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SUMMARY

Background. The main function of the pancreatic ductal epithelium is to secrete \( \text{HCO}_3^- \) secretion across the apical membrane of duct cells is thought to occur via cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR), a cAMP/protein kinase A (PKA) regulated Cl\(^-\) channel, and the SLC26 anion exchangers SLC26A3 (DRA, downregulated in adenoma) and SLC26A6 (PAT-1, putative anion transporter-1). The central role of CFTR in the secretory process can’t be questioned. Pancreatic \( \text{HCO}_3^- \) secretion is markedly reduced in patients with cystic fibrosis (CF), a fatal inherited autosomal recessive disease which is caused by the absence or dysfunction of CFTR. The availability of gene therapy would offer the best hope for a cure of CF.

Pathophysiological factors have been shown to modulate \( \text{HCO}_3^- \) secretion. Luminal administration of a low dose (0.1 mM) of the unconjugated bile salt, chenodeoxycholate (CDC), significantly elevates apical Cl\(^-\)/\( \text{HCO}_3^- \) exchange activity and \( \text{HCO}_3^- \) secretion in guinea pig pancreatic ducts, and these effects were Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent. It remains to be investigated whether the effects of CDC are dependent on CFTR expression and Cl\(^-\) channel activity.

Aims. The main aims of my work were to investigate the potential of a recombinant Sendai virus (SeV) vector to introduce wild-type (wt) CFTR into human CF pancreatic duct (CFPAC-1) cells, and to assess the effect of CFTR gene transfer on the key transporters involved in \( \text{HCO}_3^- \) transport. The other important objectives of my work were to examine the effects of CDC on intracellular pH (pH\(_i\)), intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration ([Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_i\)) and apical Cl\(^-\)/\( \text{HCO}_3^- \) exchange activity in human pancreatic duct cells lacking or expressing wt CFTR, and to determine whether any effects were dependent on CFTR expression and Cl\(^-\) channel activity.

Methods. We performed the majority of our experiments on polarized cultures of homozygous F508del CFPAC-1 cells as a model for the human CF pancreatic ductal epithelium. CFPAC-1 cells were transduced with SeV constructs containing cDNAs for either wt CFTR or β-galactosidase (LacZ). We detected the expression of CFTR by Western blot analysis and immunocytochemistry. The presence of functional CFTR was confirmed using iodide efflux assay. mRNA expression of pNBC, AE2, NHE2, NHE3, DRA, and PAT-1 was determined using real-time RT-PCR. The activities of acid/base
transporters were investigated using a fluorescent dye BCECF to monitor pH\textsubscript{i} by microfluorometry. Ca\textsuperscript{2+}\textsubscript{i} was measured by FURA-2. Patch clamp experiments were performed on isolated guinea pig duct cells to examine whether CDC could directly activate CFTR Cl\textsuperscript{−} conductance.

**Results.** SeV-LacZ transduced CFPAC-1 cells showed a strong, homogeneous LacZ activity 48-96 h after the infection. In the SeV-CFTR cells we observed significant elevation of CFTR expression and activity. Wt CFTR expression had no effect on cell growth, monolayer integrity, and mRNA levels for key transporters in the duct cell (pNBC, AE2, NHE2, NHE3, DRA, and PAT-1), but did upregulate the activity of apical Cl\textsuperscript{−}/HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} and Na\textsuperscript{+}/H\textsuperscript{+} exchangers (NHEs). In CFTR-corrected cells, apical Cl\textsuperscript{−}/HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} exchange activity was further enhanced by cAMP, a key feature exhibited by normal pancreatic duct cells. The cAMP stimulated Cl\textsuperscript{−}/HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} exchange was inhibited by dihydro-4,4\textsuperscript{′}-diisothiocyanostilbene-2,2\textsuperscript{′}-disulfonic acid (H\textsubscript{2}-DIDS), but not by a specific CFTR inhibitor, CFTR\textsubscript{inh}-172. Basolateral Cl\textsuperscript{−}/HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} exchange and Na\textsuperscript{+}/HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} co-transport activities were significantly reduced in SeV-CFTR transduced CFPAC-1 cells.

CDC caused a dose-dependent increase in [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{i} in CFPAC-1 human pancreatic duct cells. Apical administration of CDC to CFPAC-1 cells resulted in a greater elevation of [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{i} compared to basolateral application. These bile acid-induced Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals were not dependent on the expression of CFTR. CDC dose-dependently decreased pH\textsubscript{i}, of CFPAC-1 cells, the effect of CDC on the pH\textsubscript{i} was greater when the bile acid was given from the apical side. Interestingly, CDC-induced acidosis was somewhat higher in CFTR-deficient pancreatic duct cells. Luminal administration of 0.1mM CDC significantly elevated apical Cl\textsuperscript{−}/HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} exchange activity, but only in cells that expressed wt CFTR. 0.1 mM CDC did not activate CFTR Cl\textsuperscript{−} conductance in duct cells isolated from guinea pig.

**Conclusions.** Our data show that SeV vector is a potential cftr gene transfer agent for human pancreatic duct cells and that expression of CFTR in CF cells is associated with a restoration of Cl\textsuperscript{−} and HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} transport at the apical membrane. Apical Cl\textsuperscript{−}/HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} exchange activity could be stimulated by cAMP or low doses of CDC. This increase in anion exchange activity was independent of the Cl\textsuperscript{−} conductance of CFTR. Taken together, this work lead to an improved understanding of the regulation of acid/base transporters in the diseased CF and corrected (with wt CFTR) pancreatic ductal epithelium. Cftr gene transfer may be beneficial in patients with CF.
1. Introduction

The pancreas is part of the digestive tract and has both endocrine and exocrine functions. The exocrine pancreas includes two main types of cells: acinar and duct cells. In general, the physiology and pathophysiology of acinar cells have been more widely investigated. However, it is well known that numerous pancreatic diseases (such as adenocarcinoma and cystic fibrosis, CF) are associated with abnormal ductal cell morphology and function. Therefore, my research was focused on studying the molecular and cellular regulation of pancreatic duct cell function.

1.1. Pancreatic ductal HCO$_3^-$ absorption and secretion

The pancreatic ductal cells both absorb (salvage) and secrete HCO$_3^-$ ions (Argent et al., 2006; Steward et al., 2005). In the resting state, pancreatic ducts absorb HCO$_3^-$ across the apical membrane. A luminal Na$^+/H^+$ exchanger (NHE3), a Na$^+$-dependent mechanism that is different from any known NHE isoform and an electroneutral Na$^+/HCO_3^-$ cotransporter (SLC4A7, NBCn1, NBC3) have been identified in the main duct of the mouse pancreas and probably explains HCO$_3^-$ absorption (Ahn et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2000; Park et al., 2002). The absorbed HCO$_3^-$ is probably exported to the extracellular space from the duct cell via a basolateral AE2 Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$ exchanger. The activity of these luminal HCO$_3^-$ salvage transporters is down-regulated following stimulation of HCO$_3^-$ secretion by a rise in intracellular cAMP (Ahn et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2000; Park et al., 2002).

The pancreatic duct secretes a HCO$_3^-$-rich, alkaline fluid that flushes digestive enzymes (secreted by acinar cells) down the ductal tree and into the duodenum and also helps to neutralize acid chyme entering the duodenum from the stomach (Ahn et al., 2001; Hegyi & Rakonczay, 2007). The initial step of HCO$_3^-$ secretion is uptake of HCO$_3^-$ into the duct cells from the extracellular space (Figure 1). HCO$_3^-$ can enter the epithelium either by the forward transport of HCO$_3^-$, via a basolateral Na$^+/HCO_3^-$ co-transporter (NBC), or by the diffusion of CO$_2$ into the cells, subsequent hydration to H$_2$CO$_3$ by carbonic anhydrase (CA) and backward transport of protons via Na$^+/H^+$ exchangers (NHEs) and/or H$^+$ pumps. HCO$_3^-$ secretion across the apical membrane is thought to occur via cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR), a cAMP/protein kinase A (PKA) regulated Cl$^-$ channel and the SLC26 anion exchangers SLC26A3 (DRA, downregulated in adenoma)
and SLC26A6 (PAT-1, putative anion transporter-1). However, the relative importance of each of these apical transporters in HCO₃⁻ secretion is a controversial issue (Argent et al., 2006; Hegyi et al., 2008; Steward et al., 2005). Rats and mice are capable of secreting a Cl⁻-rich pancreatic fluid in the absence of HCO₃⁻. This is likely to be driven by a Na⁺-K⁺-2Cl⁻ cotransporter, probably NKCC1 (Dehaye et al., 2003; Nagy et al., 2007).

1.2. Cystic fibrosis and the role of cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator in HCO₃⁻ transport

CF is the most common fatal autosomal recessive disease in the Caucasian ethnic group and is caused by the absence or the dysfunction of CFTR. On average, individuals with CF have a lifespan of about 30 years. The disease affects the pancreas, the liver, the respiratory organs, the bile and the urinary and reproductive organs. The disturbed function of CFTR plays an important role in decreased epithelial HCO₃⁻ secretion. CFTR itself has been shown to transport HCO₃⁻, albeit at a slower rate than Cl⁻ (Gray et al., 1990; Linsdell et al., 1997). However, it is still unclear whether CFTR’s main role in HCO₃⁻ secretion is to secrete HCO₃⁻ directly, to provide a source of luminal Cl⁻ to support apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange or to directly activate the apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchangers (Choi et al., 2001; Ko et al., 2004; Lee et al., 1999a, 1999b; Shcheynikov et al., 2006). It remains possible that all three mechanisms could be present.

1.3. Effect of bile acids on HCO₃⁻ secretion

Obstruction of the Ampulla of Vater by gallstones that have migrated down the common bile duct is a major risk factor for acute pancreatitis (Pandol et al., 2007). The consequent obstruction of bile and pancreatic juice outflow into the duodenum might cause bile reflux into the pancreas. In pancreatic acinar cells, bile acids cause a global elevation of intracellular calcium concentration [Ca²⁺], which leads to trypsinogen activation and, subsequently, cell death (Criddle et al., 2007).

Venglovecz et al. (2008) have shown that luminal administration of a low dose (0.1 mM) of the unconjugated bile acid, chenodeoxycholate (CDC), significantly elevated apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity and HCO₃⁻ secretion in guinea pig pancreatic ducts, and that these effects were Ca²⁺-dependent. In contrast, a higher dose of CDC (1 mM) strongly inhibited ductal HCO₃⁻ transport. Recently our work group has demonstrated that the inhibition of HCO₃⁻ secretion by 1 mM CDC is caused by mitochondrial damage and
consequently depletion of intracellular ATP level (Maléth et al., 2009). The stimulatory effect of 0.1 mM CDC on apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity in intact ducts is most likely mediated by upregulation of SLC26A6 (PAT-1), since it was blocked by a competitive inhibitor of anion exchangers dihydro-4,4'-diisothiocyanostilbene-2,2'-disulfonic acid (H₂-DIDS) (Venglovecz et al., 2008). Under physiological conditions, ductal HCO₃⁻ secretion is regulated by a direct interaction between SLC26 family Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchangers and CFTR (Alper et al., 2006; Alvarez et al., 2005). Therefore, assessing whether the stimulatory effects of CDC on anion exchange activity and HCO₃⁻ secretion are dependent on CFTR expression and functional Cl⁻ channel activity should help to clarify the underlying mechanism.

1.4. The investigation of HCO₃⁻ transport in CFPAC-1 cell line

Due to the limited availability of human pancreatic tissue, most studies on HCO₃⁻ transport have been performed on mice and guinea pigs (Ahn et al., 2001; Hegyi et al., 2003, 2005). On the other hand, human ductal cell lines have also been widely used for investigation of HCO₃⁻ transport Demeter et al., 2009; Greeley et al., 2001; Rakonczay et al., 2006; Szűcs et al., 2006). The CFPAC-1 is a human pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cell line isolated first from a 26-year-old male CF patient (Schoumacher et al., 1990). The CFPAC-1 cells are homozygous for the most frequent CFTR mutation, which is characterized by deletion of three nucleotides leading to the deficiency of phenylalanine in position 508 (F508del). The greater proportion of this mutant protein remains in the endoplasmatic reticulum and is decayed (Cheng et al., 1990). The smaller proportion of the damaged protein, which reaches the plasma membrane (Zsembery et al., 2000), does not respond adequately to the cAMP stimulation (Wang et al., 2006). cAMP-analogues, phosphodiesterase-inhibitors and forskolin are not able to activate the impaired CFTR (Schoumacher et al., 1990; Park et al., 2002). Ca²⁺-activated Cl⁻ channels expressed by CFPAC-1 cells can be stimulated by Ca²⁺ ionophore and extracellular nucleotides, for instance with ATP (Namkung et al., 2003; Park et al., 2002). All these characteristics have been stable through more than 80 passages. This fact proves that the CFPAC-1 cells are maintainable as a cell line that they keep their CFTR defect. CFPAC-1 cells are suitable to use for in vitro ductal model of CF. The H⁺ and HCO₃⁻ transport mechanisms of the cell line have already been well characterized previously (Greeley et al., 2001; Rakonczay et al., 2006). The transfection of CFPAC-1 cells with wild-type (wt) CFTR increased the HCO₃⁻ uptake through the basolateral NBC. The activation of CFTR induced a membrane
depolarisation and it caused an increase in the NBC electric conductivity. Later Greely et al. (2001) demonstrated increased Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity, *dra* and *pat-1* mRNA expression in CFPAC-1 cells, which steadily expressed wt CFTR. On the basis of these results CFTR expression was suggested to modify the synthesis of SLC26 anion changers. Although Greeley and his collaborators made their experiments primarily on unpolarized CFPAC-1 cells, it is not possible to establish, whether the anion changer activity occurred on the apical or on the basolateral membrane. Furthermore, they did not examine the effect of the cAMP mediated CFTR activation on the Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity. Namkung et al. (2003) demonstrated, that CFPAC-1 cells transfected with CFTR containing adenovirus, will be able again to increase the luminal Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity by Ca²⁺-mobilisation. In contrast, Cheung et al. (1998) and Zsembery et al. (2000) found that increased [Ca²⁺]ᵢ stimulated HCO₃⁻ secretion, but it was independent from functional CFTR.

**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of the ion transport systems in the pancreatic duct cell. The model is based on data derived from experiments on rat and guinea pig duct cells. CAC: calcium activated Cl⁻ channel; CA: carbonic anhydrase; CFTR: cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator.
Aims

The main aims of this work were to investigate the potential of a recombinant Sendai virus (SeV) vector to introduce wt CFTR into human CF pancreatic (CFPAC-1) duct cells and to examine the effects of (1) wt CFTR expression on the H⁺ and HCO₃⁻ transport mechanisms of impaired CF pancreatic duct cells and (2) CDC on intracellular pH (pHᵢ), [Ca²⁺]ᵢ, and apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity in pancreatic duct cells lacking or expressing wt CFTR. Finally, we wanted to test whether low doses of CDC increased CFTR Cl⁻ channel activity and for these experiments we used guinea pig pancreatic duct cells in which CFTR activity and its regulation have been well characterized (Gray et al., 1993).
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

CFPAC-1 cells (passage number 50-60) were obtained from Prof. R. A. Frizzell (University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). Laboratory chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Poole, Dorset, UK) unless indicated otherwise. 2’,7’-bis-(2-carboxyethyl)-5(6)-carboxyfluorescein-acetoxymethyl ester (BCECF-AM), 2-(6-(bis-(carboxymethyl)-amino)-5-(2-(2-(bis-(carboxymethyl)-amino)-5-metilfenoxi)-etoxi)-2-benzofuranyl)-5-5-oxazolcarboxil-acetoxy-methyl ester (FURA-2-AM) and H$_2$-DIDS were from Molecular Probes Inc. (Eugene, OR, USA). The selective CFTR inhibitor CFTR$_{inh}$-172 (Ma et al., 2002) was kindly provided by Prof. A. S. Verkman (University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA). Stock solutions of BCECF-AM (2 mM) and CFTR$_{inh}$-172 inhibitor (10 mM) were prepared in dimethyl sulphoxide. 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (50 mg/ml) was dissolved in dimethylformamide. Nigericin (10 mM) and forskolin (50 mM) were dissolved in ethanol and stored at -20°C. Polyester Transwells were supplied by Corning-Costar (Buckinghamshire, UK). The anti-PKAcat (C20) antibody was from Santa Cruz (sc-903, Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Mouse anti-ZO-1 antibody was supplied by Zymed Laboratories (33-9100, San Francisco, CA, USA) anti-CFTR antibody was supplied by Chemicon (MAB3480, Temecula, CA, USA). Vectashield mounting medium was from Vector Laboratories Ltd. (Peterborough, UK) Enhanced chemiluminescent reagent was from Amersham (Little Chalfont, UK). SeV vectors were supplied by DNAVEC Corporation (Tsukuba Ibaraki, Japan). Propidium iodide and goat anti-mouse-FITC secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 488) were supplied by Invitrogen Corporation (Carlsbad, CA, USA).

2.2. Solutions

The standard HEPES-buffered solution contained (mM): 130 NaCl, 5 KCl, 1 MgCl$_2$, 1 CaCl$_2$, 10 Na-HEPES, 10 D-glucose (pH 7.4 with HCl). In the Na$^+$-free HEPES solution NaCl was replaced by 140 mM N-methyl-D-glucamine-Cl and the Na-HEPES was replaced by equimolar HEPES-acid. In the Na$^+$-free HEPES solution containing 20 mM NH$_4$Cl, the concentration of N-methyl-D-glucamine-Cl was reduced to maintain osmolarity. The standard HCO$_3^-$-buffered solution contained (mM): 115 NaCl, 5 KCl, 1 MgCl$_2$, 1 CaCl$_2$, 25 Na-HCO$_3$, 10 D-glucose. In the K$^+$-free HCO$_3^-$-buffered solution KCl was replaced by equimolar NaCl. The high-K$^+$ HCO$_3^-$-buffered solution contained (mM): 5
NaCl, 115 KCl, 1 MgCl$_2$, 1 CaCl$_2$, 25 Na-HCO$_3$, 10 D-glucose. The Cl$^-$-free HCO$_3^-$ solution contained (mM): 115 Na-gluconate, 2.5 K$_2$SO$_4$, 6 Ca-gluconate, 1 Mg-gluconate, 25 Na-HCO$_3$, 10 D-glucose. All solutions containing HCO$_3^-$ were continuously equilibrated with 5% CO$_2$ - 95% O$_2$ to maintain pH at 7.4.

2.3. Culturing of CFPAC-1 cells

CFPAC-1 cells were grown in Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum, 2 mM glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin and were cultured as described previously (Schoumacher et al., 1990). The medium was changed every 1-2 days. Cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO$_2$. Cell monolayers were prepared by seeding at high density (300,000-350,000 cells/cm$^2$) onto polyester permeable supports (12 mm diameter, 0.4 µm pore size Transwells). Cell confluence was checked by microscopy and determination of transepithelial electrical resistance ($R_T$) using an EVOM-G voltohmmeter (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL, USA).

2.4. Isolation of guinea pig pancreatic duct cells

For patch clamp experiments small intra- and interlobular ducts were isolated from guinea pig (weighing 150-250g) pancreas, cultured overnight, and dissociated into single cells as described previously (Gray et al., 1994).

2.5. Construction of recombinant Sendai virus vectors

SeV vectors were constructed by DNAVEC Corporation. The genome order of the full length SeV used in this study was as follows: a leader (ld) sequence at the 3’-end followed by the following viral genes; nucleocapsid (NP), phospho (P), matrix (M), fusion (F), hemagglutinin-neuraminidase (HN), and large proteins (L). Finally, a small trailer (tr) sequence was placed at the 5’-end. We utilized the wt SeV vector (SeV(+)=MF) (Tokusumi et al., 2002), in which a NotI restriction site for insertion of the gene of interest is located between the M and F genes. SeV(+)=MF carrying the human CFTR gene (SeV-CFTR) was constructed as previously described (Kato et al., 1996).

In brief, human CFTR (accession no. M28668) cDNA was amplified with a pair of NotI-tagged primers that contained SeV-specific transcriptional regulatory signal sequences,
5′-ACTTGCAGCCGCTGGGAAAGTTGTTGCTGGTCTTCGTTTTTCTGAACCT-3′ and 5′-ATCCGGCCGCATGACCTTCACCCCTAAGTTTTCTTACTACGCTGTTTGTATGATCCT-3′. The amplified fragment was introduced into the NotI restriction site of the parental pSeV(+)MF, thereby incorporating the cDNA of SeV-CFTR. Note that a number of silent nucleotide changes were introduced into the CFTR cDNA to ensure efficient SeV vector-mediated CFTR expression (Ferrari et al., 2007). The cDNA of the wt SeV vector carrying the LacZ gene (SeV-LacZ) was constructed as previously described using the amplified fragment of LacZ (Shiotani et al., 2001). pSeV-CFTR and pSeV-LacZ were transfected into LLC-MK2 cells after infection of the cells with vaccinia virus vTF7-3 (Fuerst et al., 1986), which expresses T7 polymerase. The T7-driven recombinant SeV-CFTR and SeV-LacZ RNA genomes were encapsulated by NP, P, and L proteins, which were derived from their respective cotransfected plasmids. Forty hours later, the transfected cells were injected into 10-day-old embryonated chicken eggs to amplify the recovered virus (Kato et al., 1996). The SeV vector titer was determined by a hemagglutination assay using chicken red blood cells, and the virus was stored at -70°C until use.

