩ *öt-* ‘to pass’ and ⟨B⟩ *käč-* ‘id.’. These verbs, which have been described as postverbal forms relatively recently, exhibit the same postverbal characteristics as others, as they can be omitted with minimal impact on basic lexical semantics.

Illustrations from the *Compendium* also include examples of the lexical movement verb *kel-* ‘to come’ combined with the postverbal construction ⟨B⟩ *tüš-* ‘to fall, settle, descend’. These instances show that the two verbs cannot be separated, as their boundaries seem blurred. The definite semantic similarities suggest that they function as a single, unified verb.

And finally, Chapter 2.4 was focused on derivation, synthetic and analytic, including diathesis.

The analysis of denominal verbs shows that the majority of bases are formed from Turkic roots with only six foreign roots appearing in the markers {+IA-}, {+I-}, and {+(U)(r)ka-}. These include two Arabic (*hisāb* ‘counting’; *‘izzät* ‘honor, dignity’), two Persian (*färmān* ‘command’; *kām* ‘few, little’), one Chinese word (*tz’ü* ‘kind, merciful’), and an ambiguous one.

The functions of almost all denominal verb derivations presented in the *Compendium* indicate a different range of processes related to becoming what the base noun represents. These

derivations include markers such as {+lA-}, {+A-}, {+I-}, {+(A)l-}, {+(A)y-}, {+(A)r-}, {+dA-}, {+(I)K-}, {+KAr-}. Only the denominal verb marker {+(U)(r)kA-} expresses emotions, attitudes, or opinions about its object.

Among the twenty-four finite verbs observed with the denominal verb formative {+lA-}, four (17%) are derived from deverbal nominals with markers such as {-(I)G} (*ba-y-la-* ‘to tie, fasten’), {-(°)K} (*kon-aḳ-la-* ‘to entertain (a guest)’; *kuč-aḳ-la-* ‘to embrace’) and {-(°)r} or {-mUr} (*yuma-la-n* ‘to roll’ ← *yumar-la-* ‘to knead (dough) into a ball’ < *yumur-la-* ‘id.’). The remaining verbs are derived from nominal stems without any further derivation. Notably, the *Compendium* does not contain a single denominal verb derived from a denominal nominal.

The *Compendium* attests to Kipchak and Oghuz formatives {+(A)y-} and {+(A)l-}, respectively.

The verb *semür-* ‘to be(come) fat’ appeared twice due to the phenomenon of *rhotacism* vs. *zetacism* in connection with the denominal verbalizer {+I-}, where the consonant *z* changed to *r*. The presence of *rhotacism* and *zetacism* was also attested in the alternation of the causative marker {-GUr-} ~ {-GUz-}. The existence of this pair makes suggests that the causative marker {-GAR-} / {-GAz-} should be considered a practicable alternation rather than a scribal variant caused by the single dot difference between Arabic letters -z (ز) and -r (ر). However, no final conclusion can be drawn here.

In the *Compendium*, almost all available causative markers are attested. They are the following: {-DUr-} ~ {-Dür-}, {-GUr-} ~ {-GUz-} ~ {-GAz-} / {-GAR-}, {-Ur-} ~ {-ir-}, {-Ar-}, and {-t-}. The semantic range of these markers is the largest. They show varying degrees of productivity. However, only the markers {-DUr-}, {-Ur-}, {-Ar-}, and {-t-} proved to be productive, while the others are unproductive. In the case of the marker {-GUr-}, the function changes the distribution, as the suffix is used in a not a literal sense.

Notably, some analytic denominal verb derivation in the *Compendium* was influenced by the calque of Persian analytic denominal verb derivation, which involved Persian nouns of Turkic and Mongolic origin with {-mlš-ī} suffix and auxiliary verbs. The primary pattern of morphological integration of Turkic and Mongolic verbs into Persian via Turkic involved their postterminal participle form {-mlš}, combined with the Iranian abstract suffix {-ī} and a native Iranian auxiliary verb (such as *kardan*, *shurdan*, etc.).

Parallel analytic and synthetic derivations were attested in the *Compendium*; although they are very rare (*χān-la-* ‘to enthrone’ vs. *χān yasa-* ‘id.’; *hisāb-la-* ‘to count, calculate’ vs. *hisāb ḳil-* ‘id.’; *yüz-lä-n-* ‘to face toward, turn towards’ vs. *yüz ur-* ‘id.’; *keḳä-š-* ‘to take counsel (together)’ vs. *keḳäš et-* ‘id.’, *keḳäš ḳil-* ‘id.’, *keḳäšmiš et-* ‘id.’).

Analytic denominal verb derivation is utilized much more frequently than synthetic verb derivation, making it the primary method of verb formation in the *Compendium*. The analysis in this dissertation has shown that analytic denominal verb derivation was mainly achieved using light verbs *kil-* ‘to do, make’, *et-* ‘id.’, and *bol-* ‘to be(come)’. This process predominantly employed with lexemes of non-Turkic origin, particularly Arabic vocabulary (via Persian), which accounts for more than 65% of the exams. In contrast, lexemes of Persian, Turkic, Mongolic, and Chinese origin were less significant. Furthermore, analytic denominal verbs formed with Arabic nouns exhibit all three types of transitivity, whereas others are not always.

In this dissertation, one hundred twenty-nine analytic denominal verb forms with the light verbs *kil-* were discussed in detail. The highest number of analytic denominal verb derivations comes from nouns of Arabic origin (68%). The next widespread analytic denominal verb derivations are from nouns of Persian origin (9%), followed by nouns of Turkic origin (8%), and Mongolic nouns, which account for a smaller proportion (1%). Furthermore, examples of analytic denominal verb derivation with the light verb *kil-* were attested with derived nouns of Arabic and Persian origin, combined with the {+IIK} suffix (7%), Persian nouns of Turkic and Mongolic (via Turkic) origin with {-mİš-ī} suffix (5%), and finally, nouns of Chinese origin with Turkic derivational suffixes (2%).

A much smaller number of denominal verb forms with light verbs *et-* were attested. There were twenty-four nouns of Arabic, Turkic, and Persian origin, as well as Persian nouns of Turkic and Mongolic (via Turkic) origin with the {-mİš-ī} suffix, and denominal nouns derived from Turkic and Persian bases with the {+IIK} suffix. The highest number of analytic denominal verb derivations came from nouns of Arabic origin (67%). Due to the limited number of instances, other nouns were represented almost equally.

The next largest group of auxiliaries with light verbs was formed by the verb *bol-* ‘to be(come)’. Thirty-three verb forms were attested in the *Compendium*. The highest number of analytic denominal verb derivations comes from nouns of Arabic origin (70%), the next is nouns of Persian (12%), Turkic origin (15%), and Persian noun of Mongolic (via Turkic) origin with the {-mİš-ī} suffix (3%).

The study of the material showed nine verbs that produced the same final result (without semantic difference) with the same noun but interchangeable auxiliary verbs (verb heads) *kil-* ‘to do, make’ and *et-* ‘id.’; three pairs of verbs by auxiliaries *er-* ‘to be’ and *bol-* ‘to be(come)”; a pair of verbs with the auxiliaries *er-* ‘to be’ and *kil-* ‘to do, make’; and a pair of verbs with the auxiliaries *er-* ‘to be’ and *tur-* ‘to stand’. On the other hand, the *kil-* ~ *et-* pair of auxiliaries was attested, where the final meanings of the analytic denominal verbs differ from

each other (*inkār kıl-* ‘to retract’ and *inkār et-* ‘to refuse, deny’), indicating that the interchangeability of the auxiliary verbs does not work fully with the *Compendium*.

In the case where both the auxiliary verbs and the roots of the noun (with difference in NN {+IİK}, VN {-l}, VN {-mİšī}) of the pair are the same, the final meaning of all analytic verbs can be identical, i.e. *mülāzämät kıl-* ‘to serve diligently’ and *mülāzämätlik kıl-* ‘id.’, *muwāfaqat kıl-* ‘to agree, consent’ and *muwāfaqatlık kıl-* ‘id.’, *sohuryal kıl-* ‘to favor, benefit, grant, bestow’ and *siyuryamišī kıl-* ‘id.’ (both go back to *soyurgya-*).

Furthermore, this chapter raises the question of the entire concept of analytically derived verbs, considering such examples as *toy kıl-*, which can be interpreted as both ‘to celebrate’ and ‘to make a feast’, and *sohuryal kıl-* ‘to favor, benefit, grant, bestow’ and ‘to make a gift’. It introduces the lexical verb *kıl-*, with direct objects *toy* ‘feast, celebration’ and *sohuryal* ‘gift, award’, respectively. Additionally, there are verbs like *tokuš kıl-* ‘to wage war’ and *tokušlar kıl-* ‘to wage wars’; *nişār kıl-* ‘to scatter small coins’ and *nişārlar kıl-* ‘id.’, *niyāz e[t]-* ‘to make a request, entreat for, ask as a favor’ (with *niyāz kıl-* ‘id.’ in non-finite verb constructions) and *niyāz-lar kıl-* ‘id.’, *vaşıyyät kıl-* ‘to make a testamentary arrangement’ and *vaşıyyätni kıl-* ‘id.’

Generally, the *Compendium* reflects a heterogeneous mix of features from the Kipchak and Oghuz branches of Turkic, exhibiting archaic and innovative characteristics.

## REFERENCES

- ABISH, Aynur (2016) *Modality in Kazakh as spoken in China*. (Turcologica 107). Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz Verlag.
- ABISH, Aynur (2022), Kazakh and Karakalpak. In: Johanson, Lars & Csató, Éva Á. (eds). *The Turkic languages*. London & New York: Routledge. pp. 337–353.
- ABUSEITOVA, Meruert H. (1985) *Kazaxskoe xanstvo vo vtoroj polovine XVI veka*. Alma-Ata: Nauka.
- AĞCAGÜL, Sevgi (2009) *Aktionale Operatoren im Türkischen mit besonderer Berücksichtigung des Türkei-und Irantürkischen*. Doctoral dissertation. University of Mainz. <https://openscience.ub.uni-mainz.de/handle/20.500.12030/4484>
- AKSU-KOÇ, Ayhan (1988), *The acquisition of aspect and modality. The case of past reference in Turkish*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- ALIMOV, Rysbek (2005), Kadir Ali Bek ve camiü't-tevarih'i üzerine dil incelemesi (imla – fonetik – morfoloji – karşılaştırmalı metin – dizin – sözlük). Doctoral dissertation. Istanbul University. <http://nek.istanbul.edu.tr:4444/ekos/TEZ/40606.pdf>
- ALIMOV, Rysbek (2015), Kadir Ali Bek'in Cāmi' at-Tavārīḥ'i ve bu eserin Londra (I) nüshası. In: Kafadar, Cemal & Tekin, Gönül A. (eds.) *Çekirge Budu. Festschrift in honor of Robert Dankoff*. Journal of Turkish Studies 44. Harvard University: Department of Near Eastern Languages and Civilizations. pp. 61–83.
- ALIMOV, Rysbek (2016), O dvux novyx sočinenijax Kadyr Ali-beka, *Rossijskaja tjurkologija*, 2(15). pp. 40–48.
- ALIMOV, Rysbek (2018), Nekotorye zametki otnositel'no Kadyr Ali-beka i ego sočinenija "Jami at-Tavarix", *Materialy vtoroj naučnoj konferencii srednevekovoj istorii Dešt-i Kypčak*. Pavlodar, pp. 251–258.
- ALIMOV, Rysbek (2022), *Kadyr Ali-bek. Jami at-tavarix. Faksimile rukopisi*, Kazan': Institut istorii im. Š. Mardžani AN RT.
- ANDERSON, Gregory D. S. (2004), *Auxiliary verb constructions in Altai-Sayan Turkic* (Turcologica 51). Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- ATALAY, Besim (1945), *Ettuhret-üz-zekiyye fil-lûgat-it-Türkiyye*. İstanbul: TDK.
- BALAKAEV, Maulen (1959), *Sovremennyj kazaxskij jazyk. Sintaksis slovosočetanija i prostogo predloženiya*. Alma-Ata: Izdatel'stvo Akademii Nauk Kazaxskoj SSR.
- BALAKAEV, Maulen B., BASKAKOV, Nikolaj A., KENESBAEV, Smet K. (eds.) (1962), *Sovremennyj kazaxskij jazyk. Fonetika i morfologija*, Alma-Ata: Izdatel'stvo Akademii nauk Kazaxskoj SSR.
- BARTOLD, Vasilij V. (1966), *Raboty po istorii islama i arabskogo halifata*. Vol. VI. Moskva: Nauka.
- BASKAKOV, Nikolaj A. (1971) Priroda i funkcional'noe značenie svjazki v sostave predloženiya v tjurkskix jazykax. In: Ligeti, Lajos (ed.): *Studia Turcica*. Budapest. pp. 47–54.



- BELJAKOV, Andrej (2019), *Uraz-Muhammed ibn Ondan i Isinej Karamyšev syn Musaitov. Opyt sovmestnoj biografii*. Almaty: ABDI.
- BEREZIN, Il'ja (1851), Tatarskij letopisec. *Sovremennik* Borisa Fedoroviča Godunova. *Moskvitjanin*, no. 24. Book 2, pp. 543–554.
- BEREZIN, Il'ja (1854), *Biblioteka vostočnyx istorikov. Sbornik letopisei. Tatarskij tekst s russkim predisloviem*. Vol. II Part. I. Kazan': Tipografija Gubernskogo pravlenija.
- BERTA, Árpád (2022), Tatar and Bashkir. In: Johanson, Lars & Csató, Éva Á. (eds.) *The Turkic languages*. London & New York: Routledge. pp. 303–219.
- BERTA, Árpád & CSATÓ, Éva Á. (2022), Middle Kipchak. In: Johanson, Lars & Csató, Éva Á. (eds.) *The Turkic languages*. London & New York: Routledge. pp. 152–159.
- BLOCHET, Edgard (1933), *Catalogue des Manuscrits Turc. Tome II. Supplément nos 573–1419*. Paris: Bibliothèque nationale.
- BOBROV, Leonid A. & HUDJAKOV, Julij S. (2008), *Vooruženie i taktika kočevnikov central'noj Azii i južnoj Sibiri v èpoxu pozdnego srednevekov'ja i rannego novogo vremeni (XV – pervaja polovina XVIII v.)*. Sankt-Peterburg: Filologičeskij fakul'tet SPbGU.
- BODROGLIGETI, András J. E. (2001), *A grammar of Chagatay*. Muenchen: Lincom Europa.
- BOESCHOTEN, Hendrik (2022), Chaghatay. In: Johanson, Lars & Csató, Éva Á. (eds.) *The Turkic languages*. London & New York: Routledge. pp. 160–173.
- BOESCHOTEN, Hendrik (2023), *A dictionary of Early Middle Turkic*. Leiden & Boston: Brill.
- BOOIJ, Geert (2005), *The grammar of words. An introduction to linguistic morphology*. (Oxford textbooks in linguistics). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- BROCKELMANN, Carl (1954), *Osttürkische Grammatik der islamischen Litteratursprachen Mittelasiens* [East Turkic grammar of the Islamic literary languages of Middle Asia]. Leiden: Brill.
- BUDAGOV, Lazar (1869), *Sravnitel'nyj slovar' turecko-tatarskix narečij I*. Sanktpeterburg: Tipografija imperatorskoj akademii nauk.
- BUDAGOV, Lazar (1871), *Sravnitel'nyj slovar' turecko-tatarskix narečij II*. Sanktpeterburg: Tipografija imperatorskoj akademii nauk.
- CLAUSON, Gerard Sir (1972), *An etymological dictionary of pre-thirteenth century Turkish*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- COMRIE, Bernard (1989), *Aspect: an introduction to the study of verbal aspect and related problems*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- CRYSTAL, David (2008), *A dictionary of linguistics and phonetics*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.
- CSATÓ, Éva Á. & JOHANSON, Lars & KARAKOÇ, Birsal (2019), Introduction. In: Csató, Éva Á. & Johanson, Lars & Karakoç, Birsal (eds.) *Ambiguous verb sequences in Transeurasian languages and beyond*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz. pp. 1–11.
- CSATÓ, Éva Á. & JOHANSON, Lars (2022), Turkish. In: Johanson, Lars & Csató, Éva Á. (eds.) *The Turkic languages*. London & New York: Routledge. pp.195–223.

- DANKA, Balázs (2019a), *The 'Pagan' Oguz-nāme. A philological and linguistic analysis* (Turcologica 113). Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- DANKA, Balázs (2019b), Ambiguous verb sequences in a 17th century Turkic variety. In: Csató, Éva Á. & Johanson, Lars & Karakoç, Birsal (eds.) *Ambiguous verb sequences in Transeurasian languages and beyond*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz. pp. 135–142.
- DANKA, Balázs (2020), *A misunderstood passage of Qādir 'Ali-beg Ĵālāyri's Ĵāmī at-Tawārīḫ*. In: Zimonyi, István (ed.): *Ottomans – Crimea – Jochids. Studies in honour of Mária Ivanics*. Szeged: University of Szeged. pp.71– 80.
- DESMAISONS, Petr I. (1970), *Histoire des Mongols et des Tatares par Aboul-Ghazi Behadour Khan: Souverain de Kharezme et Historien Djaghatai 1603-1664 A.D.* St. Leonards: Ad Orientem LTD. Amsterdam: Philo Press.
- DEWEESE, Devin (1994), *Islamization and native religion in the Golden Horde: Baba Tükles and conversation to Islam in historical and epic tradition*. Pennsylvania: The Pennsylvania State University Press.
- DMITRIEVA, Ljudmila V. (2002), *Katalog tjurkskix rukopisej Instituta vostokovedenija Rossijskoj akademii nauk*. Moskva: Vostočnaja literatura.
- DOERFER, Gerhard (1963), *Türkische und Mongolische Elemente im Neupersischen*. I. Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner Verlag GMBH.
- ECKMANN, János (1959), Das Tschaghataische. In: Deny, Jean & Grønbeck, Kaare & Scheel, Helmuth & Togan, Zeki Velidi (eds.) *Philologiae Turcicae Fundamenta*, Wiesbaden: Steiner. pp. 138–160.
- ECKMANN, János (1966), *Chagatay manual*. Bloomington & The Hague: Indiana University & Mouton and Co.
- ERDAL, Marcel (1991), *Old Turkic word formation I–II*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- ERDAL, Marcel (2004), *A grammar of Old Turkic*. Leiden: Brill.
- FAZYLOV, Ergaš & ZIJAEVA, Muxabbat T. (1978), *Izyskannyj dar tjurkskomu jazyku (Grammatičeskij traktat, XIV v. na arabskom jazyke)*. Taškent: Fan.
- FRANK, Allen J. (1998), *Islamic historiography and 'Bulghar' identity among the Tatars and Bashkirs of Russia*. Boston. Köln: Brill.
- GABAIN, Annamarie von (1941 [1974]), *Alttürkische Grammatik*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- GABAIN, Annamarie von (1945), *Özbekische Grammatik* (Porta Linguarum Orientalium 25). Leipzig & Wien: Harrassowitz.
- GABAIN, Annamarie von (1959), Das Alttürkische. In: Deny, Jean & Grønbeck, Kaare & Scheel, Helmuth & Togan, Zeki Velidi (eds.) *Philologiae Turcicae Fundamenta*, Wiesbaden: Steiner. pp. 21–45.
- HASPELMATH, Martin (1995), The converb as a cross-linguistically valid category. In: Haspelmath, Martin & König, Ekkehard (eds.) *Converbs in cross-linguistic perspective. Structure and meaning of adverbial verb forms – adverbial participles, gerunds* (Empirical Approaches to Language Typology 13.) Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter. pp. 1–55.