2.6. Infection with recombinant Sendai virus

For pH$_i$ and [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ measurements, LacZ staining and immunocytochemistry, confluent CFPAC-1 cells grown on Transwells were infected with SeV-CFTR or SeV-LacZ (nuclear localized) 3 days after seeding. Preliminary experiments showed that the cells were much more efficiently infected from the apical compared to the basolateral side. Therefore, after washing the cells with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), $6 \times 10^5$ (0.6 µl) or $3 \times 10^6$ (3 µl) plaque forming units (multiplicity of infection, MOI = 3 or 15) of SeV vector was applied to the apical side of the cells in serum-free Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium (30-32.4 µl) for 1 h. The basolateral side of the cells was incubated in serum-free Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium (800 µl) only. Thereafter, serum containing Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium was added to the upper (470 µl) and lower (700 µl) compartments of the Transwells. Twenty-four hours later the cells were rinsed with PBS and fed with fresh virus-free, serum-containing Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium. Control (i.e., uninfected) CFPAC-1 cells were subjected to a similar protocol, but the virus aliquots were substituted with serum-free Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium. Experiments were performed 48-96 h after infection.

To determine the expression of CFTR, H$^+$ and HCO$_3^-$ transporters, or protein kinase A (PKA) analysis, confluent CFPAC-1 cells, grown in 25 cm$^2$ tissue culture flasks, were
washed with PBS and incubated with serum-free Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium (825 µl, control), SeV-CFTR or SeV-LacZ in serum-free Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium (MOI = 3 or 15) for 1 h. Thereafter, 9.2 ml of serum-containing Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium was added to the flasks. Twenty-four hours later the cells were rinsed with PBS and were fed with fresh virus-free serum-containing Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium. The cells were trypsinised, pelleted, and frozen on dry ice 48 h after infection.

2.7. β-Galactosidase staining

β-Galactosidase activity was detected by in situ staining (Yonemitsu et al., 2000). CFPAC-1 cells grown on Transwells or plastic were washed with PBS and fixed at 4°C in a PBS solution containing 2% formaldehyde, 0.2% glutaraldehyde, 2 mM MgCl$_2$, and 5 mM EGTA for 10 min. The cells were then rinsed with washing buffer (0.01% sodium deoxycholate, 0.02% NP-40 and 2 mM MgCl$_2$ in PBS) and incubated with a chromophore solution (0.1% 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside, 5 mM potassium ferrocyanide, and 5 mM potassium ferricyanide in washing buffer) at 37°C for 1-2 h. The number of infected (blue) and uninfected cells was counted microscopically and averaged in 5-6 randomly selected 400× viewing fields.

2.8. Western blot

2.8.1. CFTR

Total protein extracts from CFPAC-1 and CAPAN-1 cells, as well as from baby hamster kidney (BHK) cells stably expressing wt or F508del CFTR (Chang et al., 1993) (as controls) were analyzed for CFTR protein expression by Western blotting as described previously (Farinha et al., 2004a-b).

2.8.2. Protein kinase A catalytic subunit

The protein kinase A catalytic subunit (PKAcat) was detected from the immunoprecipitated pellet used for the PKA activity assay (see 2.14). Sodium dodecyl sulphate - polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was performed using the Novex (Invitrogen Ltd., Paisley, UK) system on 4-12% Bis-Tris polyacrylamide gels and the proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Following blocking in TBS-Tween (0.5% Tween-20) plus 5% milk powder for 30 min, membranes were incubated with anti-PKAcat antibody.
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2.9. Immunocytochemistry

Two days after infection with SeV-LacZ or SeV-CFTR, CFPAC-1 monolayers were washed with PBS and then fixed and permeabilized with methanol on ice for 15 min. The monolayers were blocked in 3% horse serum for 1 h. The cells were then incubated overnight at 4 °C with mouse anti-ZO-1 or anti-CFTR antibody (1:100). Following 3 washes with PBS, the cells were incubated in 3% goat serum for 1 h and goat anti-mouse-FITC secondary antibody (1:100) for 1 h. The filters were washed in PBS and incubated in 1 µg/ml propidium iodide for 5-10 min (to stain the nuclei of cells) and were mounted in Vectashield mounting medium. Control experiments omitted the primary antibody (and were negative). Staining was visualized using confocal laser microscopy (TCS-NT, Leica with Kr-Ar laser) with appropriate excitation and emission filter sets. A gallery of 10-20 optical sections (0.5 μm thick) through the x-y and z-planes were obtained.

2.10. Iodide efflux assay

Iodide efflux was performed on CFPAC-1 cells grown on 6-well plastic culture plates using the protocol described for CFTR-transfected BHK cells (Hughes et al., 2004). After the iodide release had reached a steady state (6 min), the intracellular cAMP level was raised by agonists (10 µM forskolin, 100 µM 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine, IBMX, and 100 µM 2'-O-dibutyryladenosine 3',5'-cyclic monophosphate, dbcAMP) and collection of the efflux medium was resumed for an additional 10 min. In some experiments the cells were administered 10 µM CFTRinh-172 or dimethyl sulphoxide after removing the iodide loading buffer. The amount of iodide in each sample was determined with an iodide-selective electrode (Thermo Electron Corporation, Fife, Scotland).

2.11. mRNA expression of DRA, PAT-1, AE2, pNBC1, NHE2, NHE3

2.11.1. Isolation of mRNA and reverse transcription

To study the expression of transporter mRNAs in uninfected, SeV-LacZ or SeV-CFTR infected CFPAC-1 cells grown in 25 cm² tissue culture flasks, total RNA was isolated using the GenElute™ Mammalian Total RNA Miniprep Kit (Sigma). The RNA concentration was determined by measuring the optical density at 260 nm. RNA integrity was verified by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel. Total RNA (1 µg) was used for cDNA synthesis by oligoDT priming (M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase, RNase H Minus, Point Mutant, Promega, Madison, WI).
2.11.2. Semi-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

First-strand cDNA was amplified with PCR primers designed, by Primer3 software (Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, MA, USA), to be specific for selected transporters. (Table 1.) PCR was performed using Taq polymerase (Promega). Following an initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min and 30-35 cycles of amplification, samples were incubated at 72°C for a further 5 min. The PCR products were resolved on agarose gels. As an internal concentration reference for the PCR experiments, we performed 19 cycles of amplification with primers for the acidic ribosomal phosphoprotein (XS13) (Wallrapp et al., 1997). Total RNA extracts from normal human pancreas (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) and HPAF cells were used as positive control for selected primers of electrolyte transporters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Expected product size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AE2</td>
<td>373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRA</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAT-1</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pNBC1</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XS13</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Sequences of primers used for semi-quantitative RT-PCR.

2.11.3. Real-time PCR

For quantitative analysis of gene expression of DRA, PAT-1, AE2, NHE2, and NHE3, real-time PCR was performed. Real time PCR primers and FAM-labeled MGB target-specific fluorescence probe were purchased from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA, USA) for DRA (Hs00230798_m1), PAT-1 (Hs00370470_m1), AE2 (Hs00161738_m1), NHE2 (Hs00268166_m1), NHE3 (Hs00188200_m1), and human acidic ribosomal phosphoprotein P0 (RPLPO, Hs99999902_m1). RPLPO, was used as positive control. The template cDNAs was amplified by the Universal Mastermix (Applied Biosystems) containing AMP-erase. For detection of fluorescence signal during the PCR cycles, the ABI Prism Sequence Detection System 7700 (Applied Biosystems) was employed with the default setting (50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, 45 cycle: 95°C for
15 s, 60°C for 1 min). Each experiment was repeated two times with 2 replicates. Changes in levels of gene expression were estimated based on the real-time PCR data by calculating the relative expression values (reference/control sample) for the target RNA in each sample and normalizing them by dividing with the relative value of RPLPO from the same sample (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001).

2.12. Measurement of intracellular pH and intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration and determination of buffering capacity

The pH\(_i\) was estimated as described previously (Hegyi et al., 2004; Rakonczay et al., 2006; Thomas et al., 1979). Briefly, after loading the cells with 2 µM BCECF-AM, the Transwells were transferred to a perfusion chamber mounted on an inverted Olympus microscope (Olympus Hungary Ltd., Budapest, Hungary). 4-5 small areas (Regions of interests – ROIs) of 180-240 cells were excited with light at wavelengths of 440 and 495 nm, and the 440/495 fluorescence emission ratio was measured at 535 nm. Experiments were performed on 37°C. Apical and basal bath volumes were 0.5 and 1 ml and the perfusion rates were 3 and 6 ml/min, respectively. The intrinsic buffering capacity (β\(_i\)) of CFPAC-1 cells was estimated according to the NH\(_4^+\) prepulse technique (Weintraub & Machen, 1989; Rakonczay et al., 2006). The total buffering capacity (β\(_{total}\)) was calculated as β\(_{total}\) = β\(_i\) + β\(_{HCO_3^-}\), where β\(_{HCO_3^-}\) is the buffering capacity of the HCO\(_3^-\)/CO\(_2\) system. β\(_{HCO_3^-}\) = \(2.3 \times [HCO_3^-]\)\(_i\). [HCO\(_3^-\)]\(_i\) is the intracellular concentration of HCO\(_3^-\).

Measurement of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_i\) was performed using the same method except that the cells were loaded with the Ca\(^{2+}\)-sensitive fluorescent dye FURA 2-AM (5 µM) for 60 min. For excitation, 340 and 380 nm filters were used, and the changes in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_i\) were calculated from the fluorescence ratio (F\(_{340}\)/F\(_{380}\)) measured at 510 nm.
Figure 2. Buffering capacity of CFPAC-1 cells transduced with SeV-LacZ or SeV-CFTR at different pH.
2.13. Net change in pH\textsubscript{i} and calculation of base flux

The net change in pH\textsubscript{i} (ΔpH\textsubscript{i}) was measured by determining the pH\textsubscript{i} immediately before and at the peak level after the changes of solutions by averaging the values of 80 data points. The initial rates of change of pH\textsubscript{i} (over 30-50 sec) resulting from solution changes were used to calculate transmembrane base flux (J\textsubscript{B}). J\textsubscript{B} = change of pH\textsubscript{i}/Δt × β\textsubscript{total}. The β\textsubscript{total} value used in the calculation of J\textsubscript{B}s was obtained by using the average pH\textsubscript{i} value over a 30 sec period immediately before changing solutions. We denote base influx as J\textsubscript{B} and base efflux as -J\textsubscript{B}.

2.14. Protein kinase A activity assay

Homogenization of CFPAC-1 cells was achieved by mechanical lysis (Polytron) in three volumes of ice-cold buffer (10 mM Tris, 20 mM NaH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.8 containing a fresh protease inhibitor cocktail (Boehringer complete tablet)). Whole cell lysates were used as input material for immunoprecipitation. Prior to precipitation, dimethyl pimelimidate linking of PKAcat antibody to protein A sepharose beads was performed according to the method of Harlow & Lane (1988). A 7 µl slurry of antibody-crosslinked protein-A beads was added to 20 µg of whole cell lysate. Samples were then shake-incubated for 60 min at 4 °C. The samples were pelleted in a desktop centrifuge, followed by 3 × 1 ml washes with standard assay buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, 50 mM NaF, 1.0 mM EGTA, 1.0 mM EDTA, 0.2% Tween-20, 10% glycerol) containing 1 M NaCl and 3 × 1ml washes into standard assay buffer. Precipitation pellets were re-suspended in 20 µl of assay buffer and assayed/probed as described. PKA activity was determined by measuring the incorporation of γ\textsuperscript{32}P from [γ\textsuperscript{32}P] ATP (Perkin-Elmer) into the S660 residue of a known amount of purified CFTR- nucleotide binding domain-1 fragment (bacterially expressed and purified to homogeneity). Assays were carried out at 30°C for 10 min and terminated by spotting a 15 µl aliquot onto a 1 cm\textsuperscript{2} piece of P81 phosphocellulose paper and washing 3 × 5 min in 1% phosphoric acid. Incorporation of γ\textsuperscript{32}P was quantified using a Packard Instant Imager (Muimo et al., 2000). PKA peptide inhibitor (Calbiochem, Nottingham, UK) was used at a working concentration of 100 nM to specify the phosphotransfer activity of PKA.

2.15. Electrophysiology

Patch pipettes were pulled from borosilicate and had resistances, after fire polishing, of between 3 and 5 MΩ. Seal resistances were typically between 5 and 10 GΩ.
An EPC-7 amplifier (List Electronic, Darmstadt, Germany) was used to record whole-cell currents from single duct cells at room temperature (Gray et al., 1993). Current/voltage (I/V) relationships were obtained by holding $V_m$ at 0 mV and clamping to ± 100 mV in 20 mV increments for 500 ms with an 800 ms interval between each pulse. Data were filtered at 1 kHz, sampled at 2 kHz with a CED 1401 interface (Cambridge Electronic Design, Cambridge, England), and stored on computer hard disc. I/V plots were constructed using the average current measured over a 4 ms period starting 495 ms into the voltage pulse. Reversal potentials ($E_{rev}$) and current densities were determined from I/V plots after fitting a third order polynomial using regression analysis. Mean current amplitudes were calculated at $E_{rev} \pm 60$ mV and normalized to cell capacitance (pF) measured using the EPC-7 amplifier. Series resistance ($R_s$) compensation was routinely used (50%–70%). $V_m$ was corrected for current flow (I) across the uncompensated fraction of $R_s$ using the relationship $V_m = V_p - I \cdot R_s$, where $V_p$ is the pipette potential. $V_m$ was also corrected for liquid junction potentials using the program JPCalc and have been applied to $V_m$.

2.16. Statistical analysis

To avoid errors arising from the variation in the rate and magnitude of HCO$_3^-$ uptake between different set of monolayers, we performed the respective measurements on the same day from one set of cell cultures in random order and where possible, internal control experiments were carried out. Statistical analyses were determined using either the Student's paired or unpaired t-test or the analysis of variance as appropriate. $P < 0.05$ was accepted as statistically significant.
3. Results

3.1 Efficiency of SeV vector-mediated gene transfer

To estimate the efficiency of gene transfer, SeV-LacZ was added to either the basolateral or apical membrane of polarized CFPAC-1 monolayers for 1 h. \( n = 6 \). LacZ activity was measured between 48 and 96 h after infection. Initial experiments showed that very little gene transfer occurred following basolateral exposure to SeV-LacZ. However, following application of SeV-LacZ to the apical membrane at MOI = 3, strong, homogenous LacZ activity was observed in \( 32 \pm 2\% \) of cells. At the higher MOI = 15, \( 68 \pm 3\% \) of the cells were stained. Thus, transduction with SeV vector was more efficient via the apical membrane of CFPAC-1 cells and the proportion of cells infected was clearly dose-related.

3.2. Effect of SeV vector-mediated transduction on the expression of CFTR

Figure 3 shows that CFPAC-1 and SeV-LacZ infected cells exhibited very little CFTR protein expression as judged by Western blotting. In these cells only immature CFTR (i.e., core-glycosylated or band B) could be detected, as a very faint band. Similar results were obtained with BHK cells stably expressing F508del-CFTR, although band B staining was much stronger. However, both immature and mature (i.e., fully-glycosylated, processed, or band C) forms of CFTR were detected in SeV-CFTR transduced CFPAC-1 cells, and in the positive controls (CAPAN-1 cells and BHK cells stably expressing wt CFTR).

![Figure 3. CFTR protein in SeV-infected CFPAC-1 cells (MOI = 15). Representative Western blots of total protein extracts from cells grown in 25 cm² flasks using anti-CFTR M3A7 antibody after Sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis electrophoresis on a 7.5% (w/v) polyacrylamide gel. To prevent over exposure of the film different amounts of protein were loaded as follows: 30 µg for the BHK cells, 50 µg for the CFPAC-1 SeV-CFTR cells, and 100 µg for the remainder of the cell lines. Note the marked increase in CFTR protein expression in SeV-CFTR infected CFPAC-1 cells (50 µg protein per lane) compared to the untransduced and vector only cells (100 µg protein per lane). Both immature, core-glycosylated (band B, 160 kDa) and processed, that is, fully-glycosylated (band C, 170-180 kDa) forms of CFTR were detected in CAPAN-1 and CFPAC-1 SeV-CFTR cells, and in the control BHK wt cells. Uninfected and SeV-LacZ transduced cells exhibited very little CFTR expression (only band B).](image-url)
CFTR overexpression has previously been shown to result in mislocalisation of the protein (Farmen et al., 2005). Therefore, we have used immunocytochemistry to clearly demonstrate apical localization of CFTR in SeV-CFTR (MOI=3) transduced CFPAC-1 cells. CFTR expression was only detected in the SeV-CFTR transduced CFPAC-1 cells (Fig. 4), and was localized solely to the apical plasma membrane.

Figure 4. CFTR expression in untransduced and SeV-LacZ or SeV-CFTR (MOI: 3) CFPAC-1 pancreatic duct cells. CFPAC-1 monolayers were fixed and stained for CFTR expression 2 days after being transduced with SeV-LacZ or SeV-CFTR. CFTR expression could only be detected in the apical membrane of SeV-CFTR transduced CFPAC-1 cells (green staining in xy and xz sections). Nuclei of cells (red) were stained with propidium iodide. Scale bars = 10 μm.

Functional expression of CFTR was assessed by the iodide efflux assay (Fig. 5). Increases in intracellular cAMP had no effect on iodide efflux in SeV-LacZ (MOI = 3) (n = 8) (Fig. 5A) or in SeV-CFTR (MOI = 15) cells (n = 4, results not shown). In contrast, cAMP stimulated iodide efflux in four out of five experiments (80%) with SeV-CFTR (MOI = 3) cells (Fig. 5B). Finally, Figure 5B also shows that the effect of cAMP on iodide efflux was completely blocked by 10 μM CFTRinh-172 (n = 4).
Figure 5. Functional expression of CFTR in CFPAC-1 cells determined using iodide efflux. A: Iodide efflux from SeV-LacZ cells was not increased by exposure to cAMP (n = 8 experiments). B: In contrast, cAMP-stimulated iodide efflux from SeV-CFTR (MOI = 3) in 4/5 experiments. Data shown are from the four positive experiments. CFTR-172 (10 µM) abolished the stimulatory effect of cAMP (n = 4 experiments). Cells were exposed to a cAMP cocktail (10 µM forskolin, 100 µM 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine, IBMX, and 100 µM 2′,5′-dibutyryladenosine 3′,5′-cyclic monophosphate, dbcAMP) from the 7th till the 16th min. Data points are mean ± SE.

3.3. Expression of DRA, PAT-1, AE2, pNBC1, NHE2 and NHE3 mRNA in SeV vector transduced and untransduced CFPAC-1 cells

Figure 6A shows that PAT-1, AE2, and pNBC1 were constitutively expressed in uninfected and SeV vector transduced CFPAC-1 cells as determined by semi-quantitative PCR. However, we did not detect mRNA for DRA, NHE2, and NHE3 (results not shown). CFTR transduction had no obvious effect on the level of the mRNA’s for PAT-1, AE2, pNBC1 (Fig. 6A), and NHE2 (data not shown). Again, mRNA for DRA and NHE3 was not detectable after CFTR expression. The control house-keeping gene XS13, which encodes a ribosomal phosphoprotein, showed similar expression levels in all the cell samples (Fig.
6A). Note that in the normal pancreas (lane P in Fig. 6A), PAT-1 and pNBC1 were expressed at relatively low levels, and AE2 was not detectable. However, duct cells form only ~10% by volume of the normal gland, so the extracted RNA will be largely derived from acinar cells (Argent et al., 2006).

Figure 6. Expression of HCO$_3^-$ transporters in CFPAC-1 cells. A: Semi-quantitative RT-PCR. Agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide show PCR products for PAT-1, AE2, pNBC1 (upper part) and the positive control ribosomal phosphoprotein gene, XS13 (lower part). Uninfected CFPAC-1 cells (lanes 1,2), Sev-LacZ cells (MOI = 3, lanes 3,4; MOI = 15, lanes 5,6) and SeV-CFTR cells (MOI = 3, lanes 7,8; MOI = 15, lanes 9,10). Lane M is the molecular weight ladder and lane P is normal human pancreas. RT ± indicates the presence/absence of reverse transcriptase in the XS13 experiment. B: Real-time RT-PCR data for PAT-1 and AE2 expression.
We also performed some experiments using real-time PCR (Fig. 6B). Again, no statistical difference was found in the expression levels of PAT-1 and AE2 amongst the different cell groups (Fig. 6B). As before, we were unable to detect DRA, NHE2, and NHE3 expression in any of the cells lines using real-time PCR. Taken together, these data indicate that with the exception of CFTR, CFPAC-1 cells express the key transporters required for HCO₃⁻ secretion, namely pNBC1 and PAT-1. Importantly, in terms of gene therapy, SeV vector infection at both MOI = 3 and MOI = 15 (either with or without CFTR expression), did not affect the expression of these key transporters.

3.4. Effect of SeV vector-mediated transduction on the integrity of CFPAC-1 cell monolayer

3.4.1 Transepithelial resistance

CFPAC-1 cells grown on polyester Transwells became confluent 2-3 days after seeding, as judged by visual observation. \( R_T \) can be used as an indicator of the structural integrity of an epithelial sheet, because electrical resistance is largely determined by the ‘leakiness’ of the tight junctions. CFPAC-1 cells grown on polyester Transwells became confluent 2-3 days after seeding, as judged by visual observation. \( R_T \) increased steadily over 4-5 days up to a maximum of 199 ± 10 Ω cm² in the untransduced cells. \( R_T \) was significantly higher in the SeV-LacZ (MOI = 3: 400 ± 15 Ω cm², MOI = 15: 314 ± 13Ω cm²) and in the SeV-CFTR (MOI = 3: 243 ± 5 Ω cm², MOI = 15: 268 ± 9 Ω cm²) infected groups compared to the untransduced cells (n = 9-69). These data show that transduction with SeV vector did not disrupt the structural integrity of the CFPAC-1 epithelium; if anything the epithelium became slightly ‘tighter’ after exposure to the virus.

3.4.2. Expression of ZO-1 in polarised cultures of untransduced and SeV-transduced CFPAC-1 cells

As shown in Figure 7, the tight junction protein ZO-1 was localized to the apical part of the cells and showed a characteristic ‘chicken wire’ pattern in both the control and SeV-transduced CFPAC-1 cells, consistent with its expression at the tight junctions. No difference was observed in ZO-1 expression between the different cell lines.
3.5. Resting pH$_i$ and buffering capacity of CFPAC-1 cells

As HCO$_3^-$ is a component of a buffer system, pH$_i$ and β$_i$ are crucial parameters in a HCO$_3^-$-secreting epithelial cell. The resting pH$_i$ of CFPAC-1 cells bathed in the standard HEPES solution was 7.11 ± 0.08 (n = 6) and was not significantly different in SeV-CFTR (MOI = 3) transduced cells (7.09 ± 0.10, n = 6). The resting pH$_i$ value of SeV-LacZ cells (MOI = 15) was significantly increased (7.26 ± 0.01) compared to the SeV-CFTR cells (7.20 ± 0.02) in the standard HCO$_3$/CO$_2$ solution (n = 12). We have previously reported that the intrinsic β$_i$ is quite variable in different CFPAC-1 monolayers (see Fig. 1 in Rakonczay et al., 2006). In a previous study, we found that uninfected CFPAC-1 cells had a β$_i$ of 34 ± 8 mM/pH over the pH$_i$ range 7.0-7.2. The respective β$_i$ values for SeV-CFTR and SeV-LacZ (MOI = 15) transduced cells (n = 9-11) at this pH$_i$ range were 46 ± 7 and 46 ± 6 mM/pH. These β$_i$ values are not statistically different. Taken together, our results indicate that SeV vector infection has no obvious effect on pH$_i$ regulatory mechanisms or β$_i$ in CFPAC-1 cells.

3.6. Functional polarity of CFPAC-1 cells

Rakonczay et al. (2006) have previously shown that the apical and basolateral membranes of CFPAC-1 cell monolayers exhibit marked differences in their relative CO$_2$ and HCO$_3^-$ permeabilities. Exposing the basolateral side of cells to a solution containing HCO$_3$/CO$_2$ causes pH$_i$ to alkalinize rapidly, suggesting that HCO$_3^-$ permeates the basolateral membrane rather faster than CO$_2$ (Rakonczay et al., 2006) consistent with...
presence of base loaders (principally a NBC) on the basolateral membrane of the duct cell. In contrast, exposing the apical membrane to HCO$_3$/CO$_2$ causes pH$_i$ to acidify rapidly, consistent with faster diffusion of CO$_2$ from lumen to cell compared with HCO$_3^-$ (Rakonczay et al., 2006). That the apical membrane of pancreatic duct cells resists back diffusion of HCO$_3^-$ from the lumen is likely to be of physiological importance, since it will favor retention of secreted HCO$_3^-$ in the duct lumen. We therefore checked whether the functional polarity of CFPAC-1 cells was disrupted by SeV vector transduction by exposing the apical and basolateral membranes of SeV-LacZ and SeV-CFTR-transduced cells to HCO$_3$/CO$_2$.

Figure 8A shows a continuous pH$_i$ recording from a SeV-LacZ (MOI = 15) infected monolayer (n = 12). Initially, the apical and basolateral membranes were perfused with the standard HEPES solution and then the apical solution was switched to HCO$_3$/CO$_2$ (Fig. 8A). This caused the expected rapid acidification of pH$_i$ (Rakonczay et al., 2006), most likely due to CO$_2$ diffusion into the cells. In 24 similar experiments the ΔpH$_i$ and $-J_B$ following apical HCO$_3$/CO$_2$ addition were -0.20 ± 0.01 and -23.7 ± 0.9 mM B/min, respectively. After the rapid acidification, pH$_i$ remained stable at the new level (Fig. 8A). Finally, switching the basolateral solution to HCO$_3$/CO$_2$ caused a rapid alkalinization of pH$_i$ (Fig. 8A), most likely due to rapid HCO$_3^-$ uptake into the cells (Rakonczay et al., 2006). The associated ΔpH$_i$ and $J_B$ were 0.37 ± 0.01 and 17.14 ± 0.74 mM B/min, respectively. Figure 8B shows a similar experiment performed on SeV-CFTR (MOI = 15) transduced cells (n = 12). The fall in pH$_i$ following apical HCO$_3$/CO$_2$ addition was not significantly different from that observed in the SeV-LacZ cells (compare Figs. 8A and B). However, after the rapid acidification, pH$_i$ continued to rise slowly in about 50% of the experiments (by 0.034 ± 0.006 during 4 min, n = 8) with SeV-CFTR transduced cells (Fig. 8B). CFTR does exhibit a finite permeability to HCO$_3^-$ (Gray et al., 1990; Linsdell et al., 1997), so this slow alkalinization might reflect back flux of HCO$_3^-$ from the luminal compartment through CFTR. Switching the basolateral solution to HCO$_3$/CO$_2$ caused pH$_i$ to alkalinize in the high titer SeV-CFTR (MOI = 15) cells (Fig. 8B). However, comparison of Fig. 8B with Fig. 8A, suggests that both the rate ($J_B$ was 10.37 ± 0.69 mM B/min) and the degree of the alkalinization (ΔpH$_i$ was 0.27 ± 0.01) of SeV-CFTR cells were significantly reduced compared to SeV-LacZ cells (see $J_B$ and ΔpH$_i$ values in the previous paragraph). In contrast, in the low titer SeV-CFTR (MOI = 3) cells, switching the basolateral solution to standard HCO$_3$/CO$_2$ caused pH$_i$ changes similar to those observed in SeV-LacZ cells (data not shown).
Figure 8. Functional polarity of CFPAC-1 monolayers. A: Continuous pH recording from a SeV-LacZ (MOI = 15) cell monolayer. Horizontal bars indicate the composition of the solutions bathing the apical and basolateral membranes. Both sides of the monolayer were initially perfused with a HCO$_3^-$-free, HEPES-buffered solution and then the apical and basolateral solutions were sequentially changed to standard HCO$_3^-$/CO$_2$ as indicated by the horizontal bars. B: Similar experiment on a SeV-CFTR (MOI = 15) cell monolayer. Note that the alkalinization following basolateral addition of HCO$_3^-$/CO$_2$ is reduced in the CFTR expressing cells.

These data are consistent with hyperexpression of CFTR in the high titer MOI = 15 cells either decreasing the rate at which HCO$_3^-$ enters across the basolateral membrane or increasing the rate of HCO$_3^-$ efflux across the apical membrane. Nevertheless, cells transduced with SeV vector, even at a high vector concentration, clearly retain the typical differences in apical and basolateral CO$_2$ and HCO$_3^-$ permeabilities that we have previously described in untransduced CFPAC-1 cells (Rakonczay et al., 2006).

3.7. Effect of SeV vector-mediated transduction and CFTR expression on Cl/HCO$_3^-$ exchange activity

Anion exchange activity can be detected in both the basolateral and apical membranes of pancreatic duct cells (Argent et al., 2006; Steward et al., 2005). The physiological role of the basolateral anion exchangers (probably AE2) is uncertain, as with a normal transmembrane Cl$^-$ gradient they would be expected to cause HCO$_3^-$ efflux and to oppose secretion (Argent et al., 2006; Hegyi et al., 2008; Steward et al., 2005). In contrast, it is well established that secretion of HCO$_3^-$ across the apical membrane of pancreatic duct cells involves both CFTR and SLC26 family Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$ exchangers, although the quantitative importance of each pathway is controversial (Argent et al., 2006; Steward et al., 2005). Furthermore, it has been shown that phosphorylation of CFTR, as occurs during stimulation of HCO$_3^-$ secretion, activates SLC26 anion exchangers (Lee et al., 1999b;
Shcheynikov et al., 2006). Our PCR data indicate that PAT-1 is the important SLC26 exchanger in CFPAC-1 cells. Given the role of anion exchangers in pancreatic duct cell function, we decided to investigate whether SeV vector transduction and CFTR expression had any effect on their activity.

**Figure 9. Basolateral Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange in CFPAC-1 monolayers.**

A: Continuous pHᵢ recordings from SeV-LacZ (left part) and SeV-CFTR (MOI = 15) (right part) infected cells. Horizontal bars indicate the composition of the solutions bathing the apical and basolateral membranes. Removal of Cl⁻ from the basolateral solution caused pHᵢ to alkalinize in both cell types, but the effect is larger in the SeV-LacZ cells (left part).

B: Summary data for ΔpHᵢ following basolateral Cl⁻ removal (n = 6-9) in SeV-LacZ (closed columns) and SeV-CFTR (open columns) transduced cells with and without cAMP stimulation. C: Summary data for the Jₑ changes following basolateral Cl⁻ removal. Column notation is the same as in B. * Indicates significant difference (P < 0.05) versus the respective control group.
3.7.1 Basolateral membrane

Figure 9A (left part) shows an experiment in which pH$_i$ was continuously recorded from a SeV-LacZ infected CFPAC-1 monolayer. Removal of Cl$^-$ from the basolateral HCO$_3^-$/CO$_2$ solution caused a clear increase in pH$_i$, indicating that the cells have an anion exchanger on their basolateral membrane. However, when the same experiment was performed on a SeV-CFTR infected monolayer; the alkalization caused by Cl$^-$ removal was much smaller (Fig. 9A right part).

Figures 9B and C show summary data for the increase in pH$_i$ and J$_B$ caused by basolateral Cl$^-$ removal in SeV-LacZ and SeV-CFTR cells infected at MOI = 3 and 15. Note that in the SeV-CFTR cells (both MOI = 3 and 15) the effects of basolateral Cl$^-$ removal on pH$_i$ and J$_B$ were significantly reduced (Fig. 9B,C). Also, increasing intracellular cAMP, by exposing the cells to a cocktail of forskolin (10 µM), IBMX (100 µM), and dbcAMP (100 µM), had no significant effect on the ΔpH$_i$ and J$_B$ observed after Cl$^-$ removal in either the SeV-LacZ or the SeV-CFTR (MOI = 3 and 15) cell groups (Fig. 9B, C). These data indicate that transduction of CFPAC-1 cells with CFTR inhibits basolateral Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$ exchange (probably mediated by AE2) and that cAMP has no effect on the activity of this exchanger. However, we cannot exclude the fact that the reduced pH$_i$ change following Cl$^-$ removal, in the absence or presence of cAMP stimulation could simply reflect faster exit of HCO$_3^-$ across the apical membrane, either through the apical anion exchanger or CFTR. Nonetheless physiologically, inhibition of the basolateral AE2 by CFTR expression would tend to favor HCO$_3^-$ secretion and would be beneficial to CF patients.

3.7.2 Apical membrane

In uninfected CFPAC-1 cells and in SeV-LacZ infected cells, removal of Cl$^-$ from the apical membrane of the monolayers had no effect on pH$_i$, either in the absence or presence of cAMP (data not shown). Thus, Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$ exchange activity was not detectable in the apical membrane of cells that did not express CFTR.

In contrast, Cl$^-$ removal from the apical membrane of low titer SeV-CFTR MOI = 3 cells caused a clear alkalinization of pH$_i$ (Fig. 10A). Thus, expression of CFTR revealed an anion exchange activity in the apical membrane of CFPAC-1 cells. Figure 10A also shows that stimulating the SeV-CFTR MOI = 3 monolayer with cAMP increased both the rate and magnitude of the pH$_i$ alkalization following Cl$^-$ removal.
Figure 10. Apical Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$ exchange activity in SeV-CFTR CFPAC-1 monolayers. A: Continuous pH$_i$ recording from a low titer, SeV-CFTR (MOI = 3), monolayer. Horizontal bars indicate the composition of the solutions bathing the apical and basolateral membranes. Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$ exchange activity was assessed by removing Cl$^-$ from the apical solution, first in the absence and then in the presence of the cAMP cocktail. Note the clear stimulation of Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$ exchange activity in the presence of cAMP. B: Similar experiment performed with a high titer, SeV-CFTR (MOI = 15) monolayer. Note that cAMP did not stimulate Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$ exchange activity. C: Summary data showing the effects of Cl$^-$ removal on pH$_i$ and J$_B$; n = 31 for MOI = 3 cells and n = 6 for MOI = 15 cells. * Indicates significant difference ($P < 0.05$) versus the respective unstimulated control group.
Figure 10B shows a similar experiment performed on SeV-CFTR MOI = 15 cells. Removal of apical Cl\(^-\) caused a large alkalinization of pH\(i\). However, exposing the SeV-CFTR MOI = 15 cells to cAMP did not increase the degree of alkalinization in response to Cl\(^-\) withdrawal.

Figure 10C is a summary of the ΔpH\(i\) and J\(B\) values obtained in this series of experiments. Unstimulated CFTR MOI = 3 cells exhibited a low, but clearly detectable, level of apical anion exchange. Furthermore, in these cells cAMP significantly increased ΔpH\(i\) and J\(B\) after apical Cl\(^-\) removal by 2.2- and 3.4-fold, respectively (\(P < 0.05\) for both parameters, \(n = 31\)). In contrast, unstimulated CFTR MOI = 15 cells exhibited a much higher level of anion exchange than the unstimulated MOI = 3 cells (Fig. 10C), and the ΔpH\(i\) and J\(B\) values were unaffected by cAMP (\(n = 6\)). We conclude that transducing CFPAC-1 cells with CFTR at MOI = 3 are consistent with an apical Cl\(^-\)/HCO\(3^-\) exchange activity. In contrast, cells transduced with CFTR at the higher virus titer of MOI = 15 have a constitutively active apical Cl\(^-\)/HCO\(3^-\) exchanger that cannot be further stimulated by cAMP.

cAMP-stimulated anion exchange activity in the MOI = 3 SeV-CFTR cells was completely blocked by 500 µM H\(_2\)-DIDS; J\(B\) was -0.10 ± 0.26 mM B/min and ΔpH\(i\) was -0.022 ± 0.011 when apical Cl\(^-\) was removed in the presence of the inhibitor (control values were 2.45 ± 0.40 mM B/min and 0.051 ± 0.007, respectively, \(n = 5\)). To test the electrogenicity of the cAMP stimulated anion exchange activity, SeV-CFTR cells were perfused with basolateral K\(^+\)-free or high-K\(^+\) HCO\(3^-\)/CO\(_2\) solution 10 min before the removal of apical Cl\(^-\). Apical Cl\(^-\) withdrawal in the absence of basolateral K\(^+\) did not significantly alter J\(B\) (1.48 ± 0.22 mM B/min) and ΔpH\(i\) (0.066 ± 0.009) versus standard conditions (J\(B\): 1.54 ± 0.29 mM B/min, ΔpH\(i\): 0.05 ± 0.008, \(n = 7\)). In addition, apical Cl\(^-\) withdrawal in the presence of basolateral high-K\(^+\) HCO\(3^-\)/CO\(_2\) solution resulted in no alteration of J\(B\) (3.12 ± 0.49 mM B/min), but a significant increase of ΔpH\(i\) (0.12 ± 0.01) compared to the control (2.24 ± 0.45 mM B/min and 0.06 ± 0.008, respectively, \(n = 9\)). Overall, it seems that the cAMP stimulated apical Cl\(^-\)/HCO\(3^-\) exchange activity is electroneutral.

Finally, 10 µM CFTR\(_{inh}\)-172 had no effect on either the rate or magnitude of the pH\(i\) alkalinization following activation of apical Cl\(^-\)/HCO\(3^-\) exchange in cyclic AMP stimulated SeV-CFTR (MOI = 3) CFPAC-1 cells (\(n = 7\), data not shown). Thus, Cl\(^-\) transport by CFTR is probably not required to maintain apical Cl\(^-\)/HCO\(3^-\) exchange activity.
3.8. Effect of SeV vector-mediated CFTR expression on PKA activity and expression

Because apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange in the MOI = 15 SeV-CFTR transduced cells was constitutively active and did not respond to cAMP (Fig. 10B,C), we were concerned that the higher virus titer may have affected the cAMP signaling system. We therefore measured PKA activity, and the amount of the 42 kDa PKAc in the SeV-transduced CFPAC-1 cells. Figure 11A shows that PKA activity was similar in the uninfected, and in the SeV-LacZ and SeV-CFTR cells infected at MOI = 3. About the same level of PKA activity was also observed in SeV-LacZ cells infected at MOI = 15. However, in contrast, PKA activity was almost undetectable in SeV-CFTR cells infected at the higher titer.

![Figure 11](image)

**Figure 11.** Protein kinase A activity and total catalytic subunit measured in CFPAC-1 cells. A: PKA activity was determined in uninfected (0), SeV-LacZ (LacZ) and SeV-CFTR (CFTR) transduced cells by measuring the incorporation of γ⁻³²P into purified CFTR-nucleotide binding domain-1 fragments. Data for low titer (MOI = 3) and high titer (MOI = 15) cells are shown. * Indicates significant difference (P < 0.05) versus the uninfected control group. B: Representative Western blots (20 µg protein) for the 42 kD catalytic subunit of PKA. Cell samples are as indicated in (A).

We used Western blotting to measure the amount of PKAc expressed in the various cell types. The results indicated that all cell groups contained about the same amount of PKAc (Fig. 11B). Taken together, these data suggest that hyperexpression of
CFTR inhibits PKA activity, thus, providing an explanation for our failure to detect cAMP stimulation of apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity in SeV-CFTR MOI = 15 cells. Clearly, disabling the cAMP signaling pathway in this way would be a disadvantage in terms of gene therapy and predicts that over-expression of CFTR in pancreatic duct cells of CF patients will need to be avoided.