- HOFMAN, Henri F. (1969), *Turkish literature: a bio-bibliographical survey*. Section III. Part 1. Vol. 5. Utrecht: The library of the University of Utrecht.
- IVANICS, Mária & USMANOV, Mirkasym A. (2002), *Das Buch der Dschingis-Legende: Däftär-i Čingiz-nāmā* (Studia uralo-altaica 44). Szeged: University of Szeged.
- IVANICS, Mária (2017), *Hatalomgyakorlás a steppén – a Dzsingisz-náme nomád világa* [Wielding power on the steppe – The nomadic world of Genghis-name]. Budapest: MTA Bölcsészettudományi Kutatóközpont, Történettudomány Intézet.
- IVANICS, Mária (2022), The khan's inauguration ceremony among the Mongols and Turks. In: Kellner-Heinkele, Barbara & Fodor, Pál (eds.) *Archivum Ottomanicum* 39. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz. pp. 125–149.
- IVANICS, Mária (2024), *The book of the Činggis Legend*. Leiden & Boston: Brill.
- JOHANSON, Lars (1971), *Aspect im Türkischen. Vorstudien zu einer Beschreibung des türkeitürkischen Aspektsystems* (Studia Turcica Upsaliensia 1). Uppsala: Almqvist and Wiksell.
- JOHANSON, Lars (1976), Zum Präsens der nordwestlichen und mittelasiatichen Türk Sprachen. *Acta Orientalia* 37. pp. 57–74.
- JOHANSON, Lars (1995), On Turkic converb clauses. In: Haspelmath, Martin & König, Ekkehard (eds.) *Converbs in cross-linguistic perspective. Structure and meaning of adverbial verb forms – adverbial participles, gerunds* (Empirical Approaches to Language Typology 13). Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter. pp. 313–347.
- JOHANSON, Lars (1999), Typological notes on aspect and actionality in Kipchak Turkic. In Abraham, Werner & Kulikov, Leonid (eds.) *Tense-aspect, transitivity and causativity. Essays in honour of Vladimir Nedjalkov* (Studies in Language Companion series 50). Amsterdam & Philadelphia: Benjamins. pp. 171–184.
- JOHANSON, Lars (2000a), Viewpoint operators in European languages. In: Dahl, Östen (ed.) *Tense and aspect in the languages of Europe*. Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter. pp. 27–187.
- JOHANSON, Lars (2000b), Turkic indirectives. In: Johanson, Lars & Utas, Bo (eds.) *Evidentials. Turkic, Iranian and neighbouring languages*. Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter. pp. 61–87.
- JOHANSON, Lars (2009), Modals in Turkic. In: Hansen, Björn & de Haan, Ferdinand (eds.) *Modals in the languages of Europe. A reference work* (Empirical Approaches to Language Typology 44). Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter. pp. 487–510
- JOHANSON, Lars & ROBBEETS, Martine (2010), Introduction. In: Johanson, Lars & Robbeets, Martine (eds.) *Transeurasian verbal morphology in a comparative perspective: genealogy, contact, chance* (Turcologica 78). Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz. pp. 1–5.
- JOHANSON, Lars (2014), A synopsis of Turkic volitional moods. *Turkic Languages* 18, pp. 19–52.
- JOHANSON, Lars (2020), The classification of the Turkic languages. In: Robbeets, Martine & Savelyev, Alexander (eds.) *The Oxford Guide to Transeurasian languages*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. pp. 105–114.

- JOHANSON, Lars (2021a), *Turkic*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- JOHANSON, Lars (2021b), Grammaticalization in Turkic languages. In: Narrog Heiko & Heine Bernd (eds.) *The Oxford handbook of grammaticalization*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. pp. 754–763.
- JOHANSON, Lars (2022a), The Structure of Turkic. In: Johanson, Lars & Csató, Éva Á. (eds.) *The Turkic languages*. London & New York: Routledge. pp. 26–59.
- JOHANSON, Lars (2022b), The history of Turkic, In: Johanson, Lars & Csató, Éva Á. (eds.) *The Turkic languages*. London & New York: Routledge. pp. 83–120.
- JOHANSON, Lars (2022c), East Old Turkic. In: Johanson, Lars & Csató, Éva Á. (eds.) *The Turkic languages*. London & New York: Routledge. pp. 132–144.
- JUDAXIN, Konstantin K. (1985), *Kirgizsko-russkij slovar'*. Frunze: Sovetskaja ènciklopedija.
- JULDAŠEV, Axnef A. (1965), *Analitičeskie formy glagola v tjurkskix jazykax*. Moskva: Nauka.
- JUMABAY, Uldanay & NEVSKAYA, Irina & OCAK, Nida & TAZHIBAYEVA, Saule (2019), Ambiguous verb sequences in Kazakh in a comparative perspective. In: Csató, Éva Á. & Johanson, Lars & Karakoç, Birsel (eds.) *Ambiguous verb sequences in Transeurasian languages and beyond*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz. pp. 145–174.
- KARAKOÇ, Birsel (2005), *Das finite Verbalsystem im Nogaischen* (Turcologica 58). Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- KARAKOÇ, Birsel (2019), Levels of potential ambiguity in Noghay verb sequences. In: Csató, Éva Á. & Johanson, Lars & Karakoç, Birsel (eds.) *Ambiguous verb sequences in Transeurasian languages and beyond*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz. pp. 175–198.
- KÁROLY, László (2022), West Old Turkic. In: Johanson, Lars & Csató, Éva Á. (eds.) *The Turkic languages*. London & New York: Routledge. pp. 145–151.
- KEMPF, Béla (2013), *Studies in Mongolic historical morphology: verb formation in the Secret History of the Mongols* (Turcologica 95). Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- KERSLAKE, Celia (2022), Ottoman Turkish. In: Johanson, Lars & Csató, Éva Á. (eds.) *The Turkic languages*. London & New York: Routledge. pp. 174–194.
- KHABTAGAEVA, Bayarma (2009), *Mongolic elements in Tuvan* (Turcologica 81). Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- KINCSES-NAGY, Éva (2018), *Mongolic copies in Chaghatay* (Turcologica 115). Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- KOŁODZIEJCZYK, Dariusz (2011), *The Crimean Khanate and Poland-Lithuania: international diplomacy on the European periphery (15th – 18th century): a study of peace treaties followed by annotated documents* (The Ottoman Empire and its Heritage. Politics, Society and Economy 47). Leiden & Boston: Brill.
- KONONOV, Andrej N. (1956) *Grammatika sovremennogo tureckogo literaturnogo jazyka*. Moskva: Izdatel'stvo Akademii Nauk SSSR.

- LESSING, Ferdinand D. (1960), *Mongolian-English Dictionary*. Berkeley & Los Angeles: University of California Press.
- LEWIS, Geoffrey (1967), *Turkish grammar*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- LEWIS, Geoffrey (1974), *The book of Dede Korkut* (Penguin Classics). Harmondsworth: Penguin Books.
- MAMYRBEKOVA, Gülfar M. & SEJTBEKOVA, Ainur A. (2012), *Қадырғали Жалайырдың “Жами-ат таварихинің” тезаврус сөздігі* [Thesaurus dictionary of “*Jāmi‘ at-Tawārīḫ*” by Qadyrghali Zhalayiri] Almatı: Қазақ энциклопедиясі.
- MENGES, Karl H. (1957), *Das Čayatajische in der Persischen Darstellung von Mīrzā Mahdī Xān* (Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Literatur; Abhandlungen der Geistes- und Sozialwissenschaftlichen Klasse 1956, 9). Wiesbaden: Steiner.
- MENGES, Karl H. (1959), Die aralo-kaspische Gruppe (Kasakisch, Karakalpakisch, Nogaisch, Kiptschak-Özbekisch, Kirgisisch). In: Deny, Jean & Grønbeck, Kaare & Scheel, Helmuth & Togan, Zeki Velidi (eds.) *Philologiae Turcicae Fundamenta*, Wiesbaden: Steiner. pp. 434–488.
- MENGES, Karl H. (1968), *The Turkic languages and peoples. An introduction to Turkic studies* (Ural-Altische Bibliothek 15). Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- MIRGALEEV, Il’nur (ed.) (2023), *Zolotoordynskoe obozrenie=Golden Horde Review* 11(2).
- MUHAMEDOWA, Raihan (2016), *Kazakh: a comprehensive grammar*. London & New York: Routledge.
- NADELJAEV, Vladimir M. & NASILOV, Dmitrij M. & TENIŠEV, Èdham R. & ŠČERBAK Aleksandr M. (1969), *drevnetjurkskij slovar’*. Leningrad: Nauka.
- NAGAMINE, Hiroyuki (2019), Ešče raz o sočinenii Kadyr-Ali-beka («Džami at-Tavarix / Sbornik letopisij»). *Zolotoordynskoe obozrenie=Golden Horde Review* 7 (1). pp. 115–130.
- NARROG, Heiko (2005), On defining modality again. *Language Sciences*, 27 (2), pp. 165–192.
- NEVSKAYA, Irina (2005), The typology of prospective in Turkic languages. In: Gippert, Jost & Erdal, Marcel & Voßen, Rainer (eds.): *Sprachtypologie und Universalienforschung*. pp. 111–123.
- NEVSKAYA, Irina (2010), Inclusive and exclusive in Altaic languages. In: Johanson, Lars & Robbeets, Martine (eds.) *Transeurasian verbal morphology in a comparative perspective: genealogy, contact, chance* (Turcologica 78). Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz. pp. 115–128.
- NUGTEREN, Hans & KORPERSHOEK, Maarten (2007), The Oghuz verbalizer +(A)l and its classificatory implications. *Turkic languages* 11, pp. 59–81.
- ÖHi/Mirgaleev = UTEMIŠ XADŽI (2017), *Kara Tavarix* [The Black History]. Transkripcija I. M. Mirgaleeva, È. G. Sajfetdinovoj, Z. T. Xafizova; perevod na russkij jazyk I. M. Mirgaleeva, È. G. Sajfetdinovoj; obščaja i naučnaja redakcija I. M. Mirgaleeva. Kazan’: Institut istorii im. Š. Mardžani AN RT.
- ÖHt/Kamalov = KAMALOV, İlyas (2009). *Ötemiş Hacı, Çengiz-Nâme*. Ankara: Türk tarih kurumu.

ÖHt/Judin = UTEMIŠ XADŽI (1992), *Čingiz-name*. Faksimile, prevod, transkripcija, tekstologičeskie primečanija, issledovanie V. P. Judina. Podgotovila k izdaniju Ju. G. Baranova. Kommentarii i ukazateli M. X. Abuseitovoj. Alma-Ata: Gylym.

ORALBAEVA, Nuržamal (1979), *Қазақ тiлiндегі етистиктiң аналитикалiқ форманттарының құрылысы мен мағынасы* [Structure and meaning of the analytic verb forms in the Kazakh language]. Almati: Үлiм.

POPPE, Nicholas (1954 [2006]), *Grammar of written Mongolian (5th unrevised printing)*. Harrassowitz, Wiesbaden.

QAB/Mingulov et al. = MINGULOV, Nadžip & KÖMEKOV, Bolat & ÖTENIJAZOV, Samat (1997), *Қадiрғали Жалайiр, шежірелер жiнағы* [Compendium of Chronicles]. Almati: Қазақстан.

RACHEWILTZ, Igor de (2004), *The secret history of the Mongols. A Mongolian epic chronicle of the thirteenth century*. Translated with a historical and philological commentary by Igor de Rachewiltz. Leiben & Boston: Brill.

RAGAGNIN, Elisabetta (2011), *Dukhan, a Turkic variety of Northern Mongolia. Description and analysis* (Turcologica 76). Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

RAXIM, Ali (2008), O novom spiske tatarskogo istoričeskogo sočinenija XVII veka. 1927. *Gali Rähim*. Kazan: Žien. pp. 193–213.

RAXIM, Ali (2008), Novye spiski tatarskix letopisei. 1930. *Gali Rähim*. Kazan: Žien. pp. 125–192.

RD = Rashid ad-Din. 1313. *Djami-el-tevarikh: histoire générale du monde: par Rachid ed-Din Fazl Ollah Tarikhi mobareki ghazani: histoire des Mongols*. Téhéran: Kitābkhānah-i Markazi.

RD/Arends = Rašid ad-Din, Fazlallah (1946), *Sbornik letopisej*. Tom III. Pervod s persidskogo A. K. Arendsa. Moskva & Leningrad: Moskva & Leningrad: Izdatel'stvo akademii nauk SSSR.

RD/Smirnova = Rašid ad-Din, Fazlallah (1952), *Sbornik letopisej*. Tom I/2. Pervod s persidskogo O. I. Smirnovoj. Moskva & Leningrad: Izdatel'stvo akademii nauk SSSR.

RD/Thackston = THACKSTON, Wheeler M. (1998). Rashiduddin Fazlullah, *Jami'u't-tawarikh. Compendium of chronicles. A history of the Mongols*. Part one. (Sources of oriental languages & literature series. Central Asian sources IV.) Cambridge, MA: Harvard University. Department of Near Eastern Languages and Civilizations.

RD/Verxovskij = Rašid ad-Din, Fazlallah (1960), *Sbornik letopisej*. Tom II. Pervod s persidskogo Ju. P. Verhovskogo. Moskva & Leningrad: Moskva & Leningrad: Izdatel'stvo akademii nauk SSSR.

RD/Xetagurov = Rašid ad-Din, Fazlallah (1952), *Sbornik letopisej*. Tom I/1. Pervod s persidskogo L. A. Xetagurova. Moskva & Leningrad: Moskva & Leningrad: Izdatel'stvo akademii nauk SSSR.

REDHOUSE, James W. Sir (1974), *New Redhouse Turkish-English dictionary*. Istanbul: Redhouse Press.

RENTZSCH, Julian (2005), *Aspekt im Neuuigurischen* (Turcologica 65). Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

- RENTZSCH, Julian (2015), *Modality in the Turkic languages: form and meaning from a historical and comparative prospective*. Berlin: Klaus Schwartz Verlag.
- RIEU, Charles (1888), *Catalogue of the Turkish manuscripts in the British library*. London: The British Library.
- RÓNA-TAS, András & BERTA, Árpád (2011), *WOT Turkic loanwords in Hungarian*. Part I–II. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- SCHÖNIG, Claus (1984), *Hilfsverben im Tatarischen. Untersuchungen zur Funktionsweise einiger Hilfsverbverbindungen* (Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Literatur. Veröffentlichungen der Orientalischen Kommission 35). Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner Verlag GMBH.
- SCHÖNIG, Claus (1997), *Finite Prädikationen und Textstruktur im Babur-name (Haiderabad-Kodex)*. (Turcologica 31). Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- SEWARD, Desmond (1995), *The monks of war: the military religious orders*. London & New York: Penguin books.
- SIEWIERSKA, Anna (2004), *Person*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- SYZDYKOVA, Rabiga (1989), *Jazyk "Žami' at-tawārix" Žalairi*. Alma-Ata: Nauka.
- SYZDYKOVA, Rabiga (2015), *Jazyk "Žami' at-tawārix" Žalairi*. Astana: Үйлім.
- SYZDYKOVA, Rabiga (2017), *Jazyk "Žami' at-tawārix" Žalairi*. Almaty: El-Šežire.
- SYZDYKOVA, Rābiya & ҚOJGELDIEV, Mämbet (1991), *Қadiryali bi Қosimuli žäne onıñ žilnamalar žinayı* [Qadyrghali bi Qosymuly and his Compendium of Chronicles]. Almatı: Қазақ universiteti.
- SYZDYKOVA, Rābiya & ҚOJGELDIEV, Mämbet (2014), *Қadiryali bi Қosimuli žäne onıñ žilnamalar žinayı* [Qadyrghali bi Qosymuly and his Compendium of Chronicles]. Almatı: El-šežire.
- TOGABAYEVA, Guldana (2021), Finite verb forms in a 17<sup>th</sup> century Turkic written historical text: Qādir 'Ali beg's 'Compendium of Chronicles'. In: Zimonyi, István (ed.) *Altaic and Chagatay lectures. Studies in honour of Éva Kincses-Nagy*. Szeged. pp. 401–415.
- TOGABAYEVA, Guldana (2022), Rukopisi istoričeskogo sočinenija Jāmi' at-tawārīkh «Sbornik letopisej» Kadyr Ali beka. *Turkic Studies Journal*. 4 (2). pp.96–115. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.32523/2664-5157-2022-2-96-115>
- TOGABAYEVA, Guldana (2024a), On voluntatives in *Jā:mi' at-Tawa:ri:χ* 'Compendium of Chronicles' (1602). *Turkic Languages*. 28 (1). pp. 59–69.
- TOGABAYEVA, Guldana (2024b), Denominal verb derivation in *Jāmi' at-Tawārīχ* by Qadir Ali Beg. *Turkic Studies Journal*. 6 (4). pp.168–190. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.32523/2664-5157-2024-4-168-190>
- TOGABAYEVA, Guldana (in print), Ètnonimy vengerskogo naroda v postzoltoordynskix istočnikax. *Archivum Eurasiae Medii Aevi*.
- TREPAVLOV, Vadim V. (1997), Mangut–Mangyt–Nogaj: transformacija ètnosa i ego imeni. In: Xasanov, Mansur X. & Tenišev, Èdhjam R. & Zakiev, Mirfatix Z. (eds.) *Jazyki, duxovnaja*

*kul'tura i istorija tjurkov. Tradicii i sovremennost'. Trudy mezhdunarodnoj konferencii v 3-x tomax. Ijun' 9–13, 1992, g. Kazan' 3. Kazan'. pp. 25–27.*

TRS = *Tatarsko-russkij slovar'* (1966), Slovar' sostavljen naučnymi sotrudnikami Kazanskogo instituta jazyka, literatury i istorii Akademii nauk SSSR i gruppoj vneštatnyx sotrudnikov. Moskva: Sovetskaja ènsiklopedija.

TURAN, Fikret (2022), The emergence, development and spread of the first person plural suffix -K and its variants in Turkic. In: Paić-Vukić, Tatjana & Abadžić Navaey, Azra A. & Andrić, Marta & Kerovec, Barbara & Kursar, Vjeran (eds.). *In honor of the turkologist! Essays celebrating the 70<sup>th</sup> birthday of Ekrem Čaušević*. FF press. pp. 47–54.

USMANOV, Mirkasym A. (1972), *Tatarskie istoričeskie istočniki XVII-XVIII vv.* Kazan': Izdatel'stvo Kazanskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta.

VALIXANOV, Čokan Č. (1961), *Sobranie sočinenij v pjati tomax. Vol. I.* Alma-Ata: Izdatel'stvo Akademii nauk Kazaxskoj SSR.

VALIXANOV, Čokan Č. (1962), *Sobranie sočinenij v pjati tomax. Vol. II.* Alma-Ata: Izdatel'stvo Akademii nauk Kazaxskoj SSR.

VALIXANOV, Čokan Č. (1964), *Sobranie sočinenij v pjati tomax. Vol. III.* Alma-Ata: Izdatel'stvo Akademii nauk Kazaxskoj SSR.

VALIXANOV, Čokan Č. (1968), *Sobranie sočinenij v pjati tomax. Vol. IV.* Alma-Ata: Izdatel'stvo Akademii nauk Kazaxskoj SSR.

VALIXANOV, Čokan Č. (1972), *Sobranie sočinenij v pjati tomax. Vol. V.* Alma-Ata: Izdatel'stvo Akademii nauk Kazaxskoj SSR.

VEL'JAMINOV-ZERNOV, Vladimir V. (1864), *Issledovanie o kasimovskix carjax i carevičax*. P. II. Sanktpeterburg: Tipografija imperatorskoj akademii nauk.

XISAMIEVA, Zamzamija A. (1980), *Jazyk dastanov Kadyr-Gali beka*. Doctoral dissertation. Kazan'.

XISAMIEVA, Zamzamija A. (2022), *Jazyk dastanov Kadyr Ali-beka*. Kazan': Institut istorii im. Š. Mardžani AN RT.

ZAATOV, Ismet A. (2015), Krymskotatarskaja istorija i «Džami at-tavarix» Žalairi v tvorčestve Čokana Valixanova. *Krymskoe istoričeskoe obozrenie=Crimean Historical Review*, No. 1, Kazan' & Baxčisarai. pp. 232–244.