3.9. The effect of SeV vector-mediated CFTR expression on apical Na⁺/H⁺ exchange activity

Apical NHE activity has been detected in the main pancreatic duct and may be involved in HCO₃⁻ scavenging from the duct lumen (Argent et al., 2006; Marteau et al., 1995; Steward et al., 2005). HCO₃⁻ scavenging is probably a protective mechanism which acidifies the ductal contents, thereby reducing the chances of pro-enzyme activation when flow rates are low during interdigestive periods (Argent et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2000; Steward et al., 2005). Our work group has recently shown that CFPAC-1 cells express an apical NHE activity (Rakonczay et al., 2006). Figure 12A shows a continuous pHᵢ recording from a SeV-LacZ (MOI = 15) infected CFPAC-1 monolayer. Exposing the cells to a 20 mM NH₄Cl pulse, administered in the absence of Na⁺ on both sides of the monolayer, reduced pHᵢ to about 6.7. In the continued absence of Na⁺, pHᵢ stabilized at this new value, indicating the absence of any other Na⁺-independent pHᵢ recovery mechanisms such as H⁺ pumps (Fig. 12A). Re-addition of Na⁺ to the apical membrane caused pHᵢ to increase, due to activation of the apical NHE. Similar results were obtained when the SeV-LacZ cells were exposed to the NH₄Cl pulse in the presence of the cAMP cocktail (Fig. 12A). Figure 12B shows a similar experiment performed on a SeV-CFTR (MOI = 15) transduced monolayer. Qualitatively, the results were similar to those obtained with the SeV-LacZ cells. However, the effect of re-adding Na⁺ on pHᵢ and Jₑ was significantly greater in the SeV-CFTR cells as compared to the SeV-LacZ cells (MOI = 3: 1.71 ± 0.27-fold, n = 10; MOI = 15: 2.12 ± 0.44-fold, P < 0.05, n = 5) (compare Figs. 12A and B). Finally, exposure of the SeV-CFTR monolayer to the cAMP cocktail had no significant effect on the Jₑ observed in response to re-addition of Na⁺ (Fig. 12B). Similar results were obtained in four other experiments. These data suggest that the NHE expressed in the apical membrane of CFPAC-1 cells is upregulated in the presence of CFTR, but is unaffected by cAMP stimulation.
Figure 12. Apical Na⁺/H⁺ activity in SeV-transduced CFPAC-1 cells. A: Continuous pHᵢ recording from a SeV-LacZ (MOI = 15) monolayer. Horizontal bars indicate the composition of solutions bathing the apical and basolateral membranes. During bilateral perfusion with a Na⁺-free solution, the cells were acidified by a pulse of NH₄Cl (20 mM). Subsequent re-introduction of Na⁺ to the apical side caused pHᵢ and Jᵥ to increase reflecting activation of the apical NHE. The same protocol was repeated in the second half of the experiment, but with the cells exposed to the cAMP cocktail. B: Continuous pHᵢ recording from a SeV-CFTR (MOI = 15) transduced monolayer. Same protocol as in (A). Note that the effect of Na⁺ re-addition on ΔpHᵢ and Jᵥ was greater in the SeV-CFTR transduced cells (n=5).

3.10. Effect of chenodeoxycholate on pHᵢ

Please note that for all bile acid related experiments we used CFPAC-1 cells transduced with SeV-LacZ or SeV-CFTR at MOI=3. Administration of CDC (0.1 and 1.0 mM) in standard HEPES solution caused a dose-dependent decrease in the pHᵢ of CFPAC-1 cells (Fig. 13A-C). However, at both doses tested, apical administration of CDC resulted in a markedly higher rate of intracellular acidification as compared to basolateral administration (Figs. 13B and C). Moreover, the -Jᵥ response to 1 mM CDC was significantly lower in cells transduced with CFTR vs LacZ (Fig. 13B), probably indicating that CFTR expression had reduced the rate at which the bile acid entered the duct cells.
Figure 13. Differential effect of CDC on $\text{pH}_i$ of CFPAC-1 cells. A: The figure shows a representative $\text{pH}_i$ recording from SeV-CFTR transduced CFPAC-1 cells. Either 0.1 or 1 mM CDC was administered in standard HEPES solution from the apical (a) and/or the basolateral (b) membrane. B: Rate of intracellular acidification and C. net change in $\text{pH}_i$ in response to 0.1 mM (open bars) or 1 mM (filled bars) CDC administration were measured in SeV-LacZ and SeV-CFTR transduced CFPAC-1 cells and depicted in the bar charts. Significant difference ($p<0.05$) vs * the apical administration or ** SeV-LacZ group.
3.11. Effect of CDC on intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ concentration

Exposure of duct cells to CDC caused a dose-dependent increase in [Ca$^{2+}$]. Figure 14 shows that 0.1 mM CDC typically evoked a relatively slow rise in [Ca$^{2+}$], to a peak value, which then declined slowly (Figs. 14B and C). In contrast, 1 mM CDC typically caused a fast rise in [Ca$^{2+}$], to a peak that was followed by a maintained plateau phase (Figs. 14D and E). In accordance with our earlier findings in guinea pig ducts, apical 1 mM CDC caused a significantly larger Ca$^{2+}$ signal when compared to the same dose applied from the basolateral membrane (compare Figs. 14D and E). Bilateral administration of CDC (at both 0.1 and 1.0 mM doses) elevated [Ca$^{2+}$], to an even greater extent (Figs. 14C and E).

Figure 14. Effect of CDC on [Ca$^{2+}$], in CFPAC-1 duct cells. CFPAC-1 cells were loaded with 5 μM FURA-2. A. 4-5 small areas (Regions of interests – ROIs) of 180-240 cells were excited with light at wavelengths of 340 and 380 nm, and the 340/380 fluorescence emission ratio was measured at 535 nm. The figure shows fluorescence ratio images (B. and D.) and representative 340/380 ratio traces (C. and E.) of CFPAC-1 cells perfused with 0.1 mM (B. and C.) or 1 mM CDC (D. and E.) from the basolateral (bas) and/or apical (api) side. In the fluorescent ratio images, an increase in [Ca$^{2+}$] is denoted by a change from a “cold” color (blue) to a “warmer” color (yellow to red); see scale on top. Pictures were taken before (1) and soon after (2) exposure of CDC.
Figure 15 is a summary of the $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ data and shows that expression of wt CFTR had no effect on the $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ response to CDC administration, indicating that CFTR expression was not obligatory for CDC-induced Ca$^{2+}$-signaling.

![Figure 15](image)

**Figure 15. Summary of changes in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ caused by CDC in SeV-LacZ and SeV-CFTR transduced CFPAC-1 cells.** Addition of A: 0.1 mM or B: 1 mM CDC to SeV-LacZ (filled bars) or SeV-CFTR (open bars) transduced CFPAC-1 cells caused a significant increase in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$. 1 mM CDC caused a significantly higher Ca$^{2+}$ signal from the apical (api) membrane vs from the basolateral (bas) membrane. Bilateral administration of CDC elevated $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ to an even greater extent. There was no significant difference in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ in response to CDC between SeV-LacZ and SeV-CFTR transduced cells. The percent changes in $F_{340}/F_{380}$ ratio were calculated using the ‘peak’ $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ responses (Fig. 3). * Significant difference (p<0.05) vs the basolateral administration.

### 3.12. CFTR expression is required for CDC-induced increase in apical Cl$^-$/$\text{HCO}_3^-$ exchange activity

In SeV-LacZ transduced CFPAC-1 cells, removal of Cl$^-$ from the standard $\text{HCO}_3^-$/CO$_2$ solution perfusing the apical membrane of the monolayers had no effect on pH$_i$, either in the absence or presence of 0.1 mM CDC (Fig. 16A). However, Cl$^-$ removal from the
apical membrane of SeV-CFTR transduced cells (Fig. 16B) caused a clear alkalinization of pH$_i$ ($J_B$, 0.61 ± 0.07 mM B/min and ΔpH$_i$, 0.018 ± 0.003), which was significantly increased by about three-fold ($J_B$ 1.75 ± 0.08 mM B/min and ΔpH$_i$, 0.059 ± 0.006) in the presence of 0.1 mM CDC (Fig. 16C, D).

![Figure 16. Effect of 0.1 mM CDC on apical Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$ exchange activity in CFPAC-1 cells.](image)

The figure shows representative pH$_i$ recordings of A: SeV-LacZ and B: SeV-CFTR transduced cells (n=6). Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$ exchange activity was assessed by removing Cl$^-$ from the apical standard HCO$_3^-$/CO$_2$ solution, first in the absence and then in the presence of 0.1 mM CDC. The bar charts show summary of C: base flux and D: ΔpH$_i$ in the absence (0) and presence of 0.1 mM CDC. * indicates significant difference (p<0.05) vs the 0 group. N.D. = not detectable.

### 3.13. Chenodeoxycholate does not activate CFTR Cl$^-$ currents

The stimulation of apical anion exchange by CDC could be due either to a direct effect on PAT-1 activity (perhaps mediated by an increase in [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$) or it could be an indirect effect caused by, for example, an increase in electrodiffusive Cl$^-$ transport through
CFTR. To directly test whether CDC could activate CFTR we performed whole cell patch clamp experiments on single guinea pig pancreatic duct cells (O'Reilly et al., 2000). Administration of 0.1 mM CDC to the bath solution had no effect on whole cell currents (Fig. 17B), whereas characteristic CFTR currents could be activated in the same cell (in 7 out of 10 cells) when it was subsequently exposed to 5 μM forskolin (Fig. 17C). A higher concentration of CDC (0.5 mM) resulted in membrane instability in the majority of cells (5 out of 8) studied.

![Figure 17. Effect of 0.1 mM CDC on CFTR Cl− conductance in guinea pig pancreatic duct cells. Typical whole-cell CFTR Cl− currents recorded from pancreatic duct cells perfused with A: standard bath solution (control), B: 0.1 mM CDC, C: 5 μM forskolin or D: following washout of forskolin. E: shows current densities under different conditions.](image-url)
4. Discussion

In the present studies, recombinant SeV vector constructs were utilized as gene transfer agents in polarized monolayer cultures of human CFPAC-1 cells. This cell line is homozygous for the common F508del CFTR mutation, and has no measurable apical Cl$^-$ permeability (Schoumacher et al., 1990). SeV is a single-stranded RNA virus that has been shown to produce efficient cftr gene transfer and expression in airway epithelial cells, both in vitro and in vivo (Yonemitsu et al., 2000; Tokusumi et al., 2002). CFPAC-1 cells could be efficiently infected by the SeV from the apical side. The virus attaches via both cholesterol and sialic acid receptors bound to gangliosides, present on the luminal surface of the epithelial cells.

The infection of CFPAC-1 cells with the SeV-CFTR construct was associated with an increase in wt CFTR expression and Cl$^-$ transport as well as the induction of the activities of apical Na$^+$/H$^+$- and Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$ exchangers, without any effect on the mRNA expression of DRA, PAT-1, NHE2 and NHE3. Basolateral Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$ exchange and Na$^+$/HCO$_3^-$ co-transport activities were significantly reduced in SeV-CFTR transduced CFPAC-1 cells. Furthermore, apical Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$ exchange activity could be stimulated by cAMP or low doses of CDC. This increase in anion exchange activity was independent of the Cl$^-$ conductance of CFTR.

4.1. The integrity and functional polarity of CFPAC-1 cells after the Sendai virus transduction

CFPAC-1 cells form a polarized monolayer when grown on polyester Transwells. The $R_t$ increased steadily up to 5 days in both the untransduced and transduced cell lines, which suggests the formation of tight junctions. This was confirmed by expression of the tight junction protein, ZO-1, in all the cell lines. Transduction of CFPAC-1 cells by SeV-LacZ or SeV-CFTR vector at both low (MOI=3) and high (MOI=15) did not produce any detrimental effects on cell growth or electrical resistance, at least up to 96 hr post-infection. Our data suggest that the virus was well tolerated by the cells and that even at high virus titers the cells were still able to maintain polarity.

CFPAC-1 cells demonstrate a differential permeability to HCO$_3^-$/CO$_2$ at the apical and basolateral membranes (Rakonczay et al., 2006). The apical membrane of the duct cell must resist back-flux of HCO$_3^-$ from the lumen as this would work against HCO$_3^-$ secretion. Wt CFTR expression did not alter the permeability of the apical membrane to CO$_2$, but in
some monolayers we did observe a small increase in apical $\text{HCO}_3^-$ influx following CFTR transduction. This suggests that $\text{HCO}_3^-$ influx through transport proteins increases at the apical membrane when CFTR is present, as found by Ishiguro et al. (2000) using isolated guinea pig ducts.

4.2. The hyperexpression of CFTR in CFPAC-1 cells

Mature CFTR protein was only expressed in SeV-CFTR transduced cells. High-levels of CFTR expression has been shown to be associated with biosynthetic and growth abnormalities (Schiavi et al., 1996), so there must be a fine line between the beneficial and toxic effects of CFTR. However, we wanted to make sure that this CFTR was correctly localized to the apical membrane, as CFTR overexpression has previously been shown to result in mislocalisation of the protein (Farmen et al., 2005). Therefore, we also used immunocytochemistry to clearly demonstrate apical localization of CFTR in SeV-CFTR (MOI=3) transduced CFPAC-1 cells. At MOI=3 about 30% of the CFPAC-1 cells express CFTR. However, this relatively low rate of CFTR transduction is sufficient to upregulate apical $\text{Cl}^-/\text{HCO}_3^-$ exchange activity in the human duct cells.

Hyperexpression of CFTR can alter the molecular physiology of the protein (Mohammad-Panah et al., 1998) and in some cases we observed significant differences in $\text{HCO}_3^-$ transport between the MOI = 3 and 15 SeV-CFTR infected cells. For example, basolateral uptake of $\text{HCO}_3^-$ was only affected (reduced) in the MOI = 15 SeV-CFTR infected cells and not in the MOI = 3 cells. Furthermore, although resting apical $\text{Cl}^-/\text{HCO}_3^-$ exchange activity was upregulated in both the MOI = 3 and MOI = 15 SeV-CFTR transduced cells, only the MOI = 3 group responded to cAMP stimulation. Since the key regulatory pathway determining CFTR activity involves elevation of cAMP and activation of PKA, we measured the amount of the 42 kDa PKAc and PKA activity in CFPAC-1 cells. The amount of PKAc was similar in uninfected and infected cells, however, PKA activity was reduced in the SeV-CFTR MOI = 15 group providing an explanation as to why the apical exchangers cannot be stimulated with cAMP. The reason for this decrease in PKA activity in the MOI = 15 group remains unclear. However, Mohammad-Panah et al. (1998) showed that hyperexpression of wt CFTR in CFPAC-1 cells caused the appearance of a time-independent, non-rectifying $\text{Cl}^-$ current that was insensitive to cAMP stimulation, suggesting that the CFTR channels were permanently activated and not susceptible to cAMP regulation.
4.3. Effect of CFTR expression on Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity in pancreatic cells

Basolateral Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity (most likely mediated by AE2 in CFPAC-1 cells was significantly reduced after the CFTR transduction at high MOIs, and was not influenced by cAMP stimulation. Similarly, Greeley et al. (2001) showed that Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange (as measured by ³⁶Cl⁻ influx) was decreased by about 33% in corrected CFPAC-1 cells. However, in contrast to these data, basolateral anion exchange activity was not significantly different in main pancreatic ducts isolated from wt versus CF mice (Lee et al., 1999b). These differences between cultured human cells and native murine tissue maybe related to the expression levels of CFTR, which are low in native mouse tissue (Gray et al., 2002). Exactly how CFTR expression inhibits basolateral anion exchange is not clear at the moment, but our real time PCR data indicates that it is not via a reduction in AE2 mRNA levels. One possibility is that an increased Cl⁻ conductance of the plasma membrane following CFTR expression causes intracellular Cl⁻ concentration to fall. Thus, when extracellular Cl⁻ was withdrawn, a reduced outward Cl⁻ gradient would be available to drive HCO₃⁻ into the cell.

In contrast to the basolateral membrane, non-infected CFPAC-1 cells as well as LacZ transduced cells displayed no apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity under any conditions. Our results clearly show that CFTR expression is associated with the appearance of an apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity that can be further enhanced by cAMP stimulation. These results are consistent with Greeley et al. (2001), who reported that total Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity was elevated in non-polarized, stably corrected, CFPAC-1 cells grown on glass coverslips. Similar to our results, this effect was accompanied by enhanced apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchanger activity as judged by ³⁶Cl⁻ uptake in polarized monolayers. However, Greeley et al. (2001) found that DRA mRNA was present in the corrected, but not in the non-corrected CFPAC-1 cells, and that PAT-1 expression was enhanced fivefold in the corrected cells, which we did not observe. CFTR has also been shown to activate DRA and PAT-1 in heterologous expression systems (Chernova et al., 2003; Ko et al., 2002, 2004). Lee et al. (1999b) also found that the apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity was increased in perfused pancreatic ducts from wt versus CFTR-knockout mice, and that forskolin markedly elevated luminal Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity.

To determine the identity of the apical anion exchanger(s) responsible for the cAMP stimulated Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity, we examined the effect of a high concentration of the disulfonic stilbene H₂-DIDS (500 µM). This compound completely blocked stimulated Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange. Since the disulfonic stilbene does not inhibit
CFTR from the extracellular side (Akabas, 2000; Chernova et al., 2003; Gray et al., 1993; Melvin et al., 1999) reported that DRA has a low sensitivity to DIDS when Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange was measured (although Cl⁻/SO₄²⁻ exchange by DRA seems to be DIDS-sensitive (Moseley et al., 1999; Silberg et al., 1995), then neither of these transporters are likely to be involved. There is, however, general agreement that PAT-1 is sensitive to block by DIDS (Alvarez et al., 2005; Lohi et al., 2003; Petrovic et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2002). There is no evidence that SLC26 anion exchangers can be activated directly by cAMP. However, activation of SLC26 anion exchangers by phosphorylated CFTR is well described (Shcheynikov et al., 2006), and this is clearly preserved in our CFPAC-1 cells transduced with SeV-CFTR at MOI = 3. Taken together with our semiquantitative and real time RT-PCR data point to PAT-1 as the SLC26 family member expressed on the apical surface of SeV-CFTR infected CFPAC-1 cells. Furthermore, cAMP stimulated apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity in SeV-CFTR CFPAC-1 cells was not influenced by alteration of the membrane potential by variation of extracellular K⁺ concentration. The electrogenicity of SLC26 transporters is still a matter of debate (Alper et al., 2006; Ko et al., 2002; Mount & Romero, 2004). Our results seem to be in accord with Alper et al. (2006) who have shown that human PAT-1 mediates electroneutral Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange.

In order to test whether the Cl⁻ conductance of CFTR was necessary for cAMP-dependent activation of apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange in SeV-CFTR (MOI = 3) CFPAC-1 cells, we tested the effect of CFTRinh-172. This compound completely inhibited CFTR as assessed by the iodide efflux assay, but it had no effect on Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange in SeV-CFTR transduced cells. Thus, it would appear that there is no strong coupling between CFTR ion transport and anion exchange activity, a conclusion consistent with results in native mouse pancreatic duct (Lee et al., 1999a). However, Simpson et al. (2005), using a different (non-specific) CFTR inhibitor, glybenclamide, showed that this compound did to reduce resting Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange in mouse intestine. How CFTR expression leads to an upregulation of apical anion exchange activity is still not fully understood, but recent work has shown that SLC26A3, A4, and A6 physically and functionally interact with CFTR (Shcheynikov et al., 2006). Furthermore, phosphorylation of CFTR on its R domain stimulates anion exchange activity which appears to be preserved in our CFTR-transduced cells. Interestingly, CFTR activity appears to be enhanced in pancreatic ducts from SLC26A6 knockout mice (Wang et al., 2006), suggesting that the exchanger tonically inhibits CFTR. Lack of SLC26A6 led to enhanced spontaneous, but reduced cAMP-stimulated fluid secretion, illustrating the important role the exchanger plays in both basal...
and stimulated pancreatic HCO$_3^-$ secretion. However, in another SLC26A6 knockout mouse model no differences were observed in fluid or HCO$_3^-$ secretion between wt and knockout animals, a finding explained by a compensatory upregulation in SLC26A3 activity in the knockout animals (Ishiguro et al., 2007).

4.4. Apical Na$^+$/H$^+$ exchange

CFTR expression resulted in a marked upregulation of apical NHE activity in CFPAC-1 cells. Although it is possible that this effect could be due to apical bath Na$^+$ accessing basolateral NHE1 (given the low paracellular resistance of the CFPAC-1 monolayers), Ahn et al. (2001) observed similar results and found an increased luminal Na$^+$-dependent pH$_i$ recovery from an acid load in microperfused pancreatic ducts from isolated wt versus F508del mice. This effect probably resulted from reduced levels of NHE3 expression in the knockout animals (Ahn et al., 2001). However, we must note that we could not detect NHE2 or NHE3 expression by RT-PCR in our CFPAC-1 cells. In contrast to our data, stimulation of the wt mouse ducts with forskolin dose-dependently inhibited luminal Na$^+$-dependent pH$_i$ recovery. Our failure to detect an inhibitory effect of forskolin on apical NHE in the MOI = 15 SeV-CFTR group was not surprising since these cells had no detectable PKA activity. However, the MOI = 3 group, which did exhibit PKA activity, behaved similarly. It is possible that human pancreatic duct cells either express a different, cAMP-insensitive, NHE isoform in their apical membrane or that apical NHE is regulated by another mechanism in human cells, for example, by an interaction with Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$ exchangers (Lamprecht et al., 2002).

4.5. Effect of chenodeoxycholate on CFPAC-1 cells

In this study we investigated the effect of CDC on the pH$_i$ and [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ of CFPAC-1 pancreatic duct cells. We also wanted to address the specific issue as to whether CFTR was involved in the bile-acid induced increase in HCO$_3^-$ secretion that we have previously reported in guinea pig ducts (Venglovecz et al., 2008). To do this we have studied apical Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$ exchange activity in CFPAC-1 cells either lacking or expressing CFTR.