ZAJCEV, Il'ja V. (2007), Zapadnoe xristianstvo na vostočnom rubeže rossijskoj imperii (Iz nepravoslavnyx xristianskix missij sredi rossijskix musul'man: katoličeskaja i presviterianskaja missija v Astraxani v XVIII–XIX vv.), *Religii mira: istorija i sovremennost'*. 2005. Moskva: Nauka, pp. 145–168.

ZAJCEV, Il'ja V. (2009), Arabskie, persidskie i tjurkskie rukopisi v Xadži-Tarxane / Astraxani. Russkaja Astraxan' kak centr perepiski rukopisej, *Pax Islamica*. (3)2, pp. 206–211.

ZAJCEV, Il'ja V. (2023), Byl li Xadži-Girej Kazanskim xanom? Popytki ob'jasnenija «Dastana o Xadži-Giree» «Sbornika letopisej» Kadyr Ali-beka. *Zolotoordynskoe obozrenie=Golden Horde Review*. 11 (2), pp. 335–348.



## List of Sources

Web1: <https://nabr.kz/bookView/view/?brId=1557130&simple=true&green=1>

Web2: <http://hdl.handle.net/11701/15394>

Web3: <https://repo.kpfu.ru/jspui/handle/net/19743>

Web4: [https://digital.staatsbibliothek-berlin.de/werkansicht/?PPN=PPN1029882991&PHYSID=PHYS\\_0005](https://digital.staatsbibliothek-berlin.de/werkansicht/?PPN=PPN1029882991&PHYSID=PHYS_0005)

## APPENDICES

The present section contains four different appendices. They are listed in the following order: index of inflectional suffixes, index of viewpoint operators, index of postverbal constructions, and index of other devices of finite verb forms.

The presence of four separate appendices differs on the basis of different verbal categories. Each is arranged in alphabetical order. The sign [N] in square brackets is entered as nominals in the analytic denominal verb derivation. In that case, the initial of the verb should be considered, e.g. [N] **ḵīl-** can be realized as *āzād ḵīl-* ‘to free, liberate, relieve’, *ḵabūl ḵīl-* ‘to agree, accept’, *īkrām ḵīl-* ‘to respect’ and so on. The sounds recorded in suffixes with changing initials, such as {-(A)yI-n}, mean that the initial -A in the {-AyI-n} is recorded after the final consonant, such as *ḵīl-* ‘to do, make’ in *ḵīl-ayīn*, while the morphemes ending in a vowel are recorded with {-yI-n}, such as *hān-la-yīn*. The forms of the morphemes listed in the appendices are abstracted from the spelling of their instances. If a suffix has several spellings, it is included with all of its spellings. This implies that if a morpheme appears only once, it is documented in its original form in bold, such as **köč-** ‘to migrate’. If multiple morphs with distinct forms that can be categorized under the same morpheme appear in the *Compendium*, they are recorded at the most general level of abstraction in bold, such as **tég-** ‘to reach’, and the lowest possible level of the instances appears non-bolded, such as *tēy-* ‘to reach’. Additionally, when a morpheme has different inflexional suffixes, only the lexical stem of the first exemplified instance is bolded, e.g. **at-a-**, while the next identical lexical stem with a different inflexional or derivational suffix is unbolded, e.g. *at-a-n-*.

Each individual register contains a specific set of linguistic characteristics, for example, the volutative marker {-(A)yI-n}. The abstract form of the suffix includes all possible variations (with or without an initial vowel, which can be *a* or *e*). In this case, the allomorphs are {-ayī-n}, {-eyī-n}, {-yī-n}, and {-yi-n}. The list of verb lexemes in bold coincides with the suffix and its meaning. If it starts with [N], then the list of nominals with which the verb lexeme co-occurs appears without bold typing. The meaning of the suffix VOL 1SG is ‘first person singular volutative’ (see the abbreviations). After the head of a given suffix, each instance in which it occurs is marked with ~. Examples of verb lexemes contain their location in the *Compendium*. For example, in 96r/2, the first number represents the folio number, *r* stands for *recto* (the front side of the leaf), *v* stands for *verso* (the back side of the leaf), and the last number indicates the line on the folio.

The aim of Appendix 1. Index of inflectional suffixes is used to determine the morphophonetic structures of the verb suffixes found in the *Compendium*. Appendix 2. Index of viewpoint operators indicates the grammaticalized postverbal constructions. The appendix

includes the inflexional suffixes but does not emphasize them. Viewpoint operators have been divided into four groups: intraterminals in the non-past, intraterminals in the past, postterminals in the non-past, and postterminals in the past. Appendix 3. Index of postverbial constructions contains the developed items of analytic derivation with a lexical verb in the converbial form followed by an auxiliary verb. The list of postverbial constructions in the appendix recorded lexical verbs with the <A> and <B> type converbs. The first item on the list is the <A> type converb with the auxiliary verb in alphabetical order. The last Appendix 4. Index of other devices of finite verb forms indicates the forms of different participles and prospectivities.

## APPENDIX 1. Index of inflectional suffixes

### Bare verb stem IMP

*aṭ-la-n~* ‘to march against, set out’ 4v/7

### {-AII} VOL 1PL

*yara-š~* ‘to make peace’ 54r/2

### {-AII-K} VOL 1PL

[N] *bol~*

*el bol~* ‘to submit to, surrender to’ 58v/5

*al~mu* ‘to take’ 99v/10

*ḳayt~mu* ‘to turn back, return’ 99v/10

*ur-uš~mu* ‘to fight, battle’ 58v/4

*yara-š~mu* ‘to make peace’, ‘to agree’, ‘to be suitable, fit’ 58v/4

### {-AII-m} VOL 1PL

[N] *ḳil~*

*ḫilāf ḳil~* ‘to act against, disobey’ 83v/10

*kāč~* ‘to pass’ 83v/9

*soḳ~* ‘to beat’ 94r/3

*öt-küz<sup>75</sup>~* ‘to act, let graze, cause to pass through’ 84r/2

### {-AII-ḡ} VOL 1PL

[N] *ḳil~*

*yaylamış[ī] ḳil~* ‘to pass the summer’ 145v/6

*semür-t~* ‘to fatten’ 145v/7

### {-AyI-m} VOL 1SG

*al~* ‘to take’ 19r/7

*tut~* ‘to take, hold’ 19r/7

### {-(A)yI-n} VOL 1SG

[N] *bol~*

*el bol~* ‘to submit to, surrender to’ 57v/8

*muṭī bol~* ‘to obey’ 19r/10

*ḫān-la~* ‘to enthrone’ 143v/9

*sözd°n čik-ma~* ‘not to go against’ 19r/9

*ḳil~* ‘to do, make’ 19r/10

*et-mü~* ‘not to do, not to make’ 20v/10

*oltur~* ‘to sit down, sit’ 96r/2

### {-DI} TERM

[N] *ay~*

*jāwāb ay~* ‘to answer, respond’ 27v/10–11, 83v/11, 101v/11–102r/1

[N] *ber~*

*jāwāb bār~* ‘to answer, respond’ 19r/8

*ḫabār bār~* ‘to notify’ 51v/9, 53v/8, 57v/9, 99v/9

*ḳüj bār~* ‘to help, support’ 71r/9, 72v/3, 73r/9, 73v/4

*ijāzāt bār~* ‘to allow, permit’ 154v/1

---

<sup>75</sup> K: *öt-kär* (f.34r/1).

**[N] bār~lār**

*kūj bār~lār* ‘to help, support’ 72r/6  
*kōñül bār~lār* ‘to give one’s heart’ 87v/2  
*i’lām bār~lār* ‘to notify’ 143v/6

**[N] bol~**

*hāmīlā bol~* ‘to become pregnant, get pregnant’ 27v/7  
*mufaṣṣal bol-un~* ‘to be explained in detail’ 106r/10  
*ḡālib bol~* ‘to prevail, overcome’ 21r/11  
*vāfāt bol~* ‘to die’ 26v/4, 52r/8, 56r/3, 103v/3, 132v/3, 133r/10, 133v/2, 134r/2, 144r/2, 146r/5  
*jami’ bol~* ‘to gather’ 49r/3  
*judā bol~* ‘to be(come) separate’ 51r/5  
*el bol~* ‘to submit to, surrender to’ 44v/1, 58v/11, 63r/8, 69v/3–4, 70v/11, 76r/9, 76v/4  
*tamām bol~* ‘to be completed’ 102v/10, 104v/10, 107v/8–9, 110v/7, 113r/10, 114r/4–5, 114v/2, 116r/7, 116v/6, 117r/9, 117v/10, 118r/11, 118v/10, 121v/8, 125r/6, 128r/8, 134v/7, 136v/6, 139r/11, 140v/2, 141v/1, 144r/9, 147r/1  
*šāhīd bol~* ‘to be martyred’ 150r/5, 150r/7, 151r/1, 153r/8  
*paydā bol~* ‘to appear’ 3v/7, 11v/1, 15v/10, 27v/4–5, 28r/1, 31v/7, 31v/9, 33r/7, 78r/3, 84r/6, 90v/3, 102r/4, 149v/10  
*baydā bol~* 24r/4, 24r/m, 24v/2, 53r/11  
*yaraliy bol~* ‘to get wounded’ 145v/11  
*zaḡmliḡ bol~* ‘to get wounded’ 146r/1  
*hāṣīl bol~* ‘to happen, occur’ 56v/11, 149r/1, 149r/2, 149r/3, 149r/4, 149r/5, 149v/1  
*hāṣīl bol-ma~* 88v/1  
*māṣyūl bol~* ‘to be busy with something, be devoted to something’ 61v/1, 141v/10  
*mukarrar bol~* ‘to be considered certain, take it for granted’ 70v/11, 85v/10  
*mājālī bol-ma~* ‘not to be strong’ 2r/11

**[N] bol~lar**

*jami’ bol~lar* ‘to gather’ 51v/8, 55r/3  
*el bol~lar* ‘to submit to, surrender to’ 34v/1<sup>76</sup>, 52v/2, 57r/8  
*judā bol~lar* ‘to be(come) separate’ 54r/4–5, 153r/6  
*hālāk bol~lar* ‘to die’ 101v/4–5  
*šāhīd bol~lar* ‘to be martyred’ 150v/2, 150v/7  
*sākin bol~lar* ‘to live, settle’ 85r/9  
*maḡhūr bol~lar* ‘to be conquered’ 56v/5  
*hāzīr bol~lar* ‘to be present’ 153r/10–11

**[N] er~**

*ārzū er~* ‘to wish’ 69r/3  
*ḡayāt er~* ‘to live’ 44v/4, 68v/9  
*judā eer~* ‘to be(come) separate’ 52r/2, 54r/7  
*niyāzliḡ er~* ‘to pray’ 19v/5  
*tā’alluḡ er~* ‘to be attached to’ 66v/3, 84v/3–4  
*ta’yīn er~* ‘to appoint’ 75r/11  
*hāzīr er~* ‘to be present’ 155r/7, 156v/1  
*zaḡmliḡ er~* ‘to get wounded’ 142v/7

**[N] eer~lār**

*tā’alluḡ er~* ‘to be attached to’ 83v/7  
*hāzīr eer~lār* ‘to be present’ 153v/1, 154r/4, 154v/11–155r/1, 155v/7

**[N] e[t]~**

*hālāk e[t]~* ‘to kill’ 34v/2–3

<sup>76</sup> † ايلتى لار <’ylty l’r>.

*maḥbūs e[t]~* ‘to captivate’ 71v/4

*niyāz e[t]~* ‘to make a request’ 27v/6

*musaxḫar e[t]~* ‘to subdue’ 86v/7

[N] *e[t]~lār*

*ḥisāb e[t]~lār* ‘to count’ 86v/5

*kaṣd e[t]~lār* ‘to have (evil) intentions (against)’ 100v/7–8

*maḳām e[t]~lār* ‘to live, reside’ 21v/3

[N] *et-iš~*

*dostluḳ et-iš~* ‘to maintain friendly relations’ 2r/9

[N] *ḳal~*

*tul ḳal~* ‘to become a widow’ 25v/1

*tul ḳal~* ‘to become a widow’ 26v/4

[N] *ḳil~*

*āzād ḳil~* ‘to free, liberate, relieve’ 156r/8

*basmišī ḳil~* ‘to press’ 100r/2

*bī-hūšlik ḳil~* ‘to lose consciousness’ 101r/9

*čirḳamišī ḳil~* ‘to take pleasure, enjoy, have fun’ 156r/2–3

*ḫurūj ḳil~* ‘to set out (on a campaign)’ 4r/4

*rūzī ḳil~* ‘to allot’ 4v/9

*tažarru ḳil~* ‘to humbly to beg’ 17r/9

*tāmājamišī ḳil~* ‘to fight, struggle’, ‘to contest, contend’ 130v/2

*ḳabūl ḳil~* ‘to agree, accept’ 19v/4, 143v/9

*muwāfaḳatlik ḳil~* ‘to agree, consent’ 20v/3

*ḫabār ḳil~* ‘to put on notice’ 21r/3–4, 146r/3

*toḳuṣ ḳil~* ‘to wage war’ 21r/6–7, 37v/1, 48r/7, 50r/7, 142v/5, 145v/4–5

*uruṣ ḳil~* ‘to battle, fight’ 42r/3, 52r/4

*ḫarb ḳil~* ‘to fight’ 38r/5

*baḫšīš ḳil~* ‘to present’ 24v/7, 45r/6, 45v/1, 79v/7, 79v/11, 154r/1

*‘āḳillik ḳil~* ‘to make a smart choice’ 27v/6

*pādišāhlik ḳil~* ‘to reign’ 28v/1, 31r/8, 37r/11, 118r/6, 122r/7, 122v/5, 128v/6, 142v/9–10, 152v/5

*dušmānlik ḳil~* ‘to be in enmity, feud’ 32r/6, 36v/3, 37r/8

*baḫadurlik ḳil~* ‘to commit heroism’ 38r/6

*māyil ḳil~* ‘to lean (towards someone’s side)’ 35v/11, 47v/9

*šoḫbāt ḳil~* ‘to talk, chat, speak’ 39r/4

*siyuryamiš[ī] ḳil~* ‘to favor, benefit, grant, bestow’ 132v/10

*yarliḳ ḳil~* ‘to command, order’ 39v/6

*ḥisāb ḳil~* ‘to count’ 39v/7

*ikrām ḳil~* ‘to respect’ 42r/8

*tārbiyāt ḳil~* ‘to bring up, educate, train, raise, teach manners’ 48r/1

*māni ḳil~* ‘to hinder, resist’ 48r/2

*ḳanā t ḳil~* ‘to be satisfied, be content’ 49v/6

*mādād ḳil~* ‘to help’ 49v/10

*ḳārāt ḳil~* ‘to raid, sack, plunder, pillage’ 51r/7, 61v/5, 62r/4

*olḳa ḳil~* ‘to capture as prey’ 52r/5

*yaman ḳil~* ‘to do mischief’ 52r/2

*ḫalab ḳil~* ‘to request, require, expect’ 57v/7–8, 63r/1

*ḫarāb ḳil~* ‘to destroy, lay waste’ 61v/6

*vaṣiyāt ḳil~* ‘to make a will, bequeath, make a testamentary arrangement’ 63r/2, 88v/4

*iḥsān ḳil~* ‘to shower grace’ 156r/7, 156r/9

*ḫayr [ḳil]~* ‘to shower grace’ 156r/7

*‘arza ḳil~* ‘to submit a request’, ‘to report’ 63r/7, 83v/6–7

*ḥawālāt kīl~* ‘to entrust, transfer’ 71r/10, 72v/3,  
*ta’yīn kīl~* ‘to appoint’ 71r/11, 72v/4, 156r/6  
*tahkīk kīl~* ‘to approve, certify’ 72r/10  
*kōñül kīl~* ‘to concentrate, maintain attention’ 79v/5  
*naṣīḥat kīl~* ‘to instruct’ 89r/6  
*nazar kīl~* ‘to look, gaze, glance’ 98r/11  
*suāl kīl~* ‘to ask, request’ 99v/9  
*paydā kīl~* ‘to appear’ 101v/1  
*vāfāt kīl~* ‘to kill’ 103r/6, 145r/7, 146r/4  
*šāhīd kīl~* ‘to martyr’ 151r/1–2, 151v/5  
‘*azm kīl~* ‘to head for, set out on a journey’ 154v/3  
‘*azīmāt kīl~* ‘to head for, set out on a journey’ 97r/11  
*aḡāz kīl~* ‘to start, begin’ 155r/8,  
*taṣnīf kīl~* ‘to compose’ 157r/8  
*vaṭan kīl~* ‘to settle down’ 31r/5  
*yaylamīšī kīl~* ‘to pass the summer’ 60v/4  
*yasamīšī kīl~* ‘to set regulations, set things in order’ 90v/4  
*musaxḡar kīl~* ‘to subdue’ 37r/10, 48v/5, 152v/6  
*tābi kīl~* ‘to subdue’ 37r/10

**[N] kīl~lar**

*mīsāl kīl~lar* ‘to compare’ 2v/9  
*ittifāk kīl~lar* ‘to agree, be unanimous’, ‘to be allied’ 20v/11  
*toḡuṣ kīl~lar* ‘to wage war’ 21r/9–10, 51r/3, 57v/2–3, 143r/11–143v/1  
*tāmājāmišī<sup>77</sup> kīl~lar* ‘to fight, struggle’, ‘to contest, contend’, ‘to cause discord’ 21r/10  
*uruṣ kīl~lar* ‘to battle, fight’ 36v/5  
*kaṭl kīl~lar* ‘to kill’ 36v/6  
*ḡawwāṣṡīk kīl~lar* ‘to dive’ 27r/4  
‘*azm kīl~lar* ‘to head for, set out on a journey’ 42v/1  
*bānd[ä] kīl~lar* ‘to enslave, subjugate’ 48r/5  
*naḡl kīl~lar* ‘to tell, rehearse’ 15v/11  
*keṡāṣ kīl~* 58v/3–4  
*keṡäj kīl~lar* ‘to take counsel (together)’ 51v/3–4<sup>78</sup>, 88r/8  
*ḡilāf kīl~lar* ‘to act against, disobey’ 52v/8  
*kaḡbül kīl~lar* ‘to agree, accept’ 56v/9–10  
*dāfn kīl~lar* ‘to bury’ 64r/6–7, 150r/8, 151r/5, 151v/7  
*mülāzāmāt kīl~lar* ‘to serve diligently’ 84r/11  
*mülāzāmätlik kīl~* ‘to serve diligently’ 83r/3  
‘*arza kīl~lar* ‘to submit a request’, ‘to report’ 88r/3–4  
*suāl kīl~lar* ‘to ask, request’ 101v/9  
*nīsbat kīl~lar* ‘to relate, attribute’ 132r/3  
*sančīṣ kīl~lar* ‘to fight a fierce battle’ 143v/3  
‘*ayṣ [kīl~lar]* ‘to bliss’ 154v/7  
*färāyät [kīl~lar]* ‘to relax’ 154v/7  
*āsāyīṣlik kīl~lar* ‘to relax’ 154v/7–8  
*du ā [kīl~lar]* ‘to pray, read the prayers’ 155v/7  
*sānā kīl~lar* ‘to pay tribute to’, ‘to praise, commend’ 155v/7  
*vādā kīl~lar* ‘to give a goodbye, say farewell’ 89v/3  
*vaṭan kīl~lar* ‘to settle down’ 9v/2  
*ṡoy kīl~lar* ‘to feast, celebrate, make feast’ 141v/6

<sup>77</sup> † *tāmājāmišī*.

<sup>78</sup> † نیکج <nygj>.

*oljamišī kīl~lar* ‘to present gifts’ 58r/6  
*mānzil kīl~lar* ‘to settle down’ 9v/3  
*niṣār kīl~lar* ‘to scatter small coins’ K: f.68r/7<sup>79</sup>  
*tapa kīl~lar* ‘to lean to the side, go to the side’ 52v/11  
*yasamišī kīl~lar* ‘to set regulations, set things in order’ 22r/10–11  
*musayḡar kīl~lar* ‘to subdue’ 85r/4  
*ḥāšil kīl~lar* ‘to result, accrue’, ‘to be obtained, be acquired’ 27r/5  
*ḡāfil kīl~lar* ‘to catch by surprise, catch at a weak moment’ 54v/1  
*muḡarrar kīl~lar* ‘to establish, approve, assign’ 56v/10  
*mu‘ayyän kīl~lar* ‘to define’ 86v/11

**[N] kīl~ma~**

*ḡabūl kīl~ma~* ‘not to agree, not to accept’ 18r/9, 18v/2–3  
*vāfā kīl~ma~* ‘not to fulfill the promised word’ 35r/5  
*māni‘ kīl~ma~* ‘not to hinder, not to resist’ 53v/2  
*kōñül kīl~ma~* ‘not to concentrate, not to maintain attention’ 79v/9, 84r/8  
*iltifāt kīl~ma~* ‘not to treat with favor, not to show courtesy, not to take notice’ 18r/11

**[N] kīl~ma~lar**

*ḡabūl kīl~ma~lar* ‘not to agree, not to accept’ 74r/11

**[N] kīl~m**

*ḡabūl kīl~m* ‘to agree, accept’ 19r/11, 19v/2  
*istinbāt kīl~m* ‘to gain, benefit’ 91r/2  
*iḡtiyār kīl~m* ‘to choose, elect’ 92r/8  
*hālāk kīl~m* ‘to kill, cause to perish’ 100v/10  
*ḡamlä kīl~m* ‘to make an attack’ 101r/6, 101v/3  
*šīrīn kīl~m* ‘to sweeten, make sweet’ 98v/4  
*iḡtiāj kīl~m* ‘to be needy’ 98v/9  
*ḡaryarä kīl~m* ‘to gargle’ 101v/1  
*yīrāk kīl~m* ‘to alienate, distance’ 19r/6

**[N] kīl~ma~m**

*šoḡbāt kīl~ma~m* ‘not to talk, not to chat, not to speak’ 19r/3  
*intizār kīl~ma~m* ‘not to wait’ 101r/5

**[N] kīl~dur~**

*ḡisāb kīl~dur~* ‘to make to count’ 40v/6–7  
*šarḡ kīl~dur~* ‘to force to make conditions’ 52v/5  
*musayḡar kīl~dur~* ‘to capture’, lit. ‘to cause to be subdued’ 2v/7, 57r/7  
*tābi‘ kīl~dur~* ‘to capture’, lit. ‘to cause to be subdued’ 2v/7–8

**[N] kīl~k**

*muḡtašar kīl~k* ‘to shorten’ 11r/5  
*hālāk kīl~k* ‘to kill, cause to perish’ 94v/4  
*yād kīl~k* ‘to remember, mention’ 152r/11

**[N] kīl~ḡ**

*‘arza kīl~ḡ* ‘to submit a request’, ‘to report’ 100r/1

**[N] kīl~m•z**

*inkār kīl~m•z* ‘to retract’ 20r/11  
*bitimäklik kīl~m•z* ‘to write (completed), compose’ 77r/3

**[N] kīl~in~**

*takrīr kīl~in~* ‘to be reported’ 16v/3  
*yād kīl~in~* ‘to be remembered, be mentioned’ 46v/6, 142r/6, 152r/7, 157v/10–11  
*tārīb kīl~in~* ‘to be listed’ 110r/10, 117v/8, 120r/3

<sup>79</sup> StP: *niṣārlar kīldīlar* (f.155v/8).



*tafşıl kıl-in~* ‘to be illustrated in detail’ 48v/10, 65v/11, 107r/4, 108r/6, 108v/2, 110v/5, 116r/8, 116v/9, 118r/2, 118r/9, 118v/4, 119r/1, 120v/8, 122r/2, 122r/5, 122v/9, 125r/11, 133v/10, 134v/7

*ta’yin kıl-in~* ‘to be appointed’ 76v/3

*hādīs kıl-in~* ‘to occur, come into existence’ 25v/8

*mufaşşal kıl-in~* ‘to be explained in detail’ 114v/8, 117v/2, 119v/10

[N] *kıl-iş~*

*χūşūmat kıl-iş~* ‘to antagonize each other, feud with one another’ 32v/1

*maşāff kıl-iş~lar* ‘to fight each other on the battlefield’ 146r/2

[N] *kel~*

*χoš kel~* ‘to please, like’ 65v/1

[N] *ķoy~*

*ķadam ķoy~* ‘to step, tread’ 62r/10

*at ķoy~* ‘to name’ 69r/4

*at ķoy~lar* ‘to name’ 56v/9

[N] *ķoy-il~*

*at ķoy-ul~* ‘to be named’ 126r/7

[N] *tab~*

*ķuwwät tab~* ‘to gain strength’ 49r/8

*şuhrät tab~* ‘to become famous, earn fame’ 10v/8

*väfät tab~* ‘to die’ 44r/4, 45v/5–6, 45v/9, 47r/5, 47v/1, 47v/4, 55r/7, 63v/2, 67r/1, 78r/11, 127v/4, 130r/2, 130r/11, 131r/6–7, 131v/1, 132r/8, 139r/9, 139r/10–11, 142v/3, 149v/11, 152v/7–8, 152v/11, 151r/4, 151v/6

*zäfär tab~* ‘to achieve victory, conquer the field’ 98r/6

[N] *tab~lar*

*väfät tab~lar* ‘to die’ 46r/3

[N] *yasa~lar*

*χān yasa~lar* ‘to enthrone’ 147r/9, 147v/11

*aĵ~* ‘to open’ 111v/9

*ač-il~* ‘to be opened’ 97v/3

*ayr-i~* ‘to be painful, suffer’ 52v/10

*ayr-i~lar* ‘to be painful, suffer’ 20v/11

*al~* ‘to take’ 18r/5, 18v/2, 21v/1, 32v/9, 45r/1, 45r/2, 45r/5, 45r/10, 51r/1, 55v/9, 57r/3, 58r/8, 58r/11, 59r/8, 60v/11, 61r/1, 61r/8, 61r/9, 61v/2, 61v/5, 62r/4, 62r/6, 62v/7, 62v/8, 72v/1, 72v/9, 76r/1, 99v/7, 103r/11, 111r/10, 111v/10, 127r/9, 128v/5, 129v/6, 130r/6, 134r/8(x2), 134v/4, 135r/3(x2), 135r/6, 135r/8, 135r/10, 135v/3, 137r/4, 137r/5, 137r/7, 137r/9, 138r/10, 138v/4, 138v/6, 139v/10, 140v/6, 140v/11, 141r/7, 141r/8, 145r/11

*al~lar* ‘to take’ 52v/9, 58v/9, 142r/3

*a[t]~lar* ‘to throw, shoot’ 101r/6–7

*at-a~* ‘to call out (someone’s name)’, ‘to nominate to a post’, ‘to betroth’ 38r/10, 46v/2, 71v/2, 72r/2, 139r/4, 141r/7

*at-a~lar* ‘to call out (someone’s name)’, ‘to nominate to a post’, ‘to betroth’ 13r/1, 16v/7–8, 18r/3, 25r/11, 32v/7, 37v/10, 42v/4, 52r/11, 54v/10, 55r/3–4, 55r/5, 72v/4, 130r/5, 132r/2, 134v/1, 136r/10, 136r/11, 136v/8, 139v/7

*at-a-n~* ‘to be named, be called’, ‘to be famous’ 2v/1, 10v/2, 10v/5, 11v/1, 38r/7, 131v/4

*at-a-n~lar* ‘to be named, be called’, ‘to be famous’ 31r/1

*aĵ-la-n~* ‘to march against, set out’ 21r/6, 29v/3, 51v/5, 52r/11, 52v/5, 53r/4, 55v/2, 57r/1, 57r/6, 57v/1, 58r/1, 60v/2, 61v/11, 62v/4–5, 97v/1

*aĵ-la-n~ķ* ‘to march against, set out’ 94v/2

*ay~* ‘to say, tell’ 18r/6, 18r/9, 18v/2, 19r/1, 19r/11, 20v/7, 30r/4, 30v/6, 42r/9(x3), 46v/2, 56v/3, 65r/10, 88v/6, 94r/3, 97v/4, 100r/2(x2), 100r/3, 100r/6, 100r/9, 102r/1, 142v/7, 143v/7, 143v/8

*ay[t]~* ‘to say, tell’ 25v/11

*ay~m* ‘to say, tell’ 136v/11, 139v/5  
*ay[t]~m* ‘to say, tell’ 89r/8  
*ay~lar* ‘to say, tell’ 15r/9, 34r/5, 74r/11, 94r/2, 126r/1, 128r/1, 128r/7  
*ay-tur~* ‘to arrange a match’, lit. ‘to force to say’ 70r/5, 133r/6, 141r/8  
*ayr-il-ma~* ‘not to be separated, not to be parted’ 40v/1  
*ayr-il~lar* ‘to be separated, be parted’ 10v/2  
*bay-la~* ‘to tie, fasten’ 132v/1  
*bar~* ‘to go’ 36v/3–4, 36v/4(x2), 49v/5, 53v/9, 55v/7, 56r/9, 57v/4, 57v/5, 58r/8, 58v/7, 60v/9, 61r/6, 63r/5, 68r/7, 80r/3, 86v/1<sup>80</sup>, 101r/7  
*bar~lar* ‘to go’ 50v/9–10, 53r/5, 53v/10, 59v/7, 127v/1–2  
*bar-ma~* ‘not to go’ 18v/4  
*bas~* ‘to press, crush, oppress’ 50v/9, 51v/5, 54v/2, 57r/2  
*bas~lar* ‘to press, crush, oppress’ 51r/10, 59v/11  
*bas-tur~* ‘to give order to crush’ 30r/6  
*baš-la~lar* ‘to begin, lead’ 52v/11  
*baš-la~m* ‘to begin, lead’ 77r/2, 133v/8  
*baḡ-tur~* ‘to make obey’ 54v/9, 59r/11, 60r/9, 61r/8, 111v/5, 122v/6, 130r/1  
*belgü-r~* ‘to appear, became manifest’ 63r/10  
*bär~* ‘to give’ 4v/8, 16r/4, 21r/2, 21v/10, 30v/9, 31r/8, 35v/9, 39r/6, 43r/7, 43v/1, 43v/3, 43v/5, 43v/6, 43v/8, 44r/5, 45r/3, 46r/5, 46r/6, 46r/8, 46r/9, 46r/10, 46v/4, 48r/6, 49v/5, 50r/9, 51v/1, 58r/2, 58v/6, 60r/6, 72r/10, 74v/1, 75r/8, 76r/3, 77v/8, 78r/8, 78v/4, 80v/5, 80v/11, 81r/3, 81v/1, 81v/4, 82r/5, 82v/9, 83r/9, 84r/11, 88r/3, 90v/6, 122r/11, 124v/5, 124v/9, 124v/10, 127v/9, 129v/2, 130v/7, 132r/1, 133r/1, 133r/2, 133r/3, 133r/4, 134r/3, 134r/5, 134r/7, 134r/10, 134v/4, 134v/6, 136r/9, 136v/3, 136v/5, 137v/4, 137v/5, 137v/7, 137v/8, 137v/10, 139r/5, 139r/7, 140r/10, 140r/11, 141v/7  
*bär-il~* ‘to be given’ 73v/9, 84r/9–10, 85v/2, 87v/4, 108r/8, 112r/3, 141r/11  
*bär~lär* ‘to give’ 21v/11, 40v/3–4, 86v/6, 88r/5, 88r/9, 101v/5, 127r/6, 136v/1  
*ber-mä~* ‘not to give’ 19v/4, 80r/8  
*bär~m* ‘to give’ 82r/8, 100r/4  
*bil~m* ‘to know’ 5r/9  
*bil-mä~lär* ‘not to know’ 27r/3  
*bil~lär* ‘to know’ 95v/2  
*biti~m* ‘to write’ 119r/11, 133v/9  
*biti~k* ‘to write’ 105r/11  
*biti-l~* ‘to be written’ 66v/1, 77r/1, 82r/7, 157r/9, 157v/3  
*biti-l-mä~* ‘not to be written’ 80v/3  
*biti~lär* ‘to write’ 79r/2  
*biy-lä~* ‘to rule’ 11v/2, 26v/7, 67r/1, 67r/2, 67r/3, 67v/8, 73v/7, 74v/4, 77v/1, 82v/11, 85v/10, 109v/5, 122v/2, 129r/1, 143r/3, 143r/4, 143r/6, 149v/7, 151r/2  
*biy-lä-mä~* ‘not to rule’ 151r/3  
*bir-ik~* ‘to join’ 36v/3, 67r/7, 80r/6, 94r/11  
*bol~* ‘to be(come)’ 7r/1, 7r/3, 7r/5, 7r/11, 7v/3, 7v/6, 7v/6–7, 7v/9, 8r/1, 8r/4, 9r/11, 9v/1, 12v/10, 13v/3–4, 13v/10, 14r/4, 14r/5, 15v/5, 15v/7, 17v/5, 17v/7, 18r/3, 20v/2, 21v/1–2, 23v/9, 26v/6, 27v/7, 28v/3, 30v/11, 31v/4, 34r/9, 34v/7, 34v/8, 35r/2, 35r/11, 35v/11, 37v/3, 38v/7, 39v/1, 42r/3, 42v/7, 42v/9, 48v/1, 50r/9, 55r/7, 56v/11, 57r/5, 59r/4, 61v/3, 62v/5, 64v/6, 65v/9(x2), 65v/10, 66v/1, 66v/11, 67v/2, 69r/4, 69r/11, 69v/1, 69v/3, 69v/5, 70v/2, 71r/7, 72r/3, 72r/7, 72v/5, 76v/11(x2), 78r/10, 78v/3, 79r/10(x2), 79v/3, 81r/4, 81r/7(x2), 82r/7, 91r/3, 94r/5, 98r/7, 100v/9, 101r/11, 101v/3, 101v/11, 102r/3, 102r/7, 120v/10, 126v/9, 130v/11, 131r/11,

<sup>80</sup> † بايردی <b’yrdy>; K: *bar-dī* (f.35r/4).

133r/10, 133v/7, 135r/5, 136r/3, 136r/4, 136v/8, 141r/11, 143r/1(x2), 143r/3(x2), 143r/5, 143r/6, 143r/8, 144r/3, 145v/11, 146r/1, 149r/8, 152v/4, 150v/11, 151r/2  
*bol-ma~* ‘not to be(come)’ 46r/3, 49v/5, 55r/4, 73r/11, 75v/6, 78v/4, 83r/1, 86v/6, 107v/8, 127r/9, 127v/5, 130r/1, 143r/6, 150v/3, 150v/9  
*bol~m* ‘to be(come)’ 19v/3  
*bol~ŋ* ‘to be(come)’ 56v/6  
*bol~lar* ‘to be(come)’ 11v/3, 13v/9(x2), 15r/2, 15r/4, 19r/5, 32v/4, 33r/10, 46r/1, 49r/6, 59r/11, 82v/3–4, 87r/5, 87v/2, 90v/11, 95v/2, 136r/1, 141v/5, 142r/4, 150v/9  
*bol-ub yüri~lär* 21v/6  
*bol-ub yür~lär* 13r/4  
***ayağ asti bol~*** ‘to be under the authority’, ‘to surrender’ 4r/2  
***böl-ün~*** ‘to be divided, split up’ 31v/5  
*buyur~* ‘to order, command’ 20r/3, 52v/9, 56r/10, 69r/3, 72r/7, 76r/2, 76r/4, 82r/8, 82v/2, 82v/8–9, 89r/6–7, 98r/11, 100r/5, 100r/8, 100v/3, 100v/5, 141v/7, 141v/9  
*buyur~m* ‘to order, command’ 98v/10  
***çik~*** ‘to go out’ 13r/3, 14r/6, 18v/6, 18v/9, 19v/7, 37v/3, 39v/7, 40v/7, 40v/8, 40v/9, 50v/11, 71v/5, 84r/4  
*çik~ma~* ‘not to go out’ 81v/11  
*çik~ar~lar* ‘to bring out’ 86v/5  
*çik~ar~ma~lar* ‘not to bring out’ 86v/10  
***çab~*** ‘to strike, cut off, slaughter’ 50v/8  
*ĵap~* ‘to strike, cut off, slaughter’ 29v/8  
*ĵab~* ‘to strike, cut off, slaughter’ 50v/2  
***çäk~*** ‘to pull, suffer (pain)’ 153r/9  
***er~*** ‘to be’ 6r/6, 6r/10, 6r/m, 6v/2, 6v/5, 6v/8, 6v/11, 7r/3, 7r/5, 7r/8, 7r/11, 7v/3, 7v/4, 7v/6, 7v/7, 7v/9, 7v/11, 8r/3, 10v/7, 14r/1, 14r/2, 14r/8, 14r/11, 14v/10, 15r/1, 15r/2, 16r/3, 16r/11(x2), 16v/4, 16v/5, 17r/4, 17r/7, 17v/11, 18r/2, 18v/7, 20v/7, 21r/1, 22r/4, 22r/5, 22v/1, 22v/3, 22v/9(x2), 22v/11, 23r/7, 23v/2, 23v/3, 23v/11, 24r/5, 24r/7, 24r/9, 24v/7, 24v/8, 24v/10, 25r/1, 25r/2, 25r/4, 27r/6, 27r/9, 27r/11, 27v/1, 27v/3, 27v/4, 28r/2, 28r/11, 28v/1–2, 28v/3, 28v/5, 28v/6, 28v/11, 29r/3, 29r/8, 29r/9, 29r/10, 29r/11, 30v/2, 30r/4, 31r/7, 31v/1, 31v/2, 31v/4, 31v/5, 31v/6, 31r/11, 32r/1, 32r/4, 32r/5, 32r/7(x2), 32r/8, 32r/10, 32v/2, 32v/3, 32v/11, 33r2(x2), 33v/4(x2), 33v/5(x2), 33v/6, 33v/9, 34r/1, 34r/8, 34r/10, 34r/11, 34v/6, 34v/9, 35r/3(x2), 35r/4, 35r/6(x2), 35r/8, 35r/9, 35r/10, 35v/1, 35v/3(x2), 35v/4, 35v/5, 35v/6, 35v/7(x2), 35v/8, 35v/9, 35v/10, 36r/1, 36r/5, 36r/6, 36r/9, 36v/1, 36v/2, 36v/7, 36v/10, 37r/4, 37r/5(x2), 37v/3, 37v/5, 37v/8, 37v/11, 38r/4, 38r/6, 38r/8, 38r/11(x2), 38v/1(x2), 38v/5, 39r/2, 39r/6, 39v/1, 39v/2, 39v/3(x2), 39v/5, 39v/8(x2), 40r/1–2, 40r/2, 40r/4, 40r/5, 40r/6(x2), 40r/7, 40r/8, 40r/9, 40r/11(x2), 40v/1, 40v/2, 40v/6, 40v/8, 40v/9, 41r/2, 41r/6, 41v/4, 41v/7, 41v/8, 41v/9, 41v/10, 41v/11, 41r/1, 42r/2, 42r/4, 42r/5, 42v/2, 42v/6, 42v/8, 42v10, 42v/11, 43r/8, 43v/1, 43v/2, 43v/3, 43v/4(x2), 43v/5, 43v/6, 43v/7, 43v/9, 43v/11, 44r/4, 44r/6, 44r/7(x2), 44r/10, 44r/11, 44v/1, 44v/5, 44v/6, 44v/11, 45r/1, 45r/2, 45r/7, 45r/8, 45v/3, 45v/6, 47r/6, 47v/6, 47v/10, 47v/11, 48v/4, 48v/6, 49r/1, 49r/7, 49r/10(x2), 49v/1, 49v/8, 50v/1, 50v/10, 51r/2, 51v/5, 52v/1, 53r/7, 53v/4, 53v/9, 54v/6, 54v7, 55r/1, 55r/6, 55r/10, 55v/4, 55v/11, 56r/2, 56r/5, 56r/6, 56r/9, 56r/11, 56v/6, 57r/11, 57v/11, 58r/2, 58r/4, 58r/6, 58v/10, 59r/2, 59r/6, 59v/7, 59r/8, 60v/4, 62r/3, 62r/5, 62v/3, 62v/10, 63v/1, 64v/11(x2), 65r/2, 65r/3, 65r/5, 65r/6(x2), 65r/7, 65v/8, 65v/11, 66r/2, 66r/4, 66r/6, 66r/7, 66r/8, 66r/10, 66r/11, 66v/7, 66v/8, 66v/9, 66v/10(x2), 67r/4, 67r/5, 67r/6, 67r/8, 67r/9, 67v/1, 67v/2, 67v/3, 67v/4, 67v/5, 67v/6, 68r/1, 68r/2, 68r/5, 68r/6, 68r/7, 68r/8, 68r/10, 68v/1, 68v/2(x2), 68v/3, 68v/4(x2), 68v/5, 68v/7, 68v/8, 69r/1, 69r/8, 69v/3, 69v/6, 69r/7, 69v/9, 69v/10, 69v/11, 70r/1, 70r/2, 70r/3(x2), 70r/7, 70r/8, 70r/9, 70r/10, 70v/1(x2), 70v/7, 70v/9(x2), 70v/10, 71r/6, 71r/8, 71r/9, 71r/11, 71v/7, 71v/8, 71v/11, 72r/5, 72r/9, 72r/11(x2), 72v/1, 72v/6, 72v/7(x2), 72v/10, 73r/2(x2), 73r/3, 73r/4, 73r/8(x2), 73r/9, 73v/2, 73v/3, 73v/8, 74r/1, 74r/3, 74r/4, 74r/5(x2), 74r/9, 74v/4, 74v/6,