It has been demonstrated that bile acids induce Ca$^{2+}$ signals in both pancreatic acinar cells and in guinea pig ductal cells (Fischer et al., 2007; Gerasimenko et al., 2006; Venglovecz et al., 2008 Voronina et al., 2002). We have shown that CDC also causes a dose-dependent increase in [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ in human pancreatic duct cells. In accordance with our earlier findings on guinea pig ducts, apical application of CDC to CFPAC-1 cells resulted
in a greater elevation of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{i}\) compared to basolateral application. These bile acid-induced \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) signals were not dependent on the expression of CFTR. In contrast to our findings, in cholangiocytes, ursodeoxycholic acid only initiated \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) signaling in cells expressing CFTR (Fiorotto et al., 2007).

Unconjugated bile salts, such as CDC, are weak acids and can therefore pass through cell membranes either by passive diffusion or via bile acid transporters (Trauner & Boyer, 2003). Basolateral or luminal administration of CDC dose-dependently decreased the \(\text{pH}_{i}\) of the CFPAC-1 cells. Similarly, it has been reported that 0.5 – 1.5 mM ursodeoxycholate also caused a dose-dependent, rapid, intracellular acidification in bile duct epithelial cells (Alvaro et al., 1993). As for \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{i}\), the effect of CDC on the \(\text{pH}_{i}\) of CFPAC-1 cells was greater when the bile acid was given from the apical side. Differential effects of bile acids have also been reported in dog pancreatic duct cells (Okolo et al., 2002). However, in the dog cells, the basolateral membrane was much more sensitive to bile acid-induced damage compared to the luminal membrane (Okolo et al., 2002).

Interestingly, in our experiments the CDC-induced acidosis was somewhat higher in CFTR-deficient pancreatic duct cells. This may be due to increased active uptake (via bile-acid transporters) of CDC in CF cells, but further experiments are required to resolve this issue. Note that the absorption of taurocholate has been reported to be either reduced (Hardcastle et al., 2004) or increased (Stelzner et al., 2001) in the ileum of transgenic CF mice with the F508del mutation. CDC stimulation (0.1 mM) of \(\text{Cl}^-/\text{HCO}_3^-\) exchange activity was only observed in cells expressing CFTR, strongly suggesting that the presence of CFTR is necessary for this effect. In similarity to our findings, Fiorotto et al. (2007) reported that 0.1 mM ursodeoxycholate significantly elevated fluid secretion from intrahepatic mouse bile duct units in normal, but not in CFTR-defective mice.

We also wanted to investigate whether the CDC-induced increase in apical \(\text{Cl}^-/\text{HCO}_3^-\) exchange activity was linked to enhanced \(\text{Cl}^-\) transport by CFTR. Using whole cell current recording we found that 0.1 mM CDC did not activate CFTR and, therefore, conclude that stimulation of CFTR does not underlie the effect of CDC of the anion exchanger. Our data contrast with the results of Bijvelds et al. (2005) who found that luminal administration of 0.5 mM taurocholate did stimulate CFTR-dependent electrogenic \(\text{Cl}^-\) transport in the murine ileum. These contrasting results may be due to differences in species, tissue, and the type and concentration of bile acids used. However, our results suggest that the increase in anion exchange activity evoked by CDC either reflects a direct effect of the bile acid on the apical exchanger (most likely PAT-1) or involves some other,
indirect mechanism. The fact that the CDC-induced increase in anion exchange activity observed in guinea pig pancreatic ducts was entirely dependent on a rise in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ (Venglovecz et al., 2008) implies that bile acids do not directly modulate SLC26 transporter activity. If (in contrast to our results) PAT-1 is indeed electrogenic and transports $2\text{HCO}_3^-:1\text{Cl}^-$ (Ko et al., 2002), an alternate scenario would involve a CDC-dependent opening of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$-dependent $\text{K}^+$ channels via $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ release from internal stores. The increase in $\text{K}^+$ conductance would hyperpolarize the membrane potential and thereby stimulate electrogenic $\text{HCO}_3^-$ secretion via PAT-1.

4.6. Conclusions

We conclude that SeV is an effective CFTR gene transfer vector for human pancreatic duct cells. As SeV-mediated CFTR gene transfer is much more effective via the apical as compared to the basolateral membrane, efficient gene transfer into the pancreas in vivo would probably require retrograde injection of the vector into the pancreatic duct. Moreover, as 62% of CF patients are born with a non-functional pancreas (Waters et al., 1990), gene therapy would need to be initiated in utero in the majority of cases. Thus, the smaller group of patients who are pancreatic sufficient at birth, but who become pancreatic insufficient in later life, might be best helped by gene therapy.

Importantly, CFTR transduced CF duct cells maintain their normal epithelial polarity and evidence restoration of $\text{Cl}^-$ and $\text{HCO}_3^-$ transport processes at the apical membrane. The CFTR $\text{Cl}^-$ channel regulates the activities of SLC26 and SLC4 (previously AE) families of anion transporters and NHEs without affecting their mRNA expression. We have demonstrated that apical anion exchange in the duct cell is mediated by SCL26A6. The activity of SLC26A6 is upregulated by cAMP stimulation, whereas the activities of SLC4A2 and apical NHE transporters are not influenced by stimulated secretion.

The administration of CDC to pancreatic duct cells caused dose-dependent decrease in $\text{pH}_i$ and increase in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$. Small doses of CDC stimulated apical $\text{Cl}^-/\text{HCO}_3^-$ exchange activity independently of CFTR transport of $\text{Cl}^-$. We speculate that CDC-induced $\text{HCO}_3^-$ secretion would flush toxic bile salts out of the ductal tree and may serve as a defense mechanism against acute biliary pancreatitis.

Taken together, this work lead to an improved understanding of the regulation of acid/base transporters in the diseased (CF) and corrected (with wt CFTR) pancreatic ductal epithelium.
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7. ANNEX
CFTR Expression But Not Cl\(^{-}\) Transport Is Involved in the Stimulatory Effect of Bile Acids on Apical Cl\(^{-}\)/HCO\(_{3}^{-}\) Exchange Activity in Human Pancreatic Duct Cells

Imre Igláth, MSc,* Péter Hegyi, MD, PhD,* Viktória Venglovecz, PhD,† Csilla A. Székely, MSc,* Georgina Curz, PhD,‡ Mamoru Hasegawa, PhD,§ Makoto Inoue, PhD,§ Tamás Takács, MD, PhD, DS*c,† Barry E. Argent, PhD,‡ Michael A. Gray, PhD,‡ and Zoltán Rakonczay Jr, MD, PhD*

Objectives: Low doses of chenodeoxycholate (CDC) stimulate apical anion exchange and HCO\(_{3}^{-}\) secretion in guinea pig pancreatic duct cells (Gut. 2008;57:1102–1112). We examined the effects of CDC on intracellular pH (pHi), intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration ([Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{i}\)), and apical Cl\(^{-}\)/HCO\(_{3}^{-}\) exchange activity in human pancreatic duct cells and determined whether any effects were dependent on cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) expression and Cl\(^{-}\) channel activity.

Methods: Polarized CFPAC-1 cells (expressing F508del CFTR) were transduced with Sendai virus constructs containing complementary DNAs for either wild-type CFTR or β-galactosidase. Microfluorimetry was used to record pHi, and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{i}\), and apical Cl\(^{-}\)/HCO\(_{3}^{-}\) exchange activity. Patch clamp experiments were performed on isolated guinea pig duct cells.

Results: Chenodeoxycholate induced a dose-dependent intracellular acidification and a marked increase in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{i}\) in CFPAC-1 cells. CFTR expression slightly reduced the rate of acidification but did not affect the [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{i}\) changes. Luminal administration of 0.1 mmol/L of CDC significantly elevated apical Cl\(^{-}\)/HCO\(_{3}^{-}\) exchange activity but only in cells that expressed CFTR. However, CDC did not activate CFTR Cl\(^{-}\) conductance.

Conclusions: Bile salts modulate pHi, [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{i}\), and apical anion exchange activity in human pancreatic duct cells. The stimulatory effect of CDC on anion exchangers requires CFTR expression but not CFTR channel activity.

Key Words: pancreatic duct cells, CFTR, Cl\(^{-}\)/HCO\(_{3}^{-}\) exchange, chenodeoxycholate, Sendai virus

(Pancreas 2009;00: 00–00)

The pancreatic ductal epithelium secretes a HCO\(_{3}^{-}\)-rich alkaline fluid that flushes digestive enzymes (secreted by acinar cells) down the ductal tree and into the duodenum and also helps to neutralize acid chyme entering the duodenum from the stomach.\(^{1}\) We have recently shown that luminal administration of a low dose (0.1 mmol/L) of the unconjugated bile salt, chenodeoxycholate (CDC), significantly elevated apical Cl\(^{-}\)/HCO\(_{3}^{-}\) exchange activity and HCO\(_{3}^{-}\) secretion in guinea pig pancreatic ducts and that these effects were Ca\(^{2+}\) dependent.\(^{2}\) In contrast, a higher dose of CDC (1 mmol/L) strongly inhibited ductal HCO\(_{3}^{-}\) transport.\(^{2}\)

The stimulatory effect of 0.1 mmol/L of CDC on apical Cl\(^{-}\)/HCO\(_{3}^{-}\) exchange activity in intact ducts is most likely mediated by up-regulation of SLC26A6 (putative anion transporter 1 [PAT-1]) because it was blocked by 4,4'-diisothioxyanodihydrostilbene-2,2'-disulphonate.\(^{3}\) Under physiological conditions, ductal HCO\(_{3}^{-}\) secretion is regulated by a direct interaction between the SLC26 family Cl\(^{-}\)/HCO\(_{3}^{-}\} exchangers and cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR).\(^{4,5}\) Therefore, assessing whether the stimulatory effects of CDC on anion exchange activity and HCO\(_{3}^{-}\} secretion are dependent on CFTR expression and functional Cl\(^{-}\} channel activity should help to clarify the underlying mechanism.

Therefore, the main aim of this study was to investigate the effect of 0.1 mmol/L of CDC on intracellular pH (pHi), intracellular calcium concentration ([Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{i}\)) and apical Cl\(^{-}\)/HCO\(_{3}^{-}\) exchange activity in normal and cystic fibrosis human pancreatic duct cells. All these experiments were performed on polarized human CFPAC-1 cells, which are homozygous for the F508del CFTR mutation. CFPAC-1 cells were ideal for these investigations because they can be efficiently transduced with wild-type CFTR using a Sendai virus (SeV) construct, and they also express SLC26A6, which is functional only when wild-type CFTR is present in the cells.\(^{6}\) Finally, we wanted to test whether CDC increased CFTR Cl\(^{-}\} channel activity, and for these experiments, we used guinea pig pancreatic duct cells in which CFTR activity and its regulation have been well characterized.\(^{7}\)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials and Solutions

All the laboratory chemicals, the cell culture reagents, and the CDC were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Budapest, Hungary). 2,7-bis-(2-carboxethyl)-5(6)-carboxyfluorescein-acetoxymethyl ester (BCECF-AM) and 2-(6-(bis(carboxymethyl)amino)-5-(methylphenoxy)ethoxy)-2-benzofuranyl)-5-oxazolocarboxylic acid acetoxymethyl ester (Fura-2 AM) were from Molecular Probes Inc (Eugene, Ore). The stock solution of BCECF-AM (2 mmol/L) was prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide. Five millimoles per liter of Fura-2 AM was dissolved in 20% pluronic F-127 in dimethyl sulfoxide. Nigericin (10 mmol/L) and forskolin (50 mmol/L) were dissolved in ethanol and stored at –20°C. Polyester Transwells were supplied...
FIGURE 1. ZO-1 and CFTR expression in untransduced and SeV-LacZ or SeV-CFTR CFPAC-1 pancreatic duct cells. CFPAC-1 monolayers were fixed and stained for ZO-1 (A) or CFTR expression (B) 2 days after being transduced with SeV-LacZ or SeV-CFTR. A, ZO-1 was localized to the apical part of the cells (see xy1 and xz sections) and showed a characteristic chicken-wire pattern (green staining in the xy1 section) in both the untransduced and transduced CFPAC-1 cells, consistent with its expression at the tight junctions. The xy2 section shows the nuclei of cells stained (red) with propidium iodide. No difference was observed in ZO-1 expression between the different cell lines. B, CFTR expression could only be detected in the apical membrane of SeV-CFTR-transduced CFPAC-1 cells (green staining in xy and xz sections). Scale bars are equal to 10 μm. Note that in the ZO-1 images, the scale bar for SeV-CFTR-transduced cells is larger compared with the SeV-LacZ and untransduced cells.
by Corning-Costar (Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom). The standard 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES)-buffered solution contained the following (in millimoles per liter): NaCl, 130; KCl, 5; MgCl₂, 1; CaCl₂, 1; Na-HEPES (pH 7.4 with HCl), 10; and D-glucose, 10. The standard HCO₃⁻-buffered solution contained the following (in millimoles per liter): NaCl, 115; KCl, 5; MgCl₂, 1; CaCl₂, 1; Na-HCO₃, 25; and D-glucose, 10. The Cl⁻-free HCO₃⁻ solution contained the following (in millimoles per liter): Na-gluconate, 115; K₂SO₄, 2.5; Ca-gluconate, 6; Mg-gluconate, 1; Na-HCO₃, 25; and D-glucose, 10. The HCO₃⁻-containing solutions were continuously equilibrated with 5% CO₂–95% O₂ to maintain the pH at 7.4. For patch clamp experiments, the pipette solution contained the following (in millimoles per liter): CsCl, 110; MgCl₂, 2; ethylene glycol-bis(β-aminoethyl ether)-N,N,N',N''-tetraacetic acid, 5; HEPES, 10; and Na₂ATP (pH 7.2 with CsOH), 1. The osmolarity of the pipette solution was 240 mOsm/L. The standard bath solution contained the following (in millimoles per liter): NaCl, 145; KCl, 4.5; CaCl₂, 2; MgCl₂, 1; HEPES (pH 7.4 with NaOH, 300 mOsm/L), 10; and glucose, 5.

Culturing of CFPAC-1 Cells

CFPAC-1 cells (passage numbers 50–70) were obtained from Prof R.A. Frizzell (University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pa); grown in Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 2 mmol/L of glutamine, 100 units per milliliter of penicillin, and 0.1 mg/mL of streptomycin; and cultured as described previously. The medium was changed every 1 to 2 days. Cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. Cell monolayers were prepared by seeding at high density (300,000–350,000 cells per square centimeter) onto polyester permeable supports (Transwells:...
diameter, 12 mm; pore size, 0.4 μm). Cell confluence was checked by microscopy and determination of transepithelial electrical resistance ($R_T$) using an EVOM-G voltohmmeter (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, Fla).

**Isolation of Guinea Pig Pancreatic Duct Cells**

For patch clamp experiments, small intralobular and interlobular ducts were isolated from guinea pig (weighing 150–250 g) pancreas, cultured overnight, and dissociated into single cells as described previously.\(^8\)

**Recombinant SeV Constructs and Infection of CFPAC-1 Cells**

Sendai virus constructs containing the wild-type CFTR (SeV-CFTR) or the β-galactosidase (SeV-LacZ) gene were prepared as described previously.\(^9,10\) CFPAC-1 monolayers were infected with SeV-CFTR or SeV-LacZ (nuclear localized) from the apical side 3 days after seeding (multiplicity of infection [MOI], 3; see details in Rakonczay et al\(^a\)). Control (ie, uninfected) CFPAC-1 cells were subjected to a similar protocol, but the virus aliquots were substituted with serum-free Iscove's modified Dulbecco's medium. Experiments were performed 48 to 96 hours after infection.

To estimate the efficiency of the gene transfer, β-galactosidase activity was detected by in situ staining in CFPAC-1 cells transduced with SeV-LacZ or SeV-CFTR.\(^6\) LacZ activity was measured between 48 and 96 hours after infection. The application of SeV-LacZ to the apical membrane at an MOI of 3 resulted in a strong, homogenous LacZ activity in 30 ±/− 3% (mean ±/− SEM) of CFPAC-1 cells in the cultures (n = 6). Untransduced cells or cells transduced with SeV-CFTR did not show any LacZ activity.

**Immunocytochemistry**

Two days after infection with SeV-LacZ or SeV-CFTR, CFPAC-1 monolayers were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then fixed and permeabilized with methanol on ice for 15 minutes. The monolayers were blocked in 3% horse

---

**FIGURE 3.** Effect of CDC on [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_i\) in CFPAC-1 duct cells. CFPAC-1 cells were loaded with 5 μmol/L Fura-2. A, Four to 5 small areas (ROIs, regions of interests) of 180 to 240 cells were excited with light at wavelengths of 340 and 380 nm, and the 340:380 fluorescence emission ratio was measured at 535 nm. The figure shows fluorescence ratio images (B and D) and representative 340:380 ratio traces (C and E) of CFPAC-1 cells perfused with 0.1 mmol/L (B and C) or 1 mmol/L CDC (D and E) from the basolateral (bas) and/or apical (api) side. In the fluorescent ratio images, an increase in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_i\) is denoted by a change from a cold color (blue) to a warmer color (yellow to red); see scale on top. Pictures were taken before (1) and soon after exposure of CDC (2).
serum for 1 hour. The cells were then incubated overnight at 4°C with mouse anti-ZO-1 (33-9100; Zymed Laboratories, San Francisco, Calif) or anti-CFTR (MAB3480; Chemicon, Temecula, Calif) antibody (1:100). After 3 washes with PBS, the cells were incubated in 3% goat serum for 1 hour and goat anti–mouse FITC secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 488, 1:100; Invitrogen, Paisley, United Kingdom) for 1 hour. The filters were washed in PBS and incubated in 1 µg/mL of propidium iodide (Invitrogen) for 5 to 10 minutes (to stain the nuclei of cells) and were mounted in Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Laboratories Ltd, Peterborough, United Kingdom). Control experiments omitted the primary antibody (and were negative). Staining was visualized using confocal laser microscopy (TCS-NT with Kr-Ar laser; Leica, Milton Keynes, United Kingdom) with appropriate excitation and emission filter sets. A gallery of 10 to 20 optical sections (0.5-µm-thick) through the x-y and the z planes were obtained.

Measurement of Intracellular pH and [Ca²⁺]

Intracellular pH (pHᵢ) was estimated as described previously. Briefly, after loading the cells with 2 µmol/L of BCECF-AM, the Transwells were transferred to a perfusion chamber mounted on an IX71 inverted Olympus microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Apical and basal bath volumes were 0.5 and 1 mL, and the perfusion rates were 3 and 6 mL/min, respectively. pHᵢ was measured using a Cell* imaging system (Olympus). Four to 5 small areas (regions of interest) of 180 to 240 cells were excited with light at wavelengths of 490 and 440 nm, and the 490:440 fluorescence emission ratio was measured at 535 nm. In situ calibration of the fluorescence signal was performed using the high K⁺-nigericin technique.

Measurement of intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration ([Ca²⁺]) was performed using the same method, except that the cells were loaded with the Ca²⁺-sensitive fluorescent dye Fura-2 AM (5 µmol/L) for 60 minutes. For excitation, 340- and 380-nm filters were used, and the changes in [Ca²⁺], were calculated from the fluorescence ratio (F₃₄₀/F₃₈₀) measured at 510 nm.

Net Change in pHᵢ (ΔpHᵢ) and Calculation of Base Flux

The net change in pHᵢ (ΔpHᵢ) was measured by determining the pHᵢ immediately before and at the peak level after the changes of solutions by averaging the values of 80 data points. The intrinsic buffering capacity (βᵢ) and the total buffering capacity (β_total) of CFPAC-1 cells was estimated according to the NH₄⁺ prepulse technique. The initial rates of change of pHᵢ (over 30–60 seconds) resulting from solution changes were used to calculate transmembrane base flux (J_B). J_B is equal to the change of pHᵢ/Δt × βᵢ. The βᵢ value used in the calculation of J_B was obtained by using the mean pHᵢ value during a 30-second period immediately before changing solutions. We denote base influx as J_B and base efflux as J_B. The units of J_B are mmol/L of base equivalent (B) per minute.