74v/7, 74v/11, 75r/4, 75r/6, 75r/7, 75r/8, 75v/3, 75v/7, 75v/8(x2), 75v/11, 76r/7(x2), 76r/9, 76v/1, 76v/4, 76v/9, 77r/9, 77r/11, 77v/2, 77v/3(x2), 77v/5, 77v/6, 78r/5, 78r/6(x2), 78r/8, 78r/11, 78v/9, 78v/10, 79r/3, 79r/4, 79r/5, 79r/6, 79r/7, 79r/11, 79v/10, 80r/11, 80v/3, 80v/5, 80v/7, 80v/10, 81v/3, 81v/7, 81v/10, 82r/6, 82v/10, 83r/3, 83r/5, 83r/6, 83r/7, 84v/5, 84v/6, 85v/1, 85v/5, 86v/3, 88r/2, 94r/1, 94r/6, 97r/10, 97v/1, 97v/2, 97v/6, 98r/5(x2), 98r/9, 99v/5, 100v/3, 102r/6, 103r/4, 103r/9, 103v/3, 103v/5, 104r/3, 104v/2, 104v/3, 104v/6, 104v/7, 104v/8, 104v/11, 105r/1, 105r/4, 105r/6(x2), 105r/7, 105v/6, 105v/8, 105v/9, 106r/3(x2), 106r/4, 106r/5, 106r/5–6, 106r/7, 106v/3, 106v/4(x2), 106v/6(x2), 106v/10, 106v/11, 107r/1, 107v/1, 107v/4(x2), 107v/5, 107v/9, 108r/4, 108r/11, 108v/1, 108v/9, 109r/7, 109r/9(x2), 109r/10, 109v/11, 110r/1, 110r/2, 110r/5, 110r/9, 110v/10, 111r/2, 111r/11, 111v/6(x2), 112r/1, 112v/5, 112v/6, 112v/11, 113r/2, 113r/3, 113v/2, 113v/3, 113v/11, 114v/4, 115r/10, 115r/11, 117v/7, 117v/11, 118v/5, 119r/2, 119r/3, 120v/3, 120v/9, 121r/1(x2), 121v/11(x2), 122r/1, 122v/5, 123r/5, 123r/6, 123r/8, 123r/11, 123v/6(x2), 123v/10, 123v/11, 124r/3(x2), 124r/5(x2), 124r/8, 124r/10, 124r/11, 124v/1, 124v/6, 125r/3, 125r/8, 125r/10, 125v/3(x2), 125v/5, 125v/6, 126r/2, 126r/6(x2), 126r/8, 126v/3, 126v/5, 126v/10, 127r/8, 127r/9, 127r/11, 127v/11, 128r/3, 128r/4, 128r/6, 128r/11, 128v/2, 128v/3, 128v/4, 128v/11, 129r/5, 129r/6, 129r/7(x2), 129v/4, 129v/8(x2), 129v/9, 130r/1, 130r/9, 130v/1, 130v/6, 130v/11, 131r/3, 131r/4, 131r/9, 131r/10, 131v/9, 131v/10(x3), 132v/5, 132v/6, 132v/7(x2), 132v/8(x2), 133r/4, 133r/7(x2), 133r/8, 133v/4(x2), 134r/5, 134r/6, 134r/11(x2), 134v/11, 135r/2, 135r/4, 135r/6, 135r/8, 135r/9, 135r/11, 135v/2, 135v/3(x2), 135v/4, 135v/5(x2), 135v/6, 135v/7, 136r/2(x2), 136r/3, 136r/5, 136r/7, 136r/9, 136r/10, 136v/4, 136v/6, 137r/1, 137r/2, 137r/3(x2), 137r/4, 137r/5, 137r/6, 137r/7(x2), 137r/8, 137r/9, 137r/11(x2), 137v/1, 137v/3, 137v/9, 137v/11(x2), 138r/5, 138r/7, 138r/9, 138r/10, 138r/11, 138v/1(x2), 138v/2, 138v/3, 138v/8, 139r/1, 139r/3, 139v/6, 139v/8, 139v/9, 139v/11(x2), 140r/1, 140r/2, 140r/3, 140r/4(x2), 140r/6, 140r/7, 140r/8, 140r/9, 140r/10, 140r/11, 140v/1, 140v/7(x2), 140v/8(x2), 140v/9, 140v/10, 140v/11, 141r/1(x2), 141r/2(x2), 141r/9, 142v/2, 143r/7, 143r/11, 143v/4, 143v/5, 143v/6, 145r/5, 145r/6, 145r/11, 145v/1, 146r/9, 146v/1, 149r/1, 150r/2(x2), 150r/6, 150r/9(x2), 151r/6, 151v/3, 151v/4, 151v/5, 151v/8, 153v/2 (x2), 154v/9, 155r/4, 156v/1, 156v/11, 157r/5

*er~lär* ‘to be’ 6r/2 (x2), 6r/4, 10r/9(x2), 10v/10, 12v/3, 12v/7, 13v/11, 14r/2, 14r/11, 15v/5, 15v/9, 17r/5, 17r/6, 17v/2, 21v/3, 21v/5, 26v/10, 32r/2, 32r/3, 33r/6, 33r/7, 37r/3, 57v/2, 69v/7, 72r/4, 72r/5, 72r/8, 73r/1–2, 74r/8, 75v/2, 83r/1–2, 84v/1, 84v/4, 84v/11, 85r/5, 85v/3, 86r/4, 86r/5, 87r/7, 87r/8, 87r/9, 87v/1, 87v/3, 87v/8, 88r/10, 90v/1, 97v/8, 100v/1, 105r/3, 111r/5, 113r/4, 152r/1, 154r/4, 154v/4, 155v/7

*e~* ‘to be’ 22v/3, 43r/7, 70v/2, 71v/8, 71v/9, 72v/2, 73r/9, 73v/10, 74v/9, 75r/7, 75v/4, 75v/5, 75v/8, 78v/11, 82r/1, 83r/10

*e~lär* ‘to be’ 11v/2, 11v/5, 19v/6, 20r/9, 69v/2, 71v/10, 72v/4, 73v/6, 75v/2, 75v/10, 75v/11, 76v/7

**bar er~** ‘to exist’ 11v/8, 11v/8–9, 17r/1, 18r/5, 18v/7, 21r/2, 21v/11, 24r/6, 24r/8, 24r/9, 24r/m, 24v/3, 24v/9, 24v/10, 24v/11, 25r/1, 28v/2, 29r/1, 30r/1, 30r/1–2, 30r/7, 30r/3, 30v/10, 31r/4, 31r/10, 31v/8–9, 32r/8, 32v/2, 33r/5, 33r/9, 33v/1, 33v/2, 33v/6, 34r/10, 34v/3, 34v/5, 36r/10, 37v/8, 38r/9, 38v/4, 38v/6, 38v/7, 38v/8, 38v/10–11, 39r/3(x2), 39r/7, 39r/8, 39r/10(x2), 40v/3, 40v/4(x2), 40v/10, 41v/2–3, 42r/6–7, 42v/3, 44r/1, 44r/3, 44r/6, 44v/9, 44v/11, 45v/5, 45v/8, 46v/3, 46v/8, 46v/9, 47v/7, 55v/8, 56r/9, 56v/3, 60v/6, 61v/4, 64v/3, 64v/8, 65r/1, 65v/7, 66v/5, 67r/4, 67r/10, 68r/4, 72v/, 73r/5, 75v/5, 76v/9, 79v/1, 79v/8, 79v/9, 84v/3, 85r/11, 85v/2, 86r/9, 86v/3(x2), 86v/5, 87r/6, 87r/9, 87v/5, 87v/9, 90v/5, 98r/8, 98v/10, 102r/9, 102r/10, 102v/1, 102v/2, 102v/4, 102v/5, 105v/6, 102v/7, 103r/1, 103r/6, 103r/9, 103v/4, 103v/5, 103v/7, 103v/10, 103v/11, 104r/4, 104r/5, 104r/6, 104r/7, 104r/10, 104v/5, 105r/9, 106r/2, 106v/2(x2), 106v/9, 107r/3, 107r/5–6, 107v/1, 108r/3, 108r/6, 108r/9–10, 108v/5, 108v/8, 109v/10, 110r/1, 110r/4, 110r/9, 110v/5, 111v/11, 112r/7, 112r/9, 112r/11, 112v/1, 112v/2, 112v/4, 112v/7, 113r/3, 113r/5, 113r/7, 113r/8, 113v/1, 113v/5, 113v/8, 114r/1, 114r/9(x2), 114r/10, 114v/1, 114v/4, 114v/9, 115r/1, 115r/2, 115r/4, 115r/5, 115r/6, 115r/8, 115v/1, 115v/3, 115v/4, 115v/6, 115v/7, 115v/9, 115v/10, 116r/2, 116r/3, 116r/4, 116r/8, 116r/11, 116v/1, 116v/2, 116v/4,

116v/7, 116v/10, 116v/11, 117r/2, 117r/3(x2), 117r/4, 117r/6, 117v/1, 117v/3, 117v/5, 117v/8, 118r/1, 118r/3, 118r/4, 118r/8, 118r/9, 118v/3, 118v/4, 118v/6, 118v/7, 118v/11, 119r/2, 119v/3, 119v/8, 119v/11, 120r/3, 120r/4, 120r/5, 120r/6, 120r/7, 120r/8(x2), 120r/9, 120r/10, 120r/11, 120v/2, 120v/3, 120v/4, 120v/7, 120v/8, 121r/2, 121r/3, 121r/5(x2), 121r/6–7, 121r/7, 121r/10, 121v/2(x2), 121v/3, 121v/5, 121v/10, 122r/10, 122v/9, 122v/10, 123r/1, 123r/11, 123r/3, 123r/7–8, 123r/10, 123v/1, 123v/3, 123v/4, 124r/1, 124v/3, 124v/4, 124v/5, 124v/7, 124v/10, 125r/1, 125r/2, 125r/4, 125r/5, 125v/2, 125v/9, 125v/10, 126r/2, 126r/3, 126r/11, 126r/5, 126r/8, 126r/8–9, 126v/1, 126v/10, 126v/11, 127r/4, 127r/5, 127v/4–5, 127v/7, 128r/8, 128v/7, 129r/5, 129v/2, 129v/3, 130r/5, 130r/7, 130v/1, 130v/3, 130v/4, 130v/6, 130v/9(x2), 131r/7, 131v/2, 131v/5, 132r/9, 132r/10, 132r/11, 132v/2(x2), 132v/4, 133r/1, 133r/9, 133r/10, 133v/6, 133v/10, 134v/1, 135v/10, 136r/1, 138r/6, 140r/5, 140r/6, 140v/2, 141r/6, 144v/2–3, 149r/7, 149r/10, 151r/7, 151v/9

**yok er~** ‘not to exist’ 2v/1, 37v/7, 38v/9(x2), 39r/7, 44v/7–8, 46v/8, 46v/10, 67v/1, 90v/2, 102v/3, 105r/7, 105r/10, 109r/1, 109v/2, 109v/5, 109v/7, 112r/5, 113v/10, 114r/4, 114r/6, 116r/6, 117r/5, 117r/7, 117r/8, 117r/10, 118r/11, 118v/8, 118v/9, 119r/7, 119r/9, 122r/9, 122r/10, 124v/7

**yok er~lär** ‘not to exist’ 9r/4

**e[t]~** ‘to do, make’ 42v/3, 60r/11, 90v/6

**et-ib yür-güz~** 90v/5–6

**em-mä~** ‘not to suckle’ 17r/9

**iktü-lä~** ‘to bring up’ 65v/1–2

**färmān-la~m** ‘to issue a *firman*, order’ 19v/1

**jar-la~** ‘to call to, summon’ 143v/7

**χān-la~** ‘to enthrone’ 144r/3<sup>81</sup>

**hisāb-la-t~** ‘to cause to count’ 40r/10

**in~** ‘to go down’ 90v/10

**‘izzät-lä~** ‘to treat with respect, honor’ 22v/7

**ič~lär** ‘to drink’ 53v/10

**ič-ir~m** ‘to let drink, give to drink’ 98v/7

**ičkī-n~** ‘to escape’ 86r/7

**jab~lar** ‘to strike, cut off, slaughter’ 69r/1

**kenjä-š~lär** ‘to take counsel (together)’ 17v/10

**käč~** ‘to pass’ 24v/1, 29v/8, 31r/4, 46v/12, 61r/6, 74r/7, 76r/10, 127r/9, 133r/10, 135r/7

**kej~** ‘to pass’ 7r/9

**käč~lär** ‘to pass’ 13v/11

**käj-ür~** ‘to make pass, carry out, carry on’, ‘to pass’ 47v/1

**‘ömr käč-ür~** ‘to live’ 47r/8, 47r/9, 132r/8

**ke[t]~** ‘to leave, go away’ 15r/1, 58v/8, 60v/8, 65v/6, 65v/7, 66r/2, 69r/11

**käl~** ‘to come’ 4v/9, 61v/8

**kel~** ‘to come’ 5r/6, 17v/11, 24v/2, 27r/6, 29v/10, 32v/6, 46v/1, 49v/6, 49v/8, 50r/4, 54r/8, 56v/2, 60v/7, 61r/10, 61v/7, 62v/11, 68r/10, 70v/3, 73v/11, 75r/10, 76r/10, 76r/11, 80r/4, 85r/1, 88r/11, 97v/4, 101v/2, 119v/6, 128v/8, 128v/11, 130v/1, 141v/9

**kel~lär** ‘to come’ 14v/1, 31r/3, 58r/6, 61r/1, 61v/5, 61v/7, 86v/7, 90v/3, 111v/3–4

**kel-mä~lär** ‘not to come’ 88r/8

**käl-iš~lär** ‘to come to an agreement’ 31r/9

**kel-tür~** ‘to bring, make to come’ 55v/9, 57r/9

**kel-tür~m** ‘to bring, make to come’ 98v/5–6

**kel-tür~lär** ‘to bring, make to come’ 64r/3–4, 72r/3, 142r/2

**ke[l]-tür~lär** ‘to bring, make to come’ 58v/10

<sup>81</sup> StP: † *χānlandī*; K: *χānladī* (f.54v/5).

**kalām-dā kel~** ‘to be written on paper, be recorded’ 133v/8, 152r/8  
**hāmīlā kel-tür~** ‘to give birth’ 39r/5  
**īmān käl-tür~** ‘to profess’ 20v/2  
 īmān kel-tür~ ‘to profess’ 17v/4, 141v/2–3  
 īmān käl-tür~m ‘to profess’ 19v/2  
 īmān kel-tür-mä~ ‘not to profess’ 18v/3  
**ḥarb kel-iš~** ‘to be dragged into the battle with each other’ 142v/4  
**kez~m** ‘to walk/travel around’ 5r/8–9  
**kir-mä~m°z** ‘not to get involved with something, not to undertake’ 20v/1  
**‘ākīl-ya kir~** ‘to be(come) aware’ 18r/4  
**köp-äl~** ‘increase, become numerous’ 85r/2  
 köb-äl~ ‘increase, become numerous’ 77v/10  
 köp-äl~lär ‘increase, become numerous’ 78v/6  
**köč~** ‘to migrate’ 54v/6  
 köč~lär ‘to migrate’ 31r/2  
**kör~** ‘to see’ 18r/9, 18r/11, 18v/4, 18v/11, 30r/2, 39r/4, 65r/8, 72r/8, 101r/10  
 kör~lär ‘to see’ 5r/7, 83r/5, 94r/2, 94r/4  
 kör~m ‘to see’ 5r/10, 100r/4  
 kör~m°z ‘to see’ 94r/4  
 kör-mä~lär ‘not to see’ 5r/8  
**kötär~lär** ‘to lift’ 59v/8, 155r/10  
 kötär-il~ ‘to be lifted’ 4v/9  
**ol~** ‘to be(come)’ 48v/1<sup>82</sup>  
**oltur~** ‘to sit down, sit’ 38v/2, 38v/3, 68r/9, 74r/6  
 oltur-t~ ‘to seat’ 20r/3, 21v/10, 141v/6–7  
 oltur-yuz~ ‘to seat’ 44r/5, 135r/10, 135v/1, 138v/6–7, 141r/4, 141r/10  
**ḫānlik-ya oltur-** ‘to take power’ 155r/11  
**ḫānlik-ya oltur-yuz-lar** ‘to enthrone’ 154v/10–11  
**pādišāhlik-ya oltur-** ‘to take power’ 78v/11  
**pādišāhlik-ya oltur-yuz-** ‘to enthrone’ 79v/7  
**ozdur-ma~lar** ‘not to let to outstrip, not to let to overtake’ 45v/4  
**öl~** ‘to die’ 14v/10, 21r/7, 25v/1, 30r/6, 34v/3, 39r/1, 44r/9, 44v/4, 57v/3, 59v/11, 68v/9, 69r/7, 74v/4, 81v/11, 106v/8, 125v/4, 125v/8, 126v/9, 130v/4, 131v/7, 133r/11, 135r/6, 136r/11, 136v/3, 138r/7, 139r/8, 142v/9, 142v/10  
**öl-tür~** ‘to kill’ 24v/4, 30r/11, 36r/1, 37v/10, 54v8–9, 55v/5, 56r/8, 57r/2, 67r/7, 81r/2, 97v/8, 144r/1, 144r/5, 144r/6, 145r/6, 156r/4–5, 118r/6, 131v/6, 132v/1  
 öl-tür~k ‘to kill’ 94v/3  
 öl-dür~ ‘to kill’ 68v/6, 131r/8  
 öl-tür~lär ‘to kill’ 145v/5  
**öt~** ‘to pass’ 24r/8, 24r/10, 24v/9, 64v/6, 142r/9, 149v/8  
**ös~** ‘to grow’ 36r/4, 105r/8  
**ot-ḫar~**<sup>83</sup> ‘to pasture, graze (an animal)’ 98v/8  
**ḫaç~** ‘to run away, flee’ 30r/7, 50v/11, 53v/9, 94r/10, 142v/6  
 ḫaj~ ‘to run away, flee’ 51r/5  
 ḫaç~lar ‘to run away, flee’ 50v/9  
 ḫaç-ur~ ‘to put to flight, drive away’ 52r/4, 56r/7, 145r/1  
 ḫaj-ur~ ‘to put to flight, drive away’ 55v/4

<sup>82</sup> K: *boldi* (f.19r/13).

<sup>83</sup> StP: † *otkazdim*; K: *otkardim* (f.40r/11).

**kal~** ‘to stay, remain’ 47r/7, 55r/6, 64v/4, 76v/11, 83r/6, 83r/11, 125v/8, 142r/10, 142v/4, 153r/8  
**kal~lar** ‘to stay, remain’ 18r/1, 86v/7  
**kal-ma~** ‘not to stay, not to remain’ 142v/11  
**‘aĵab-ka kal~** ‘to be astonished’ 27v/5–6  
**köñül kal-iş~** ‘to be disappointed in each other’ 43r/11  
**kar-iş~lar** ‘to mix with one another’ 78r/2  
**kart-ay~** ‘to grow old, get old’ 74r/5  
**kay[t]~** ‘to turn back, return, come back’ 61v/4, 62r/10  
**kayt-ar~** ‘to get back, give back, bring back’ 63r/4, 89v/4  
**kayt-ar~m** ‘to get back, give back, bring back’ 101v/2  
**kil~** ‘to do, make’ 16r/2, 20r/3, 21v/8, 59v/6, 60r/2, 60r/11, 60v/1, 64r/1–2, 90v/4, 98r/3, 102r/2, 102r/3, 143v/10, 156r/4, 156r/5  
**kil~lar** ‘to do, make’ 52r/9–10, 100v/9, 156r/3  
**kul kil-dur~** ‘to make to serve’ 2r/7  
**mubārāk bād kil~** ‘to congratulate’ 153v/10  
**mubārāk bādlik kil~lar** ‘to congratulate’ 156r/1  
**izhār-i vākī kil~** ‘to announce what happened’ 64r/4  
**da vā-yi kārāmātlık kil~** ‘to make a prediction, predict the future’ 56r/11–56v/1, 56v/3  
**katl-i ām kil~** ‘kill everyone, exterminate all’ 29v/8  
**kış-la~** ‘to pass the winter, go into winter quarters’ 54v/2, 62r/11  
**koruĵ~** ‘to fear’ 17v/2, 27v/6  
**koruĵ~lar** ‘to fear’ 101v/4  
**koş-ul~** ‘to be joined, be united’ 54r/5, 78v/7, 81r/3  
**koş-ul~m** ‘to be joined, be united’ 54r/9  
**koş-ul~lar** ‘to be joined, be united’ 49v/9  
**koş-ul-uş~lar** ‘to be joined to each other, be united to each other’ 78r/1  
**koy~** ‘to put (down)’ 58r/7, 100r/3, 100r/5  
**koy~lar** ‘to put (down)’ 100r/6,  
**koy-ma~** ‘not to put (down)’ 29v/9  
**koy-uş-tu[r]~** ‘to be increased, appear’ 32r/9–10  
**koy-uş-tur~** ‘to be increased, appear’ 49r/4  
**kow~** ‘to follow, pursue, chase’ 49v/3  
**kuĉak-la-ş~lar** ‘to embrace one another’ 89v/3  
**kut-ul~** ‘to be rescued, be saved’ 29v/10  
**kur~lar** ‘to erect, set up, construct’ 155r/6  
**kuy~ĵ°z** ‘to pour’ 30v/7  
**saĵ-ül~** ‘to be scattered, be sprinkled’ 4r/4  
**sal~** ‘to put’, ‘to built’ 145r/2, 145r/3(x2)  
**sal-in~** ‘to put on oneself’ 131r/11, 133r/9, 135r/5  
**sal-dur~** ‘to order to build’ 2v/3  
**sak-la~** ‘to watch over guard, protect’ 39r/6  
**sak-la-n~** ‘to protect oneself’ 27r/2  
**sak-in~** ‘to beware’ 17v/3  
**sat~** ‘to sell’ 24v/6  
**sa[t]~lar** ‘to sell’ 27r/8  
**semür-t~** ‘to fatten’ 60v/5  
**siyba~m** ‘to stroke, pet’ 101r/11  
**sün-dur~** ‘to defeat, break’ 50r/7, 51v/11, 52v/1, 142v/5–6  
**siy-in~** ‘to shelter, protect, take care of oneself, be cautious, be on one’s guard against’ 49v/2  
**sor~** ‘to ask’ 65r/9, 85r/8, 99v/11, 100r/2  
**tabş-ur~** ‘to entrust, hand over’ 42v/1, 73v/5, 76r/4

*tab~* ‘to find’ 48r/10, 53r/1  
*tab~lar* ‘to find’ 76r/3  
*tab~m* ‘to find’ 91r/2  
*tab-ma~* ‘not to find’ 44v/3  
*tab-ma~m* ‘not to find’ 54r/10  
*tab-il~* ‘to be found’ 13r/4, 14v/2  
*at taḳ-il~* ‘to be called’ 70r/8–9  
*tarḳa~* ‘to disband’ 47v/9  
*tart~* ‘to pull (out)’ 21r/4, 53v/8  
*taš-la~* ‘to throw’ 137r/8  
*tay~* ‘to slip’ 150r/1  
*tay-ma~* ‘not to slip’ 143r/9  
*te~* ‘to say, speak’ 17v/10, 18r/10(x2), 19r/11, 19v/2, 19v/3, 63r/3, 65v/1, 65v/2, 94r/3, 143v/7, 143v/8  
*te~lär* ‘to say, speak’ 30r/10  
*tä~* ‘to say, speak’ 19r/10, 142v/8, 143v/9, 145v/10  
*tä~lär* ‘to say, speak’ 145v/8  
*teg~* ‘to reach’ 21r/7, 21v/8, 24r/7, 49r/9, 49v/10, 64v/9, 79r/1, 85v/7, 90v/9, 91r/4, 101r/8, 148r/5, 148r/6, 148r/7(x2), 148r/8  
*teg-mä~* ‘not to reach’ 43r/10, 100v/10, 150v/8  
*täg-mä~* ‘not to reach’ 150r/2, 150r/4  
*teg-ür-η* ‘to cause to reach’ 100r/1  
*täg-ür-mä~* ‘not to cause to reach’ 79v/6  
*teg-ür~lär* ‘to cause to reach’ 84v/3, 126v/8  
*täbrä~* ‘to move’ 59v/2, 60v/5, 61v/11, 98r/3  
*tik~* ‘to plant, set up’ 56r/10  
*tilä~* ‘to wish’ 18v/8, 20r/11, 24r/11, 51r/8, 63r/8(x2), 74r/10, 85v/10–11, 102r/1, 132v/11, 138r/7, 138r/8, 139v/8, 156r/10  
*tilä~m* ‘to wish’ 19r/3  
*tij-la-ma~* ‘not to hear, not to listen’ 19r/5  
*tuy~* ‘to be born’ 14v/11, 17r/8, 24r/7, 25r/2, 26r/8, 32v/10, 33r/8, 38v/5, 41v/10, 42v/2, 44r/2, 44r/8, 45v/5, 45v/8–9, 47r/4, 47r/7, 47r/11, 47v/2, 103v/1, 105v/8, 106r/8, 106v/5, 107r/7, 107r/8, 107r/9, 107r/11, 107v/5, 107v/7, 108v/1, 108v/3, 108v/4, 108v/10, 109r/6, 110r/10, 110r/11, 110v/1, 110v/1–2, 110v/2, 110v/3, 110v/4, 112r/1, 113v/3, 122r/7, 123r/6, 124v/1, 124v/11, 126v/6, 128r/6, 129v/9, 130r/3, 131v/11, 132r/2, 132r/6, 133v/5, 139r/10  
*tuy-ma~* ‘not to be born’ 17v/8  
*tuy-ur~* ‘to give birth’ 25r/9, 25v/5, 35r/9–10, 37v/6, 41v/11, 125r/11, 141r/5  
*tuy-ur~m* ‘to give birth’ 27v/11  
*tur~* ‘to stand (up)’ 69v/4, 84r/9  
*tur~lar* ‘to stand (up)’ 84r/5, 85r/9  
*tur~m* ‘to stand (up)’ 101v/3  
*tüş~* ‘to fall, come down’ 27v/5, 51r/6, 54v/3, 91r/7, 143r/9, 150v/10  
*tüş~lär* ‘to fall, come down’ 87v/3, 91r/1  
*tüş-ür~* ‘to throw, shoot, waft’ 51r/7  
*ḳayta ḳol-ya tüš-ür~* ‘to return’, lit. ‘to seize back’ 51r/11  
*tut~* ‘to take, hold’ 19v/4, 20v/3, 20v/4, 135r/4, 138v/3, 142v/7, 142v/8  
*tut~lar* ‘to take, hold’ 51r/1, 64r/5  
*tut-ma~* ‘not to take, not to hold’ 18v/4  
*tut-ma~m* ‘not to take, not to hold’ 19r/3  
*ur-dur~* ‘to order to beat’ 126v/9  
*ur-uš~* ‘to fight, battle’ 143v/10, 151r/1  
*ur-yur~lar* ‘to lead (the troops)’, ‘to take charge of’, lit. ‘to force to strike’ 86r/10



*üw-gür*~ ‘to translate, turn’ 157r/6  
*ün-dä*~ ‘to urge, encourage, invite’ 108r/1  
*yayî-la-ş*~ ‘to antagonize each other, feud with one another’ 35r/4, 35r/5  
*yara-t*~ ‘to approve’, ‘to create’ 27r/11  
*yara-t-ma*~ ‘not to approve’, ‘not to create’ 145v/9  
*yara-ş*~ ‘to make peace’, ‘to agree’, ‘to be suitable, fit’ 35r/4  
*yara-ş-ma*~ ‘not to make peace’, ‘not to agree’, ‘not to be suitable, not to fit’ 55r/4  
*yaraş-ma~lar* ‘not to make peace’, ‘not to agree’, ‘not to be suitable, not to fit’ 54r/3  
*yara-ş-tur*~ ‘to set in order, make ready’ 63r/9  
*yarlika*~ ‘to issue orders, be gracious, be compassionate, forgive’ 60r/2  
*yasa*~ ‘to do, construct, arrange’ 40v/5, 73v/5, 76v/6  
*yasa-t*~ ‘to make someone to construct’ 59v/4  
*yaz~k* ‘to write’ 112r/10  
*yaz-il*~ ‘to be written’ 122r/4, 123v/3  
*yay-la*~ ‘to pass the summer’ 62r/7  
*yok-al*~ ‘to be destroyed, perish, disappear’ 48r/8  
*yä[t]*~ ‘to reach, suffice’, ‘to join’ 58v/3, 62r/11  
*yät-iş*~ ‘to reach, overtake’ 74r/6, 101r/10  
*yuma-la-n*~ ‘to roll’ 97v/2  
*yüyür-üş~lär* ‘to run together’ 32v/6  
*yür-güz~m* ‘to let ride, walk’ 98v/6–7  
*yüz-lä-n*~ ‘to face toward, turn towards’ 89v/5  
*yüy*~ ‘to gather’ 20r/2, 20v/7, 80r/5  
*yüy-il*~ ‘to assemble’ 21r/5, 30v/5  
*yüy-il~lar* ‘to assemble’ 80r/5, 111v/4  
*yik-il*~ ‘to collapse, fall down’ 51r/1, 144r/1  
*yik-il~m* ‘to collapse, fall down’ 101r/9  
*yibär*~ ‘to send’ 11r/11, 16r/8, 21r/2, 42r/11, 51r/9, 51r/9–10, 54r/1, 54r/9, 55r/11, 57r/7, 57r/9, 57v/8(x2), 58v/5, 59r/7, 59r/8, 59r/10, 59v/3, 59v/6, 59v/9, 60r/5, 60r/8, 61r/6, 61r/7, 61v/3, 62r/4, 62r/5, 62r/8, 63r/6, 66v/4, 71v/1, 73v/11, 75r/9, 78r/9, 85r/7, 86r/2, 89v/4, 90v/8, 99v/7, 99v/7–8, 108r/2, 145v/3  
*yibär~lär* ‘to send’ 86r/3, 86r/11, 87r/3, 127v/2

#### {-Gay} OPT

*[N] ay*~ 92v/4  
*[N] ayt-a al-ma*~ 97r/4  
*[N] bär-ä al-ma*~ 95v/11–96r/1  
*[N] bol*~ 2v/11, 27v/10, 90r/8, 91r/8, 91r/9, 91v/10, 92v/9–10, 145v/7  
*[N] bol~lar* 82v/6, 99r/7  
*[N] et*~ 18r/10, 94r/9, 95r/3, 95v/8, 96v/5, 96v/7  
*[N] et~lär* 99r/7–8  
*[N] e[t]-tür*~ 95r/11  
*[N] et-ä al-ma*~ 96v/1  
*[N] et-ä bil-mä~lär* 82v/5–6  
*[N] kil*~ 25v/10, 85v/11, 91v/7–8, 91r/9–10, 91r/10, 92r/1, 92r/5, 92r/3, 92v/11, 93v/5  
*[N] kil~m*<sup>z</sup> 20r/11  
*[N] kil~lar* 99r/10  
*[N] tab*~ 99r/6  
*al~lar* ‘to take’ 95v/6  
*aṭ-la-n*~ ‘to march against, set out’ 99v/1  
*at-tür*~ ‘to order to throw, order to shoot’ 95r/10

*ayt-ma~lar* ‘not to say, not to tell’ 95v/6  
*ay~m<sup>o</sup>z* ‘to say, tell’ 122v/3, 122v/7, 129r/2, 131r/1  
*bar~* ‘to go’ 24r/11  
*bar~lar* ‘to go’ 53v/7  
*bat-ur~* ‘to cause to sink’ 96r/9–10  
*bär~* ‘to give’ 56v/2, 89r/4  
*bär~lär* ‘to give’ 92r/3  
*bär-mä~* ‘not to give’ 90r/9  
*bol~* ‘to be(come)’ 1r/1, 1r/2, 9r/5(x2), 9r/7, 9r/8, 9r/10(x2), 9v/1, 16v/11, 17r/1, 17r/2, 17r/3, 17v/10, 22r/8, 23r/3, 23r/9, 23v/1–2, 23v/9, 24v/3, 25v/9, 27r/7, 27v/9, 32r/11, 36r/3, 48v/11, 50r/10, 50v/5, 52r/6, 53v/2, 55r/8, 55v/5, 56r/1, 56v/7, 57r/3, 57v/6, 57v/10, 58r/3, 58r/9, 58v/1, 59r/1, 59r/4–5, 59v/1, 60r/10, 60v/2, 61r/11, 62r/2, 62r/8, 62v/1, 62v/9, 63r/11, 71r/3<sup>84</sup>, 74v/8, 76r/11, 82v/5, 86v/2, 87v/6, 87v/10, 88r/11, 88v/9, 88v/10, 91r/9, 91r/11(x2), 91v/4, 91v/9, 91v/10, 92r/2, 93v/7, 93v/9, 94v/9, 95r/3, 95r/11, 95v/1, 95v/11, 96v/8, 97r/6, 97r/7, 99r/10, 99v/3(x2), 99v/5, 127v/9, 146r/7, 148r/4, 153r/4  
*bol~lar* ‘to be(come)’ 85r/10, 85v/9, 91v/3, 95r/9  
*bol-ma~* ‘not to be(come)’ 94v/6, 94v/7, 96r/5, 96r/6  
*bar bol~* 87v/7  
*bol-a al-ma~* ‘not to be able to be(come)’ 95r/1  
*bol-ub yür~lär* 95v/1  
*bil~* ‘to know’ 74v/7, 93r/11, 94v/11, 99r/5  
*bil-mä~* ‘not to know’ 94v/8  
*bil-dür~* ‘to bring to know’ 95r/10  
*buyur~* ‘to order, command’ 84r/3  
*çik~* ‘to go out’ 82v/7  
*çik~ar-ma~* ‘not to bring out’ 91r/7  
*biyük-kü çik~* ‘to be exalted’ 93v/5  
*ĵap-tur~* ‘to make (a horse) gallop’ 95r/10  
*et~* ‘to do, make’ 93v/4, 96r/6  
*et~m<sup>o</sup>z* ‘not to do, not to make’ 74v/1  
*ket~* ‘to leave, go (away)’ 91v/7  
*kel~* ‘to come’ 91v/5, 93r/6  
*kel~lär* ‘to come’ 91v/2, 91v/6  
*kel-mä~* ‘not to come’ 17v/9  
*kel-tür-ä al-ma~lar* ‘not be able to bring’ 94v/11  
*küy~lär* ‘to wear, put’ 95v/4  
*kör~* ‘to see’ 93r/11, 99r/8  
*kör~lär* ‘to see’ 93v/3  
*kör-ä al-ma~* ‘not be able to see’ 95v/9  
*min~lär* ‘to mount’ 95v/5  
*min-ä al-ma~lar* ‘to be able to mount’ 90r/11  
*oltur~lar* ‘to sit down, sit’ 91r/5  
*oltur-a al-ma~* ‘not to be able to sit’ 91v/11, 96r/2–3  
*öl~* ‘to die’ 90v/1  
*öl-tür~* ‘to kill’ 17v/3  
*öt~* ‘to pass’ 89r/3  
*kaç~lar* ‘to run away, flee’ 94r/5  
*kal~* ‘to stay, remain’ 88v/11, 91v/9, 96r/4, 97r/2, 97r/3  
*kil~* ‘to do, make’ 74r/11, 88v/2, 93v/2

<sup>84</sup> StP: † *turur bolĵay* (f.71r/3); K: *bolĵay* (f.29r/20).