Electrophysiology

Patch pipettes were pulled from borosilicate glass and had resistances of between 3 and 5 MΩ after fire polishing. Seal
resistances were typically between 5 and 10 GΩ. An EPC-7 amplifier (List Electronic, Darmstadt, Germany) was used to record whole-cell currents from single duct cells at room temperature. Current-voltage (I/V) relationships were obtained by holding $V_m$ at 0 mV and clamping to $\pm 100$ mV in 20-mV increments for 500 milliseconds with an 800-millisecond interval between each pulse. Data were filtered at 1 kHz, sampled at 2 kHz with a CED 1401 interface (Cambridge Electronic Design, Cambridge, England), and stored on computer hard disc. I/V plots were constructed using the mean current measured during a 4-millisecond period starting 495 milliseconds into the voltage pulse. Reversal potentials ($E_{\text{rev}}$) and current densities were determined from I/V plots after fitting a third-order polynomial using regression analysis. The mean current amplitudes were calculated at $E_{\text{rev}} \pm 60$ mV and normalized to cell capacitance (pF) measured using the EPC-7 amplifier. Series resistance ($R_s$) compensation was routinely used (50%–70%). $V_m$ was corrected for current flow ($I$) across the uncompensated fraction of $R_s$ using the relationship $V_m = V_p - I \times R_s$, where $V_p$ is the pipette potential. $V_m$ was also corrected for liquid junction potentials using the program JPCalc (Professor Peter H. Barry, University of New South Wales, Australian) and have been applied to $V_m$.

Statistical Analyses

To avoid errors arising from the variation in the rate and magnitude of $\text{HCO}_3^-$ flux between different sets of monolayers, we performed the respective measurements in random order on the same day from one set of cell cultures. Whenever possible, internal control experiments were carried out. Data are presented as mean (SEM). Statistical analyses were determined using either the Student’s paired or unpaired t test or the analysis of variance as appropriate.

RESULTS

Unless stated otherwise, there was no significant difference between data obtained from uninfected and SeV-LacZ-infected CFPAC-1 cells.

Expression of ZO-1 and CFTR in Polarized Cultures of Untransduced and SeV-Transduced CFPAC-1 Cells

CFPAC-1 cells grown on polyester Transwells became confluent 2 to 3 days after seeding, as judged by visual observation. Epithelial integrity, as judged by the measurement of $R_T$, increased steadily for 4 to 5 days up to a maximum of 256 (10 $\Omega \times \text{cm}^2$) in the untransduced cells. $R_T$ was not significantly different in the SeV-LacZ (266 [10] $\Omega \times \text{cm}^2$) versus the SeV-CFTR-infected cells (253 [9] $\Omega \times \text{cm}^2$; n = 35–38).

As shown in Figure 1A, the tight junction protein ZO-1 was localized to the apical part of the cells and showed a characteristic “chicken-wire” pattern in both the control and the SeV-transduced CFPAC-1 cells, consistent with its expression at the tight junctions. No difference was observed in ZO-1
expression between the different cell lines. CFTR expression was only detected in the SeV-CFTR−transduced CFPAC-1 cells (Fig. 1B) and was localized solely to the apical plasma membrane.

**Effect of CDC on pH$_{i}$**

Administration of CDC (0.1 and 1.0 mmol/L) in standard HEPES solution caused a dose-dependent decrease in the pH$_{i}$ of CFPAC-1 cells (Figs. 2A–C). However, at both doses tested, apical administration of CDC resulted in a markedly higher rate of intracellular acidification as compared with basolateral administration (Figs. 2B, C). Moreover, the −J(B) response to 1 mmol/L of CDC was significantly lower in cells transduced with CFTR versus LacZ (Fig. 2B), probably indicating that CFTR expression had reduced the rate at which the bile acid entered the duct cells. However, the ΔpH$_{i}$ values induced by CDC were unaffected by CFTR expression (Fig. 2C).

**Effect of CDC on [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{i}$**

Exposure of duct cells to CDC caused a dose-dependent increase in [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{i}$ (Figs. 3 and 4). Figure 3 shows that 0.1 mmol/L of CDC typically evoked a relatively slow rise in [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{i}$ to a peak value, which then declined slowly (Figs. 3B, C). In contrast, 1 mmol/L of CDC typically caused a fast rise in [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{i}$ to a peak that was followed by a maintained plateau phase (Figs. 3D, E). In accordance with our earlier findings in guinea pig ducts, apical 1 mmol/L of CDC caused a significantly larger Ca$^{2+}$ signal when compared with the same dose applied from the basolateral membrane (compare Figs. 3D, E). Bilateral administration of CDC (at both 0.1 and 1.0 mmol/L of doses) elevated [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{i}$ to an even greater extent (Figs. 3C, E).

Figure 4 is a summary of the [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{i}$ data and shows that expression of CFTR had no effect on the [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{i}$ response to CDC administration, indicating that CFTR expression was not obligatory for CDC-induced Ca$^{2+}$ signaling.

**CFTR Expression Is Required for CDC-Induced Increase in Apical Cl$^{-}$/HCO$_{3}^{-}$ Exchange Activity**

In SeV-LacZ−transduced CFPAC-1 cells, removal of Cl$^{-}$ from the standard HCO$_{3}^{-}$ solution perfusing the apical membrane of the monolayers had no effect on pH$_{i}$, either in the absence or presence of 0.1 mmol/L of CDC (Fig. 5A). However, Cl$^{-}$ removal from the apical membrane of SeV-CFTR−transduced cells (Fig. 5B) caused a clear alkalinization of pH$_{i}$ ($\Delta$pH, 0.61 [0.07] mmol/L of B per minute; $\Delta$pH$_{i}$, 0.018 [0.003]), which was significantly increased by approximately 3-fold.

![Effect of 0.1 mmol/L of CDC on CFTR Cl$^{-}$ conductance in guinea pig pancreatic duct cells. Typical whole-cell CFTR Cl$^{-}$ currents recorded from pancreatic duct cells perfused with standard bath solution (control) (A), 0.1 mmol/L of CDC (B), or 5 μmol/L of forskolin (C) or following washout of forskolin (D). E, Current densities under different conditions.](image-url)
in the presence of 0.1 mmol/L of CDC (Figs. 5C, D).

Chenodeoxycholate Does Not Activate CFTR Cl− Currents

The stimulation of apical anion exchange by CDC (Fig. 5) could be due either to a direct effect on SLC26A6 activity (perhaps mediated by an increase in [Ca2+]i), or it could be an indirect effect caused by, for example, an increase in electrodiffusive Cl− transport through CFTR. To directly test whether CDC could activate CFTR, we performed whole-cell patch clamp experiments on single guinea pig pancreatic duct cells.23 Administration of 0.1 mmol/L of CDC to the bath solution had no effect on whole-cell currents (Fig. 6B), whereas characteristic CFTR currents could be activated in the same cell (in 7 of 10 cells) when it was subsequently exposed to 5 mmol/L of forskolin (Fig. 6C). A higher concentration of CDC (0.5 mmol/L) resulted in membrane instability in most (5 of 8) cells studied.

DISCUSSION

The key transporters that control HCO3− secretion across the apical membrane of the pancreatic duct cell are CFTR and SLC26 anion exchangers (most likely SLC26A6 and/or SLC26A3).1,4 In this study, our main aim was to test the effect of the unconjugated bile acid, CDC, on the activity of these transporters in human pancreatic duct cells. Moreover, we wanted to address the specific issue as to whether CFTR stimulation of the unconjugated bile acid, CDC, on the activity of these transporters in human pancreatic duct cells. Moreover, we wanted to address the specific issue as to whether CFTR overexpression has previously been reported in guinea pig ducts.23 To do this, we have studied apical Cl−/HCO3− exchange activity in human pancreatic duct cells either lacking or expressing CFTR. CFPAC-1 cells form a polarized monolayer when grown on polyester Transwells. The Rt increased steadily up to 5 days in both the untransduced and transduced cell lines, which suggests the formation of tight junctions. This is confirmed by expression of the tight junction protein, ZO-1, in all the cell lines. We have previously used Western blotting to demonstrate the expression of CFTR in SeV-CFTR–transduced CFPAC-1 cells. However, we wanted to make sure that this CFTR was correctly localized to the apical membrane, as CFTR overexpression has previously been shown to result in mislocalization of the protein.14 Therefore, in this study, we have used immunocytochemistry to clearly demonstrate apical localization of CFTR in SeV-CFTR–transduced CFPAC-1 cells (MOI, 3). At a MOI of 3, approximately 30% of the CFPAC-1 cells express CFTR.24 However, this relatively low rate of CFTR transduction is sufficient to up-regulate apical Cl−/HCO3− exchange activity in the human duct cells.6 We have previously shown that cells transduced with SeV-CFTR at a higher virus titer (MOI, 15) have a higher rate of transduction (approximately 70%). However, we chose not to use an MOI of 15 cells for this study because they exhibit a constitutively active apical Cl−/HCO3− exchanger. This constitutive activity cannot be further increased by cyclic adenosine monophosphate, suggesting that in these cells transduced with a MOI of 15, anion exchange was already maximally activated.6

It has been demonstrated that bile acids induce Ca2+ signals in both pancreatic acinar cells15–17 and in guinea pig ductal cells.24 In the present study, we have shown that CDC also causes a dose-dependent increase in [Ca2+]i in human pancreatic duct cells. In accordance with our earlier findings on guinea pig ducts, apical application of CDC to CFPAC-1 cells resulted in a greater elevation of [Ca2+]i, compared with basolateral application. These bile acid–induced Ca2+ signals were not dependent on the expression of CFTR. In contrast to our findings, in cholangiocytes, ursodeoxycholic acid only initiated Ca2+ signaling in cells expressing CFTR.18

Unconjugated bile salts, such as CDC, are weak acids and can therefore pass through cell membranes either by passive diffusion or via bile acid transporters.25 Basolateral or luminal administration of CDC dose-dependently decreased the pHj of the CFPAC-1 cells. Similarly, it has been reported that 0.5 to 1.5 mmol/L of ursodeoxycholate also caused a dose-dependent, rapid, intracellular acidification in bile duct epithelial cells.26 As for [Ca2+]i, the effect of CDC on the pHj of CFPAC-1 cells was greater when the bile acid was given from the apical side. Differential effects of bile acids have also been reported in dog pancreatic duct cells.21 In these cells, the basolateral membrane was much more sensitive to bile acid–induced damage compared with the luminal membrane.21 Interestingly, in our experiments, the CDC-induced acidosis was somewhat higher in CFTR-deficient pancreatic duct cells. This may be because of increased active uptake (via bile acid transporters) of CDC in CF cells, but further experiments are required to resolve this issue. Note that the absorption of taurocholate has been reported to be either reduced22 or increased23 in the ileum of transgenic Cf mice with the F508del CFTR mutation.

We next tested the effect of 0.1 mmol/L of CDC on the Cl−/HCO3− exchange activity of CFPAC-1 cells either lacking or expressing wild-type CFTR. Chenodeoxycholate stimulation of Cl−/HCO3− exchange activity was only observed in cells expressing CFTR, strongly suggesting that the presence of CFTR is necessary for this effect. Similar to our findings, Fiorotto et al.16 reported that 0.1 mmol/L of ursodeoxycholate significantly elevated fluid secretion from intrapapillary mouse bile duct units in normal but not in CFTR-defective mice.

We also wanted to investigate whether the CDC-induced increase in Cl−/HCO3− exchange activity was linked to enhanced Cl− transport by CFTR. Using whole-cell current recording, we found that 0.1 mmol/L of CDC did not activate CFTR and therefore conclude that stimulation of CFTR does not underlie the effect of CDC of the anion exchanger. Our data contrast with the results of Bijvelds et al.24 who found that luminal administration of 0.5 mmol/L of taurocholate did not stimulate CFTR-dependent electrogenic Cl− transport in the murine ileum. These contrasting results may be due to differences in species and tissues and the type and concentration of bile acids used. However, our results suggest that the increase in anion exchange activity evoked by CDC either reflects a direct effect of the bile acid on the apical exchanger or involves some other, indirect, mechanism. The CDC-induced increase in anion exchange activity observed in guinea pig pancreatic ducts that was entirely dependent on a rise in [Ca2+]i implies that bile acids do not directly modulate SLC26 transporter activity. Because SLC26A6 is thought to be electrogenic and transports 2HCO3−:1Cl−,25 an alternate scenario would involve a CDC-dependent opening of Ca2+/HCO3− channels via Ca2+ release from internal stores. The increase in K+ conductance would hyperpolarize the membrane potential and thereby stimulate electrogenic HCO3− secretion via SLC26A6.

Finally, obstruction of the ampulla of Vater by gallstones that have migrated down the common bile duct is a major risk factor for acute pancreatitis.26 The consequent obstruction of bile and pancreatic juice outflow into the duodenum might cause bile reflux into the pancreas. In pancreatic acinar cells, bile acids cause a global elevation of [Ca2+]i, which leads to trypsinogen activation and, subsequently, to cell death.27 However, the role of pancreatic Ca2+ cells in the pathogenesis of acute pancreatitis is unclear. Our earlier studies on guinea pig pancreatic ducts28 and this current study on human duct cells suggest that toxic
factors known to induce acute pancreatitis (such as viruses and bile acids) stimulate HCO$_3^-$ secretion. Therefore, we speculate that CDC-induced HCO$_3^-$ secretion would flush toxic bile salts out of the ductal tree and may serve as a defense mechanism against acute biliary pancreatitis.
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Cystic fibrosis (CF) is a fatal inherited disease caused by the absence or dysfunction of the CF transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) Cl\(^{-}\) channel. About 70% of CF patients are exocrine pancreatic insufficient due to failure of the pancreatic ducts to secrete a HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\)-rich fluid. Our aim in this study was to investigate the potential of a recombinant Sendai virus (SeV) vector to introduce normal CFTR into human CF pancreatic duct (CFPAC-1) cells, and to assess the effect of CFTR gene transfer on the key transporters involved in HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) transport. Using polarized cultures of homozygous F508del CFPAC-1 cells as a model for the human CF pancreatic ductal epithelium we showed that SeV was an efficient gene transfer agent when applied to the apical membrane. The presence of functional CFTR was confirmed using iodide efflux assay. CFTR expression had no effect on cell growth, monolayer integrity, and mRNA levels for key transporters in the duct cell (pNBC, AE2, NHE2, NHE3, DRA, and PAT-1), but did upregulate the activity of apical Cl\(^{-}\)/HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) exchange. About 70% of CF patients are exocrine pancreatic insufficient due to failure of the pancreatic ducts to secrete a HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\)-rich fluid. Our data show that SeV vector is a potential CFTR gene transfer agent for human pancreatic duct cells and that expression of CFTR in CF cells is associated with a restoration of Cl\(^{-}\) and HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) transport at the apical membrane.
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Cystic fibrosis (CF) is one of the most common autosomal recessive diseases in Caucasian people and is caused by absence or dysfunction of CF transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR), a cAMP/protein kinase A (PKA) regulated Cl− channel that is expressed on the apical membrane of epithelial cells (Rowntree and Harris, 2003). Sixty two percent of CF patients are pancreatic insufficient at birth, and a proportion (about 21%) of patients who are born with a functional pancreas will later become pancreatic insufficient (Waters et al., 1990). Pancreatic insufficiency is treated with digestive enzyme replacement therapy, but many CF patients have a poor nutritional status making them potentially more susceptible to lung infections (Dodge and Turck, 2006).

CFTR is highly expressed in the ductal system of the pancreas, which secretes a HCO3− rich fluid. This alkaline fluid washes digestive enzymes (secreted by acinar cells) into the duodenum and also neutralizes acid chyme entering the duodenum from the stomach (Argent et al., 2006). Pancreatic HCO3− secretion is markedly reduced in CF patients (Choi et al., 2001) and this defect probably leads to cyst formation and gland fibrosis in two ways. First, reduced fluidity within the duct lumen initiates precipitation of digestive enzymes and eventual blockage of the ducts (Scheele et al., 1996). Increased luminal pressure above the blockage would then cause cyst formation and leakage of digestive enzymes into the parenchyma of the gland. Second, reduced alkalinity in the duct lumen causes destruction of acinar cells due to the failure of apical membrane recycling following enzyme secretion (Scheele et al., 1996). As well as causing the pancreatic pathology in CF, mutations in CFTR are also associated with increased susceptibility to acute and chronic pancreatitis in the population (Rowntree and Harris, 2003).

Gene therapy offers the best hope for a cure of CF, but to date research in this area has largely focused on the lung with vector delivery via the airways (Griesenbach et al., 2006; Rosenhecker et al., 2006). Treatment of the pancreatic defect will require either systemic gene therapy, with targeting of the vector carrying CFTR gene to the ductal epithelium, or retrograde injection of the vector into the pancreatic duct. The aim of this study was to assess the utility of a novel recombinant Sendai virus (SeV) vector construct, which has been previously shown to efficiently infect to the airway epithelium (Yonemitsu et al., 2000), as a vector for introducing CFTR into human pancreatic duct cells in vitro. SeV vector is a new class of cytoplasmic RNA vector that is free from genotoxicity and infects and multiplies in a wide variety of mammalian cells and tissues, including the airway epithelium (Bitzer et al., 2003; Griesenbach et al., 2005). In addition, this vector directs high-level transgene expression and its expression can be regulated at different levels making it possible to avoid cell toxicity due to over-expression during gene therapy (Tokusumi et al., 2002).

We used CFPAC-1 cells, a human pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cell line that is homozygous for the common F508Del CFTR mutation, as a model of the CF pancreatic duct (Schoumacher et al., 1990). Stable transfection methods have been used successfully in the past to correct the CFTR defect in CFPAC-1 cells (Greeley et al., 2001). Because CFPAC-1 cells can be grown as functionally polarized monolayers (Rakonczay et al., 2006), we have been able to assess the effect of SeV vector-mediated CFTR transduction on the functional polarity of the pancreatic duct epithelium and on the activity of the key membrane transporters involved in HCO3− secretion. Our work was prompted by the fact that DNA-based therapies are currently being explored as treatment strategies for other pancreatic diseases, such as pancreatic cancer (for a review see Tamada et al. 2005). Moreover, pancreatic sufficiency (as judged by the absence of steatorrhoea) can be maintained with only 10% of normal pancreatic function (DiMango et al., 1973), so a gene therapy protocol that provided even a small improvement in pancreatic function might be beneficial to CF patients.

Materials and Methods

Materials and solutions

All laboratory chemicals were purchased from Sigma (Poole, Dorset, UK). 2’,7’-bis(2-carboxyethyl)-5(6)-carboxyfluorescein-acetoxyethyl ester (BCECF-AM) and dihydro-4-4’-disothiocyano-stilbene-2,2’-disulfonic acid (H2-DIDS) were from Molecular Probes, Inc. (Eugene, OR). The selective CFTR inhibitor CFTRinh-172 (Ma et al., 2002) was kindly provided by Prof. A. S. Verkman (University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA). Stock solutions of BCECF-AM (2 mM) and CFTRinh-172 inhibitor (10 mM) were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (X-gal, 50 mg/ml) was dissolved in dimethylformamide. Nigericin (10 mM) and forskolin (50 mM) were dissolved in ethanol and stored at −20°C. Polyester Transwells were supplied by Corning-Costar (Buckinghamshire, UK). The standard HEPES-buffered solution contained (mM): 130 NaCl, 5 KCl, 1 MgCl2, 1 CaCl2, 10 Na-HEPES, 0.5 glucose (pH 7.4 with HCl). In the Na+−free HEPES solution NaCl was replaced by 140 mM N-methyl-D-glucamine (NMDG)-Cl and the Na+-HEPES solution was replaced by equimolar HEPES-acid. In the Na+−free HEPES solution containing 20 mM N4HCl, the concentration of NMDG-Cl was reduced to maintain osmolarity. The standard HCO3−-buffered solution contained (mM): 115 NaCl, 5 KCl, 1 MgCl2, 1 CaCl2, 25 Na-HCO3, 0.5 glucose. In the K+-free HCO3−-buffered solution KCl was replaced by equimolar NaCl. The high-K+ HCO3−-buffered solution contained (mM): 5 NaCl, 115 KCl, 1 MgCl2, 1 CaCl2, 25 Na-HCO3, 0.5 glucose. The CI−-free HCO3− solution contained (mM): 115 Na-glucenate, 2.5 K2SO4, 6 Ca-gluconate, 1 Mg-gluconate, 25 Na-HCO3, 0.5 glucose. All solutions containing HCO3− were continuously equilibrated with 5% CO2—95% O2 to maintain pH at 7.4.

Culturing of CFPAC-1 cells

CFPAC-1 cells (passage number 50–60) were obtained from Prof. R. A. Frizzell (University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) and were grown in Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum, 2 mM glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin and were cultured as described previously (Schoumacher et al., 1990). The medium was changed every 1–2 days. Cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2. Cell monolayers were prepared by seeding at high density (12 mm diameter, 0.4 mm pore size Transwells). Cell confluence was checked by microscopy and determination of transepithelial electrical resistance (Rt) using an EVOM-G voltohmmeter (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL).