*ķil~lar* 51v/9  
*ķil-a al~* ‘to be able to make’ 88v/2  
*ķow-ub kel~* ‘to follow, pursue, chase’ 94r/9  
*ķon~* ‘to settle’, ‘to stop’ (for the night) 93v/3  
*ķonaķ-la~* ‘to entertain (a guest)’ 93v/4  
*saķ-la-n~* ‘to protect oneself’ 91r/7  
*‘aķlin saķla-* ‘to be a very reasonable’ 99r/7  
*sīn-ma~* ‘not to be broken’ 59v/5  
*söz-lä-mä~* ‘to speak, say’ 92v/3  
*söz uzatmaķliķ et-mä~* ‘not to drag the conversation’ 93r/8  
*tab~* ‘to find’ 27v/10, 97r/10  
*tap-a al-ma~lar* ‘not to be able to find’ 90r/10  
*tala-b al-iķ ket-mä~* ‘to start to pillage (for themselves)’ 90r/9  
*teg~* ‘to reach’ 96v/5  
*til-ä~* ‘to wish’ 93r/2, 93r/3  
*tīņ-la~* ‘to hear, listen’ 91v/7  
*tīņ-la-ma~* ‘not to hear, not to listen’ 95v/10  
*tol-dur~* ‘to fill’ 95v/3–4  
*toņ~* ‘to freeze, be freezing cold’ 145v/8  
*tur~* ‘to stand (up)’ 93r/5  
*tur~lar* ‘to stand (up)’ 90r/3  
*tut~* ‘to take, hold’ 93r/4, 94r/10  
*tut-ma~* ‘not to take, not to hold’ 91v/3  
*yä~* ‘to eat’ 9r/10  
*ye~lär* ‘to eat’ 95v/5  
*yät~* ‘to arrive, reach, overtake’ 99r/11  
*yät-mä~* ‘not to arrive, not to reach, not to overtake’ 96v/3  
*yī[y]~* ‘to gather, collect, assembly’ 95r/5  
*yīy-īl~* ‘to assemble’ 97r/5  
*yoγ-al~* ‘to be destroyed, perish, disappear’ 96r/8  
*yok-al-t~* ‘to destroy, lose’ 96r/8–9  
*yūr~* ‘to walk’ 95r/4  
*yūr-mä~* ‘not to walk’ 95r/4  
*mu ‘ayyän yūr-güz~* ‘to specify’ 93r/1

### {-GII} IMP

[N] *et~*

*ķiyās et~* ‘to compare’ 92r/11  
*bār~* ‘to give’ 97v/11, 98r/1  
*bol~* ‘to be(come)’ 19r/4, 19v/2, 46v/2, 63r/3, 65v/2, 143v/8  
*et~* ‘to do, make’ 92r/10  
*īmān kel-tūr~* ‘to give faith in God’ 19r/4, 19v/1  
*öl-tūr-mä~* ‘not to kill’ 82r/10  
*ķil~* ‘to do, make’ 11v/3  
*ķoy~* ‘to put (down)’ 100r/3  
*yībār~* ‘to send’ 97v/11, 98r/1

### {-(I)ņ-Iz} ~ {-(U)ņ-uz} IMP

[N] *bol~*

*daf bol~* ‘to ward off’ 89r/1

[N] *bol-ma~*

*mäšyül bol-ma~* ‘to be busy with something’ 52v/6–7

[N] *et~*

*naṣīḥat et~* ‘to instruct’ 99r/2

*keṇāš et~* ‘to take counsel (together)’ 82r/11

*yīrak et~* ‘to alienate, distance’ 98v/10

[N] *ḳīl~*

*tāmājāmišī ḳīl~* ‘to fight, struggle’, ‘to contest, contend’ 89v/1

[N] *ḳīl-ma~*

*nāwḥa ḳīl-ma~* ‘not to wail and lament vociferously’ 63v/5

[N] *tut-ma~*

*ta ‘ziyat tut-ma~* ‘not to mourn’ 63v/5

[N] *ur~*

*yūz ur~* ‘to face toward, turn towards’ 63v/11

*al~* ‘to take’ 29v/6, 52v/9

*aṇ-la~* ‘to understand’ 88v/6

*bar~* ‘to go’ 89r/7

*bīl~* ‘to know’ 26r/1

*belgü-r-t-mä~* ‘not to make manifest, not to display’ 63v/4

*bol~* ‘to be’ 89r/2, 89r/5–6, 89v/2

*buyur~* ‘to order, command’ 99r/6

*buz-ma~* ‘not to destroy’ 89r/10

*čīḳ-ar~* ‘to bring out, send out, take out’ 76r/2

*čīḳ-ar-ma~* ‘not to bring out, not to send out, not to take out’ 89r/10

*iktü-lä~* ‘to bring up’ 69r/3

*käč-ür~* ‘to kill’, ‘lit. to force to pass’ 63v/10

*käl~* ‘to come’ 29v/6

*ket-är~* ‘to remove, send away’ 98v/11

*kiz-lä~* ‘to hide’ 63v/4

*kör~* ‘to see’ 99r/3

*öl-dür~* ‘to kill’ 63v/7, 64r/1

*pādišāhlik-ya oltur-t~* ‘to enthrone’ 89r/5

*ḳīl~* ‘to do, make’ 89r/4–5

*ḳoy~* ‘to put (down)’ 18r/1, 100r/9

*teg-ür~* ‘to cause to reach, bring, convey’ 89r/1–2, 89r/11

*til-ä~* ‘to wish’ 99r/3

*yaš-ur~* ‘to hide’ 63v/9

*yet-kür~* ‘to deliver, get to a place’ 82v/1

*yibär~* ‘to send’ 99r/4

{-mA-s} NEG.AOR

[N] *ḳīl~*

*iltifāt ḳīl~* ‘to treat with favor, show courtesy, take notice’ 20r/7

*ay~* ‘to say, tell’ 92v/9

*ayt-lar* ‘to say, tell’ 96v/11

*er~* ‘to be’ 12v/8, 28v/6, 28v/9, 39r/1, 41r/11, 45v/7, 81v/8, 82r/5, 93r/10, 102v/8, 103r/3, 104r/8, 104r/9, 107r/9, 109r/3, 109r/5, 109v/3, 109v/7, 109v/9, 112r/9, 112r/11, 112v/2, 113v/8, 115r/m2–m3, 118v/2, 121r/4, 123r/9, 124r/6, 126r/9, 126v/1, 127v/4, 128r/5, 132v/3, 135v/11

*er-m<sup>o</sup>n* ‘to be’ 100r/4

*käč~* ‘to pass’ 145v/9

*bar~* ‘to go’ 145v/9

*eksi~* ‘to grow less, be deficient’ 3v/5, 5r/11

**kör~** ‘to see’ 96v/11  
*kör-ä al~* ‘can see’ 4v/10  
**tügä-n~** ‘to come to an end, be exhausted’ 3v/5, 5v/1  
*tügä-n-ä al~* ‘can come to an end, can be exhausted’ 3v/3  
**käm-i~** ‘to diminish’ 5v/1  
**ökün~** ‘to repent, express one’s regret’ 5v/2  
**bil~lär** ‘to know’ 16r/10  
**säw~** ‘to love’ 20r/5  
**tün~m<sup>o</sup>z** ‘to breathe; to rest, be quiet’ 30r/9  
**til-ä~ m<sup>o</sup>n** ‘to wish’ 89r/8

**{-(°)r} AOR**

**[N] et~**

*χizmät et~* ‘to serve, render service’ 23v/5–6  
*täfäwüt et~* ‘to differ (one from other)’ 47r/10

**[N] kıl~**

*jäwälän kıl~* ‘to train, practise’ 3v/11–4r/1  
*şohbät kıl~* ‘to talk, chat, speak’ 20r/7  
*riväyät kıl~* ‘to tell’ 26v/2  
*taqrır kıl~* ‘to report’ 27v/2  
*ta’rif kıl~* ‘to describe’, ‘to define’ 38r/3  
*hisāb kıl~* ‘to count’ 47r/10–11

**[N] kıl~lar**

*taqrır kıl~lar* ‘to report’ 42r/2  
*bäyān kıl~lar* ‘to illuminate, explain’ 46v/8–9  
*riväyät kıl~lar* ‘to tell’ 105r/8

**[N] kıl~m<sup>o</sup>n**

*talab kıl~m<sup>o</sup>n* ‘to request, require, expect’ 97v/9

**[N] tur~**

*hayāt tur~* ‘to live’ 153r/5

**al~** ‘to take’ 111v/11

*al-ib kel~lär* 95r/7

**aķ~** ‘to flow’ 25r/4

**art~** ‘to increase, multiply’ 4v/11, 5r/1

**ayt~** ‘to say, tell’ 17r/11, 26r/4, 40r/1, 41r/4, 41r/5, 41r/6, 41r/8, 41r/9, 41r/10, 41v/1, 41v/2, 69r/4, 71r/5, 74v/10, 103v/5

*ayt~m<sup>o</sup>n* ‘to say, tell’ 18r/9–10

*ayt~lar* ‘to say, tell’ 9v/5(x2), 11r/3, 13v/2, 14r/7, 15r/6, 16r/10, 24v/2, 28r/8, 31r/4, 34r/8–9, 35v/5, 36r/6, 37r/2, 37r/8, 38r/1, 41r/3, 47v/1, 50v/8, 53r/5, 55r/2, 55v/7, 68r/4, 68v/10, 69v/11, 92v/7, 100v/4, 103v/6, 105r/9, 110v/10, 111r/10, 112r/8, 125v/6, 127v/8, 135v/5, 142r/10, 144v/3

*ay[t]~lar* ‘to say, tell’ 150v/1, 150v/5, 150v/11

*ayt~m<sup>o</sup>z* ‘to say, tell’ 122r/7

*ayt-il~* ‘to be said’ 70v/7, 72r/10, 81r/8, 81v/1

**māšrūḥ ayt-ul~** ‘to be explained’ 75r/5

**bar~** ‘to go’ 28r/2, 28v/5, 31v/1, 31v/7, 34r/2

*bar~s<sup>o</sup>n* ‘to go’ 143v/7

*bar~m<sup>o</sup>n* ‘to go’ 143v/8

**bil~s<sup>o</sup>n** ‘to know’ 97v/4

*bil~ müs<sup>o</sup>n* ‘to know’ 19r/1

*biy-l*<sup>85</sup>~ ‘to rule’ 85v/9  
*biy-l~lär* ‘to rule’ 84v/2  
*buyur~s<sup>o</sup>z* ‘to order, command’ 83v/11  
*bol~* ‘to be(come)’ 31r/3  
*čik~* ‘to go out’ 143v/2  
*de~* ‘to say’ 20v/10  
*de~lär* ‘to say’ 39v/10  
*er~* ‘to be’ 78v/5, 87r/10, 148r/2(x2), 148r/4, 157v/6 (x2), 157v/7, 157v/8(x2), 157v/10, 157v/11  
*kel~* ‘to come’ 11r/5, 11v/3, 11v/7, 13v/4, 30r/2, 67v/9, 70r/6, 72v/2, 72v/11, 74v/5, 85r/10, 114r/7, 126r/11, 129r/2, 130v/3, 131r/1, 136r/6, 146r/8, 149v/8, 152v/7, 151v/2  
*kel~lär* ‘to come’ 4v/3  
*em~m<sup>o</sup>n* ‘to suckle’ 17v/1  
*kör~* ‘to see’ 17r/10  
*küt~m<sup>o</sup>n* ‘to wait’ 65r/11  
*oğša~* ‘to resemble (someone to something)’ 1v/10, 1v/11, 2r/1(x2), 2r/2(x2), 3r/1, 3r/4, 3r/10, 3v/2  
*kaz~s<sup>o</sup>z* ‘to dig’ 30r/5  
*kal~* ‘to stay, remain’ 39v/11  
*kayt-ib ket-ip tur~* 61v/8  
*kil~* ‘to do, make’ 24r/1, 26r/4  
*kil~lar* ‘to do, make’ 26v/5, 96v/11  
*kil~m<sup>o</sup>n* ‘to do, make’ 18r/8  
*säw~* ‘to love’ 20r/6  
*söz-lü~lär* ‘to speak, say’ 16r/9  
*tart~* ‘to pull (out)’ 5r/1  
*teg~* ‘to reach’ 3r/8, 3r/10, 3r/11, 3v/1  
*tey~lär* ‘to reach’ 69v/5, 144v/4  
*tur~* ‘to stand (up)’ 2r/4, 2r/7, 2r/10, 2v/5, 2v/8, 2v/11, 3r/2, 3r/3 (x2), 3r/4, 3v/2(x2), 5v/5, 7r/8, 9v/8, 9v/10, 10r/1, 10r/6, 10r/7, 11r/1, 11r/4, 11r/9, 11r/10, 11v/4, 12v/9, 13v/1(x2), 13v/3, 13v/8, 14v/9, 15v/1, 15v/11, 16r/1, 16r/4, 16r/5, 16r/6(x2), 16r/7, 16v/2, 16v/5, 16v/8, 16v/9, 16v/10, 20r/5, 21v/4, 24r/2, 25r/8(x2), 25r/10, 25r/11, 25v/3, 25v/6, 26r/3, 26r/6, 26r/9, 26v/11, 27r/2, 27r/3, 27r/10, 27v/1, 28r/3, 28r/4, 28r/5, 28r/6, 28r/7, 28r/8, 28r/9, 28v/7, 28v/8(x2), 29v/1, 31r/5, 31v/10, 32r/3, 32v/8, 33r/8, 33r/11, 33v/3, 33v/7, 33v/10, 34r/3, 34r/4, 34r/5, 34v/4, 34v/5, 34v/7(x2), 34v/9, 34v/11(x2), 35r/1, 35r/5, 36r/2(x2), 36v/1, 36v/9, 36v/10, 37r/8, 39v/9(x2), 40v/10–11, 42v/9, 43r/2, 43r/3, 44v/8, 45v/10, 45v/11(x2), 46r/4, 47r/1, 47r/2, 48v/2, 48v/3, 53r/6, 54v/2, 54v/10, 62v/7, 62v/8, 65r/11, 65v/1, 66r/5, 67r/6, 67v/10, 67v/11, 68r/2, 68r/6, 68r/7, 68r/11, 69r/9, 70r/2, 71r/2, 71r/3, 71v/1, 71v/6, 73r/1, 73r/7, 73r/11, 73v/2, 74r/2, 74v/7, 74v/9, 75r/2, 75r/9, 75r/10, 76r/5, 77r/7, 77v/8, 77v/10, 77v/11, 78v/9, 78v/11, 79r/4, 79v/1, 79v/2, 79v/3, 80r/7, 80r/10, 80v/1, 80v/2, 80v/4, 80v/6, 82v/7, 84r/1, 85r/4, 85v/7, 87r/11, 88v/8, 88v/10, 89r/1, 89r/8, 91v/1, 93r/7, 93v/9, 95v/10, 97r/1, 97v/10, 98v/3, 99r/1, 101v/6, 102r/8, 102r/10, 102r/11, 102v/6, 103r/1, 103r/7, 103v/7, 104v/5, 105r/11, 107r/6, 112r/1, 112v/1, 112v/5, 113r/5, 114r/11, 114v/10, 115r/2, 115r/8, 116r/10, 116v/8, 118r/1, 118r/8, 119v/r, 119v/6, 121v/5, 125v/7(x2), 126r/1, 126r/11, 127r/1, 127v/8, 128v/1, 128v/2, 129r/11(x2), 132v/9, 135v/9, 136v/5, 139r/1, 139r/4, 139r/7, 141r/10, 143v/1, 144r/2, 144r/5, 144r/7, 144r/1, 144r/9, 144v/1(x2), 144v/6, 144v/7(x2), 144v/8(x2), 144v/9, 144v/11, 145r/8, 146v/3, 146v/11, 149r/11, 149v/1, 149v/4, 152r/10, 152v/2, 152v/4, 152v/7, 152v/8, 152v/9, 152v/10, 150r/3 (x2), 150r/8, 150r/10, 150r/11, 150v/3, 150v/4, 150v/5, 150v/10(x2), 151r/4,

<sup>85</sup> The text exhibits a phonetic characteristic of vowel change in the verb *biy-le-* to *biyl-ür* بيلور.

151r/5, 151r/7, 151v/5, 151v/10, 151v/11, 153r/1, 153r/2, 153r/3 (x2), 153v/11, 157r/3, 157v/9,  
 157v/10, 148r/9, 148r/10  
*tur~lar* ‘to stand (up)’ 15r/7, 34r/2, 84v/8  
*tur~s<sup>o</sup>n* ‘to stand (up)’ 19r/11  
*tur~m<sup>o</sup>z* ‘to stand (up)’ 84r/2  
*bar tur~* ‘to exist’ 16v/11, 53r/6, 138v/5  
*oltur~* ‘to sit down, sit’ 10r/7, 69r/6  
*tut~* ‘to take, hold’ 20r/6  
*tut~ m<sup>o</sup>n* ‘to take, hold’ 18r/8  
*yat~* ‘to lie down, lie’ 146r/4, 149r/8(x2), 149r/11  
*yay~* ‘to rain, pour down’ 3r/9  
*yüy-la~* ‘to weep’ 69r/2  
*yuw-ub yürü~lär* 18v/11  
*yügür~* ‘to run’ 92v/6, 92v/7, 92v/8(x2)  
*yürü~* ‘to walk’ 9v/11, 16v/6  
*yürü~m<sup>o</sup>n* ‘to walk’ 89r/9  
*ün-dä~* ‘to call, urge, encourage, invite’ 79v/4

**{-sUn} VOL 3P**

**[N] bol~**

*ziyädä bol~* ‘to thrive’ 4r/6

*paydä bol~* ‘to appear’ 152r/7

**[N] kıl-ma~**

*mädäd kıl-ma~* ‘not to help’ 55r/11

**[N] kıl-lar**

*şabr kıl-lar* ‘to be patient’ 63v/6

*aş-a~* ‘to eat’, ‘to eat up, destroy’, ‘to enjoy, experience (something)’ 2r/3

*bol~* ‘to be(come)’ 8r/7, 9r/3(x2), 98v/9, 128r/2, 157r/2

*bol-lar* ‘to be(come)’ 98v/1

*buz-ul-ma~* ‘not to be destroyed, not to be damaged’ 4r/6

*çik-ma~* ‘not to go out, not to come out’ 64r/1

*kel~* ‘to come’ 62r/5

*käl-mä~* ‘not to come’ 63v/8

*kör~lär* ‘to see’ 98r/2

*kıyt~* ‘to turn back, return, come back’ 61v/3

*tüş-mä~* ‘not to fall’ 63v/7

*yaş-a~* ‘to live (for many years)’ 2r/3

## APPENDIX 2. Index of viewpoint operators

### Intraterminals in the non-past

{-mA-s} *DUr* negation of {-(°)r} *DUr*

*teg~* ‘to reach’ 4r/5

{-mA-s} *turur* negation of {-(°)r} *turur*

*er~* ‘to be’ 141v/11

{-mA-y} *s•n* negation of {-A} *s•n*

*al~* ‘to take’ 42r/9

### Intraterminals in the past

{-A} *turur erdi*

*al-ib kel~* ‘to bring’ 142v/8–9

{-mA-s} *edi* negation of {-(°)r} *edi*

*bar~* ‘to go’ 75r/2

{-mA-s} *erdi* negation of {-(°)r} *erdi*

*yibär~* ‘to send’ 18v/6

*ič~* ‘to drink’ 156r/11

*er~* ‘to be’ 13r/2, 44r/11, 45v/8, 65r/3, 65r/4, 80r/1

*er~lär* ‘to be’ 12v/7

*bil~* ‘to know’ 29r/4

*bil~lär* ‘to know’ 19v/9

*čik~lär* ‘to go out’ 111r/4

*kötär-ä al~* ‘be able to lift’ 106v/7

*tani~* ‘to be acquainted with (someone)’ 68v/10

{-(°)r} *edi*

*ayt~lär* ‘to say, tell’ 56v/4

*biy-l~lär* ‘to rule’ 76v/8

{-(°)r} *erdi*

[N] *kil~*

*‘izzät [kil~]* ‘to respect, esteem, render honors’ 44v/2

*ikrām kil~* ‘to respect’ 44v/2

*isti ‘ānät kil~* ‘to ask for help’ 156v/5–6<sup>86</sup>

*rahīm [kil~]* ‘to show kindness, empathize’ 156v/6

*šāfakat kil~* ‘to pity, show compassion, mercy’ 156v/6

*‘amäl kil~* ‘to manage affairs’ 156v/7

[N] *bol~*

*rävān bol~* ‘to go, flow’ 23r/9–10

[N] *bol~m*

<sup>86</sup> † استعانت قولار ایردی (StP: f.156v/5–156v/6; K: f.68v/5).