Measurement of intracellular pH (pHi) and determination of buffering capacity (β)

Intracellular pH (pHi) was estimated as described previously (Thomas et al., 1979; Hegyi et al., 2004; Rakonczay et al., 2006). Briefly, after loading the cells with 2 μM BCECF-AM, the Transwells were transferred to a perfusion chamber mounted on an inverted Nikon Diaphot microscope (Nikon UK, Kingston upon Thames, UK). Experiments were performed on a group of 10–15 cells at 37°C. Apical and basal bath volumes were 0.5 and 1 ml and the perfusion rates were 3 and 6 ml/min, respectively. pHi was measured using a Life Sciences microfluorimeter system (Life Sciences Resources, Cambridge, UK). The intrinsic buffering capacity (β) of CFPAC-1 cells was estimated according to the NH4+ prepulse technique (Weintraub and Machen, 1989; Rakonczay et al., 2006). The total buffering capacity (βtotal) was calculated as βtotal = βi + βHCO3−, where βHCO3− is the buffering capacity of...
the HCO\(_3^-\)/CO\(_2^-\) system. \(\beta_{\text{HCO}_3^-} = 2.3 \times [\text{HCO}_3^-]/[\text{HCO}_3^-]_i\) is the intracellular concentration of HCO\(_3^-\).

**Net change in pH. (\(\Delta p\text{H}\)) and calculation of base flux**

The net change in pH (\(\Delta p\text{H}\)) was measured by determining the pH\(_i\) immediately before and at the peak level after the changes of solutions by averaging the values of 80 data points. The initial rates of change of pH (over 30–50 sec) resulting from solution changes were used to calculate transmembrane base flux (\(J_b\)). \(J_b\) was change of pH/\(\Delta t\) \times \(\beta_{\text{base}}\). The \(\beta_{\text{total}}\) value used in the calculation of \(J_b\) was obtained by using the average pH\(_i\) value over a 30 sec period immediately before changing solutions. We denote base influx as \(J_b\) and base efflux as \(-J_b\).

**Construction of recombinant Sendai virus (SeV) vector**

The genome order of the full length SeV used in this study was as follows: a leader (Ld) sequence at the 3'-end followed by the following viral genes: nucleocapsid (NP), phospho (P), matrix (M), fusion (F), hemagglutinin-neuraminidase (HN), and large proteins (L). Finally, a small trailer (tr) sequence was placed at the 5'-end before changing solutions. We denote base influx as \(J_b\) and base efflux as \(-J_b\).

**β-Galactosidase staining**

β-Galactosidase activity was detected by in situ staining (Yonemitsu et al., 2000). CFPAC-1 cells grown on Transwells or plastic were washed with PBS and fixed at 4°C in a PBS solution containing 2% formaldehyde, 0.2% glutaraldehyde, 2 mM MgCl\(_2\), and 5 mM EGTA for 10 min. The cells were then rinsed with washing buffer (0.01% sodium deoxycholate, 0.02% NP-40 and 2 mM MgCl\(_2\) in PBS) and incubated with a chromophore solution (0.1% 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside, 5 mM potassium ferrocyanide, and 5 mM potassium ferriyanide in washing buffer) at 37°C for 1–2 h. The number of infected (blue) and uninfected cells was counted microscopically and averaged in 5–6 randomly selected 400× viewing fields.

**Expression of DRA, PAT-1, AE2, pNBC1, NHE2, NHE3 mRNAs**

**Isolation of mRNA and reverse transcription (RT).** To study the expression of transporter mRNAs in uninfected, SeV-LacZ or SeV-CFTR infected CFPAC-1 cells grown in 25 cm\(^2\) tissue culture flasks, total RNA was isolated using the GenElute\textsuperscript{TM} Mammalian Total RNA Miniprep Kit (Sigma). The RNA concentration was determined by measuring the optical density at 260 nm. RNA integrity was verified by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel. Total RNA (1 \(\mu\)g) was used for cDNA synthesis by oligo\(\text{dT}\) priming (MLV Reverse Transcriptase, RNase H Minus, Point Mutant, Promega, Madison, WI).

**Semi-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR).** First-strand cDNA was amplified with PCR primers designed, by Primer3 software (Whitehead Insitute, Cambridge, MA), to be specific for selected transporters (Table 1). PCR was performed using Taq polymerase (Promega). Following an initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min and 30–35 cycles of amplification, samples were incubated at 72°C for a further 5 min. The PCR products were resolved on agarose gels. As an internal concentration reference for the PCR experiments, we performed 19 cycles of amplification with primers for the acidic ribosomal phosphoprotein (X513) (Wallpp et al., 1997). Total RNA extracts from normal human pancreas (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) and HPAF cells were used as positive control for selected primers of electrolyte transporters.

**Real-time PCR.** For quantitative analysis of gene expression of DRA, PAT-1, AE2, NHE2, and NHE3, real-time PCR was performed.

**Table 1. Sequences of Primers Used for Semi-Quantitative RT-PCR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Expected product size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AE2</td>
<td>5'-CTTCTGCACTCTTCTCTTCTTCA-3'</td>
<td>373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev: 5'-CCGTAAGTTGAGTATGAA-3'</td>
<td>281</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRA</td>
<td>5'-GTTTCACACCCACCTTTA-3'</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev: 5'-TGTAAATGGTGTGCCTTTGA-3'</td>
<td>191</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAT-1</td>
<td>5'-GGTATGGTGAGTGTGGAAC-3'</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev: 5'-CCGTTGAAGTTCTGTTTCTG-3'</td>
<td>373</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pNBC1</td>
<td>5'-ACAGAGATCTCTTCTTTTAC-3'</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev: 5'-CTCAGGCACTTTTACGTTC-3'</td>
<td>264</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XS3</td>
<td>5'-CGCTTCAGTACACCTAAG-3'</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev: 5'-CACAGCTGATCTGATAAGCTG-3'</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Real time PCR primers and FAM-labeled MGB target-specific fluorescence probe were purchased from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA) for DRA (Hs00230798_m1), PAT-1 (Hs00370470_m1), AE2 (Hs00161738_m1), NHE2 (Hs00268166_m1), NHE3 (Hs00188200_m1), and human acidic ribosomal phosphoprotein P0 (RPLPO, Hs99999902_m1). RPLPO, was used as positive control. The template cDNAs was amplified by the Universal Mastermix (Applied Biosystems) containing AMPErase. For detection of fluorescence signal during the PCR cycles, the ABI Prism Sequence Detection System 7700 (Applied Biosystems) was employed with the default setting (50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, 45 cycles: 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 1 min). Each experiment was repeated two times with 2 replicates. Changes in levels of gene expression were estimated based on the real-time PCR data by calculating the relative expression values (reference/control sample) for the target RNA in each sample and normalizing them by dividing with the relative value of RPLPO from the same sample (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

Western blots

CFTR. Total protein extracts from CFPAC-1 and CAPAN-1 cells, as well as from baby hamster kidney (BHK) cells stably expressing wt or F508del-CFTR (Chang et al., 1993) (as controls) were analyzed for CFTR protein expression by Western blotting as described previously (Farinha et al., 2004a,b).

PKA catalytic subunit. PKA catalytic subunit (PKAcat) was detected from the immunoprecipitated pellet used for the PKA activity assay (see below). SDS-PAGE was performed using the Novex (Invitrogen Ltd., Paisley, UK) system on 4–12% Bis-Tris polyacrylamide gels and the proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Following blocking in TBS-Tween (0.5% Tween-20) plus 0.5% milk powder for 30 min, membranes were incubated with anti-PKAcat (C20) antibody for 90 min (1:1,000 dilution, Santa Cruz, CA) and a secondary anti-rabbit antibody (1:5,000 dilution, Sigma) for 45 min. Enhanced chemiluminescent reagent (Amersham, Little Chalfont, U.K.) was used for visualization.

PKA activity assay

Homogenization of CFPAC-1 cells was achieved by mechanical lysis (Polytron) in three volumes of ice-cold buffer (10 mM Tris, 20 mM NaH2PO4, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.8) containing a fresh protease inhibitor cocktail (Boehringer complete tablet). Whole cell lysates were used as input material for immunoprecipitation. Prior to precipitation, dimethyl pimelimidate linking of PKAcat antibody to protein A sepharose beads was performed according to the method of Harlow and Lane (1988). A 7 μl slurry of antibody-crosslinked protein-A beads was added to 20 μg of whole cell lysates. Samples were then shake-incubated for 60 min at 4°C. The samples were pelleted in a desktop centrifuge, followed by 3 x 1 ml washes with standard assay buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, 50 mM NaF, 1.0 mM EGTA, 1.0 mM EDTA, 0.2% Tween-20, 10% glycerol) containing 1 mM NaCl and 3 x 1 ml washes into standard assay buffer. Precipitation pellets were re-suspended in 20 μl of assay buffer and assayed/probed as described. PKA activity was determined by measuring the incorporation of γ-32P from [γ-32P]ATP (Perkin-Elmer) into the $\Sigma 660$ residue of a known amount of purified CFTR, eluted by spotting a 15 mCi aliquot onto a 1 cm2 piece of P81 phosphocellulose paper and washing 3 x 1 ml washes with 1 M NaCl and 3 x 1 ml washes into standard assay buffer. P was quantified using a Packard Instant C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, 45 cycles: 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 1 min). Each experiment was repeated two times with 2 replicates. Changes in levels of gene expression were estimated based on the real-time PCR data by calculating the relative expression values (reference/control sample) for the target RNA in each sample and normalizing them by dividing with the relative value of RPLPO from the same sample (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

Iodide efflux assay

Iodide efflux was performed using the protocol described for CFTR-transfected BHK cells (Hughes et al., 2004). After the iodide release had reached a steady state (6 min), the intracellular cAMP level was raised by agonists (10 μM forskolin, 100 μM 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine, IBMX, and 100 μM 2′,3′-dibutyryladenosine 3′,5′-cyclic monophosphate, dbcAMP) and collection of the efflux medium was resumed for an additional 10 min. In some experiments the cells were administered 10 μM CFTRinh-172 or DMSO after removing the iodide loading buffer. The amount of iodide in each sample was determined with an iodide-selective electrode (Thermo Electron Corporation, Fife, Scotland).

Statistical analyses

To avoid errors arising from the variation in the rate and magnitude of HCO3− uptake between different set of monolayers, we performed the respective measurements on the same day from one set of cell cultures and in random order and where possible, internal control experiments were carried out. Statistical analyses were determined using either the Student’s paired or unpaired t-test or the analysis of variance as appropriate. P < 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

Results

Efficiency of SeV vector-mediated gene transfer

To estimate the efficiency of gene transfer, SeV-LacZ was added to either the basolateral or apical membrane of polarized CFPAC-1 monolayers for 1 h. (n = 6). LacZ activity was measured between 48 and 96 h after infection. Initial experiments showed that very little gene transfer occurred following basolateral exposure to SeV-LacZ. However, following application of SeV-LacZ to the apical membrane at MOI = 3, strong, homogenous LacZ activity was observed in 32 ± 2% of cells. At the higher MOI = 15, 68 ± 3% of the cells were stained. Thus, transduction with SeV vector was more efficient via the apical membrane of CFPAC-1 cells and the proportion of cells infected was clearly dose-related. The former observation suggests that retrograde injection of SeV-CFTR into the pancreatic duct would be required to treat CF patients with this vector.

Effect of SeV vector-mediated transduction on the expression of CFTR, H+, and HCO3− transporters

Figure 1 shows that CFPAC-1 and SeV-LacZ infected cells exhibited very little CFTR protein expression as judged by Western blotting. In these cells only immature CFTR (i.e., core-glycosylated or band B) could be detected, as a very faint band. Similar results were obtained with BHK cells stably expressing F508del-CFTR, although band B staining was much stronger. However, both immature and mature (i.e., fully-glycosylated, processed, or band C) forms of CFTR were much stronger. However, both immature and mature (i.e., fully-glycosylated, processed, or band C) forms of CFTR were detected in SeV-CFTR transduced CFPAC-1 cells, and in the positive controls (CAPAN-1 cells and BHK cells stably expressing wt CFTR).
et al., 2005; Argent et al., 2006). We examined whether CFPAC-1 cells expressed these transporters and whether infection with SeV vector affected the expression level.

Figure 3A shows that PAT-1, AE2, and pNBC1 were constitutively expressed in uninfected and SeV vector transduced CFPAC-1 cells as determined by semi-quantitative PCR. However, we did not detect mRNA for DRA, NHE2, and NHE3. CFTR transduction had no obvious effect on the level of the mRNA’s for PAT-1, AE2, pNBC1 (Fig. 3A), and NHE2 (data not shown). Again, mRNA for DRA and NHE3 was not detectable after CFTR expression. The control house-keeping gene XS13, which encodes a ribosomal phosphoprotein, showed similar expression levels in all the cell samples (Fig. 3A). Note that in the normal pancreas (lane P in Fig. 3A), PAT-1 and AE2, pNBC1 were expressed at relatively low levels, and AE2 was not detectable. However, duct cells form only ~10% by volume of the normal gland, so the extracted RNA will be largely derived from acinar cells (Argent et al., 2006).

We also performed some experiments using real-time PCR (Fig. 3B). Again, no statistical difference was found in the expression levels of PAT-1 and AE2 amongst the different cell groups (Fig. 3B). As before, we were unable to detect DRA, NHE2, and NHE3 expression in any of the cell lines using real-time PCR. Taken together, these data indicate that with the exception of CFTR, CFPAC-1 cells express the key transporters required for HCO$_3^-$ secretion, namely pNBC1 and PAT-1. Importantly, in terms of gene therapy, SeV vector infection at both MOI = 3 and MOI = 15 (either with or without CFTR expression), did not affect the expression of these key transporters.

Effect of SeV vector-mediated transduction on the integrity of CFPAC-1 cell monolayer

Trans epithelial resistance (R$_T$). R$_T$ can be used as an indicator of the structural integrity of an epithelial sheet, because electrical resistance is largely determined by the “leakiness” of the tight junctions. CFPAC-1 cells grown on polyester Transwells became confluent 2–3 days after seeding, as judged by visual observation. R$_T$ increased steadily over 4–5 days up to a maximum of 199 ± 10 Ω cm$^2$ in the untransduced cells. R$_T$ was significantly higher in the SeV-LacZ (MOI = 3: 400 ± 15 Ω cm$^2$, MOI = 15: 314 ± 13 Ω cm$^2$) and in the SeV-CFTR (MOI = 3: 243 ± 5 Ω cm$^2$, MOI = 15: 268 ± 9 Ω cm$^2$) infected groups compared to the untransduced cells (n = 9–69). These data show that transduction with SeV vector did not disrupt the structural integrity of the CFPAC-1 epithelium; if anything the epithelium became slightly “tighter” after exposure to the virus.

Functional polarity of CFPAC-1 cells. As HCO$_3^-$ is a component of a buffer system, pH$_i$ and β are crucial parameters in a HCO$_3^-$-secreting epithelial cell. The resting pH$_i$ of CFPAC-1 cells bathed in the standard HEPES solution was 7.11 ± 0.08 (n = 6) and was not significantly different in SeV-CFTR (MOI = 3) transduced cells (7.09 ± 0.10, n = 6). However, the resting pH$_i$ value of SeV-LacZ cells (MOI = 15) was significantly increased (7.26 ± 0.01) compared to the SeV-CFTR cells (7.20 ± 0.02) in the standard HCO$_3^-$/CO$_2$ solution (n = 12). We have previously reported that the intrinsic β, is quite variable in different CFPAC-1 monolayers (see Fig. 1 in Rakonczay et al., 2006). In a previous study, we found that uninfected CFPAC-1 cells had a β, of 34 ± 8 mM/pH over the pH$_i$ range 7.0–7.2. The respective β, values for SeV-CFTR and SeV-LacZ (MOI = 15) transduced cells (n = 9–11) at this pH$_i$ range were 46 ± 7 and 46 ± 6 mM/pH. These β, values are not statistically different. Taken together, our results indicate that SeV vector infection has no obvious effect on pH$_i$ regulatory mechanisms or β, in CFPAC-1 cells.

We have previously shown that the apical and basolateral membranes of CFPAC-1 cell monolayers exhibit marked differences in their relative CO$_2$ and HCO$_3^-$ permeabilities (Rakonczay et al., 2006). Exposing the basolateral side to a solution containing HCO$_3^-$/CO$_2$ causes pH$_i$ to alkalize rapidly, suggesting that HCO$_3^-$ permeates the basolateral membrane rather faster than CO$_2$ (Rakonczay et al., 2006), consistent with presence of base loaders (principally a NaHCO$_3$ cotransporter) on the basolateral membrane of the duct cell. In contrast, exposing the apical membrane to HCO$_3^-$/CO$_2$ causes pH$_i$ to acidify rapidly, consistent with faster diffusion of CO$_2$ from lumen to cell compared with HCO$_3^-$ (Rakonczay et al., 2006). That the apical membrane of pancreatic duct cells resists back diffusion of HCO$_3^-$ from the lumen is likely to be of physiological importance, since it will favor retention of secreted HCO$_3^-$ in the duct lumen. We therefore checked whether the functional polarity of CFPAC-1 cells was disrupted by SeV vector transduction by exposing the apical and basolateral membranes of SeV-LacZ and SeV-CFTR-transduced cells to HCO$_3^-$/CO$_2$.

Figure 4A shows a continuous pH$_i$ recording from a SeV-LacZ (MOI = 15) infected monolayer (n = 12). Initially, the apical and basolateral membranes were perfused with the standard HEPES solution and then the apical solution was...
transduced cells (Fig. 4B). CFTR does exhibit a finite permeability to HCO₃⁻ (Gray et al., 1990; Lindsell et al., 1997), so this slow alkalization might reflect back flux of HCO₃⁻ from the luminal compartment through CFTR. Switching the basolateral solution to HCO₃⁻/CO₂ caused pHᵢ to alkalize in the high titer SeV-CFTR (MOI = 15) cells (Fig. 4B). However, comparison of Fig. 4B with Fig. 4A, suggests that both the rate (jᵢ was 10.37 ± 0.69 mM B/min) and the degree of the alkalization (ΔpHᵢ was 0.27 ± 0.01) of SeV-CFTR cells were significantly reduced compared to SeV-LacZ cells (see jᵢ and ΔpHᵢ values in the previous paragraph). In contrast, in the low titer SeV-CFTR (MOI = 3) cells, switching the basolateral solution to HCO₃⁻/CO₂ caused pHᵢ changes similar to those observed in SeV-LacZ cells (data not shown).

These data are consistent with hyperexpression of CFTR in the high titer MOI = 15 cells either decreasing the rate at which HCO₃⁻ enters across the basolateral membrane or increasing the rate of HCO₃⁻ efflux across the apical membrane. Nevertheless, cells transduced with SeV vector, even at a high vector concentration, clearly retain the typical differences in apical and basolateral CO₂ and HCO₃⁻ permeabilities that we have previously described in untransduced CFPAC-1 cells (Rakonczay et al., 2006).

Effect of SeV vector-mediated transduction and CFTR expression on apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity. Anion exchange activity can be detected in both the basolateral and apical membranes of pancreatic duct cells (Steward et al., 2005; Argent et al., 2006). The physiological role of the basolateral anion exchangers (probably AE2) is uncertain, as with a normal transmembrane Cl⁻ gradient they would be expected to cause HCO₃⁻ efflux and to oppose secretion (Steward et al., 2005; Argent et al., 2006). In contrast, it is well established that secretion of HCO₃⁻ across the apical membrane of pancreatic duct cells involves both CFTR and SLC26 family Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchangers, although the quantitative importance of each pathway is controversial (Steward et al., 2005; Argent et al., 2006). Furthermore, it has been shown that phosphorylation of CFTR, as occurs during stimulation of HCO₃⁻ secretion, activates SLC26 anion exchangers (Lee et al., 1999b; Shcheynikov et al., 2006). Our PCR data indicate that PAT 1 (SLC26A6) is the important SLC26 exchanger in CFPAC-1 cells (see Fig. 2A). Given the role of anion exchangers in pancreatic duct cell function, we decided to investigate whether SeV vector transduction and CFTR expression had any effect on their activity.

**Basolateral membrane.** Figure 5A (left part) shows an experiment in which pHᵢ was continuously recorded from a SeV-LacZ infected CFPAC-1 monolayer. Removal of Cl⁻ from the basolateral HCO₃⁻/CO₂ solution caused a clear increase in pHᵢ, indicating that the cells have an anion exchanger on their basolateral membrane. However, when the same experiment was performed on a SeV-CFTR infected monolayer, the alkalization caused by Cl⁻ removal was much smaller (Fig. 5A right part).