*el bol~m* ‘to submit to, surrender to’ 54r/11  
*aķ~* ‘to flow’ 29r/5–6, 29v/3  
*ayt~* ‘to say, tell’ 42v/10, 43v/7, 64v/9, 68v/11, 71v/3  
*ayt~lär* ‘to say, tell’ 10v/4, 14r/8, 19v/11–20r/1, 20r/1–2, 115r/10, 123r/7  
*ayt-ur-lar erdi* ‘to say, tell’ 37v/5, 43r/1, 43r/5, 98r/9, 103v/2  
*biy-l~* ‘to rule’ 28v/4, 70v/4, 74v/1, 75v/6, 76v/1, 76v/5, 77r/10, 77v/7, 105v/9, 105v/10, 105v/11, 110v/11  
*biy-l~lär* ‘to rule’ 106r/1  
*biy-l-ür-lär erdi* ‘to rule’ 14r/9  
*baš-la~* ‘to begin, lead’ 11v/10, 35v/2  
*bar~* ‘to go’ 93v/10–11, 93v/11  
*ber~* ‘to give’ 76r/5  
*bol-ub tur~lär* 70r/2–3  
*bol-ub yürü~* 144r/4, 145r/1  
*böl~* ‘to divide (into shares), separate, distinguish’ 38r/3  
*ĵayna-y tur~lär* ‘to shine’ 98v/2  
*inan~lär* ‘to believe, trust’ 28r/9  
*oltur~* ‘to sit down, sit’ 29r/3–4, 29r/9, 73r/10, 78r/10  
*oltur~lär* ‘to sit down, sit’ 85v/4  
*kel~* ‘to come’ 101r/4–5  
*kel~m* ‘to come’ 100v/7, 101r/3  
*köj-ür~* ‘to transfer’ 131r/6  
*küt-üb yürü~* 65r/7  
*ķil~* ‘to do, make’ 151v/4, 156v/2  
*ič~* ‘to drink’ 144v/3, 144v/4  
*ur~* ‘to strike’ 156v/9–10  
*ur-uš~* ‘to fight, battle’ 23r/5  
*ülä-š-tür~* ‘to make someone(s) to divide something among themselves’ 65v/4  
*titrä~* ‘to shiver, shake’ 2v/4  
*tut~* ‘to take, hold’ 81r/5, 81v/9–10, 111r/3  
*tur~* ‘to stand (up)’ 69v/8, 74v/2  
*te~* ‘to say, speak’ 69r/5  
*soyurya~* ‘to show favor to (someone), reward’ 38r/7  
*ķaz~* ‘to dig’ 30r/3  
*ķayt-ib kel~* 18v/9  
*yat~m* ‘to lie (down)’ 101r/11  
*yay-la-b oltur~lär* 85v/4  
*yürü~* ‘to walk’ 19v/6–7, 38r/2, 106v/7  
*yürü-t~* ‘to pronounce’, lit. ‘to cause to walk’ 19v/8  
*yiy~* ‘to gather, collect, assemble’ 29r/5, 29r/6  
*tin~* ‘to breathe’, ‘to rest, quiet’ 29r/7  
*sak-la~* ‘to watch over guard, protect’ 75r/2  
*sal~* ‘to put’, ‘to built’ 40r/3  
*sew~* ‘to love’ 40r/4, 43v/10, 103r/5, 135v/3–4

### Postterminals in the non-past

#### {-GAn} DUr

*yaz-il~* ‘to be written’ 75r/4  
*kel~* ‘to come’ 146v/8  
*e~* ‘to be’ 147r/6

**{-GAn} erür**

*tu[y]~* ‘to be born’ 152v/4–5, 152v/9

**{-GAn}-POSS yoğ**

*eši-t~* ‘to hear (something)’ 19r/8

*bil~* ‘to know’ 19r/8–9

**{-GAn} turur**

*bol~* ‘to be(come)’ 11r/8–9

*tu[y]~* ‘to be born’ 102v/1, 109r/3, 109r/4

**{-(I)p} DUr**

[N] *kil~*

*šahīd kil~* ‘to martyr’ 149r/6

*bār~* ‘to give’ 149v/3

*bol~* ‘to be(come)’ 149v/6

*al~* ‘to take’ 126v/8

*kel-tür~* ‘to bring, make to come’ 41v/7

*yibār~* ‘to send’ 149v/5

**{-(I)p} s•n**

*kil~* ‘to do, make’ 65r/10

**{-(I)p} turur**

[N] *bol~*

*tamām bol~* ‘to be completed’ 48r/11

*kol kow-uš-ur~* ‘to cross the arms over [the chest] 153v/7

*aj~* ‘to open’ 94v/2

*ayt~* ‘to say, tell’ 91v/1, 91v/5, 91v/11, 92r/4, 92r/5, 92r/9, 92v/1, 92v/6, 92v/9, 93r/4, 93r/6, 93r/9, 93r/10, 93v/10, 94v/2, 94v/5, 95r/5, 95v/3, 95v/8, 97r/10, 98r/7, 98v/11, 99r/8, 99v/1, 99v/6, 100v/2, 101r/2, 101v/7

*bas~* ‘to press, crush, oppress’ 61v/10

*bol~* ‘to be(come)’ 20r/10, 100v/5

*buyur~* ‘to order, command’ 56v/6–7, 89v/7

*kel-tür~* ‘to bring, make to come’ 32v/8

*oltur~* ‘to sit down, sit’ 91v/8

*sanj~* ‘to pierce, stab’ 65r/9

*tey~* ‘to reach’ 88v/7

*tut~* ‘to take, hold’ 20r/9

**{-mA-y} dUr** negation of **{-(I)p} DUr**

*ur-uš~lar* ‘to fight, battle’ 53r/4

**{-mA-y} turur** negation of **{-(I)p} turur**

*tut~* ‘to take, hold’ 18v/1

**{-mİš} PART. POST**

[N] *bol~*

*paydā bol~* ‘to appear’ 90v/10

*ač-il~* ‘to be open’ 27v/4

*ay~lar* ‘to say, tell’ 144r/2, K: f.65r/9  
*bol~* ‘to be(come)’ 59v/10, 94v/5  
*kör-mä~im* ‘not to see’ 5r/11  
*te~lar* ‘to say, speak’ K: f.60r/16, K: f.60v/17

### postterminals in the past

#### {-DI} *edi*

*kör-üb kel~lär* ‘to see’ 16v/9  
*öl-tür-di-lär edi-lär* ‘to kill’ 30r/8–9

#### {-DI} *erdi*

##### [N] *kül~*

*vaşıyyät kül~* ‘to make a will, bequeath, make a testamentary arrangement’ 63v/3  
*bär~* ‘to give’ 67v/5  
*bol~* ‘to be(come)’ 66r/2  
*öl-tür-di-lär erdi* ‘to kill’ 74r/10  
*üy-i-n-ü tüş-ür-mä~* ‘not to marry’ 18v/8

#### {-GAn} *erdi*

##### [N] *kül~*

*fitnälik kül~* ‘to stir up trouble’ 97v/5  
*musaxxar kül~* ‘to subdue’ 47v/8

##### [N] *kül~lär*

*jami’ kül~lär* ‘to compile, compose, collect’ 95v/7  
*al-ib kel~* ‘to bring’ 65v/8  
*bağ-tur~* ‘to make obey’ 43r/6  
*bär~* ‘to give’ 80r/8, 80v/8  
*bil~* ‘to know’ 19v/5  
*biti~* ‘to write’ 82v/11  
*biti-l-mä~* ‘to be written’ 78v/2  
*bol~* ‘to be(come)’ 46r/10–11  
*bol-ub yürü~* 144v/5  
*kel~* ‘to come’ 82r/3  
*uğ-un~* ‘to treat like, perceive as’ 42r/7  
*tu[y]~* ‘to be born’ 71v/11, 103v/10, 106v/1, 107v/3, 110v/9, 134r/11, 143r/2, 151r/9, 151r/10, 151v/1

#### {-GAn} *yok erdi*

*biti-l~* ‘to be written’ 79r/9

#### {-(I)p} *edi*

##### [N] *kül~lär*

*äfsüs kül~lär* ‘to grieve, be sad, regret’ 29v/5–6  
*kör~* ‘to see’ 17v/7  
*kel~lär* ‘to come’ 27v/9  
*teg~* ‘to reach’ 83v/9  
*yüy-il-ib kel~lär* 27v/9

#### {-(I)p} *erdi*

[N] **bol~**

*väfāt bol~* ‘to die’ 29v/11

*hāmīlā bol~* ‘to become pregnant, get pregnant’ 36r/7

*el bol~* ‘to submit to, surrender to’ 59v/10, 62v/5

[N] **ķil~**

*dušmānlik ķil~* ‘to be in enmity, feud’ 32r/10<sup>87</sup>

*nikāh ķil~* ‘to marry, take a wife, enter into marriage’ 41v/4

*keñās ķil~* ‘to take counsel (together)’ 52r/1

*tähäyyüj ķil~* ‘to encourage’ 68v/5–6

*musaxxar ķil~* ‘to subdue’ 57r/5

[N] **ķil~lär**

*musaxxar ķil~lär* ‘to subdue’ 86r/3

[N] **ķil~in~**

*yād ķil~in~* ‘to be mentioned’ 152r/10

*al~* ‘to take’ 29r/2, 37v/4, 41v/3, 51r/11, 59r/10–11, 68r/3–4, 86r/6, 105r/4, 106r/6, 126v/4, 128r/11, 129r/9, 130r/3, 151v/8, 151v/8–9

*aṭ-la-n-ib ket~* 111r/7

*ayt~* ‘to say, tell’ 56v/1, 63v/3, 83v/1

*ayt~lär* ‘to say, tell’ 36v/1

*ay-tur~* ‘to arrange a match’, lit. ‘to force to say’ 43r/9–10

*baṭ-la~* ‘to tie, link’ 20v/8

*bar~* ‘to go’ 106v/8

*bar-ib käl~lär* 24r/2–3

*baḱ-in-dür~* ‘to subjugate, conquer’ 60r/6

*baḱ-ib oltur~lär* 82v/2–3

*bär~* ‘to give’ 50r/1, 50r/3, 84v/8, 88r/1, 126v/7, 126v/11, 127r/3, 130r/6

*bär~lär* ‘to give’ 87v/11–88r/1

*bol~* ‘to be(come)’ 50r/4, 60r/9

*bol-ub öt~lär* ‘to be(come)’ 88r/11

*buyur~* ‘to order, command’ 64r/6

*čik~* ‘to go out, come out’ 20v/5

*čik~lär* ‘to go out, come out’ 30r/10

*käč~* ‘to pass’ 54v/8

*kel-tür~* ‘to bring, make to come’ 54v/4, 141r/3

*kel-tür-üb bär~* ‘to bring, make to come’ 45r/11

*kät~* ‘to leave, go (away)’ 101v/2

*kör-ün-üb kät~* ‘to be visible, appear’ 36r/8–9

*öt~* ‘to pass’ 156v/5, 157v/3

*ḱal~* ‘to stay, remain’ 80r/6

*ḱal~lär* ‘to stay, remain’ 84v/10

*ḱon-ub oltur~* 57r/2

*ḱow-uš~* ‘to pursue each other’ 49r/11

*saḱ-la~* ‘to watch over guard, protect’ 104v/4

*sat-ib al-ib kel-tür~lär* 88r/7

*süy-in~* ‘to shelter’ 50r/1–2

*til-ä~* ‘to wish’ 53r/8, 53r/9

*tuy~* ‘to be born’ 102v/5, 108v/6, 108v/7–8, 109r/8, 109v/1, 112r/5, 112v/8, 112v/9, 112v/10, 113v/4, 113v/6, 119v/7–8, 121r/2, 122v/2, 122v/4, 122v/8–9, 123v/9, 124r/2, 124r/4, 124r/9, 125v/2, 126r/4, 126r/13, 126r/10, 126v/3, 127r/2–3, 127v/6, 128r/4, 128v/10, 129r/3, 129r/4, 129r/8–9, 129r/10, 129v/1, 129v/5, 129v/7, 129v/11, 130r/8, 130r/9, 130v/8, 130v/10, 131r/2–

<sup>87</sup> StP: †دوشمن ليق قبليپ مردی: K: دوشمن ليق قبليپ ايردی (f.12v/9).

3, 132r/4, 132r/10, 133v/1–2, 133v/11, 134r/2, 134r/4, 134r/9, 134v/2, 134v/5, 135r/1, 136r/8, 136v/1, 136v/2, 137r/1, 136r/10, 137r/11, 137v/2, 137v/4, 137v/6, 137v/8, 138r/5, 138v/10, 138v/11, 139r/2, 139r/9, 139v/6–7, 140r/9, 140v/1–2

*yat*~ ‘to lie (down)’ 145r/4

*yïy-ïl*~ ‘to assemble’ 80r/2

*uyu-š*~ ‘to clot, curdle’ 101v/2

**{-(I)p} *turur erdi***

*al*~ ‘to take’ 146r/3

*al-lär* ‘to take’ 101r/4

*aḳ-ar*~ ‘to turn white’ 101v/8–9

*bus*~ ‘to lay an ambush, be in hiding’ 94r/7–8

**{-mA-y} *dUr erdi*** ‘negatition of {-(I)p} *dUr erdi*

*bol*~ ‘to be(come)’ 46v/3

*teg*~ ‘to reach’ 47v/10

**{-mİš} *erdi***

*tuy*~ ‘to be born’ 112v/11, 137v/9

### APPENDIX 3. Index of postverbial constructions

#### ⟨A⟩ *al-*

[N] *ayt~ma-γay* 97r/4

[N] *bär~ma-γay* 95v/11–96r/1

*bol~ma-γay* 95r/1

*čüdamışi et~ma-γay* 96v/1

*tab~ma-di-lar* 30r/11–30v/1

*tab~ma-γay-lar* 90r/10

*kör~mas*<sup>88</sup> 4v/10

*tügä-n~mas* 3v/3

*kötär~ma-s er-di* 106v/7

*oltur~ma-γay* 91v/11, 96r/2–3

*ķil~γay* 88v/2

*min~ma-γay-lar* 90r/11

*kel-tür~ma-γay-lar* 94v/11

*kör~ma-γay* 95v/9

#### ⟨A⟩ *at-*

*käs~ti* 143v/11

#### ⟨A⟩ *başla~*

*em~di* 17v/5

*teg-ür~di* 48r/4

#### ⟨A⟩ *bil-*

[N] *et~mä-gäy-lär* 82v/5–6

*käč~mä-di* 29v/4

#### ⟨A⟩ *kel-*

*γärüt ķil~dilär* 61v/4–5

*bol~dilär* 30v/9, 33r/3–4

#### ⟨A⟩ *ke[t]-*

*al-ib käč~ti* 60v/8

#### ⟨A⟩ *ķal-*

*kel-mä~ma-s* 92v/1

#### ⟨A⟩ *tur-*

*ķayna~ur er-di-lär* 98v/2

#### ⟨A⟩ *yibär-*

*ķiz tilä-t~di* 53v/5

*ķow-dur~* 61r/4, 62r/1

#### ⟨B⟩ *al-*

---

<sup>88</sup> *Köre almas* ‘to envy’ is a secondary verb in Turkic. Structurally, it is a negative construction, literally meaning ‘cannot see’.

*taşarruf kıl~di* 2r/11  
*taşarruf kıl~di-lar* 10r/10  
*musaxxar kıl~ib er-di* 41v/5  
*tala~ib ket-mä-gäy* 90r/9  
*kel~di* 60v/10  
*jab~di* 54r/7  
*jab~ib er-di* 50r/8  
*yaş-ur~ib kal-dilar* 30v/1–2  
*sat~ib kel-tür-üb er-di-lär* 88r/7

⟨B⟩ *bar-*  
*al~di* 30v/4  
*al~di-lar* 42r/4, 42r/5–6, 97v/7  
*öt~ur er-di* 143v/4

⟨B⟩ *bär-*  
*böl~di* 58r/10, 64v/5, 77r/2, 77r/6, 142r/7  
*sohuryal kıl~di* 154r/2  
*hişsa kıl~di* 142r/7–8  
*kel-tür~di* 44r/2  
*kel-tür-üb er-di* 45r/11

⟨B⟩ *kel-*  
*al~di* 50v/3, 50v/4, 51v/7, 52v/2, 61r/2  
*al~ür-lär* 95r/7  
*al~tür-dü-m* 101r/2  
*al~gän erdi* 65v/8  
*al~ä tur-ur er-di* 142v/8–9  
*bağ-tür~di* 62v/3–4  
*bol~di-lär* 60r/4  
*çik~di* 63r/9, 94v/1, 108r/3  
*çik~di-lär* 14v/2  
*kayt~ür er-di* 18v/9  
*ayt~di* 62r/6, 99v/11  
*jab~di* 29v/2  
*sat-ib al~tür-üb er-di-lär* 88r/7  
*yüy-ül~ib e-di-lär* 27v/9  
*kör~di e-di-lär* 16v/9  
*kow~gäy* 94r/9

⟨B⟩ *käl-*  
*bar~ip er-di-lär* 24r/2–3

⟨B⟩ *keç-*  
*al~ä ke[t]-ti* 60v/8

⟨B⟩ *käç-*  
*bol~ti-lär* 10r/11, 119v/3, 134v/10, 146r/10

⟨B⟩ *ket-/⟨B⟩ kät-*

*aṭ-la-n~ib er-di* 111r/7  
*kör-ün~ib er-di* 36r/8–9  
*ḳayt~ip tur-ur* 61v/8  
*tala-b al~mä-gäy* 90r/9

⟨B⟩ *ke[t]-*

*bol~ti* 14v/11–15r/1, 32v/11  
*bol~ti-lär* 25v/2  
*ḳaç~ti* 61v/11–62r/1  
*ḳayt~ti-lär* 111v/9  
*sal~ti* 81r/6

⟨B⟩ *oltur-*

*baḳ~ub er-di-lär* 82v/2–3  
*ḳon~ub er-di* 57r/2  
*yay-la~ur er-di-lär* 85v/4

⟨B⟩ *öt-*

*bol~ti* 67r/11, 76v/4  
*bol~ti-lär* 14r/10, 26r/11, 136v/11, 138r/3, 139v/5, 149v/9, 152r/1, 152v/1, 152v/3  
*bol~üb er-di-lär* 88r/11

⟨B⟩ *ḳal-*

*yaš~ur-ub al~di-lar* 30v/1–2

⟨B⟩ *tur-*

*mävḳūf bol~di-lar* 154r/3  
*ḳayt~ib ket~ur* 61v/8  
*bol~ur er-di-lär* 70r/2–3

⟨B⟩ *tüš-*

*kel~ti* 62v/2, 97v/3  
*kel~di* 98r/9  
*kel~di-lär* 154v/6

⟨B⟩ *yat-*

*zaḫmlīḳ bol~ur er-di-m* 101r/10–11

⟨B⟩ *yibär-*

*ḳow~di* 49v/1  
*ayt~di* 54r/2  
*mu 'ayyän [et]~di-lär*<sup>89</sup> 87r/2

⟨B⟩ *yür-*

*bol~di-lär* 13r/4  
*bol~gäy-lär* 95v/1  
*et~güz-di* 90v/5–6

---

<sup>89</sup> StP: † *mu 'ayyän [etib] yibärdilär*; K: *mu 'ayyän etib yibärdilär* (f.35r/11–12).



⟨B⟩ *yüri-*  
*bol~di-lär* 21v/6

⟨B⟩ *yürü-*  
*bol~gän er-di* 144v/5  
*bol~r er-di* 144r/4, 145r/1  
*küt~r er-di* 65r/7  
*tut~r er-miš* 20v/8–9  
*yuw~r-lär* 18v/11

#### APPENDIX 4. Index of other devices of finite verb forms

##### {+DUr} COP PART

~ 4v/6, 12v/11, 76r/8, 76v/2, 76v/7,<sup>90</sup> 78v/1, 84r/3, 89r/11, 139r/6, 155v/1, K:65r/11, K:65r/12, K:69r/17

##### {-GAn} *bol-* PRO

*tey~yay* ‘to reach’ 96r/3

*öl~yay* ‘to die’ 96r/1–2

##### {-GU}-POSS *turur* PRO

[N] *ķil* ~

*bäyān ķil*~ ‘to illuminate, explain’ 1r/3

*‘arza ķil*~ ‘to submit a request’, ‘to report’ 83v/10–11

##### {-(I)p} *ermiš* EVID. COP PART with POST

[N] *bol*~

*bir bol*~ ‘to be united, rally’ 53r/3

*ķayt*~ 20v/9

##### {ĴI} MOD PART

*bār*~ ‘to give’ 68v/10

##### {-mAk} *käräk* NEC

*keņäš*~ ‘to consult (someone DAT)’, ‘discuss (with someone)’ 92r/9–10

?~ ‘to stroke’ 92v/5

##### {-(°)r} *bol-* PRO

*yät~yay* ‘to arrive, reach, overtake’ 4r/3

##### {-(°)r} *ermiš* EVID. COP PART with INTRA

*tut-ub yürü*~ 20v/8–9

---

<sup>90</sup> K: *durur* (30v/17).