Figure 5B,C show summary data for the increase in pHᵢ and jᵢ caused by basolateral Cl⁻ removal in SeV-LacZ and SeV-CFTR cells infected at MOI = 3 and 15. Note that in the SeV-CFTR cells (both MOI = 3 and 15) the effects of basolateral Cl⁻ removal on pHᵢ and jᵢ were significantly reduced (Fig. 5B,C). Also, increasing intracellular cAMP, by exposing the cells to a cocktail of forskolin (10 μM), IBMX (100 μM), and dbcAMP (100 μM), had no significant effect on the ΔpHᵢ and jᵢ observed after Cl⁻ removal in either the SeV-LacZ or the SeV-CFTR (MOI = 3 and 15) cell groups (Fig. 5B,C). These data indicate that transduction of CFPAC-1 cells with CFTR inhibits basolateral Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange (probably mediated by AE2) and that cAMP has no effect on the activity of this exchanger. However, we cannot exclude the fact that the reduced pHᵢ change following Cl⁻ removal, in the absence or presence of
Fig. 4. Functional polarity of CFPAC-1 monolayers. A: Continuous pH recording from a SeV-LacZ (MOI = 15) cell monolayer. Horizontal bars indicate the composition of the solutions bathing the apical and basolateral membranes. Both sides of the monolayer were initially perfused with a HCO$_3$/CO$_2$-free, HEPES-buffered solution and then the apical and basolateral solutions were sequentially changed to standard HCO$_3$/CO$_2$ as indicated by the horizontal bars. B: Similar experiment on a SeV-CFTR (MOI = 15) cell monolayer. Note that the alkalization following basolateral addition of HCO$_3$/CO$_2$ is reduced in the CFTR expressing cells.

Fig. 3. Expression of HCO$_3$ transporters in CFPAC-1 cells. A: Semi-quantitative RT-PCR. Agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide show PCR products for PAT-1, AE2, pNBC1 (upper part) and the positive control ribosomal phosphoprotein gene, XS13 (lower part). Uninfected CFPAC-1 cells (lanes 1, 2), Sev-LacZ cells (MOI = 3, lanes 3, 4; MOI = 15, lanes 5, 6) and SeV-CFTR cells (MOI = 3, lanes 7, 8; MOI = 15, lanes 9, 10). Lane M is the molecular weight ladder and lane P is normal human pancreas. RT indicates the presence/absence of reverse transcriptase in the XS13 experiment. B: Real-time RT-PCR data for PAT-1 and AE2 expression.
cAMP stimulation could simply reflect faster exit of HCO₃⁻ across the apical membrane, either through the apical anion exchanger or CFTR. Nonetheless physiologically, inhibition of the basolateral AE2 by CFTR expression would tend to favor HCO₃⁻ secretion and would be beneficial to CF patients.

Apical membrane. In uninfected CFPAC-1 cells and in SeV-LacZ infected cells, removal of Cl⁻ from the apical membrane of the monolayers had no effect on pHᵢ, either in the absence or presence of cAMP (data not shown). Thus, Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity was not detectable in the apical membrane of cells that did not express CFTR.

In contrast, Cl⁻ removal from the apical membrane of low titer SeV-CFTR MOI = 3 cells caused a clear alkanization of pHᵢ (Fig. 6A). Thus, expression of CFTR revealed an anion exchange activity in the apical membrane of CFPAC-1 cells. Figure 6A also shows that stimulating the SeV-CFTR MOI = 3 monolayer with cAMP stimulation increased both the rate and magnitude of the pHᵢ alkanization following Cl⁻ removal.

Figure 6B shows a similar experiment performed on SeV-CFTR MOI = 15 cells. Removal of apical Cl⁻ caused a large alkanization of pHᵢ in the high titer cells. However, exposing
the SeV-CFTR MOI = 15 cells to cAMP did not increase the degree of alkalinization in response to Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ withdrawal (Fig. 6B).

Figure 6C is a summary of the ΔpHᵢ and JᵢB values obtained in this series of experiments. Unstimulated CFTR MOI = 3 cells exhibited a low, but clearly detectable, level of apical anion exchange. Furthermore, in these cells cAMP significantly increased ΔpHᵢ and JᵢB after apical Cl⁻ removal by 2.2- and 3.4-fold, respectively (P < 0.05 for both parameters, n = 31). In contrast, unstimulated CFTR MOI = 15 cells exhibited a much higher level of anion exchange than the unstimulated MOI = 3 cells (Fig. 6C), and the ΔpHᵢ and JᵢB values were unaffected by cAMP (n = 6). We conclude that transducing CFPAC-1 cells with CFTR at MOI = 3 are consistent with an apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity. In contrast, cells transduced with CFTR at the higher virus titer of MOI = 15 have a constitutively active apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchanger that cannot be further stimulated by cAMP.

cAMP-stimulated anion exchange activity in the MOI = 3 SeV-CFTR cells was completely blocked by 500 μM H₂-DIDS; JᵢB was −0.10 ± 0.26 mM B/min and ΔpHᵢ was −0.022 ± 0.011 when apical Cl⁻ was removed in the presence of the inhibitor (control values were 2.45 ± 0.40 mM B/min and 0.051 ± 0.007, respectively, n = 5). To test the electrogenicity of the cAMP stimulated anion exchange activity, SeV-CFTR cells were perfused with basolateral K⁺-free or high-K⁺ HCO₃⁻/CO₂ solution 10 min before the removal of apical Cl⁻. Apical Cl⁻ withdrawal in the absence of basolateral K⁺ did not significantly alter JᵢB (1.48 ± 0.22 mM B/min) and ΔpHᵢ (0.066 ± 0.009) versus standard conditions (JᵢB: 1.54 ± 0.29 mM B/min, ΔpHᵢ: 0.05 ± 0.008, n = 7). In addition, apical Cl⁻ withdrawal
withdrawal in the presence of basolateral high-K⁺/HCO₃⁻/CO₂ solution resulted in no alteration of J₀₂ (3.12 ± 0.49 mM B/min), but a significant increase of ΔPH, (0.12 ± 0.01) compared to the control (2.24 ± 0.45 mM B/min and 0.06 ± 0.008, respectively, n = 9). Overall, it seems that the cAMP stimulated apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity is electroneutral.

Finally, CFTRE₄₀₋₁₇₂ had no effect on either the rate or magnitude of the pH₄ alkalinization following activation of apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange in cyclic AMP stimulated SeV-CFTR (MOI = 3) CFPAC-1 cells (n = 7, data not shown). Thus, Cl⁻ transport by CFTR is probably not required to maintain apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity.

**Effect of SeV vector-mediated CFTR expression on PKA activity and expression of the PKA catalytic subunit**

Because apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange in the MOI = 15 SeV-CFTR transduced cells was constitutively active and did not respond to cAMP (Fig. 6B,C), we were concerned that the higher virus titer may have affected the cAMP signaling system. We therefore measured PKA activity, and the amount of the PKA catalytic subunit (PKAcat), in the MOI = 15 CFPAC-1 cells.

Figure 7A shows that PKA activity was similar in the uninfected, and in the SeV-LacZ and SeV-CFTR cells infected at MOI = 3. About the same level of PKA activity was also observed in SeV-LacZ cells infected at MOI = 15. However, in contrast, PKA activity was almost undetectable in SeV-CFTR cells infected at the higher titer.

We used Western blotting to measure the amount of PKAcat expressed in the various cell types. The results indicated that all cells groups contained about the same amount of PKAcat (Fig. 7B). Taken together, these data suggest that hyperexpression of CFTR inhibits PKA activity, thus, providing an explanation for our failure to detect cAMP stimulation of apical Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity in SeV-CFTR MOI = 15 cells (Fig. 6). Clearly, disabling the cAMP signaling pathway in this way would be a disadvantage in terms of gene therapy and predicts that over-expression of CFTR in pancreatic duct cells of CF patients will need to be avoided.

The effect of SeV vector-mediated CFTR expression on apical Na⁺/H⁺ exchange (NHE) activity

Apical NHE activity has been detected in the main pancreatic duct and may be involved in HCO₃⁻ scavenging from the duct lumen (Martea et al., 1995; Steward et al., 2005; Argent et al., 2006). HCO₃⁻ scavenging is probably a protective mechanism which acidifies the ducatal contents, thereby reducing the chances of pro-enzyme activation when flow rates are low during interdigestive periods (Lee et al., 2000; Steward et al., 2005; Argent et al., 2006). We have recently shown that CFPAC-1 cells express an apical NHE activity (Rakonczay et al., 2006).

Figure 8A shows a continuous pH₄ recording from a SeV-LacZ (MOI = 15) infected CFPAC-1 monolayer. Exposing the cells to a 20 mM NH₄Cl pulse, administered in the absence of Na⁺ on both sides of the monolayer, reduced pH₄ to about 6.7. In the continued absence of Na⁺, pH₄ stabilized at this new value, indicating the absence of any other Na⁺-independent pH₄ recovery mechanisms such as H⁺ pumps (Fig. 8A). Re-addition of Na⁺ to the apical membrane caused pH₄ to increase, due to activation of the apical NHE. Similar results were obtained when the SeV-LacZ cells were exposed to the NH₄Cl pulse in the presence of the cAMP cocktail (Fig. 8A).

Figure 8B shows a similar experiment performed on a SeV-CFTR (MOI = 15) transduced monolayer. Qualitatively, the results were similar to those obtained with the SeV-LacZ cells. However, the effect of re-adding Na⁺ on pH₄ and J₀₆ was significantly greater in the SeV-CFTR cells as compared to the SeV-LacZ cells (MOI = 3: 0.12 ± 0.04-fold, n = 10; MOI = 15: 2.12 ± 0.44-fold, P < 0.05, n = 5) (and compare Fig. 8A,B).

Finally, exposure of the SeV-CFTR monolayer to the cAMP cocktail had no significant effect on the J₀₆ observed in response to re-addition of Na⁺ (Fig. 8B). Similar results were obtained in four other experiments. These data suggest that the NHE expressed in the apical membrane of CFPAC-1 cells is upregulated in the presence of CFTR, but is unaffected by cAMP stimulation.

**Discussion**

In the present work recombinant SeV vector constructs were assessed as gene transfer agents in polarized monolayer cultures of human CFPAC-1 cells. This cell line is homozygous for the common F508del CFTR mutation, and has no measurable apical Cl⁻ permeability (Schoumacher et al., 1990). SeV is a single-stranded RNA virus that has been shown to produce efficient gene transfer and expression in airway epithelial cells, both in vitro and in vivo (Yonemitsu et al., 2000; Tokusumi et al., 2002). The virus attaches via both cholesterol and sialic acid receptors bound to gangliosides, present on the luminal surface of the epithelial cells. Our results indicate that CFPAC-1 cells could be efficiently infected by the virus from the apical side, as judged by LacZ staining, and that infection with the SeV-CFTR construct was associated with an increase in both Cl⁻ transport (via CFTR) as well as the induction of an apical cAMP-regulated Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity. Taken together these results suggest that transduction with SeV vector is capable of correcting the HCO₃⁻ secretory defect in these human CFPAC-1 cells.

**Effect of virus infection on the integrity and functional polarity of CFPAC-1 cells**

Transduction by SeV vector (LacZ or CFTR) at both low (3) and high (15) MOI did not produce any detrimental effects on cell growth or electrical resistance, at least up to 96 hr post-
infection. This suggests that the virus was well tolerated by the cells and that even at high virus titers the cells were still able to maintain polarity. We have previously shown that CFPAC-1 cells demonstrate a differential permeability to $\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_2$ at the apical and basolateral membranes (Rakonczay et al., 2006). Physiologically, the apical membrane of the duct cell must resist back-flux of $\text{HCO}_3^-$ from the lumen as this would work against $\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_2$ secretion. Our results show that CFTR expression did not alter the permeability of the apical membrane to $\text{CO}_2$, but in some monolayers we did observe a small increase in apical $\text{HCO}_3^-$ influx following CFTR transduction. This suggests that $\text{HCO}_3^-$ influx through transport proteins increases at the apical membrane when CFTR is present, as found by Ishiguro et al. (2000) using isolated guinea-pig ducts.

Effect of SeV on $\text{Cl}^-/\text{HCO}_3^-$ exchange activity in pancreatic cells

We found that basolateral $\text{Cl}^-/\text{HCO}_3^-$ exchange activity in CFPAC-1 cells was significantly reduced following CFTR transduction at high MOIs, and was not influenced by cAMP stimulation. Similarly, Greeley et al. (2001) showed that $\text{Cl}^-/\text{HCO}_3^-$ exchange (as measured by $^{36}\text{Cl}^-$ influx) was decreased by about 33% in corrected CFPAC-1 cells. However, in contrast to these data, basolateral anion exchange activity was not significantly different in main pancreatic ducts isolated from wt versus CF mice (Lee et al., 1999a). These differences between cultured human cells and native murine tissue maybe related to the expression levels of CFTR, which are low in

Fig. 8. Apical $\text{Na}^+/\text{H}^+$ NHE activity in SeV-transduced CFPAC-1 cells. A: Continuous pH recording from a SeV-LacZ (MOI = 15) monolayer. Horizontal bars indicate the composition of solutions bathing the apical and basolateral membranes. During bilateral perfusion with a $\text{Na}^+$-free solution, the cells were acidified by a pulse of $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ (20 mM). Subsequent re-introduction of $\text{Na}^+$ to the apical side caused $\text{pHi}$ and $J_B$ to increase reflecting activation of the apical NHE. The same protocol was repeated in the second half of the experiment, but with the cells exposed to the cAMP cocktail. B: Continuous pH recording from a SeV-CFTR (MOI = 15) transduced monolayer. Same protocol as in (A). Note that the effect of $\text{Na}^+$ re-addition on $\Delta\text{pHi}$ and $J_B$ was greater in the SeV-CFTR transduced cells ($n = 5$).
native mouse tissue (Gray et al., 2002). Exactly how CFTR expression inhibits basolateral anion exchange is not clear at the moment, but our real-time PCR data indicates that it is not via a reduction in A2E mRNA levels. One possibility is that an increased Cl− conductance of the plasma membrane following CFTR expression causes intracellular Cl− concentration to fall. Thus, when extracellular Cl− was withdrawn, a reduced outward Cl− gradient would be available to drive HCO3− into the cell.

In contrast to the basolateral membrane, non-infected CFPAC cells as well as LacZ transduced cells displayed no apical Cl−/HCO3− exchange activity under any conditions. Our results clearly show that CFTR expression is associated with the appearance of an apical Cl−/HCO3− exchange activity that can be further enhanced by CAMP stimulation. These results are consistent with Greeley et al. (2001), who reported that total Cl−/HCO3− exchange activity was elevated in non-polarized, stably corrected, CFPAC-1 cells grown on glass coverslips. Similar to our results, this effect was accompanied by enhanced apical Cl−/HCO3− exchanger activity as judged by 36Cl− uptake in polarized monolayers. However, Greeley et al. (2001) found that DRA mRNA was present in the corrected, but not in the non-corrected CFPAC-1 cells, and that PAT-1 expression was enhanced fivefold in the corrected cells, which we did not observe. CFTR has also been shown to activate DRA and PAT-1 in heterologous expression systems (Chernova et al., 2003; Ko et al., 2002, 2004). Lee et al. (1999a) also found that the apical Cl−/HCO3− exchange activity was increased in perfused pancreatic ducts from wt versus CFTR-knockout mice, and that forskolin markedly elevated luminal Cl−/HCO3− exchange activity. To determine the identity of the apical anion exchanger(s) responsible for the cAMP stimulated Cl−/HCO3− exchange activity, we examined the effect of a high concentration of the disulfonic stilbene H2-DIDS (500 μM). This compound completely blocked stimulated Cl−/HCO3− exchange. Since the disulfonic stilbene does not inhibit CFTR from the extracellular side (Gray et al., 1993; Akabas, 2000) and Chernova et al. (2003) and Melvin et al. (1999) reported that DRA has a low sensitivity to DIDS when Cl−/HCO3− exchange was measured (although Cl−/SO42− exchange by DRA seems to be DIDS-sensitive, Silberg et al. 1995; Moseley et al., 1999), then neither of these transporters are likely to be involved. There is, however, general agreement that PAT-1 is sensitive to block by DIDS (Wang et al., 2002; Lohi et al., 2003; Petrovic et al., 2003; Alvarez et al., 2005). There is no evidence that SLC26 anion exchangers can be activated directly by cAMP. However, activation of SLC26 anion exchangers by phosphorylated CFTR is well described (Shcheynikov et al., 2006), and this is clearly preserved in our CFPAC-1 cells transduced with SeV-CFTR at MOI = 3. Taken together with our semiquantitative and real time RT-PCR data point to PAT-1 as the SLC26 family member consistent with results in native mouse pancreatic duct (Lee et al., 1999a). However, Simpson et al. (2005), using a different (non-specific) CFTR inhibitor, glybenclamide, showed that this compound did to reduce resting Cl−/HCO3− exchange in mouse intestine. How CFTR expression leads to an upregulation of apical anion exchange activity is still not fully understood, but recent work has shown that SLC26A3, A4, and A6 physically and functionally interact with CFTR (Shcheynikov et al., 2006). Furthermore, phosphorylation of CFTR on its R domain stimulates anion exchange activity which appears to be preserved in our CFTR-transduced cells. Interestingly, CFTR activity appears to be enhanced in pancreatic ducts from SLC26A6 knockout mice (Wang et al., 2006), suggesting that the exchanger tonically inhibits CFTR. Lack of SLC26A6 led to enhanced spontaneous, but reduced cAMP-stimulated fluid secretion, illustrating the important role the exchanger plays in both basal and stimulated pancreatic HCO3− secretion. However, in another SLC26A6 knockout mouse model no differences were observed in fluid or HCO3− secretion between wt and knockout animals, a finding explained by a compensatory upregulation in SLC26A3 activity in the knockout animals (Ishiguro et al., 2007).

High versus low expression of CFTR

Hyperexpression of CFTR can alter the molecular physiology of the protein (Mohammad-Panah et al., 1998), and in some cases we observed significant differences in HCO3− transport between the MOI = 3 and 15 SeV-CFTR infected cells. For example, basolateral uptake of HCO3− was only affected (reduced) in the MOI = 15 SeV-CFTR infected cells and not the MOI = 3 cells. Furthermore, although resting apical Cl−/HCO3− exchange activity was upregulated in both the MOI = 3 and MOI = 15 SeV-CFTR transduced cells, only the MOI = 3 group responded to cAMP stimulation. Since the key regulatory pathway determining CFTR activity involves elevation of cAMP and activation of PKA, we measured the amount of the 42 kDa PKAcat and PKA activity in CFPAC-1 cells. The amount of PKAcat was similar in uninfected and infected cells, however, PKA activity was reduced in the SeV-CFTR MOI = 15 group providing an explanation as to why the apical exchangers cannot be stimulated with cAMP. The reason for this decrease in PKA activity in the MOI = 15 group remains unclear. However, Mohammad-Panah et al. (1998) showed that hyperexpression of wt CFTR in CFPAC-1 cells caused the appearance of a time-independent, non-rectifying Cl− current that was insensitive to cAMP stimulation, suggesting that the CFTR channels were permanently activated and not susceptible to cAMP regulation. Moreover, high-levels of CFTR expression has been shown to be associated with biosynthetic and growth abnormalities (Schiai et al., 1996), so there must be a fine line between the beneficial and toxic effects of CFTR.

Apical Na+/H+ exchange (NHE)

CFTR expression resulted in a marked upregulation of apical NHE activity in CFPAC-1 cells. Although it is possible that this effect could be due to apical bath Na+ accessing basolateral NHE1 (given the low paracellular resistance of the CFPAC-1 monolayers), Ahn et al. (2001) observed similar results and found an increased luminal Na+−dependent pH recovery from an acid load in microperfused pancreatic ducts from isolated wt versus F508del mice. This effect probably resulted from reduced levels of NHE3 expression in the knock-out animals (Ahn et al., 2001). However, we must note that we could not detect NHE2 or NHE3 expression by RT-PCR in our CFPAC-1 cells. In contrast to our data, stimulation of the wt mouse ducts with forskolin dose-dependently inhibited luminal Na+− dependent pH recovery. Our failure to detect an inhibitory effect of forskolin on apical NHE in the MOI = 15 SeV-CFTR
group was not surprising since these cells had no detectable PKA activity. However, the MOI = 3 group, which did exhibit PKA activity, behaved similarly. It is possible that human pancreatic duct cells either express a different, cAMP-insensitive, NHE isoform in their apical membrane or that specific NHE is regulated by another mechanism in human cells, for example, by an interaction with Cl−/HCO3− exchangers (Laurenc et al., 2002).

We conclude that SeV is an effective CFTR gene transfer vector for human pancreatic duct cells. Moreover, CFTR-transfected CF duct cells maintain their normal epithelial polarity and evidence restoration of Cl− and HCO3− transport processes at the apical membrane. As SeV-mediated CFTR gene transfer is much more effective via the apical as compared to the basolateral membrane, efficient gene transfer into the pancreas in vivo will probably require retrograde injection of the vector into the pancreatic duct. Moreover, as 62% of CF patients are born with a non-functional pancreas, gene therapy would need to be initiated in utero in the majority of cases. Thus, the smaller group of patients who are pancreatic sufficient at birth, but who become pancreatic insufficient in later life, might be best helped by gene therapy.
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